MEETING NOTICE

THERE WILL BE A MEETING OF THE LITTLE CALUMET RIVER BASIN DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION
AT 6:30 P.M. THURSDAY, OCTOBER 6, 1994
AT THE COMMISSION OFFICES
6100 SOUTHPORT ROAD
PORTAGE, IN

AGENDA

1. Call to Order by Chairperson George Carlson
2. Recognition of Visitors, Guests
3. Approval of minutes of September 1, 1994
4. Executive Director’s Report
   • Report on Commission’s 95/97 State Biennium Budget request
   • Status report on Gary Park Board/LCRBDC agreement

   • Documentation submitted to State Budget Agency
   • Gary Park Board commitment to all required construction easements
   • Commission’s commitment to greenhouse reconstruction &
     driving range construction
   • Corps of Engineers requirement for assessing value of
     easements for project crediting
5. Reports of Standing Committees

A. Land Acquisition/Management Committee - Charles Agnew, Chairman
   - Appraisals, offers, acquisitions, recommended actions
   - Corps Real Estate meeting held 9/15/94 - major issues
   - Other issues

B. Project Engineering/Construction Committee - Robert Huffman, Chairman
   - Wicker Park Manor construction progress report
   - Erie R.R. bridge removal completion
   - Federal realignment in Highland/Griffith - status
     - Highland meeting on 9/26/94 discussing Highland realignment and Griffith request for Federal levee commitment
   - Other issues

C. Marina Development Committee - Bill Tanke, Chairman
   - Site Excavation status
     - Request from Dyer for additional 50,000 c.y. sand
   - Discussion of NIPSCO dredging permit/shoreline mitigation benefit
   - Marina construction-sheet piling contract authorization
   - Discussion of status of the Marquette Yacht Club lease of slips
   - Other issues

D. Finance/Policy Committee - John DeMeo, Treasurer
   - Financial status report
   - Approval of claims for August, 1994
   - Other issues

6. Other Business

7. Statements to the Board from the floor

8. Set date for next meeting; adjournment
Chairman George Carlson called the meeting to order at 6:35 p.m. Ten (10) Commissioners were present. Quorum was declared and guests were recognized.

Development Commissioners:

George Carlson
Charles Agnew
Steve Davis
Robert Huffman
Robert Trelo
William Tanke
Emerson Delaney
Arlene Colvin
John DeMeo
Nathaniel Leonard

Visitors:

Herb Read - Izaak Walton
Bill Petrites - Highland
Ruth Mores - Hammond
Jim Flora - R.W.Armstrong
Cecilia Wallace - NIRPC staff
Mike Vander Heyden - Dyer Construction
Greg Taylor - Z107 Radio
Ken Smith - Div.of Water, IDNR
Pete Zak - South Shore Marina
Mary Jane Zak - " "
Charles Siar - Izaak Walton
LTC Robert Stockbower - Corps
Dave Hunter - Corps
Mara Candelaria - Congressman Visclosky's staff

The minutes of the August 4, 1994 meeting were approved by a motion from Chuck Agnew; motion seconded by Robert Trelo; motion passed unanimously.

The Executive Director, Dan Gardner, introduced Col. Robert E. Stockbower, the new colonel at the Chicago District who has replaced Col. Reed. Colonel Stockbower addressed the Commission and thanked them and staff for all their work to date. He proceeded to reaffirm the $3.3 million of Federal monies secured for FY95 but expressed concern that the State monies received may not be enough to keep the project on schedule. He stated he was indeed pleased with the accomplishments made in the area of minority contracting. He reported that the technical report regarding mapping for Wicker Park Manor in Highland has been sent out so the town of Highland can proceed with FEMA. It is hoped that 50% completion for Wicker Park Manor can be met by 10/15/94.
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The Colonel also stated the completed stages and completed demolitions will be turned over to us this fall. Mr. Gardner asked the Colonel about the letter from U.S. Dept. of Interior. He said the Corps would commit to the EIS requested in the U.S. Fish and Wildlife letter. Mr. Gardner also inquired about community meetings being held, with the Corps in attendance, in order to inform those residents wanting their questions answered. The Colonel readily agreed that the Corps would attend any public meetings we wish to schedule.

Executive Director’s Report - Mr. Gardner referred to our letter to the State Budget Committee for our hearing on October 19th. We have requested the Colonel to appear and he has planned on being there. His appearance will be a strong testimony to the legislators of the Corps’ interest they have in securing sufficient funding.

Land Acquisition Committee - Land Acquisition Chairman Chuck Agnew made a motion to approve and sign the right of entry for Stage I Phase 4 Demolition; motion seconded by Nathaniel Leonard; motion passed unanimously.

Mr. Agnew then made a motion to approve and sign the revised right of entry for Stage II Phase 3A which now includes Marathon; motion seconded by Arlene Colvin; motion passed unanimously.

Mr. Agnew made a motion to pursue acquisition, including condemnation if necessary, DC447; motion seconded by John DeMec; motion passed unanimously.

Mr. Gardner talked about the meeting with Gary Parks & Recreation held this afternoon. The purpose of that meeting was to emphasize to the Gary Park Dept. the importance of getting the needed easements and to discuss the greenhouse specifications. Several meetings will take place to work out technical aspects of an acceptable greenhouse. Lou Casale emphasized the need for the easements from Gary Park Board for INDOT & Gary-Hobart water line relocation. William Tanke questioned the cost of the greenhouse and the lack of cooperation of Gary Park Board. Discussion was held on the considerable amount of land that is indeed needed from the Park Board.

Project Engineering Committee - Mr. Huffman reported that the construction at Stage II Phase 3A is about 18% complete. He also informed the Commission that the grass has been cut in Stage III area. As the stages are completed, they will be turned over to the Commission for maintenance.
Mr. Huffman also stated that many questions have arisen from the residents in Stage IV Phase 2 regarding a drainage ditch and/or drainage culvert that is planned to be constructed along Colfax to Burr. Staff will schedule a meeting time and place for those residents to be informed of the pending work, along with the Corps present to answer questions.

He reported that Wicker Park Manor construction is at about 38% complete. The levee is up to level of the old levee. Some soil borings were taken on the old levee some time back. Some analysis of the old clay will have to be taken to determine suitability.

Regarding the Erie R.R. bridge removal, Mr. Huffman made a motion to award the contract to the low bidder, Dyer Construction Company, for a bid of $25,000; motion seconded by Emerson Delaney. The attorney will prepare an agreement upon receiving the performance bond and insurance. Removal must begin within 15 days after receiving notice to proceed and must be completed within 30 days. The town will be informed and invited to the pre-construction meeting. Mr. Agnew expressed concern with Dyer receiving the bid. Mr. Petrites, a resident near Wicker Park Manor, hoped that with Dyer receiving this award, his construction levee work will not slow down. Mr. Vander Heyden assured him it would not. Chairman George Carlson expressed delight that the bridge will finally be removed. He has advocated its removal for many years.

Marina/Breakwater Committee - Committee Chairman Bill Tanke gave the marina report. He reported that we are still awaiting the final Corps approval on the marina permit. After several telephone calls this week, it appears that the Detroit Corps office will make final comments and are prepared to write the permit.

Sand excavation is still underway. Below grade sand removal has not yet begun.

Mr. Gardner referred to Mara Candelaria, representing the Congressman’s Office, in regard to a meeting that was held in an attempt to identify Indiana projects for sand use. Mr. Gardner emphasized the Commission’s commitment to sand mitigation. He thanked Mara for organizing that meeting.

Mr. Tanke has been in communication with Abonmarche representatives in an attempt to justify their claims submittal and authorize further work that needs to be done. He has not received the documentation he desires yet so further authorization is still on hold.
Finance/Policy Committee - Treasurer John DeMeo presented the financial status report for July as well as the claims amounting to $55,240.89. Mr. DeMeo made a motion to approve paying the pending claims; motion seconded by Bob Huffman; motion passed unanimously. Mr. DeMeo also referred to a budget amendments sheet on page 14 in the agenda packet. The budget amendment only included the transfer of monies only from one budget line to another. He then made a motion approving the budget amendment; motion seconded by Bob Huffman; motion passed unanimously.

Other Business - Bill Tanke expressed his concern regarding the Commission accepting portions of the project for maintenance as they are completed. He felt that enough emphasis has not been put on the issue of maintenance. We should be receiving a maintenance manual from the Corps that will give us guidance. He suggested that a sub-committee under the auspice of the Land Acquisition/Management Committee be formed to start looking into this issue. All the members expressed an interest of serving on that committee.

Statements from the floor - Herb Read had attended the meeting that was held regarding sand mitigation and beach erosion. He said the meeting went very well and he appreciated the efforts of all involved. However, he expressed his dismay that monies could be found for the breakwaters and the marina site, but no monies are to be had to move sand.

Ruth Mores, resident from Hammond, expressed her interest in attending the meeting that would be scheduled shortly with some of the east reach residents between Colfax and Burr Street. She sees some of the same problems with the drainage issue facing these residents that she anticipates will be forthcoming when the project comes to the west reach and, namely, in her neighborhood. Mr. Gardner told her she will be invited when that meeting is set.

There being no further business, the next Commission meeting was scheduled for Thursday, October 6, 1994. The Commission meeting will be held back at the Commission offices in Portage.
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September 21, 1994

Ms. Jean Blackwell, Director
State Budget Agency
State House
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

Dear Ms. Blackwell:

The Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission is forwarding, as requested the following information and documentation regarding the Commission's operation and in support of the Commission's $8 million 1995-97 State Biennial Capital Budget request. With Federal flood control construction in full swing, this upcoming budget session is the most critical in the project's history with literally in the balance the success of maintaining the access to Federal construction funds in the amount of some $42 million and keeping to a needed Federal construction schedule dependent upon the amount of state appropriations.

This submission attempts to answer directly and completely the requested information.

A. MISSION STATEMENT AND GOALS FOR 1993/95 BIENNIAL

The Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission was created in 1980 by the Indiana General Assembly for the explicit purpose of being the responsible non-federal sponsor agency with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers for federally funded flood control, recreation and recreation/navigation improvements along the Little Calumet River in Lake and Porter Counties. This prescribed mission as well as the Commission's authority and powers are directly related to the successful pursuance of the Federal construction of the $113 million project in a quality, cost effective and timely manner.
Additional documentation of the principal mission of the Development Commission is contained in the "Local Cooperation Agreement" between the Development Commission and the Federal Government, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, which was signed August 16, 1990 committing Federal appropriations for construction of the project. This Local Cooperation Agreement was submitted as documentation for the 1991/93 Biennium Budget and a copy is again provided for your reference.

Specific goals for the 1995/97 Biennium center on the Development Commission's ability to meet the responsibilities and Federal construction schedule resulting from the Local Cooperation Agreement. They are:

1. Secure sufficient State appropriations to meet non-federal obligations to maintain the Federal construction schedule and leverage the spending of some $42 million in new Federal construction contracts scheduled for the 1995/97 biennium period
   - $8 million State appropriation will allow this leverage of Federal construction funds

2. Utilize available State allocations to budget staff resources and contract services to meet required items of non-federal cooperation. Namely:
   a. Acquire lands, easements, and rights-of-way needed to allow Federal construction on public lands on schedule
   b. Accomplish needed utility modifications through contracts with the affected utilities to protect the service integrity of the utility and allow Federal construction to proceed.
   c. Advocate for and coordinate State Highway Department and County Highway Department bridge improvements in sequence with the flood control construction schedule.
   d. Secure necessary cash to meet the required 5% non-federal cash contribution for construction segments (Must be placed in escrow up front of construction award).

3. Continue to conduct briefings and public meetings with local elected officials, affected residents, area civic/business groups and environmental organizations to maintain strong local support and current information dissemination regarding project. To date, bipartisan political, environmental interest groups, and general community support has been achieved and the Development Commission board and staff are committed to maintaining that support.
(4) Continue to work with the city of Portage to fulfill construction commitment of a 250 slip public marina facility with four (4) public launch lanes and public access, fishing and walkway amenities. Marina development for 1996 boating season will complete the non-federal obligation (50%) of twin rubble-mound breakwaters at the mouth of Portage Burns Waterway constructed in 1984 by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers contractor.

B. PROGRESS REPORT ON ACHIEVEMENTS IN 1993/95 BIENNium

Significant progress was made during the 1993/95 State biennium period as the Federal project construction swung into full gear, with the Little Calumet River Project the largest federally funded construction project in the Corps of Engineers budget in the state of Indiana. Listed below by major categories are significant project achievements:

- Federal Appropriations for flood Control Construction
  - $11 million in FY 1993
  - $16 million in FY 1994
  - $3.3 million in FY 1995 (reduced funding due in part to carryover amounts of Federal funds remaining from FY 93/94, partially caused by less than full funding from State legislature.)

- State General Assembly Appropriations
  - $4 million included in 1993/95 State biennial budget for flood control project
  - $1 million included in 1993/95 State budget to the city of Portage for public marina project

- Indiana Waters Grant (IDNR) of $245,000 to Portage Park Dept. for Portage public marina
FLOOD CONTROL LEVEE CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS

- North flood protection levee between Broadway and Harrison Streets (Gary) completed. (Dyer Construction Co. - $357,000)
- North flood protection levee between Harrison and Grant Streets (Gary) completed. (Dyer-Ellas Construction - $1,220,386)
- Flood protection levees between Grant and Chase Streets (Gary) completed. (Kiewit Western Co. - $6,564,520)
- Wicker Park Manor levee in Highland awarded for construction in October, 1993. (Dyer Construction - $998,630) 50% complete.
- South flood protection levee between Georgia Street and Martin Luther King Drive (Gary) awarded for construction July 1994. (Ramirez-Marsch - $2,275,023) 60% complete

STATE HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT PROJECT COMMITMENTS

- Indianapolis Blvd. bridge raising/reconstruction project scheduled for 1995 construction season (INDOT)
- I80/94 & Georgia Street Little Calumet River crossing culverts scheduled for enlargement/reconstruction in 1995 (INDOT)
- Grant Street bridge over Little Calumet River/interchange raising project committed to by INDOT for 1998

LAKE COUNTY HIGHWAY COMMITMENT

- Burr Street bridge over Little Calumet River project commitment
- Federal Highway Administration concurrence with using 80% federal funding share for State bridge project reconstructions as eligible 25% share local cost.

INTER-AGENCY AGREEMENTS

- Conceptual agreement with Gary Parks & Recreation Department, Indiana University Northwest, and city of Gary on north Gleason Park development
- Agreements with Gary Sanitary District, city of Gary, and Lake County on Black Oak sewer project, pumping station, Burr Street widening project
- Agreement with town of Ogden Dunes for use, transportation and placement of ±20,000 cubic yards of clean sand from public marina on beachfront to mitigate erosion
- Agreement with INDOT, NIPSCO, Gary Hobart Water Corp. on needed project utility relocations
CORPS COMMITMENT ON MINORITY PARTICIPATION

• Corps commitment for local and minority construction participation, along with Congressman Pete Visclosky, the Federal Small Business Administration and minority interests, an agreement was reached to commit to a 40% commitment level from the Corps. This 40% applies to labor participation and contract amount.

C. DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION NEEDS, PROBLEMS, OPPORTUNITIES FOR 1995/97 BIENNIUM

The needs, problems and opportunities for the 1995/97 State Biennium Budget are all tied to the $8 million Development Commission appropriation request. Literally, this biennium budget is the most critical in the project's life.

This statement can be made because the U.S. Congress, with strong support from Congressman Visclosky and Senators Lugar and Coats, have secured a total of $30.3 million in Federal construction funds for the period covering the Federal Fiscal Years of 1993, 1994 and 1995. This period generally covers the State 1993/95 biennial budget period. The crisis is that without all of the requested $8 million appropriation being included in the State's 1993/95 Capital Budget, significant project delays in construction will be unavoidable. (This is evidenced in part by the $3.3 million Federal appropriation in FY 1995 - due somewhat to reduced capacity of the Development Commission to access all the non-federal funds required to meet our project obligation to allow Federal construction awards.

The State funding crunch is exacerbated by the inability of the Development Commission to access for allocation by the Budget Committee the $4 million from the 1989/91 and 1991/93 Legislative sessions which were earmarked from Build Indiana Funds.

This unavailability from the Build Indiana Fund is now beginning to impact the Development Commission's ability to meet the Federal schedule for construction driven by full Federal appropriations to the Corps of Engineers budget in yearly increments vs. State biennial capital budgets.

This situation is reaching a crisis stage and needs direct attention from the Bayh Administration and the General Assembly to protect the ability of the Indiana congressional delegation to continue to secure full Federal construction funding thus allowing Federal construction contracts to be let on time. This would bring needed flood protection in a timely manner and reduce cost increases to the project made inevitable by inflation as construction lettings are put back - not for lack of Federal construction funds, but for lack of State support to meet upfront items of cooperation.
The Development Commission cannot state strongly enough the critical nature of this problem and the potential impact it holds for the successful pursuance of this extremely important flood control, recreation, environmental protection, and economic development project for northwest Indiana.

As documentation of the "funding crisis" for this State budget session, included is the current Federal construction schedule (submitted on August 16, 1994 in our 1995/97 budget request). I have drawn the "boundaries" of the 1995/97 budget period over the current schedule and what is revealed is that the Corps of Engineers can begin construction on all project segments within the 1995/97 budget period. The history of Federal support to date makes a strong case that this schedule, while very ambitious, is attainable from a Federal funding viewpoint. The implications for this schedule are obvious for needed State appropriations of $8 million, at a minimum, to allow over $67.5 million in Federal construction funds to be spent in Indiana.

D. ORGANIZATION CHART

[Diagram showing organizational structure]
E. PROJECT "PERFORMANCE EFFICIENCY" MEASUREMENT

The Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission is not a typical service-oriented government agency providing direct public programs/services but rather the non-federal partner to cause a flood control/recreation project to be built by the Federal government. In that capacity, performance accountability and project "correctness" is focused on two areas: (1) the design-construction process for each project segment development with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers; and (2) the cost, social impact/acceptance, environmental sensitivity, political support as the result of design/construction approaches.

The stated goal of the Development Commission is to facilitate Federal construction of the best engineered approach at the least cost in the most expeditious schedule feasible.

To accomplish these project construction efficiency goals, a process which calls for two reviews during design and even for a "value engineering" process by the contractor after construction contract award, is used. Design/engineering reviews are conducted at the "preliminary design" phase by the Development Commission's consultant engineer, Commission staff and shared with the city/town engineer for community input/concurrence. Close coordination with staff engineers at the Indiana Department of Natural Resources provides additional input into the best, most cost effective approach. With this input, "Final Draft Design" plans are prepared and again reviewed with official written comments prepared if major differences are still present. After contract bidding and construction award, the contractor can submit "value engineering" proposals aimed at achieving cost savings and more efficient designs. As incentive, the contractor can keep a percentage of the savings if his idea is accepted.

Project engineering at the intersection of utility lines and highways involves the respective utility or highway departments, the Corps of Engineers and the Development Commission. Needed utility and highway/bridge improvements are gauged by project design needs and "betterments" or upgrades are not allowable for project cost reimbursement, but are the sole responsibility of the respective utility/highway department.

In this process described above, structured reviews are conducted at several key points to ensure "performance efficiency" of the design and construction method. Below are listed the key five criteria that each design is reviewed:

1. **Best engineering design and construction method** to achieve construction integrity of each project feature;
2. **Most cost effective** approach to build this design;
3. **Environmentally acceptable** design and construction method;
4. **Social impacts acceptable** for each construction segment by those affected;
5. **Elected officials support** for design/construction method in community affected.

//
In conclusion, I have attempted to answer the questions as fully and accurately as possible and portray the reality of the situation facing the Development Commission. The Commission appreciates the past support of the Budget Agency staff and the Indiana General Assembly in providing continuous support for this project leading to the current Federal construction and ask for continued support at this critical stage.

Sincerely,

Dan Gardner
Executive Director

/sjm
encl.
August 25, 1994

Mr. David Hunter
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
Chicago District
111 North Canal Street
Chicago, IL 60606

Re: Town of Griffith
Little Calumet River Flood Control Project

Dear Mr. Hunter:

In March of this year, the Town Council of the Town of Griffith
approved and recommended the proposal of the Little Calumet River Basin
Commission to construct a levee along the south line of the NIPSCO right
of way from Cline Avenue on the west to Colfax Avenue on the east. We
understood the levee would be constructed to the Corps Flood Control
elevation for this area of 603.40. We are very concerned that the Town
of Griffith is afforded the same level of protection as all other
developed areas along the river.

Recently we met with our consulting engineers, Lawson-Fisher
Associates, to discuss the status of the proposed pump station relocation
project which is necessary due to the pending Indiana Department of
Transportation (INDOT) Cline Avenue Interchange reconstruction project.
They informed us that the levee along the north side of River Drive from
Cline Avenue to the EJ&E Railroad is to be constructed only to elevation
602.00 and to a different set of standards than the rest of the Corps
project. The Town of Griffith respectfully requests that the levee thru
this area be constructed to elevation 603.40 and to the same set of
standards as all other portion of the Corps project. This area contains
both residential and industrial facilities.

We would be happy to meet with you to discuss the proposed levee
construction in more detail. Thank you for your consideration of our
request and we look forward to hearing from you.

Very truly yours,

Merle D. Colby
Griffith Town Councilman

cc: Robert Schwerd
    Dan Gardner
    John Fisher
September 14, 1994

Little Calumet River Basin
Development Commission
6100 Southport Road
Portage, Indiana 46368

ATTENTION: DAN GARDNER, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

REFERENCE: SUPERIOR - DYER JOINT VENTURE SAND REMOVAL AGREEMENT

Dear Mr. Gardner:

On July 7, 1994, the Commission approved the removal by our client, Dyer Construction Company, of up to 100,000 cubic yards of sand from the Portage Marina Development site for use at a recreational development project at the Port of Illinois. Please be advised that there is a need for an additional 50,000 cubic yards of sand at this same project, and which need Dyer Construction would like to fill by removing such sand from the Portage Marina Development site under the Superior - Dyer Sand Removal Agreement. Therefore, Dyer Construction, has directed me to provide you with this notification and request for approval of an extension of the Commission’s consent to the quantity of sand that can be used for this project, so as to enlarge the quantity of sand for this project in Illinois to a 150,000 cubic yard amount.

Please contact us if you need further information about this project, or the use of sand requested.

Sincerely,

Patrick A. Mysliwy
Attorney at Law

cc: Lou Casale, Commission Attorney
    Dyer Construction Co., Inc.
Clearing the way

Excavation continues on the Portage public marina basin. But until the city receives its long-awaited permit from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, construction cannot begin. City officials hope to receive the permit by the end of the week.
LITTLE CALUMET RIVER BASIN DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION
FINANCIAL STATEMENT
JANUARY 1, 1994 - AUGUST 31, 1994

CASH POSITION - JANUARY 1, 1994
CHECKING ACCOUNT:
- LAND ACQUISITION: 76,807.73
- GENERAL FUND: 163,792.37
- TAX FUND: 1,493.97
- INVESTMENTS: 1,016,000.00
- ESCROW ACCOUNT INTEREST: 32,799.47

RECEIPTS - JANUARY 1, 1994 - AUGUST 31, 1994
- LEASE RENTS: 28,805.45
- INTEREST INCOME: 11,181.29
- LAND ACQUISITION: 445,866.30
- MISCELLANEOUS INCOME: 33,808.03
- ESCROW ACCOUNT INTEREST: 21,306.88
- KRBC REIMBURSEMENT RE: TELEPHONE CHARGE: 1,409.51
- REAL ESTATE TAXES: 594.86

TOTAL RECEIPTS: 1,290,893.54

DISBURSEMENTS - JANUARY 1, 1994 - AUGUST 31, 1994
- 1993 EXPENSES PAID IN 1994: 52,004.57
- PER DIEM EXPENSES: 2,655.00
- LEGAL SERVICES: 1,983.31
- NIRPC SERVICES: 48,719.86
- TELEPHONE EXPENSES: 3,572.10
- TRAVEL & MILEAGE: 738.00
- PRINTING & ADVERTISING: 315.09
- BONDS & INSURANCE: 5,732.63
- MEETING EXPENSES: 1,544.36
- LAND ACQUISITION:
  - LEGAL SERVICES: 27,958.46
  - APPRAISAL SERVICES: 8,720.00
  - ENGINEERING SERVICES: 18,563.36
  - LAND PURCHASE CONTRACTUAL: 68,656.53
  - LAND MANAGEMENT SERVICES: 45,397.00
  - PROPERTY & STRUCTURE: 56,522.47
  - MOVING & RELOCATION: 2,950.00
  - REAL ESTATE TAXES: 1,251.20
  - PROPERTY & STRUCTURE INSURANCE: 19,450.00
  - UTILITY RELOCATION COSTS: 142,698.78
  - LAND CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT: 39,965.00

TOTAL DISBURSEMENTS: 549,397.72

CASH POSITION - AUGUST 31, 1994
CHECKING ACCOUNT:
- LAND ACQUISITION: 111,747.68
- GENERAL FUND: 100,796.42
- TAX FUND: 1,817.69
- INVESTMENTS: 1,016,000.00
- ESCROW ACCOUNT INTEREST: 54,106.35

TOTAL CASH POSITION: 1,284,468.14
# INVOICE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SALARIES AND FRINGE BENEFITS: DAN GARDNER &amp; SANDY MORDUS</td>
<td>$ 5,775.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROOM RENTAL FOR ADDITIONAL LCRBDC STAFF</td>
<td>500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCOUNTING SERVICES</td>
<td>425.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FACSIMILE MACHINE @ $5.00/MO PLUS ATTACHMENTS</td>
<td>18.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POSTAGE: AUGUST, 1994</td>
<td>203.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPYING CHARGES @ $5.00/MO PLUS $.06/COPY (4,280)</td>
<td>261.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRAPHICS/PRINTING TIME @ $20/HR (ATTACHMENTS) 2.5 HOURS</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAFF MILEAGE/EXPENSES:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER CHARGES (ATTACHMENTS) PETTY CASH $40.63</td>
<td>40.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL: AUGUST, 1994</td>
<td>$ 7,274.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OUTSTANDING PAYMENT DUE: 0

TOTAL DUE: $ 7,274.63
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACCOUNT</th>
<th>VENDOR NAME</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
<th>EXPLANATION OF CLAIM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5811</td>
<td>LOUIS M. CASALE</td>
<td>283.33</td>
<td>ATTORNEY RETAINER FEE FOR SEPTEMBER 1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5812</td>
<td>NRPC</td>
<td>7,274.03</td>
<td>FOR SERVICES RENDERED AUGUST 1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5821</td>
<td>ALLSTYLE TRAVEL SERVICES</td>
<td>4,114.00</td>
<td>COST INCURRED RE: AIRLINE TRAVEL FOR NASFMA CONFERENCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5821</td>
<td>DAN GARDNER</td>
<td>127.00</td>
<td>MILEAGE EXPENSES INCURRED 8/2 - 8/26/94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5822</td>
<td>UNITED PARCEL SERVICE</td>
<td>17.50</td>
<td>FOR SERVICES RENDERED 8/21/94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5822</td>
<td>THE TIMES</td>
<td>34.88</td>
<td>COST INCURRED RE: LEGAL AD PUBLISHED 8/13 &amp; 20/94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5822</td>
<td>HERALD NEWS GROUP</td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>COST INCURRED RE: SUBSCRIPTION TO THE PORTAGE JOURNAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5822</td>
<td>TIGER DIRECT</td>
<td>592.65</td>
<td>COST INCURRED RE: SOFTWARE (DATABASE IV 2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5823</td>
<td>LAKE COUNTY RECORDER</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>RECORDING FEES ON DC 244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5824</td>
<td>GTE</td>
<td>262.60</td>
<td>TELEPHONE CHARGES FOR JULY, 1994 (KRBC TO PAY A PORTION)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5824</td>
<td>MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS</td>
<td>234.55</td>
<td>TELEPHONE CHARGES FOR AUGUST, 1994 (KRBC TO PAY A PORTION)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5824</td>
<td>GTE</td>
<td>277.42</td>
<td>TELEPHONE CHARGES FOR AUGUST, 1994 (KRBC TO PAY A PORTION)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5836</td>
<td>LUCAS, HOLCOMB &amp; MEDREA</td>
<td>3,397.90</td>
<td>LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED 7/1 - 8/31/94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5836</td>
<td>LOUIS M. CASALE</td>
<td>5,030.58</td>
<td>LAND ACQUISITION/LEGAL SERVICES 8/26-9/23/94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5841</td>
<td>LAKE COUNTY CLERK</td>
<td>4,500.00</td>
<td>APPRAISAL SERVICES ON DC 235, 291, 310, 319 &amp; 328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5842</td>
<td>COLE ASSOCIATES, INC.</td>
<td>1,730.00</td>
<td>ENGINEERING SERVICES RE: EASEMENT SURVEY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5843</td>
<td>CHICAGO TITLE INSURANCE CO.</td>
<td>540.00</td>
<td>TITLE WORK INCURRED ON DC 462 &amp; 463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5843</td>
<td>R. W. ARMSTRONG</td>
<td>1,087.10</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RENDERED THROUGH 8/19/94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5843</td>
<td>CHICAGO TITLE INSURANCE CO.</td>
<td>395.00</td>
<td>TITLE WORK INCURRED ON DC 321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5843</td>
<td>R. W. ARMSTRONG</td>
<td>2,798.40</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RENDERED THROUGH 9/16/94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5844</td>
<td>JAMES E. POKRAJAC</td>
<td>2,415.00</td>
<td>LAND ACQUISITION/MANAGEMENT SERVICES RENDERED 9/1-15/94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5844</td>
<td>MELISSA STEFANOVICH</td>
<td>123.50</td>
<td>LAND ACQUISITION SERVICES RENDERED 9/12-23/94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5844</td>
<td>JAMES E. POKRAJAC</td>
<td>2,618.25</td>
<td>LAND ACQUISITION/MANAGEMENT SERVICES RENDERED 9/16-31/94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5844</td>
<td>MELISSA STEFANOVICH</td>
<td>117.00</td>
<td>LAND ACQUISITION SERVICES RENDERED 8/29 - 9/9/94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5861</td>
<td>ADDIE SMITH</td>
<td>1,518.98</td>
<td>PURCHASE PRICE OF PROPERTY DC 261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5861</td>
<td>LAKE COUNTY CLERK</td>
<td>10,000.00</td>
<td>CONDEMNATION COSTS ON DC 235, 291, 310, 319 &amp; 328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5862</td>
<td>EDDIE &amp; JOHNNIE CARPENTER</td>
<td>3,050.00</td>
<td>MOVING EXPENSES INCURRED ON DC 260, 262 &amp; 263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5862</td>
<td>LAWERENCE &amp; MARION HOBSON</td>
<td>850.00</td>
<td>MOVING EXPENSES INCURRED ON DC 245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5882</td>
<td>R. W. ARMSTRONG</td>
<td>2,768.40</td>
<td>UTILITY RELOCATION SERVICES THROUGH 8/19/94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5882</td>
<td>R. W. ARMSTRONG</td>
<td>852.50</td>
<td>UTILITY RELOCATION SERVICES THROUGH 9/16/94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5883</td>
<td>NIMETZ TRUCKING</td>
<td>150.00</td>
<td>INSTALLATION OF CABLE GATE &amp; LOCK @ 35TH &amp; CHASE STREET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5883</td>
<td>ENVIRONMENTAL DYNAMICS</td>
<td>4,571.62</td>
<td>COST INCURRED RE: REMOVAL OF RUPTURED DRUM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>61,020.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## LITTLE CALUMET RIVER BASIN DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

### MONTHLY BUDGET REPORT

**SEPTEMBER 30, 1994**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACCOUNT</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>BUDGET AMOUNT</th>
<th>AMOUNT PAID PREVIOUSLY</th>
<th>UNPAID BALANCE</th>
<th>AMOUNT READY FOR PAYMENT</th>
<th>PROJECTED BALANCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5601</td>
<td>PER DIEM EXPENSES</td>
<td>$16,000.00</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
<td>13,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>$13,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5611</td>
<td>LEGAL EXPENSES</td>
<td>7,500.00</td>
<td>2,266.64</td>
<td>5,233.36</td>
<td>283.33</td>
<td>4,950.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5612</td>
<td>NIRPC SERVICES</td>
<td>94,000.00</td>
<td>55,748.42</td>
<td>38,251.58</td>
<td>7,274.63</td>
<td>90,976.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5621</td>
<td>TRAVEL &amp; MILEAGE</td>
<td>7,500.00</td>
<td>738.00</td>
<td>6,762.00</td>
<td>4,241.00</td>
<td>2,521.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5622</td>
<td>PRINTING &amp; ADVERTISING</td>
<td>2,000.00</td>
<td>583.77</td>
<td>1,416.23</td>
<td>653.23</td>
<td>763.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5623</td>
<td>BONDS &amp; INSURANCE</td>
<td>7,500.00</td>
<td>5,732.63</td>
<td>1,767.37</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>1,760.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5624</td>
<td>TELEPHONE COSTS</td>
<td>6,000.00</td>
<td>2,431.21</td>
<td>3,568.79</td>
<td>774.58</td>
<td>2,794.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5625</td>
<td>MEETING EXPENSES</td>
<td>7,500.00</td>
<td>1,943.46</td>
<td>5,556.54</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5,556.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5638</td>
<td>LEGAL SERVICES</td>
<td>50,000.00</td>
<td>31,978.46</td>
<td>18,021.54</td>
<td>8,428.48</td>
<td>9,593.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5640</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES</td>
<td>250,000.00</td>
<td>159,658.84</td>
<td>90,341.16</td>
<td>16,304.25</td>
<td>74,036.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5660</td>
<td>PROJECT LAND PURCHASE COSTS</td>
<td>2,052,517.00</td>
<td>78,710.93</td>
<td>1,973,806.07</td>
<td>15,516.96</td>
<td>1,989,327.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5681</td>
<td>PROPERTY/STRUCTURES INSURANCE</td>
<td>25,000.00</td>
<td>19,450.00</td>
<td>5,550.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5,550.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5682</td>
<td>UTILITY RELOCATION COSTS</td>
<td>250,000.00</td>
<td>146,006.28</td>
<td>103,993.72</td>
<td>3,620.90</td>
<td>100,372.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5683</td>
<td>PROJECT LAND CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS</td>
<td>150,000.00</td>
<td>39,955.00</td>
<td>110,045.00</td>
<td>4,721.92</td>
<td>105,313.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5684</td>
<td>STRUCTURES CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS</td>
<td>30,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>32,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>32,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5691</td>
<td>DNR/SHORELINE SAND FUND</td>
<td>28,124.24</td>
<td>27,071.24</td>
<td>1,053.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1,053.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5692</td>
<td>ESCROW ACCOUNT</td>
<td>1,500,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1,500,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1,500,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL:**

$4,455,641.24  $75,284.89  $3,910,356.36  $61,828.29  $3,648,528.08
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME (please print)</th>
<th>Organization and/or Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jim Flora</td>
<td>R.W. Armstrong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rick Markley</td>
<td>The Times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dave Tadroski</td>
<td>BLK. OAK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denis J. Bukoski</td>
<td>15 River Dr. Munster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Vander Heyen</td>
<td>Pyfor Corp. Co.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruth Mores</td>
<td>Hammond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donald R. Shuppy</td>
<td>Highland, Indiana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanley Petrides</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill Petrides</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Sinc</td>
<td>Miller Chapter Izaak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenneth Smith</td>
<td>Assistant Director D. Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herb Reed</td>
<td>Pike County Chapter Izaak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Doane</td>
<td>Drayson Marine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pete Zak</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Candelana</td>
<td>Rep. U.S. House</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chairman: Location: