MEETING NOTICE

THERE WILL BE A MEETING OF THE LITTLE CALUMET RIVER BASIN DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION
AT 6:00 P.M. THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 1, 2001
AT THE COMMISSION OFFICE
6100 SOUTHPORT ROAD
PORTAGE, IN

WORK STUDY SESSION - 5:00 P.M.

AGENDA

1. Call to Order by Chairman William Tanke
2. Pledge of Allegiance
3. Recognition of Visitors and Guests
4. Approval of Minutes of October 4, 2001
5. Chairman’s Report
   - Report on meeting with Governor’s Staff in Indianapolis on October 10th
   - Report on Commission meeting held October 15th to discuss scheduling, funding availability, legislative strategy, other issues
   - Follow-up meeting to be held at Chicago COE office on November 13th
6. Executive Director’s Report
   - Project Mitigation Status Update
     - Letter received from Shirley Heinze Environmental Fund
   - Federal Highway Administration’s response for authority to use federal funds as a match to federal funds
   - West reach public meeting scheduled for November 28th
7. Standing Committees
   A. Land Acquisition/Management Committee - Arlene Colvin, Chairperson
      - Appraisals, offers, acquisitions, recommended actions
      - COE Real Estate meeting to be held on October 30th
      - Status of emergency response coordination with city of Gary
      - Approval to pay $4300 out of LEL maintenance money (mowing services)
      - Report on closure structure demonstration held on October 12th at 35th east of Chase
      - Other issues

   B. Project Engineering Committee – Bob Huffman, Chairman
      - Stage VIII (Columbia to State Line) review & comments
      - Mitigation contract – Advertise Fall 2001, Construct Spring 2002
      - COE reviewing project information on internet
      - Stage III Remediation contract scheduled for advertising late Fall, 2001
      - Other issues

   C. Legislative Committee – George Carlson, Chairman
      - Report on State Budget Committee funding situation
      - Other Issues

   D. Recreational Development Committee – Curtis Vosti, Chairman
      - NICTD letter October 9th to relocate recreational trail alignment
      - COE is removing Grant St & Broadway trails to Recreational Contract #2
      - Other issues

   E. Marina Development Committee – Bill Tanke, Chairman
      - Update on marina build-out
      - Other issues

   F. Finance/Policy Committee – Curt Vosti, Chairman
      - Financial status report
      - Approval of claims for November 2001
      - COE request letter for $350,000 – FY02 local cash contribution
      - Other issues

   G. Minority Contracting Committee – Marion Williams, Chairman
      - WEBB Construction receives award from COE office, Chicago District
      - Minority participation in construction contracts
      - Other issues

8. Other Business
   - Commissioner Bob Huffman makes the news

9. Statements to the Board from the Floor

10. Set date for next meeting
MINUTES OF THE LITTLE CALUMET RIVER BASIN DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION
HELD AT 6:00 P.M. THURSDAY, OCTOBER 4, 2001
AT THE COMMISSION OFFICE
6100 SOUTHPORT ROAD
PORTAGE, INDIANA

Chairman William Tanke called the meeting to order at 6:10 p.m. Nine (9) Commissioners were present. Pledge of Allegiance was recited. Quorum was declared and guests were recognized.

Development Commissioners:
George Carlson
John Mroczkowski
Mark Reshkin
William Tanke
Steve Davis
Bob Huffman
Curt Vosti
Arlene Colvin
Marion Williams

Visitors:
Bill Petrites – Highland resident
Betsy Blossey – Sierra Club, Hobart
Imad Samara - COE
Louise Karowoski – Sierra Club, Hobart
Sandy O’Brien - Hobart
Jim Flora – R.W. ARMSTRONG CO.
Don Ewoldt – Lake Erie Land Company
Elizabeth McCloskey – U.S. Fish & Wildlife

Staff:
Dan Gardner
Sandy Mordus
Jim Pokrajac
Judy Vamos
Lorraine Kray
Lou Casale

Commissioner Bob Huffman made a motion to approve the minutes of September 12, 2001; motion seconded by Curt Vosti; motion passed unanimously.

Chairman’s Report – Chairman Tanke reported on the September 26th meeting held with the Finance and Legislative Committee Chairmen Curt Vosti and George Carlson, with Mark Reshkin and staff in attendance. The purpose of the meeting was to discuss the public information effort the Commission needs to make before the next biennium. A joint effort has to be made by all members and further discussion will take place in the near future.

Chairman Tanke also reported on the October 1st meeting held at the COE office with the Congressman’s staff in attendance. A meeting will be scheduled in Indianapolis with the Governor’s office very soon. After that meeting, staff will meet with the COE again – about mid November (before the District Deputy Engineer John Sirles leaves the COE). One of the topics of that meeting was how we can mesh our current funding with the COE schedule.

Executive Director’s Report - Executive Director Dan Gardner gave a project mitigation status update. He referred to the COE letter in the agenda packet that transmitted the COE mitigation plan to IDNR for their approval and then distributed a mitigation timetable outlining mitigation acquisition. The schedule
starts with a third party agreement. We have to have a third party in place to purchase property in the name of the Commission. We are awaiting a response from Shirley Heinze Environmental Fund (SHEF). Attorney Casale pointed out that their attorney is reviewing it. Mr. Gardner stated that we have earmarked about $500,000 for mitigation acquisition. He then read a letter from Bill Maudlin, Director of IDNR which gives overall general approval of the plan and its acceptance. Commissioner Vosti stated that we have been waiting for progress for quite some time now and asked if a third party contract is the only solution. Mr. Gardner replied that it is because of the distance of the Hobart Marsh area (out of our take area), it mandates another party to purchase the lands. We are still in need of in-project mitigation lands but those lands will not need a third party. Mr. Vosti asked whether we had a backup plan in case SHEF is not agreeable to a third party contract. Mr. Gardner stated that there may be some other entities that are willing but first we should continue to work with SHEF, until they express non-interest. Mr. Vosti was concerned about keeping up the momentum now since the mitigation plan is actually officially submitted.

Mr. Gardner informed the Board members of the staff’s continuing effort in documenting credit. About $1.6 million more credit has been submitted and/or approved by the COE within the last 2 months. Staff will continue the effort. Mr. Gardner also stated that he has been talking to Federal Highway Administration and he feels significant progress has been made relative to the FHA writing an approval letter that would allow the COE to give credit on highway bridges that have been constructed. This amount could be in excess of $8 million. Steve Cecil, INDOT, has committed INDOT staff to itemize the bridge costs and we will pursue with the FHA as soon as we receive those costs.

Mr. Gardner stated that the Governor’s staff from Washington will be in Indianapolis next week and we will meet with them to talk about progress made, funds available, future funding, credit and scheduling.

Mr. Gardner reported that we have just received a copy of the COE Washington letter that the review of the recommendation for the reauthorization of the Little Calumet River flood control project has been completed and approved. The letter expressed concern about the significant cost increase for preconstruction engineering and design activities and encouraged a higher appropriate level of management oversight during the remainder of the project. Commissioner Vosti asked whether a timeframe was given for the monies earmarked for it and if the life of project is extended, doesn’t that cost increase? Mr. Gardner replied that the ceiling the Congress placed on the project does not go up. Inflation only is adjusted each year. We both have to watch our costs – both Federal and State.

**Land Acquisition/Management Committee** – Committee Chairperson Arlene Colvin made a motion to approve increased offers on DC69-B and DC70-A; motion seconded by Bob Huffman; motion passed unanimously. There are no condemnations.

A Real Estate meeting with the COE was held on September 25th.
Commissioner Colvin announced that a demonstration of the closure structures at 35th & Chase will take place on October 12th. A training video will be made of the demonstration for future use/training.

Miss Colvin reported that final agreements were signed and approved for the 2 LAMAR outdoor signs at I-65 and I-80/94 and will generate $6,600 in revenue each year. LAMAR has requested approval for 2 additional signs in this area but the city does not approve of erecting the additional signs due to building codes limiting the signs to be constructed no closer than 1200’ to other signs. Miss Colvin will secure a letter from the Gary Planning Dept. stating their position.
Project Engineering Committee – Committee Chairman Bob Huffman gave the engineering report. He reported that the Stage VIII review with the communities, SEH (COE A/E), COE and staff was held on October 3rd and 4th. The meeting accomplished the 50% review of the area between Columbia and the State Line in Hammond.

Commissioner Huffman referred to a letter received from WIND Radio Station expressing a safety concern on their property and requested we provide fencing. Mr. Huffman made a motion to approve fencing around the retention area only for a cost not to exceed $2,500; motion seconded by Arlene Colvin; motion passed unanimously. Commissioner Marion Williams stated that there is a legal obligation for us to correct the situation if we have made the area more vulnerable to accidents or vandalism.

Mr. Huffman announced that the IB pump station's inspection (S.E. Hessville-Hammond and the 81st Street in Highland) was held on September 18th. Both pump stations were accepted and agreements will be put in place in regard to the community accepting O&M responsibilities.

Mr. Huffman also announced that we have received some original subordinated agreements from NIPSCO for the pipeline corridor west of Kennedy on the NIPSCO R/W. Attorney Casale's office is currently reviewing these agreements to see if we can determine responsibility for payment of these relocations. If it is determined that the individual pipeline company should pay for relocation, it could save the Commission up to $1 million.

Legislative Committee – Committee Chairman George Carlson gave the legislative report. He expressed concern that if the economy is headed to a full recession, it will be even harder to obtain future funding from the State in light of the September 11th tragedy. Commissioners Reshkin and Vosti replied that we cannot concern ourselves with that; we have a responsibility to complete this project; it is a state project and they have an obligation to fund it and see it through. Commissioner Carlson added that discussion took place at an earlier meeting in regard to how we can obtain new monies from the State. A mailing campaign was discussed; we could target those citizens that would benefit from the project completion (by having them relieved of paying flood insurance).

Recreational Development Committee – Committee Chairman Curt Vosti gave the Recreation Report. Most of the activity has focused on several meetings regarding west reach recreational trail realignments. There is a slight realignment of trail west of Calumet Avenue to take advantage of the light at the crossing and there is also a slight realignment of trail at Columbia to bring the trail up to River Drive to allow the city of Hammond a stronger case for a stoplight in that area. Also discussed has been the height of a guardrail or fencing along the trails for safety reasons. The current design by the COE indicates a 42" height of handrail in some areas, but will investigate if it should be installed in all areas where there is a drop of over 3'. Since the COE/SEH doesn't really look at the trail as a biking trail – only a walking trail – the fencing is lower. Mr. Vosti stated that we should hold to the biking standards; we may not be compliant to biking regulations/ordinances. Commissioner Huffman added that the fencing was not an issue in the east reach.

Marina Committee – Committee Chairman Bill Tanke referred to the response letter from the COE relative to our support letter we sent them for the dredging permit application submitted by the City of Portage. We are also awaiting some costs from the city engineer as to the public marina build-out. We should be receiving some information soon and we will be meeting with the city at that time. We can then
jointly approach the financial institution that holds the current bond.

**Finance Committee** – Treasurer Curt Vosti gave the monthly financial status report. He presented the claims for approval and proceeded to make a motion to approve the financial status report and claims sheet totaling $60,230.99; motion seconded by George Carlson; motion passed unanimously.

**Minority Contracting Committee** – Committee Chairman Marion Williams reported that staff continues to receive minority utilization update reports and are on file for viewing.

**Other Business** – Dan Gardner referred to the article on wetland mitigation that Commissioner Tanke brought in.
Commissioner Steve Davis shared some information with the Board members on the Indiana Lake Michigan Coastal Program. Indiana is one of the few states that does not participate in the coastal program but it is now trying to get into the program. A draft environmental impact statement has been prepared and hearings are going on now. There is about $900,000 of available funds each year to improve the shoreline that is available through grants to local agencies. At a previous meeting, Commissioner Reshkin talked about this program and whether we would be eligible for it that may allow us to purchase mitigation lands. Mr. Davis indicated that it would not allow us to purchase mitigation lands, but perhaps we could tap into it for money to enhance the lands once they were purchased. Dr. Reshkin asked staff to review the distribution list in the EIS and the criteria involved. Since this commission is involved with flood control, natural areas, recreation, and mitigation, it seems that we would be eligible. Staff will pursue.
Commissioner Vosti mentioned that those attending the NAFSMA conference could obtain information from other communities/organizations about how they obtain money for their projects.

**Statements to the Board** – Sandy O’Brien stated that the draft agreement submitted to Shirley Hienze Environmental Fund (SHEF) probably needs to be reviewed and changed. She had heard that they thought they had problems with some of the legal requirements. Chairman Tanke replied that the Commission submitted the draft agreement to them about 3 months ago and are still awaiting a meeting to reach acceptance. It is our understanding that their attorney is reviewing the agreement. We will need to meet with them. Don Ewoldt stated that he thought the $5 million insurance requirement in the agreement was probably a concern to them. Commissioner Vosti mentioned that we have only been in official contact with SHEF this last year. Commissioner Reshkin expressed his opinion that we should not look elsewhere for a third party until SHEF decides whether they want to be party to the agreement. We should continue to work with them. Mr. Gardner stated that there are other groups we can look at that purchase properties but he agreed with Dr. Reshkin, that we first would continue our efforts with SHEF.

The next meeting was scheduled for Thursday, November 1st at 6:00 p.m.

/sjm
October 23, 2001

Mr. Dan Gardner  
Executive Director  
Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission  
6100 Southport Road  
Portage, IN 46368

Dear Dan:

This letter is to update you on our review of the draft third-party agreement addressing the relationship of the Commission and the Heinze Fund regarding the proposed mitigation project in the Hobart Marsh area.

As you are aware, we found many of the terms of the draft agreement unacceptable. We recognize, however, that this is the initial draft of an agreement covering an issue which is new to us all. The Heinze Fund has retained an attorney to assist us in this matter, and he and I are well along in the preparation of a counter proposal to the agreement your attorney drafted. Once that proposal is completed, I am confident that we will be able to work out our differences amicably and quickly.

Even after we have reached tentative agreement on the language of the document, I will need to have it reviewed by the Land Strategy Committee of the Heinze Fund Board of Trustees and ultimately approved by the full board, before it can come into effect.

I can assure you and your Board that the Heinze Fund remains anxious to work with the Commission on this project. I am grateful for the good working relationship you and I have developed, and I look forward to our further discussions.

Best regards.

Yours sincerely,

Ron Trigg  
Executive Director
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above the average level of such expenditures for the preceding 3 fiscal years; except that if, for any 1 of the preceding 3 fiscal years, the non-Federal transportation capital expenditures of the State were at a level that was greater than 130 percent of the average level of such expenditures for the other 2 of the preceding 3 fiscal years, the agreement shall ensure that the State will maintain its non-Federal transportation capital expenditures in the fiscal year of the credit at or above the average level of such expenditures for the other 2 fiscal years.

(C) TRANSPORTATION CAPITAL EXPENDITURES DEFINED.—In subparagraph (B), the term “non-Federal transportation capital expenditures” includes any payments made by the State for issuance of transportation-related bonds.

(3) TREATMENT.—

(A) LIMITATION ON LIABILITY.—Use of a credit for a non-Federal share under this subsection that is received from a public, quasi-public, or private agency—

(i) shall not expose the agency to additional liability, additional regulation, or additional administrative oversight; and

(ii) shall not subject the agency to any additional Federal design standards or laws (including regulations) as a result of providing the non-Federal share other than those to which the agency is already subject.

(B) CHARTERED MULTISTATE AGENCIES.—When a credit that is received from a chartered multistate agency is applied to a non-Federal share under this subsection, such credit shall be applied equally to all charter States.

(k) USE OF FEDERAL LAND MANAGEMENT AGENCY FUNDS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the funds appropriated to any Federal land management agency may be used to pay the non-Federal share of the cost of any Federal-aid highway project the Federal share of which is funded under section 104.

(l) USE OF FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAYS PROGRAM FUNDS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the funds authorized to be appropriated to carry out the Federal lands highways program under section 204 may be used to pay the non-Federal share of the cost of any project that is funded under section 104 and that provides access to or within Federal or Indian lands:

121. Payment to States for construction

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary, from time to time as the work progresses, may make payments to a State for costs of construction incurred by the State on a project. Such payments may also be made for the value of the materials—

(1) that have been stockpiled in the vicinity of the construction in conformity to plans and specifications for the project; and

(2) that are not in the vicinity of the construction if the Secretary determines that because of required fabrication at an
DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
CHICAGO DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
111 NORTH CANAL STREET
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60606-7906
October 18, 2001

Mr. Dan Gardner
Little Calumet River Basin
Development Commission
6100 Southport Road
Portage, Indiana 46368

Subject: Little Calumet River, Stage VIII

Dear Mr. Gardner:

I have a concern regarding the west reach in general and Stage VIII in particular. At the Stage VII 50% plan-in-hand meeting held at SHE's facility on October 4, 2001, Mr. Jim Manden from the Town of Munster had a concern regarding the plans being at 50% without having any public input. When Mr. Manden, a representative of the community has a concern about public involvement, that concerns me. We do not want to progress any further on Stage VIII without a public meeting. This may delay the contractor and this may result in additional cost to the contract. We need to hold these meetings as soon as we can. We also have the same concern on Stage VII, Stage VI and Stage V. These stages are at 100% and no public meeting took place yet.

I suggest that we hold a meeting between your office and the Chicago District to discuss public meetings. We need to establish dates and format for these meetings and define the extent of the district's involvement at these meetings. I will contact your office to set a day for the meeting.

If you still have a concern regarding these items please contact me at 312-353-6400 ext. 1809.

Sincerely,

Imad N. Samara
Project Manager
Despite the rain, this was just a test

Training session on Little Cal proves valuable to flood control engineers.

BY LORI CALDWELL
Post-Tribune staff writer

GARY — It's just coincidence, officials say, that they chose to practice erecting flood gates along the Little Calumet River in the wake of rain and more rain.

"These gates had not been installed in years," said Jim Pokrajac, agent for engineering and land management for the Little Calumet Basin Development Commission.

"We've been talking, decided it was time for a practice session. We're making videos to use for training," he said.

Representatives from the Little Calumet, Gary Sanitary District, Army Corps of Engineers, Lake County Emergency Management, Gary Civil Defense and several city departments met Friday at 35th Avenue and Chase Street to install gates at two locations near the intersection.

"Once we got going, it took about two hours," Pokrajac said.

The Little Calumet levee project, designed to control flooding in marshy areas along the river, includes sensors that would notify officials when flooding conditions existed.

"This would (hold back) a 200-year flood." Pokrajac said.

Public safety director Garrett Watson said police blocked off areas that would be prohibited in a real flood situation.

"If we have a flood event, we can respond properly," Watson said. Park department and general services employees were also at the site to learn how to get the gates in place.

"Everybody would have to be involved," Watson said.

Reach reporter Lori Caldwell at 311-3119 or by e-mail at lcaldwell@post-trib.com.
# LITTLE CALUMET RIVER BASIN DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION
## FINANCIAL STATEMENT
### JANUARY 1, 2001 - SEPTEMBER 30, 2001

### CASH POSITION - JANUARY 1, 2001

**CHECKING ACCOUNT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LAND ACQUISITION</td>
<td>541,036.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GENERAL FUND</td>
<td>49,902.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAX FUND</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INVESTMENTS</td>
<td>2,596,370.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESCROW ACCOUNT INTEREST</td>
<td>21,476.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>3,228,775.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### RECEIPTS - JANUARY 1, 2001 - SEPTEMBER 30, 2001

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LEASE RENTS</td>
<td>32,700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTEREST INCOME (FROM CHECKING &amp; CALUMET BANK)</td>
<td>2,876.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAND ACQUISITION</td>
<td>935,388.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESCROW ACCOUNT INTEREST (YEAR TO DATE)</td>
<td>269.93 Available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISC. INCOME</td>
<td>459,006.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTEREST FROM ESCROW CHECKING (DEP 5/1/69)</td>
<td>33,510.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRBC REIMBURSEMENT RE: TELEPHONE CHARGE</td>
<td>1,560.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROCEEDS FROM VOIDED CHECKS</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL RECEIPTS</strong></td>
<td>1,465,228.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### DISBURSEMENTS - JANUARY 1, 2001 - SEPTEMBER 30, 2001

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADMINISTRATIVE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009 EXPENSES PAID IN 2001</td>
<td>179,738.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PER DIEM</td>
<td>7,350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEGAL SERVICES</td>
<td>6,349.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEPC</td>
<td>81,996.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRAVEL &amp; MEALAGE</td>
<td>2,282.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRINTING &amp; ADVERTISING</td>
<td>3,357.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BONDS &amp; INSURANCE</td>
<td>5,642.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TELEPHONE EXPENSE</td>
<td>5,107.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEETING EXPENSE</td>
<td>3,992.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAND ACQUISITION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEGAL SERVICES</td>
<td>47,935.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APPRAISAL SERVICES</td>
<td>159,050.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENGINEERING SERVICES</td>
<td>53,405.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAND PURCHASE CONTRACTUAL</td>
<td>31,469.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FACILITIES/PROJECT MAINTENANCE SERVICES</td>
<td>49,154.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPERATIONS SERVICES</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAND MANAGEMENT SERVICES</td>
<td>133,178.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SURVEYING SERVICES</td>
<td>48,831.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISCELLANEOUS EXPENSES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECONOMIC/ MARKETING SOURCES</td>
<td>1,282.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROPERTY &amp; STRUCTURE COSTS</td>
<td>327,859.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOVING ALLOCATION</td>
<td>18,250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAXES</td>
<td>5,114.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROPERTY &amp; STRUCTURES INSURANCE</td>
<td>21,961.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTILITY RELOCATION SERVICES</td>
<td>64,555.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAND CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT</td>
<td>134,997.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STRUCTURAL CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT</td>
<td>3,503.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PURCHASE CERTIFICATE CALUMET BANK</td>
<td>21,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PURCHASE MONEY MARKET BANK ONE</td>
<td>393,040.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PURCHASE MONEY MARKET BANK ONE</td>
<td>167,859.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PURCHASE MONEY MARKET BANK ONE</td>
<td>12,911.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEPOSIT INTO BANKONE MONEY MARKET</td>
<td>1,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAO ARMY CORP OF ENGINEERS</td>
<td>181,372.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAO ARMY CORP OF ENGINEERS</td>
<td>58,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL DISBURSEMENTS</strong></td>
<td>2,018,052.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CASH POSITION - SEPTEMBER 30, 2001

**CHECKING ACCOUNT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LAND ACQUISITION</td>
<td>25,524.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GENERAL FUND</td>
<td>12,583.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAX FUND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**INVESTMENTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BANK CALUMET (BASE CAPITAL INVESTMENT)</td>
<td>700,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BANK CALUMET (MISC INTEREST/RENTAL INVESTMENT)</td>
<td>76,728.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BANK ONE (CONSTRUCTION ESCROW INTEREST)</td>
<td>105,118.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BANK ONE (LEI MONEY)</td>
<td>138,886.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BANK ONE (MARINA SAN MONE)</td>
<td>139,933.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BANK ONE (STATE DRAW MONE)</td>
<td>25,425.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BANK ONE (GAST PARKS &amp; REC MONE)</td>
<td>338,772.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL INVESTMENTS</strong></td>
<td>1,524,803.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESCROW ACCOUNT INTEREST</td>
<td>35.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>1,562,937.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission

## Monthly Budget Report, October 2001

### 6 Month Allocated Budgeted Unallocated Balance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2001</th>
<th>Allocation</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>January</td>
<td>February</td>
<td>March</td>
<td>April</td>
<td>May</td>
<td>June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5801 PER DIEM EXPENSES</td>
<td>3,450.00</td>
<td>3,450.00</td>
<td>12,550.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5811 LEGAL EXPENSES</td>
<td>4,751.48</td>
<td>283.33</td>
<td>3,748.52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5812 NIRPC SERVICES</td>
<td>56,219.08</td>
<td>68,780.92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5821 TRAVEL/MEILEAGE</td>
<td>614.60</td>
<td>13,385.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5822 PRINTING/ADVERTISING</td>
<td>3,008.50</td>
<td>1,991.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5823 BONDS/INSURANCE</td>
<td>5,642.63</td>
<td>1,857.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5824 TELEPHONE EXPENSES</td>
<td>2,219.44</td>
<td>4,780.56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5825 MEETING EXPENSES</td>
<td>1,536.77</td>
<td>6,463.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5838 LEGAL SERVICES</td>
<td>33,893.26</td>
<td>91,106.74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5840 PROFESSIONAL SERVICES</td>
<td>304,336.89</td>
<td>195,663.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5860 PROJECT LAND PURCHASE EXP.</td>
<td>1,494,668.96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5881 PROPERTY/STRUCTURE INS.</td>
<td>21,061.50</td>
<td>3,938.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5882 UTILITY RELOCATION EXP.</td>
<td>129,671.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5883 PROJECT LAND CAP. IMPROV.</td>
<td>151,647.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5884 STRUCTURES CAP. IMPROV.</td>
<td>24,987.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,300,850.00</td>
<td>60,677.67</td>
<td>59,999.99</td>
<td>303,324.77</td>
<td>59,045.87</td>
<td>195,578.15</td>
<td>416,983.26</td>
<td>1,095,609.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2001</th>
<th>Allocation</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>July</td>
<td>August</td>
<td>September</td>
<td>October</td>
<td>November</td>
<td>December</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5801 PER DIEM EXPENSES</td>
<td>3,550.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5811 LEGAL EXPENSES</td>
<td>6,284.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5812 NIRPC SERVICES</td>
<td>32,087.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5821 TRAVEL/MEILEAGE</td>
<td>1,754.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5822 PRINTING/ADVERTISING</td>
<td>3,130.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5823 BONDS/INSURANCE</td>
<td>5,642.63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5824 TELEPHONE EXPENSES</td>
<td>3,478.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5825 MEETING EXPENSES</td>
<td>3,521.89</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5838 LEGAL SERVICES</td>
<td>5,969.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5840 PROFESSIONAL SERVICES</td>
<td>70,295.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5860 PROJECT LAND PURCHASE EXP.</td>
<td>1,454,116.61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5881 PROPERTY/STRUCTURE INS.</td>
<td>21,061.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5882 UTILITY RELOCATION EXP.</td>
<td>56,056.46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5883 PROJECT LAND CAP. IMPROV.</td>
<td>72,015.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5884 STRUCTURES CAP. IMPROV.</td>
<td>24,987.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,300,850.00</td>
<td>155,164.33</td>
<td>103,427.01</td>
<td>60,230.99</td>
<td>50,190.81</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1,464,622.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCT</td>
<td>VENDOR NAME</td>
<td>AMOUNT</td>
<td>EXPLANATION OF CLAIM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5811</td>
<td>CASALE, WOODWARD &amp; BULS, LLP</td>
<td>283.33</td>
<td>RETAINER FEE BILLED THROUGH 10/19/01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5811</td>
<td>CASALE, WOODWARD &amp; BULS, LLP</td>
<td>17.00</td>
<td>ADDL LEGAL SERVICES THROUGH 10/19/01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5812</td>
<td>NIPRC 17</td>
<td>9,152.27</td>
<td>SERVICES PERFORMED SEPTEMBER 2001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5821</td>
<td>NAFSMA 176</td>
<td>998.00</td>
<td>2 REGISTRATIONS FEES FOR ATTENDANCE AT NAFSMA CONFERENCE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FOR WM TANKE &amp; DAN GARDNER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5821</td>
<td>SANDY MORDUS</td>
<td>45.64</td>
<td>MILEAGE FROM 7/10/01-10/15/01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5822</td>
<td>JIM POKRAJAC</td>
<td>30.71</td>
<td>REIMBURSEMENT FOR FILM FOR CLOSURE STRUCTURE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5824</td>
<td>A T &amp; T 17</td>
<td>96.33</td>
<td>CONFERENCE CALL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5825</td>
<td>SAND RIDGE BANK 10</td>
<td>44.76</td>
<td>EXPENSES INCURRED AT MEETING WICORPS OF ENGINEERS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5825</td>
<td>SAND RIDGE BANK 10</td>
<td>12.19</td>
<td>October 4th COMMISSION MEETING EXPENSE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5825</td>
<td>SAND RIDGE BANK 10</td>
<td>181.07</td>
<td>EXPENSES INCURRED AFTER MEETING IN GOVERNOR’S OFFICE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5825</td>
<td>SAND RIDGE BANK 10</td>
<td>20.64</td>
<td>OCTOBER 15TH COMMISSION MEETING EXPENSE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5836</td>
<td>CASALE, WOODWARD &amp; BULS, LLP</td>
<td>5,941.86</td>
<td>LAND ACQUISITION/LEGAL SERVICES FOR PERIOD ENDED 10/19/01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5843</td>
<td>LAKE COUNTY RECORDER</td>
<td>32.00</td>
<td>TO RECORD TEMP &amp; PERM EASEMENTS FOR DC-600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5843</td>
<td>INDIANA TITLE CORP</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>TITLE WORK PREFORMED FOR DC-916</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5844</td>
<td>JAMES POKRAJAC 1</td>
<td>1,922.00</td>
<td>ENGINEERING/LAND MANAGEMENT SERVICES 9/16/01-9/30/01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5844</td>
<td>JAMES POKRAJAC 1</td>
<td>141.40</td>
<td>SEPT MILEAGE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5844</td>
<td>JAMES POKRAJAC 1</td>
<td>4,370.03</td>
<td>ENGINEERING/LAND MANAGEMENT SERVICES 10/1/01-10/15/01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5844</td>
<td>JUDITH VAMOS 1</td>
<td>2,908.00</td>
<td>LAND ACQUISITION/MANAGEMENT SERVICES 9/19/01-9/28/01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5844</td>
<td>JUDITH VAMOS 1</td>
<td>19.60</td>
<td>SEPT MILEAGE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5844</td>
<td>JUDITH VAMOS 1</td>
<td>2,920.50</td>
<td>LAND ACQUISITION/MANAGEMENT SERVICES 10/1/01-10/15/01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5844</td>
<td>SANDY MORDUS 1</td>
<td>169.26</td>
<td>CREDITING TECHNICIAN SERVICES 9/18/01-9/27/01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5844</td>
<td>SANDY MORDUS 1</td>
<td>316.50</td>
<td>CREDITING TECHNICIAN SERVICES 9/19/01-10/10/01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5844</td>
<td>G. LORRAINE KRAY 1400</td>
<td>775.50</td>
<td>CREDITING TECHNICIAN &amp; LAND ACQUISITION ASST 9/16/01-9/28/01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5844</td>
<td>G. LORRAINE KRAY 1400</td>
<td>742.50</td>
<td>CREDITING TECHNICIAN &amp; LAND ACQUISITION ASST 10/20/01-10/11/01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5845</td>
<td>DLZ 15</td>
<td>2,300.00</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES FOR BURL ST BETTERMENT LEVEE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5845</td>
<td>DLZ 15</td>
<td>1,056.25</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES FOR HIGHLAND EASEMENTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5845</td>
<td>DLZ 15</td>
<td>2,020.00</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES FOR SV-PII</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5845</td>
<td>DLZ 15</td>
<td>1,580.00</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES FOR DC-451 STAKEOUT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5846</td>
<td>DILLON CONTRACTORS INC</td>
<td>390.67</td>
<td>COST INCURRED IN CLEANING DEBRIS FROM TRENCH BOTTOM SIV-IN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5846</td>
<td>PRECISION LAWN CARE</td>
<td>4,300.00</td>
<td>COST INCURRED IN MOWING COMMISSION PROPERTIES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5846</td>
<td>THE SLOAN GROUP 1</td>
<td>120.00</td>
<td>COST INCURRED FOR REPRODUCING VIDEO OF PROJECT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5851</td>
<td>MERIDIAN TITLE CORP</td>
<td>1,174.00</td>
<td>ADDITIONAL COST INCURRED IN ACQUISITION OF 698 &amp; 70A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5882</td>
<td>ELGIN JOLIET &amp; EASTERN RWY</td>
<td>4,532.01</td>
<td>UTILITY RELocation FOR PERIOD ENDED 9/14/01 INV#106224</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5882</td>
<td>ELGIN JOLIET &amp; EASTERN RWY</td>
<td>1,835.03</td>
<td>UTILITY RELocation FOR PERIOD ENDED 9/14/01 INV#106225</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL** 50,190.81
October 22, 2002

Planning, Programming and Project
Management Division

Mr. Dan Gardner
Little Calumet River Basin
Development Commission
6100 Southport Road
Portage, Indiana 46368

Dear Mr. Gardner:

We request that the Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission provide local cash contribution of $350,000 for the Little Calumet River Flood Protection and Recreation Project in accordance with Articles II and VI of the Local Cooperation Agreement (LCA) executed on August 16, 1990. Please deposit the funds into the established escrow account (Number 7500-0244-4747) as specified in Article VI.6.2 of the LCA.

The requested contribution represents the Commission's obligation to contribution in cash 5% present of the costs estimated to be incurred (related to structural flood control measures) through the end of the Federal Government's fiscal year ending on September 30, 2002.

If you have any questions, please contact me at 312-353-6400 ext. 1809.

Sincerely,

Imad M. Samara
Project Manager
MINORITY SMALL BUSINESS OF THE YEAR

Gary Webb is the owner of Webb Construction in Gary, which was the SBA Minority Business of the Year award for the state of Indiana.

built on Community

Gary's Webb Construction honored for its success and commitment to community.

Gary - Larry S. Webb has owned and operated one of the state's leading minority businesses since 1998. Webb Construction, located on 20th Place in Gary, has handled major concrete, structural, and other work on such mammoth projects as the city's Grand Westway. It is now busy with concrete construction for the new baseball stadium.

But no one was more surprised than Webb when his firm was named by the U.S. Small Business Administration's Indiana District Office as the 2001 Minority Small Business of the Year. Webb was honored at a special ceremony held in Indianapolis last week.

"It really surprised," Webb said, "there are a lot of people and a lot of businesses in Indiana, so I never really thought about special honors. We were all surprised, and very pleased."

Webb Construction specializes in carpentry, concrete and masonry work for residential, commercial and heavy construction markets.

The company's customer roster includes municipalities, schools, general contractors and the federal government, among others. Six months ago, Webb construction received an order from the corporation for the Army Complex in Gary, an order Webb said has made a difference in his business.

"There are a lot of people and a lot of businesses in Indiana, so I never really thought about special honors. We were all surprised, and very pleased."

Larry S. Webb, owner of Webb Construction in Gary

STORY BY JULIA VERSAU - TIMES CORRESPONDENT

BRIEFCASE

Webb

Continued from D1

Webb said he started his company in an era when establishing a minority business was difficult at best, and financing one a near impossibility. Working jobs on the side while he worked, Webb said he channeled all the money he made back into his business.

"Getting financing was so hard, I didn't really pursue it. The hard part was how difficult it could be to grow that way. But the good part was that when I was up and running, I didn't owe anyone a cent," Webb said.

Throughout the years of growth, Webb remained committed to his community, staying in Gary and building a firm that now employs 25 full-time workers.

Webb said he advises other minority business owners to focus on what's possible, but what can be achieved with the means at hand. He also said choosing a line of work one enjoys "helps you get through the tough times because you actually like what you're doing, you're not just doing it for the money."

"Sure, I had a lot of 25-hour work days," Webb said, "but you have to keep your eye on the ball." SBA Indiana District Director Jack Wolfe said Webb deserved this honor.

"Larry Webb is an outstanding role model for Indiana's minority communities," he said. "His dedication and commitment to his business and to his community behind his success."
Carrying the spirit

Those who know them say the 6 county residents who'll carry the Olympic torch embody selflessness

BY CHRISTINE HARVEY
Times Staff Writer

Six Lake County residents have been chosen to carry the Olympic torch when it passes through the region early next year, but they say they don't know what they did to deserve the honor.

"I'm just a normal guy," Griffith Police Chief Karl Gruenert said. "I don't know who they selected me. But I'm really honored to do this."

More than 11,000 people will carry the torch in a relay that will start at Olympic Park in Athens and end at the Olympic Stadium in Atlanta.

The torch relay will begin in Athens on Oct. 28, with participants running through the ancient city for 18 days. In the U.S., the relay will stop in 35 cities before arriving in Philadelphia on Oct. 15.

Friends and family members of those selected were able to fill out 100-word essays describing why the nominees embodied the Olympic spirit, and the nominators hoped they would have an inspiration to others.

Teaching children

Denise Sullivan recently received a surprise 50th birthday gift: the nomination to carry the Olympic torch.

Romeoville resident Denise Sullivan, who will be the only Lake County resident to carry the torch, said she never heard of the Olympic torch relay until she was nominated.

Children and friends were the topic of her essay describing why she embodied the Olympic spirit.

"I believe in teaching children from an early age the importance of giving to others," she wrote.

"Teaching them to appreciate how their actions can make a difference in the lives of others is something I believe is important," she said.

Sullivan said she was surprised to be chosen.

"I was thrilled when I found out," she said. "I never thought I would be selected."
RECREATION REPORT
For meeting on Thursday, November 1, 2001

(Information in this report is from September 6, 2001 – October 26, 2001)

GENERAL STATEMENT:
Currently, the joint recreation venture with the Army Corps is completed; 90% of the completed east reach levees have stoned trails completed; the remainder of east reach trails are currently being coordinated and should be included in an upcoming recreation contract. The Corps will write us a letter requesting a change in this scheduling.

- It is anticipated that the next recreation contract may be in 2003-2004, and that the construction and real estate costs for this work would be delayed until that contract is ready to let.
- A supplemental contract will be released as part of the Stage III remediation project in the late summer of 2001 that will include the paving of all ramps.

RECREATION - PHASE 1.
(This contract included recreational facilities for Lake Etta, Gleason Park, Stage III (trails), and the OxBow area in Hammond.

A. OXBOW (Hammond)
1. October 28th, 1998 was the date that this facility was turned over to the City of Hammond.

B. GLEASON PARK (Gary Parks & Recreation)
1. October 28th, 1998 was the date this facility was turned over to the Gary Parks and Recreation Department.

C. LAKE ETTA (Lake County Parks)
1. October 27th, 1998 was the date that this facility was turned over to the Lake County parks department.

D. CHASE STREET TRAIL (City of Gary)
1. October 27th, 1998 was the date that this facility was turned over to the City of Gary.

EAST REACH RECREATION
A. Recreation trail re-alignments will be required in the east reach due to conflicting land use plans with City of Gary, I.U. Northwest, or other regulatory groups. (Refer to general statement.)
1. Broadway to Harrison Crossing:
   - We received a letter of response from INDOT on October 18 indicating no concerns regarding the crossing at Broadway as long as we coordinate with the locals, and that a right-of-way permit with them would be required.
- We will be making application with the City of Gary to use the Broadway r/w (the existing sidewalks) on both sides to go South to 33rd Avenue to cross at the light.
- Upon completion of I.U. Northwest modifications on, and adjacent to, Broadway, we will install a permanent trail crossing south of the river and along the line of flood protection as originally proposed, and the temporary trails on the sidewalks on the Broadway right of way will be abandoned.

2. **Harrison to Grant Street Crossing:** (Refer to general statement.)
   - We received a letter from the City of Gary on July 11th, 2001, indicating that our proposal East of Gilroy Stadium to 32nd Avenue is the best use location from Harrison to Grant.
   - We sent a letter to Gary on July 20th, 2001 indicating that we would be doing survey work, legal, and engineering starting on August 6th, 2001 and that if anyone had any final suggestions, they should let us know by August 3rd.

3. **We submitted a request to Attorney Casale on August 3rd**, along with a letter from the EJ&E RR to proceed with an agreement to allow us to install an at-grade recreation trail crossing.
   - We received a letter from the EJ & E on September 1st, 1999, allowing us to proceed along with their requirements. (To Lou at September 10th, 2001 utility coordination meeting.)
   - The scope of this work will be done as part of the Burr Street Phase 2 project instead of Stage VI-2, which moves up the date.

**WEST REACH RECREATION**

**A. Cline Avenue Crossing:**
1. We had recreation coordination meetings with Highland and Griffith to determine trail relocations which allow us to cross at Cline Avenue at Highway Avenue
   - A field meeting was held with Griffith on June 27th, 2001 to walk and review potential recreational trail adjustments east of Cline.
   - A meeting was held with Highland on July 5th, 2001, to review the recreation trail location west of Cline Ave. A follow-up meeting was held with the Cline Avenue Baptist Church on July 16th, 2001, to review the possibility of getting an easement across their property adjacent to Cline Ave.

2. A letter was sent to the COE on July 23rd, 2001 along with sketches showing the proposals and suggesting a meeting to review and discuss these locations.
   - **We have not received a response as of September 6, 2001.**

**B. Tri-State recreational trail tie-in for the Highland/Wicker Park/Erie Lackawanna Trail Systems:**
1. The COE modified the engineering and real estate drawings and submitted them to us at the Real Estate meeting on July 19th, 2001. It is still the intent to have this recreation trail on the land side. (Refer to Land Acquisition & Engineering Reports).
2. North Township – Wicker Park recreational trail alignment is being evaluated.
   - It was mentioned to re-locate the trail from between the golf courses to the existing trail along the West and South boundaries.
• North Township would not have a problem, but are awaiting information from INDOT for impacts to Indianapolis Blvd. R/W which would cause their existing trail to be re-located. (Ongoing)
• We had a meeting with Highland to discuss Highland/INDOT plans for drainage in this area (See Engineering Report).

C. A letter was sent to the Lake County Highway Dept. on December 28, 2000 requesting permission, and comments, to cantilever a walkway on the east side of the Kennedy Avenue bridge to allow our trail to be contiguous.
1. It was approved at our February 1 Board meeting to contract with R.W. Armstrong to do a feasibility study for the pedestrian walkway and get an agreement at a cost not to exceed $7,000.
• R.W. Armstrong (Indianapolis) made a presentation at our April 5, 2001 Board Meeting. We will have a discussion with the Engineering/Recreation Committee to review the different options. (Ongoing)
• It is our intent to include this construction as part of the Stage VI – Phase 1 contract.

D. Stage VIII Trails:
1. We received a letter from NICTD on October 15th, 2001 (dated October 9th) indicating problems with the location of our trail on their R/W under I-80/94.
• They feel it is a safety concern and suggested we reroute our trail along their R/W to 173rd Street.
• LCRBDC is currently contacting NICTD to do a review with the Corps, SEH (Corps engineer) and us to discuss their request.

GENERAL

A. We submitted copies of our east and west reach recreation maps to FIRST GROUP ENGINEERING (Bob Wheeler) to provide information of our facilities relative to other recreational facilities in the area.
October 9, 2001

Mr. Michael Hickey
SEH
3800 175th Street
Hammond, IN 46323-3035

Re: Little Calumet Flood Control Stage VIII
Hammond, IN
NICTD Rail Corridor – West Lake County Corridor

Dear Mr. Hickey:

Thank you for meeting with us to discuss this project and show us the proposed route.

I have additional concerns relative to the impact caused by this levy to our embankment and track structure. I am concerned that potential water velocities may cause our embankment to be scoured behind our existing north bridge abutment. Please provide hydrology studies done on this area. As a minimum, we may like the Corps to investigate installing sheet piling along our embankment from the levy to our bridge abutment.

The proposed closure of the gap in the existing crash wall of the I-80/94 will cause drainage water to pool between our tracks and the crash wall. We would like the project to include installation of longitudinal drain pipe along this crash wall.

As mentioned in our previous letter, the proposed pedestrian/bicycle crossing creates a serious safety concern. We will not permit this trail to cross at the proposed location. We will permit this trail to extend along our right-of-way and cross our tracks at the existing 173rd Street grade crossing. The trail should be kept as close as possible to our property line to permit future track construction and maintenance. Fencing will be required between our tracks and the trail.
Mr. Michael Hickey
SEH
October 9, 2001
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Our existing bridge piers appear to create a serious bottleneck for timber and debris. This timber and debris is creating a dam effect behind our bridge piers. As a minimum, the Corp should plan on dredging this material out as part of the project. We may consider removal of this bridge if the Corp would consider removal as part of the project.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Christopher M. Beck
Manager MOW Engineering

cc: GRH, BRH, JNP
October 10, 2001

Mr. Bob Wheeler  
FIRST GROUP ENGINEERING  
5714 West 74th Street  
Indianapolis, Indiana 46278  

Dear Bob:-

Enclosed are copies of our east reach and west reach recreation plan for the Little Calumet River Flood Control/Recreation Project. Our project extends from the IN/IL state line through I-65. Recreation trails and support facilities are part of this project. I hope this will meet your needs to relate the location of our trail systems with the other existing, or proposed, trail systems in Hammond, Munster and Highland.

If you have any questions regarding this information, please contact me.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

James E. Pokraska, Agent  
Land Management/Engineering

/sjm  
encl.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2001</th>
<th>JANUARY</th>
<th>FEBRUARY</th>
<th>MARCH</th>
<th>APRIL</th>
<th>MAY</th>
<th>JUNE</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
<th>ALLOCATED</th>
<th>BUDGETED</th>
<th>UNALLOCATED</th>
<th>BALANCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5801 PER DIEM EXPENSES</td>
<td>16,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3,450.00</td>
<td>3,450.00</td>
<td>12,550.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5811 LEGAL EXPENSES</td>
<td>8,500.00</td>
<td>903.83</td>
<td>368.33</td>
<td>988.83</td>
<td>1,770.83</td>
<td>436.33</td>
<td>283.33</td>
<td>4,751.48</td>
<td>3,748.52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5812 NRPC SERVICES</td>
<td>125,000.00</td>
<td>8,860.29</td>
<td>9,620.29</td>
<td>9,773.09</td>
<td>9,377.53</td>
<td>9,186.52</td>
<td>9,401.36</td>
<td>56,219.08</td>
<td>68,780.92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5821 TRAVEL/MILEAGE</td>
<td>14,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>24.08</td>
<td>150.92</td>
<td>8.68</td>
<td>131.04</td>
<td>299.88</td>
<td>614.60</td>
<td>13,385.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5822 PRINTING/ADVERTISING</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
<td>2,306.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>702.50</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3,008.50</td>
<td>1,991.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5823 BONDS/INSURANCE</td>
<td>7,500.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>77.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5,565.63</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5,642.63</td>
<td>1,857.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5824 TELEPHONE EXPENSES</td>
<td>7,000.00</td>
<td>113.26</td>
<td>244.35</td>
<td>677.99</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>589.17</td>
<td>594.67</td>
<td>2,219.44</td>
<td>4,780.56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5825 MEETING EXPENSES</td>
<td>8,000.00</td>
<td>137.05</td>
<td>609.24</td>
<td>163.60</td>
<td>253.44</td>
<td>63.90</td>
<td>309.54</td>
<td>1,536.77</td>
<td>6,463.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5838 LEGAL SERVICES</td>
<td>125,000.00</td>
<td>3,907.48</td>
<td>5,670.91</td>
<td>6,963.27</td>
<td>4,430.48</td>
<td>6,606.06</td>
<td>6,315.06</td>
<td>33,892.26</td>
<td>91,106.74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5840 PROFESSIONAL SERVICES</td>
<td>500,000.00</td>
<td>43,899.76</td>
<td>33,497.23</td>
<td>92,147.57</td>
<td>34,758.31</td>
<td>45,927.63</td>
<td>54,106.39</td>
<td>304,336.89</td>
<td>195,663.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5860 PROJECT LAND PURCHASE EXP.</td>
<td>1,809,850.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>9,888.56</td>
<td>186,152.00</td>
<td>1,975.97</td>
<td>12,600.00</td>
<td>104,564.51</td>
<td>315,181.04</td>
<td>1,949,668.96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5881 PROPERTY/STRUCTURE INS.</td>
<td>25,000.00</td>
<td>550.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>20,192.50</td>
<td>319.00</td>
<td>21,061.50</td>
<td>3,938.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5882 UTILITY RELOCATION EXP.</td>
<td>375,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1,307.50</td>
<td>202.50</td>
<td>6,492.25</td>
<td>257,326.52</td>
<td>245,328.77</td>
<td>129,671.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5883 PROJECT LAND CAP. IMPROV.</td>
<td>250,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>93,352.75</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>98,352.75</td>
<td>151,647.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5884 STRUCTURES CAP. IMPROV.</td>
<td>25,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>24,987.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2001</th>
<th>JULY</th>
<th>AUGUST</th>
<th>SEPTEMBER</th>
<th>OCTOBER</th>
<th>NOVEMBER</th>
<th>DECEMBER</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
<th>ALLOCATED</th>
<th>BUDGETED</th>
<th>UNALLOCATED</th>
<th>BALANCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5801 PER DIEM EXPENSES</td>
<td>16,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3,550.00</td>
<td>12,450.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5811 LEGAL EXPENSES</td>
<td>8,500.00</td>
<td>419.33</td>
<td>495.83</td>
<td>317.33</td>
<td>300.33</td>
<td>6,284.30</td>
<td>2,215.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5812 NRPC SERVICES</td>
<td>125,000.00</td>
<td>9,254.18</td>
<td>9,273.55</td>
<td>9,013.65</td>
<td>9,152.37</td>
<td>92,912.83</td>
<td>32,087.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5821 TRAVEL/MILEAGE</td>
<td>14,000.00</td>
<td>129.92</td>
<td>66.08</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>943.64</td>
<td>1,754.24</td>
<td>12,245.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5822 PRINTING/ADVERTISING</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td>56.07</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>30.71</td>
<td>3,130.28</td>
<td>1,896.72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5823 BONDS/INSURANCE</td>
<td>7,500.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5,642.63</td>
<td>1,857.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5824 TELEPHONE EXPENSES</td>
<td>7,000.00</td>
<td>311.62</td>
<td>492.43</td>
<td>358.29</td>
<td>392.00</td>
<td>3,773.78</td>
<td>3,226.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5825 MEETING EXPENSES</td>
<td>8,000.00</td>
<td>86.85</td>
<td>63.83</td>
<td>73.95</td>
<td>269.56</td>
<td>2,030.96</td>
<td>5,969.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5838 LEGAL SERVICES</td>
<td>125,000.00</td>
<td>3,965.73</td>
<td>7,949.28</td>
<td>2,954.73</td>
<td>5,941.66</td>
<td>54,704.66</td>
<td>70,295.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5840 PROFESSIONAL SERVICES</td>
<td>500,000.00</td>
<td>27,518.69</td>
<td>46,614.22</td>
<td>13,017.69</td>
<td>25,911.17</td>
<td>417,398.66</td>
<td>82,601.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5860 PROJECT LAND PURCHASE EXP.</td>
<td>1,809,850.00</td>
<td>104.76</td>
<td>5,531.59</td>
<td>33,742.00</td>
<td>1,174.00</td>
<td>355,733.39</td>
<td>1,454,116.61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5881 PROPERTY/STRUCTURE INS.</td>
<td>25,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>21,061.50</td>
<td>3,938.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5882 UTILITY RELOCATION EXP.</td>
<td>375,000.00</td>
<td>40,606.25</td>
<td>25,884.13</td>
<td>753.35</td>
<td>6,371.04</td>
<td>318,943.54</td>
<td>56,056.46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5883 PROJECT LAND CAP. IMPROV.</td>
<td>250,000.00</td>
<td>72,732.00</td>
<td>6,900.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>177,984.75</td>
<td>72,015.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5884 STRUCTURES CAP. IMPROV.</td>
<td>25,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>24,987.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| TOTAL | 3,308,850.00 | 155,164.33 | 103,427.01 | 60,230.99 | 50,486.48 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1,464,918.52 | 1,835,931.48 |
For Jim:
cc: Curt Vosti

Thanks for the response. I knew some of that information. I posted the pictures so some folks who never get out to the site could see our progress to date.

I spoke to Dan last week and offered some suggestions which I asked him to pass along to you. Just in case he forgot here is my observations.

I didn't have any particular difficulty crossing Grant or Cline at the levee. Given our current financial circumstances I am thinking we could delay, perhaps indefinitely, the by-passes currently envisioned for these two crossings. First I have doubts about who would use them. The trail has not seen a lot of usage and given the alternative bikeways such as the Oak Savananh Trail (paved and shady) I don't see a lot of use for the trail in the future. Second, those persons who use the trail might very well ignore the by-pass and take the more direct route.

In lieu of the by-passes I suggest all we really need at Grant is a path over the very small ditch west of Grant. It is dry in the summer but wet this time of year. I was able to step over it with no problem. A small culvert would suffice. A cut in the median strip so you could ride across without stopping if the way was clear would be desireable but not absolutely necessary.

At the east side of Cline, the current proposal is to bring the trail down off the levee to the side street. Just bring it down off the levee and leave it there. The bikers will figure out where to go. To keep IDEM happy we could post a "Trail Ends" sign. On the west side we could do a similar "Trail Ends" at the frontage road.

Maybe we could cut these out of the plans for next year. We'll talk about it on Thursday.

Bob
STATUS (Stage II Phase 1) Harrison to Broadway – North Levee:
   Dyer Construction – Contract price $365,524
2. Harrison Street – INDOT raising of bridge over I-80/94 approx. 7’ at the bridge.
   A. We received a request from INDOT for a ROE at Harrison Street, South of I-80/94 on August 9th, 2001 to get a field entrance for construction.
      • We submitted a letter to INDOT on August 20th, 2001, requesting more detail as to location of this property prior to our issuing a right-of-entry.
   B. We sent a letter to INDOT on September 4th informing them that all design for any work that would impact our project should be coordinated with the COE (through Imad Samara) in order to comment on engineering.

STATUS (Stage II Phase II) Grant to Harrison – South Levee:
1. Project completed on December 1, 1993.
   Dyer/Ellas Construction – Contract price $1,220,386

STATUS (Stage II Phase 3A) Georgia to Martin Luther King – South Levee:
   Ramirez & Marsch Construction – Contract price $2,275,023

Landscaping Contract (This contract includes all completed levee segments – installing, planting zones, seeding, and landscaping):
1. Dyer Construction – Final contract cost $1,292,066
   • Overrun (over original bid) $200,016
   Project completed June 11, 1999

STATUS (Stage II Phase 3B) Harrison to Georgia – South Levee:
1. Rausch Construction started on 11/20/95. (Construction is approx. 98% complete)
   • Current contract amount - $3,477,249.66
   • Original contract amount - $3,293,968.00
   • Amount overrun - $183,281.66 (5.6%)
2. A final inspection with the LCRBDC and the COE will be scheduled for this entire portion of the project, including the pump station, no later than November of 2001.
STATUS (Stage II Phase 3C2) Grant to Harrison: (8A contract)
1. The final inspection, and punch list items have been completed. We received a letter from the COE on November 22nd, 2000, indicating Webb Construction has completed this work in accordance with the provisions of the plans and specs.
   - Currently, $3,915,178.36 has been spent on this project.
   - Overrun (over original bid) $463,196
   - Balance (to be paid to contractor) $189,875

STATUS (Stage II Phase 4) Broadway to MLK Drive – North Levee:
1. Project is approx. 98% completed. (All work is completed except for the pump station.)
   - Current contract amount - $4,186,070.75
   - Original contract amount - $3,089,692.00
   - Amount overrun - $1,096,378 (36%)
2. A final inspection will be scheduled with the LCRBDC and the COE for this entire project, including the Ironwood stormwater pumping station, no later than November 2001.

STATUS (STAGE III) Chase to Grant Street:
   Kiewit Construction – Contract price $6,564,520.

STAGE III DRAINAGE REMEDIATION PLAN.
1. The LCRBDC submitted comments to the COE on April 12, 2001 for their 50% plans and specifications submittal.
   - These plans were incomplete for 50% review and lacked much detail and information. We are awaiting a pre-final set to review as of October 26th, 2001.
2. Technical review meeting discussed remediation plan on November 1st, 2000.
   - COE estimates approx. $1 million to do this work. $800,000 for ditches and pumps, $50,000 to engineer an 18,500 GPM pump station West of Grant St. & remainder toward work with the City of Gary.
3. The scope of this project is to include the following:
   - Lift stations West of Grant to remediate drainage problems due to Stage III construction
   - East Reach remediation lift station for interior drainage
   - East Reach remediation demolition
   - Extending the combination sewer East of Grant Street, North to our line of protection
4. Tentative schedule is to advertise this fall and start construction in the Spring of 2002.
STATUS (Stage IV Phase 1 - North) Cline to Burr (North of the Norfolk Southern Railroad):

1. IV-1 (North) The drainage system from Colfax to Burr Street North of the Norfolk Southern RR.
   - Current contract amount - $3,013,910.52
   - Original contract amount - $2,708,720.00
   - Amount overrun - $305,199 (11%)

2. This project was advertised on November 3rd, 1999, was awarded to Dillon Contractors on November 30th, 1999, and received the notice to proceed on January 14th, 2000. (The final inspection was held on August 30th, 2001, and tools & manuals were given to the LCRBDC.)
   - We received a list of attendees and the remaining punch list items from the COE on September 14th, 2001

3. Will still require “as-built” drawings, and minor punch list items need to be completed.

4. The COE redlined “as-builds” from the contractor and the COE is now preparing “as-built” final drawings.

STATUS (Stage IV Phase 1 – South) (South of the N.S. RR.)

1. Dyer Construction was low bidder. Given 450 days to complete
   - Current contract amount - $4,185,593.96
   - Original contract amount - $3,862,736.65
   - Amount overrun - $322,857 (8%)

2. Overall construction approx. 95% complete. The COE did a preliminary walk-thru with the contractor on October 17th, 2001, to get a preliminary punch list.
   - When these items are completed, we will do a final inspection of this segment – anticipate this in November, 2001
   - An inspection is anticipated for Fall, 2001

3. Minor work to be completed for gates and bollards.

4. We received a copy of modification #15 to the contract which includes final volumes of clay based upon cross-sections in the amount of $168,854 extra. New total construction cost is $4,185,593.96

5. WIND – all construction is completed including guardrail, levees and earthwork, rip-rap, sheet piling, all ramps are constructed, and seeding is done (minor work remaining to complete).

STATUS (Stage IV Phase 2A) Burr to Clark – Lake Etta:

1. Dyer Construction-95% complete.
   - Current contract amount - $3,504,445.80
   - Original contract amount - $2,473,311.50
   - Amount overrun - $1,031,134 (42%)

2. The North Burr Street stormwater pumping station has been completed.

   A. A meeting was held on February 8th, 2000, with the COE and GSD to review design and installation of auxiliary power hook-up with a portable generator.
   - We received modification #34 to the contract from the COE on August 15th, 2001 (dated April 27th, 2001 for the cost to install auxiliary power in the amount of $136,603.16.
• This will be done as an addendum to the contract with Dyer Construction sub-contracting the work.

3. All electric has been completed, the metal building is installed, and the total project is done except for some minor trench settling. We will be scheduling an inspection with GSD/WREP in early November.
B. Austgen Electric is the contractor.

**STATUS (Stage IV Phase 2B) Clark to Chase**

1. 100% of levee construction has been completed, and the projected overall completion is for the summer of 2001. A final inspection was held on July 23, 2001 with the LCRBDC prior to turnover.
   A. We received “as-built” drawings from the COE on June 24th, 2001 and distributed them to the City of Gary on June 27th, 2001
   B. We received a letter to Dyer Construction from the COE dated June 28th, 2001, including the COE area office final inspection punch list.
   C. The final inspection was held with the COE and Dyer Construction on July 23rd, 2001, and we received the O&M Manuals.

2. Project money status:
   • Current contract amount - $1,938,357.86
   • Original contract amount - $1,530,357.50
   • Amount overrun - $408,000 (27%)

**STATUS (Betterment Levee – Phase 1) E.J. & E. Railroad to, and including Colfax North of the NIPSCO R/W (Drainage from Arbo gast to Colfax, South of NIPSCO R/W):**

1. The bid opening was held on May 9th, 2000
   • The low bidder is Dyer Construction.
   • Current contract amount - $2,228,652.16
   • Original contract amount - $2,074,072.70
   • Amount overrun - $113,604.62 (6%)

2. The final inspection was held on August 30th, 2001, with minor punch list items to be completed. Manuals and tools, and “as-built” drawings will be turned over to LCRBDC.
   • We received a list of attendees and the remaining punch list items from the COE on September 14th, 2001

3. The drainage ditch north of the Mansards is having sloughing problems that should be corrected when Burr Street Phase II is completed.

4. Modification #13 to contract is to add $113,604.62 based upon final tabulation of quantities for stone, clay, topsoil, sweet piling, etc. (Detailed information available upon request.)

**STATUS (Betterment Levee – Phase 2) Colfax to Burr Street, then North NSRR, then East (North of RR R/W) ½ between Burr and Clark, back over the RR, then South approx. 1.400 feet:**

1. We wrote a letter to the COE on May 21st, 2001, requesting final information for all utility re-locates in order that we may proceed with agreements. Currently have not received as of September 4th, 2001.
2. We received plans and specifications from the COE on July 11th, 2001. A “plan-in-hand” meeting was held on July 31st, 2001, and our final comments were submitted to the COE on August 7th, 2001. (These comments are available upon request).

3. A letter was sent to the COE on September 4th, 2001, questioning design of a 36” gatewell structure and how it affects drainage.

4. Three (3) structures scheduled for demolition will be done by LCRBDC prior to project due to safety & vandalism concerns. Will be deleted from plans (see Land Acq. Report).

5. The projected government estimate for this project is approximately $3.6 million.
   - LCRBDC needs to review spending through 2003 (this biennium) to establish priorities. The $5.5 million may not allow this construction if land acquisition and utility re-locates in the West Reach exceed original cost estimates.
   - We included the Land Acq., utility re-locates, and our portion for construction into this biennium, but are pursuing the possibility of making this project part of the flood control project (not a betterment).

**STATUS (Stage V Phase 1) Wicker Park Manor:**

1. Project completed on September 14, 1995.
   - Dyer Construction – Contract price $998,630

2. Phillips Pipeline directional bore under the existing levee is currently being engineered by Phillips. Awaiting their design and cost by June, 2001.
   - As of March 23, 2001, a temporary hold has been put on this engineering request due to current funding restrictions.

**STATUS (Stage V Phase 2):**

1. With the approved $5.5 million for this biennium and with $5.5 million assumed for the next biennium, we project a fall, 2005 advertising date.

2. A utility coordination meeting was held on November 16th, 2000 with all pipelines, utilities, etc. that will be impacted in the NIPSCO corridor West of Kennedy Ave.
   - We have received cost information from the pipeline companies to do the work necessary to accommodate I-walls. The total cost in this corridor and for 2 directional bores west of the RR will total approximately $1.1 million.
   - A letter was sent to NIPSCO on September 13th enclosing locations and elevations of all pipelines in this corridor for their information and thanking them for their help in obtaining subordinated agreements for their utility corridor.

3. We made a request to NIPSCO on August 22nd for copies of all subordinated agreements with other pipelines to allow our attorney to review their responsibilities to repair or modify their pipelines.
   - We received copies of (3) of the (9) different pipelines on August 31st.

3. We received a letter of request from North Township on July 9th, 2001 to re-align the levees adjacent to Hart Ditch further Westward to allow more room to develop property on the Wicker Park Golf Course.
   - Currently, the design will require 300’ between center lines of levees, the layout has the levee on Wicker Park approx. 220’ East of Hart Ditch and the levee on the Munster side approx. 80’ West of Hart Ditch.
   - This is due, on the Munster side, to the limitations of real estate, and to the proximity of residential dwellings.
• The meeting with Munster and North Township was held on July 19th, 2001. It appears North Township will try to minimize impacts to the Munster side if the COE might do some considerations.

4. We requested an email from Highland/COE regarding drainage concerns with INDOT in the area around and adjacent to the Tri-State Bus terminal.
• A meeting was held with the COE, INDOT, LCRBD, North Township and Highland on June 14th, 2001, to review these drainage concerns.
• A potential exists to partner between Highland, North Township and INDOT to build a pump station in the ditch area West of Tri-State.
• We received a letter from the COE dated May 24th, 2001 (on July 3rd) indicating to INDOT that the ponding in this area is likely to be substantially greater and it would be in the best interest of the community if they would include a pumping unit.
• We are gathering information on hydrology from Highland, North Township (Wicker Park) — to be provided by the COE and INDOT.

5. We received a request from the COE on September 26th, 2001, to obtain additional information on the pipeline corridor for locations and elevations.
• We received a quote from GLE for the survey work at a cost not to exceed $3500.
• We met Badger Davlighting on October 18th, 2001, to review scope of work—awaiting their costs.

STATUS (Stage V Phase 3) Woodmar Country Club:
1. Refer to Land Acquisition report for status of appraisal process and revised schedule.
   • As per our June 7th, 2000 partnering meeting, the schedule shows a March 2002 advertising date. This date will be pushed back due to funding restrictions even if we get the $5.5 million for this biennium. The construction sequence due to hydrology will push construction back in the schedule.
2. Appraisal work ongoing (refer to Land Acquisition report).

STATUS Stage VI – Phase 1 (Cline to Kennedy – North of the river, and Kennedy to Liable, South of the river):
1. Legal descriptions North of the river have been completed by GLE, and legals South of the river have been completed by DLZ.
   A. Legals have been done for Hammond, HSD, and Hammond Parks between the Highlands Apartments and the S.E. Hessville Pump Station.
      • These lands include approx. 62 acres of ownership and when the appraisal is completed, we will coordinate with Hammond for turnover of these lands for our project.
   B. Highland properties were completed (plats & legals) by DLZ and given to Dale Kleszynski (appraiser) at our July 19th, 2001 Real Estate meeting. (Approx. 62 acres.) See Land Acq. Report.
   C. Preliminary appraisal work has been completed for the Kennedy Industrial Park area (see Land Acq. Report).
      • A preliminary engineering review meeting was held by the COE on October 23rd, 2001, to review and discuss changes in design that could provide
economic justification to modify real estate requirements and lessen construction costs.

STATUS Stage VI – Phase 2 (Liable to Cline – South of the river.):  
1. Rani Engineering was awarded the A/E contract by the COE in January 2000. (They are out of St. Paul, Minnesota.)  
2. We received a letter from INDOT to Rani Engineering on January 8, 2001 indicating their concerns regarding culverts & recreational proposals.  
   A. A meeting was held with RANI, the COE, INDOT, and the LCRBDC on April 11, 2001 to review these and other engineering issues.  
      • INDOT agreed we could cross Cline Avenue at the existing light at Highway Avenue. (See Recreation Report).  
      • A meeting was held with Griffith to review proposed route for recreation trail east of Cline on June 27th and a preliminary review meeting was held with Highland on July 5th, 2001.  
      • A meeting was held with the Cline Avenue Baptist Church on July 16th, 2001, to investigate getting an easement on their property. (See recreation report)  
   B. We are still not at the 50% level of review – many issues to still be resolved. The COE agreed we would have one more opportunity to review and comment prior to their 100% submittal.  
      • Highland submitted a letter to the COE on August 20th requesting reconsideration of design regarding storm sewers and drainage changes & responsibilities to modify and construct.

STATUS (Stage VII) Northcote to Columbia:  
1. The final contract with Earth Tech to do the A/E work for this stage/phase of construction was signed and submitted by the COE on December 21st, 1999.  
2. We received a request for a 75% review from the COE on January 16, 2001 along with their comments and responses to our 50% review.  
   • We sent a letter to the COE on February 1 with comments to their 75% submittal indicating a number of concerns and requesting another review opportunity prior to the 100% review. (No response as of October 26th, 2001).  
3. A public meeting will be scheduled with both communities. (This will be after the 75% BCOE review process).

STATUS (Stage VIII) Columbia to the Illinois State Line:  
1. The A/E award was given to S.E.H. (Short, Elliot & Henderson Inc.)  
2. We received plans and specs from the COE at our August 21st Real Estate meeting and distributed them to Hammond and Munster on August 22nd.  
3. A meeting was held with the Army Corps, LCRBDC, and the A/E (SEH Engineering) on October 3rd, 2001 to review all of our comments and held a field review.  
   • A meeting was held with the Army Corps, LCRBDC, SEH Engineering, NICTD, representatives from Hammond and Munster on October 4th, 2001 to review local concerns in the office and the field.
• Minutes of the meetings (both October 3rd and October 4th) were completed and distributed to the attendees on October 10th, 2001. A separate handout will include the items discussed.
• Comments were submitted to the COE from the LCRBDC on October 16th, 2001. (Copies of these comments – 7 pages – are available upon request.)
4. We received a letter from NICTD dated October 9th, 2001 with the engineering/recreational design concerns in the area under I-80/94.

East Reach Remediation Area – North of I-80/94, MLK to I-65:
1. Dyer Construction is the contractor. Construction was started on September 13th, 1999, and was completed in December, 2000 excluding minor punch list items.
2. Project cost information
   • Current contract amount - $1,873,784.68
   • Current contract amount - $1,657,913.00
   • Amount overrun - $215,971 (13%)
3. A final inspection was held with the COE and Dyer Construction on July 23rd, 2001 and we received copies of the O&M manuals.
   • We received “as-built” drawings from the COE on June 25th and distributed to the city of Gary on June 27th.

Mitigation (Construction Portion) for “In Project” Lands:
1. We received copies of the final submittal of the drawings and specifications for 29th & Hanley, Black Oak, and Chase St. wetlands sites from the Conservation Design Forum on September 28th, 2001 (dated September 24th, 2001).
   • LCRBDC did not have the opportunity to coordinate at the 50% level.
2. The COE is anticipating to advertise this portion of construction this Fall and start construction in the Spring of 2002.
3. This includes 29th & Hanley, areas between levees West of Chase and East of Clark.
4. They are proposing to use the most qualified contractor rather than bidding it out. The contractor will need a botanist.
   • This is projected to be a $1 million contract – our portion at 25% is $250,000, and the Corps anticipates we need to contribute 60% this biennium (approx. $150,000).

West Reach Pump Stations – Phase 1A:
1. The four (4) pump stations that are included in this initial West Reach pump station project are Baring, Walnut, S. Kennedy, and Hohman/Munster.
2. Low bidder was Overstreet Construction. Notice to proceed was given on November 7th, 2000 – 700 work days to complete (Oct. 2002)
   • Current contract amount - $4,638,400.00
   • Original contract amount - $4,638,400.00
   • Amount overrun - none
3. A pre-construction meeting was held on November 27th, 2000, to discuss scheduling, establish points of contact, and coordination.

4. We received a status report from the COE on October 22nd, 2001.

5. We received a copy of modification #5 from the COE on September 17th, 2001, increasing the continuing contract funding by $464,087.50 (an administrative change).

West Reach Pump Stations – Phase 1B:

1. The Two (2) pump stations included in this contract are S.E. Hessville (Hammond), and 81st Street (Highland).
   A. We received a preliminary inspection report from the COE on September 14th, 2001, for both pump stations that will be addressed prior to our final inspection.
   B. A final inspection was held for both stations on September 18th, 2001. We received a letter that day listing key turnover items.

2. Thieneman Construction from Griffith, IN was the successful bidder.
   - Current contract amount - $2,120,730.12
   - Original contract amount - $1,963,400.00
   - Amount overrun - $157,330 (9%)

3. We received a modification to the contract #12 that increased the contract by $9,083.61. Current contract is now $2,120,730.12.

4. We received a status report from the COE on October 22nd, 2001.

North Fifth Avenue Pump Station:

1. The Town of Highland submitted a letter to the COE dated December 8th, 2000, requesting that the electric transformer cost of $120,000 be part of the project.
   - The LCRBDC wrote a letter to the COE on January 23 requesting that rather than us entering into an agreement with Highland (as a utility re-locate) the costs be treated as a project cost.
   - We received a letter from the COE on June 28th, 2001, indicating LCRBDC should enter into an agreement with Highland to compensate them for the unpaid balance based upon their usage.

2. The low bidder was Overstreet Construction
   - Current contract amount - $2,387,500.0
   - Original contract amount - $2,387,500.00
   - Amount overrun - none

3. A pre-construction meeting was held on May 21st with Overstreet Construction, town of Highland, COE, NIPSCO, and the LCRBDC.
   - There are currently 10 pumps and all of these will be replaced with new and will be coordinated with the town of Highland.

4. We received a status report from the COE on October 22nd, 2001.
GENERAL:
1. Utility Re-locations:
   A. On June 20, 2001, a utility coordination meeting was held with the LCRBDC and the
      COE to discuss utility status and how to track each relocation.
      - Compensability for utility relocations was discussed and milestones were presented
        for review to Don Valk and the COE on March 20, 2001.
   B. A utility coordination meeting was held with the COE on September 10th, 2001, to
      review scheduling, status of relocations, and compensability issues.
2. The Gary Sanitary District/White River Environmental Group has had
   engineering/hydrology and maintenance concerns with our design and installation for
   flood protection that they feel needs to be addressed prior to them agreeing to any O&M
   responsibility. (See Land Management Report.)
3. The Times had an article in the Business section on October 7th, 2001, regarding the
   SBA Minority Business of the Year Award for the State of Indiana to Webb
   Construction.
4. Public Meetings:
   A. We received a letter from the COE on October 18th, 2001, requesting
      coordination to hold a public meeting with Hammond and Munster for Stage VIII.
   B. We had a conference call with Imad on October 19th, 2001 and agreed to
      coordinate a public meeting late on November 28, 2001. It would include residents
      in West Reach affected by the project.
5. The possibility of including general project information on the internet is being
   reviewed by the Corps. This would only include trail locations and flood protection
   alignment as is currently proposed by the Corps.
22 October 2001

Dear Imad,

My message today is a reminder that there are two (2) demolitions on 22nd Place in the East Reach Remediation Area. Jim said you could make the demos a part of your Stage III Remediation contract. We own both properties.

The legal description is:

Lot 68 Carver Small Farms-deteriorating house/misc. junk
Lot 71 Carver Small Farms-trailer/misc. junk and cars

Next to the trailer is a garage, however, we don't own that property as yet. Perhaps your contract could stipulate the garage as a demo option. (We'll own the property by contract start time.) Next to the garage is another trailer owned by the owner of the first trailer. He parked it on another person's property. That trailer should also be removed.

I will visit the demo area to in the next few days to clear away any squatters who may have taken residence in the abandoned homes. Please contact me if you have questions. Thanks!

Judith (Judy) Vamos
Land Acquisition Agent
Little Calumet River Flood Control and Recreation Project
Phone: 219-763-0896
Fax: 219-762-1768
e-mail: jvamos@nirpc.org
DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
CHICAGO DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
111 NORTH CANAL STREET
CHICAGO, IL 60605-7205

Construction-Operations Division
Calumet Area Office (1180-1-1q)

SUBJECT: Contract No. DACW27-00-C-0003
Local Flood Protection
Little Calumet River, Indiana
Stage IV, Phase I, North
Check List for Turnover

Ms. Keisha Dillon
Dillon Contractors, Inc.
4742 Swisher Road
West Lafayette, IN 47906

Dear Ms. Dillon:

Reference is made to the final inspection of the subject contract performed on 30 August 2001. Participants included the following individuals:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chris Wheatley</td>
<td>Dillon Contractors, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas A. Deja</td>
<td>USACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imad Samara</td>
<td>USACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas Anderson</td>
<td>USACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brad Waldrom</td>
<td>USACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ed Karwatka</td>
<td>USACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rick Ackerson</td>
<td>USACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tony Travia</td>
<td>USACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greg Moore</td>
<td>USACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leslie Bush</td>
<td>USACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Pokrajac</td>
<td>Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill Greco</td>
<td>Town of Griffith</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

During the inspection, the following items were identified:

1. Sufficient turf has not been established in several project areas, including the area between Burr Street and the box culvert discharge, the area of the former temporary field office, the area around 29th and Stevenson Drop Structure, and other areas identified during the inspection. Now and/or seed these areas and establish turf per Section 02935. Coordinate the delineation of specific areas requiring attention with Mr. Edmund Karwatka, USACE Construction Representative.
2. Remove silt fences west of Burr Street and throughout the project site.
3. Remove the fence materials in the area of the former temporary field office.
4. Repair tire tracks and ruts in the ditch caused by mowers or other equipment.
5. Remove straw from the Colfax Street culvert.
6. Pull the weeds from the drop structure inlet.
7. Ground the fencing per Section 02381.
8. Complete the final As-Built Drawing submittal.

It is requested that you complete the above work, including seeding, within 14 days of receipt of this letter. We recognize that it may not be possible to document the establishment of turf until spring.

If you have any questions, please contact Douglas Anderson at (219) 923-1763/4.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Thomas A. Deja, P.E.
Contracting Officer's Representative

Copies Furnished:
CELRC-CO-S (Closing File) w/o Encl.
CELRC-CO-C (Closing File) w/o Encl.
CELRC-CT (Closing File) w/o Encl.
CELRC-CO-S (D. Anderson)
CELRC-CO-S (E. Karwatka)
CELRC-CO-S (B. Waldrom)
LCRBDC (J. Pokrajac)
MEMORANDUM FOR SEE DISTRIBUTION

SUBJECT: Contract No. DACW27-00-C-0015
Local Flood Protection
Stage IV; Phase I-South
Little Calumet River, Indiana
Modification No. P00015 - Executed

1. Enclosed for your file is a copy of all pertinent information related to executed Modification No. P00015, under the subject contract.

2. Any questions concerning the enclosed items shall be directed to Douglas Anderson at (219) 923-1763/4.

Thomas A. Deja, P.E.
Area Engineer
Calumet Area Office

Enclosures

DISTRIBUTION:

- CELRC-CO-C (Complete Mod. File)
- CELRC-CO-S (Complete Mod. File)
- CELRC-CT (Complete Mod. File)
- CELRC-FM-M (Mod. Only) I. Samara
- CELRC-CO-S (Mod. Only) E. Karwatka
- CELRC-CO-S (Mod. Only) D. Anderson
- CELRC-CO-S (Mod. Only) B. Waldrom
- LCRBDC (Mod. Only) J. Pokrajac
Modification No. P00015 (FC-15.19)
Increase in Estimated Quantity of Levee Embankment
Contract No. DACW27-00-C-0015
Dyer Construction Company, Inc.
SF30, Block 14. Continued

A. Contract quantity overruns of Bid Item No. 0006 Levee Embankment exceed 115%. It is therefore in the best interest of the Government to modify this contract as follows, up to the 115% quantity.

(1) **CHANGES TO THE BID SCHEDULE:**

(a) Item No. 0006 is **REVISED** as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>EXISTING QUANTITY</th>
<th>REVISED QUANTITY</th>
<th>UNIT</th>
<th>UNIT PRICE</th>
<th>EXISTING AMOUNT</th>
<th>REVISED AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0006</td>
<td>LEVEE EMBANKMENT</td>
<td>91,892</td>
<td>105,676</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>$12.25</td>
<td>$1,125,677.00</td>
<td>$1,294,531.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The TOTAL OF ITEMS 0001 THROUGH 0037 ' $4,016,739.96' is REVISED to ' $4,185,593.96'.

(2) **CHANGES TO THE SPECIFICATIONS:** NONE

(3) **CHANGES TO THE DRAWINGS:** NONE

B. It is understood and agreed, that pursuant to the above, time for completion of the work remains **UNCHANGED** at 513 calendar days (with a completion date of 12 OCTOBER 2001), as established by Modification No. P00013, and the total estimated amount of the contract is **INCREASED** $168,854.00, from $4,016,739.96 as established by Modification P00013 to $4,185,593.96.

C. It is further understood and agreed that this modification constitutes compensation in full on behalf of the Contractor, and its subcontractors and suppliers for all cost and markups directly or indirectly attributable to the changes ordered herein, for all delays related thereto, and for performance of the changes within the time frame stated.
Construction-Operations Division
Calumet Area Office (1180-1-1q)

SUBJECT: Contract No. DACW27-00-C-0021
Local Flood Protection
Burr Street Betterment Levee, Phase I
Little Calumet River, Indiana
Final Inspection Punchlist

Ms. Janet Furman
Dyer Construction Company, Inc.
1716 Sheffield Avenue
Dyer, IN 46311

Dear Ms. Furman:

Reference is made to the final inspection of the subject contract performed on 30 August 2001. Participants included the following individuals:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Janet Furman</th>
<th>Dyer Construction Company, Inc.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Harold Maupin</td>
<td>Dyer Construction Company, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Hanson</td>
<td>Dyer Construction Company, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas A. Deja, P.E.</td>
<td>USACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imed Samara</td>
<td>USACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas Anderson, P.E.</td>
<td>USACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ed Karwatka</td>
<td>USACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brad Waldrom</td>
<td>USACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rick Ackerson</td>
<td>USACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tony Travia</td>
<td>USACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greg Moore</td>
<td>USACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leslie Bush</td>
<td>USACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Pokrajac</td>
<td>Little Calumet River Basin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Development Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill Greco</td>
<td>Town of Griffith</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

During the inspection, the following items were identified:

1. Establish a stand of turf that meets the requirements of Section 02935 in all areas. To this end, perform the following work:
   - Mow the areas that were seeded with the native seed mix to a height of approximately 8- to 10-inches. Mow the
areas seeded with turf grasses to a height of approximately 4- to 6-inches. Use rotary mowing equipment or other equipment that will prevent the mowed vegetation from suffocating the desired growth.

- Seed areas on the west end of the project site, near the site facilities compound, at the east end of the ditch near Colfax Street, on the east end of the levee, and other areas identified by the USACE Construction Representatives following mowing. Work on these areas to establish turf per Section 02935. Coordinate the delineation of specific areas requiring attention with the USACE Construction Representatives.

2. Complete the installation of sluice gate dial indicators and related equipment as needed to comply with Section 15050.

3. Repair the washout at the east end of the ditch, near Colfax Street.

4. Complete the final As-Built Drawing submittal.

5. Provide warranties for all equipment.

6. Remove the project signs.

7. Install bollards at both sides of the Colfax Street A-frame gate and at the access from Arbogast Street.

8. Provide chains for the A-frame gates.

It is requested that you complete the above work, with the exception of the bollards that cannot be installed until the IV-1 South project trailer is moved, within 14 days of receipt of this letter. We recognize that it may not be possible to document the establishment of turf until spring.

If you have any questions, please contact Douglas Anderson at (219) 923-1763/4.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Thomas A. Deja, P.E.
Contracting Officer's Representative

Copies Furnished:
CELRC-CO-S (Closing File) w/o Encl.
CELRC-CO-C (Closing File) w/o Encl.
CELRC-CT (Closing File) w/o Encl.
CELRC-CO-S (D. Anderson)
CELRC-CO-S (E. Karwatka)
LCRBDC (J. Pokrajac)
MEMORANDUM FOR: SEE DISTRIBUTION

SUBJECT: Contract No. DACW27-00-C-0021
Local Flood Protection
Burr Street Betterment Levee, Phase I
Little Calumet River, Indiana
Modification No. P00013 - Executed

1. Enclosed for your file is a copy of all pertinent information related to executed Modification No. P00013, under the subject contract.

2. Any questions concerning the enclosed items shall be directed to Douglas Anderson at (219) 923-1763/4.

Thomas A Deja, P.E.
Area Engineer
Calumet Area Office

Enclosures

DISTRIBUTION:
CELRC-CO-S (Complete Mod. File)
CELRC-CO-C (Complete Mod. File)
CELRC-CT (Complete Mod. File)
CELRC-PM-PM (Mod. Only) I. Samara
CELRC-CO-S (Mod. Only) E. Karwatka
CELRC-CO-S (Mod. Only) B. Waldrom
CELRC-CO-S (Mod. Only) D. Anderson
✓ LCRBDC (Mod. Only) J. Pokrajac
Modification No. P00013 (FC-21.14)
FINAL CONTRACT QUANTITIES
Contract No. DACW27-00-C-0021
DYER CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC.
SF30, Block 14. Continued

B. It is understood and agreed, that pursuant to the above, time for completion of the work remains UNCHANGED at 24 July 2001, as established by Modification P00011, and the total estimated contract amount is INCREASED $113,604.62 from $2,115,047.54, as established by Modification No. P00011, to $2,228,652.16.

C. It is further understood and agreed that this modification constitutes compensation in full on behalf of the Contractor, and its subcontractors and suppliers, for all costs and markups directly or indirectly attributable to the changes described herein, for all delays related thereto, and for performance of the changes within the time frame stated.

D. In accordance with Section 00800 - SPECIAL CONTRACT REQUIREMENTS, Paragraph 1.8 CONTINUING CONTRACTS, funds are available only to the extent of specific amounts in formal notice to the Contractor. Current funds available through and including this modification are $2,228,652.16.
September 13, 2001

Mr. John Carr  
NIPSCO  
801 E. 86th Avenue  
Merrillville IN 46410  
Attn. Real Estate Services  
Valparaiso Eastport Center

Dear John:

Enclosed for your files and information is a copy of the pipeline depths and locations along the right-of-way east of the Penn Central RR and west of Kennedy Avenue, in the area adjacent to the Little Calumet River. All of the pipelines were exposed and survey work was done indicating the elevation and location at the top dead center of each pipe. This information was provided to the Army Corps to use in their design process in order that we will be able to sleeve and protect each of the pipes that will be penetrating concrete I-walls either side of the river.

I appreciate you sending me some of the subordinated agreements from these pipelines in order that we may legally review the content to see whose financial responsibility it would be to do this work. Will you please follow up with providing us with the remaining agreements from these pipelines in order that we may complete our review as we have previously discussed.

If you have any questions regarding this request, please contact me at the above number.

Sincerely,

James E. Pokrajac, Agent  
Land Management/Engineering

/sjm  
encl.  
Cc: Jim Fitz  
Neal Arnott  
Imad Saman, COE  
Jan Pachuta, COE  
Lou Casale, LCRBDC attorney  
Jim Flora, R.W. Armstrong Co.
Sandy Mordus

From: "Kroll, Tim LRC" <Tim.Kroll@lrc02.usace.army.mil>
To: <JYATSKO@GLENG.COM>
Cc: "Samara, Imad LRC" <Imad.Samara@lrc02.usace.army.mil>; "Sandy Mordus (for Jim Pokrajac)" <smordus@nirpc.org>
Sent: Wednesday, September 26, 2001 5:52 PM
Attach: LCALStgV-2newUtilitySOWagain.doc; utilcorridorsurv01SOW.dgn; N_ALIGN.MDL; SE2ALIGN.MDL
Subject: Little Calumet River, Stg. V-2 Supplemental Utility Corridor Survey

Jeff,

I spoke with Jim Pokrajac several days ago regarding the underground utility survey that GLE recently completed, and discussed what further information would need to be provided for COE design purposes. Jim directed me to provide you with a Scope of Work (SOW) for the additional data that is needed for our design effort in this area. The attached document is that SOW.

This SOW should be nearly identical to the one you received previously from the LCRBDC, with the exception that new data points have been added adjacent to the existing levee riverside toe on the south side of the river. Further, additional data points have been added along the north side of the river also. The hard part will be completing this work under sufficiently dry conditions for easy excavation. I don't believe that Jim's intention was for GLE to obtain an excavating subcontractor directly. I believe that he still intends to perform this function. If not, then the COE will require that your subcontractor use non-destructive excavation methods to expose the pipelines.

Please contact me if you have any further questions.

Timothy J. Kroll, PE

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District
111 North Canal Street, Ste. 600
Chicago, IL 60606-7206
(312) 353-6400 ext. 3034
tim.kroll@usace.army.mil

9/27/2001
Minutes

Little Calumet Stage VIII
October 3 - 4, 2001
SEH - Hammond, Indiana

Minutes By: Jeff Davis, 651.490.2025 or j.davis@sehinc.com


Copies To: James O’Riley, Jim Pokrajac, Mike Hickey, Jeff Johnson, Ron Farmer, Chuck Childs, Dave Odden Nancy Jacobson


1. ITR Comments
   a. Most of the comments were good, however no need to document spelling mistakes and other minor errors. Keep track of these separately.

2. Local Sponsor
   a. Look at houses at River Drive. Three out of four homeowners would like to stay if possible.

3. LCRBDC provided a copy of a comment letter that was set to Imad Samara.

4. Hart Ditch weir elevation is higher than the 100 year event.

5. The Thornton Quarry may be available for storage when the project is completed.

6. SEH would like to complete the project by April 1, 2001.

7. Concern expressed on plan Sheets C-19 through 22 and C-30 regarding the proximity of construction to the existing structures (houses). The alignments have been optimized to reduce the impact as much as possible.

8. Pile driving along reach 1N is of concern. Moving the wall toward the river may eliminate
October 16, 2001

Mr. Imad Samara  
Programs & Project Management Division  
Project Management Branch  
Corps of Engineers  
111 North Canal Street, Suite 600  
Chicago, IL 60606-7206

Re: Little Calumet River Levee  
Stage VIII  
50% BCOE Comments

Dear Mr. Samara:

With this letter we are transmitting our 50% BCOE comments. If you have any questions, please call.

Very truly yours,


[Signature]

James J. Flora, Jr., P.E.  
Vice President

JJF:kf

Attachment

cc: Dan Gardner, LCRBDC  
\checkmark Jim Pokrajac, LCRBDC

v:LCRBDC:Samara Ltr-Stage VIII 10-16-01
October 9, 2001

FAX Transmission: 219-844-2044
1 pages

Mr. Michael Hickey
SEH
3800 179th Street
Hammond, IN 46323-3035

Re: Little Calumet Flood Control Stage VIII
Hammond, IN
NICID Rail Corridor – West Lake County Corridor

Dear Mr. Hickey:

Thank you for meeting with us to discuss this project and show us the proposed route.

I have additional concerns relative to the impact caused by this levy to our embankment and track structure. I am concerned that potential water velocities may cause our embankment to be scoured behind our existing north bridge abutment. Please provide hydrology studies done on this area. As a minimum, we may like the Corps to investigate installing sheet piling along our embankment from the levy to our bridge abutment.

The proposed closure of the gap in the existing crash wall of the I80/94 will cause drainage water to pool between our tracks and the crash wall. We would like the project to include installation of longitudinal drain pipe along this crash wall.

As mentioned in our previous letter, the proposed pedestrian/bicycle crossing creates a serious safety concern. We will not permit this trail to cross at the proposed location. We will permit this trail to extend along our right-of-way and cross our tracks at the existing 173rd Street grade crossing. The trail should be kept as close as possible to our property line to permit future track construction and maintenance. Fencing will be required between our tracks and the trail.
Our existing bridge piers appear to create a serious bottleneck for timber and debris. This timber and debris is creating a dam effect behind our bridge piers. As a minimum the Corp should plan on dredging this material out as part of the project. We may consider removal of this bridge if the Corp would consider removal as part of the project.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Christopher M. Beck
Manager MOW Engineering

cc: GKE, BRH, JNP
September 24, 2001

Mr. Imad Samara:
Project Manager
USACE -PD-S Planning Division
111 N. Canal Street, Suite 6000
Chicago, IL 60606-7206

Re: Local Flood Protection and Recreational Project Mitigation Design,
Little Calumet River, Indiana

Dear Imad:

Please find enclosed copies of the final submittal of the Specifications and Construction Document Drawings for the Mitigation Design for Little Calumet River Flood Control Project. The drawings and specifications are for the 29th and Hanley, Black Oak and Chase Street Wetlands sites.

The final Design Analysis Report, which contains all of our findings as they relate to the three project sites, is also included in this set.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide our services. We have enjoyed working with you, and Greg Moore, and greatly appreciate your assistance throughout this process. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call.

Sincerely,

CONSERVATION DESIGN FORUM, INC.

[Signature]

Sara Utter
Project Manager - Ecological Services

Enclosures: Construction Plans
Real Estate Plans
Specifications

CC: Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission
Jim, as you have requested......

**Pump Rehab 1B Contract C-0035 (Thielenman Const.)**
81st Station - 99%+ complete to date.
1. Final pump station O&M manual has been reviewed by the COE and returned to the contractor. Resubmital is required.
2. As-built drawings have been received by the COE and are in review.
3. All "on-site" contract work is 100% complete.

S.E. Hessville Station - 99%+ complete to date.
1. Final pump station O&M manual has been reviewed by the COE and returned to the contractor. Contractor is preparing to submit the final 10 pump station O&M manuals to the COE.
2. As-built drawings have been received by the COE and are in review.
3. All "on-site" contract work is 100% complete.

**Pump Rehab 1A Contract C-0001 (Overstreet Electric Co.)**

**Baring Ave Pump Station** - 0%+ Complete.
1. No activity.

**South Kennedy Ave Pump Station** - 2%+ Complete.
1. One pump has been removed and is currently being rebuilt by Viking Technology.

**Hochman/Munster Pump Station** - 5%+ Complete.
1. One pump and its associated piping are being removed.
2. Electricians are making preparations to install the new MCC.
3. Two standby pumps are installed and ready for operation, if needed. Pump station have been trained in the operation of these pumps and they have been turned over to Hammond SD.

**Walnut Ave Pump Station** - 5% Complete.
1. Viking Engineering has removed three pumps are they are currently being rebuilt by Viking Technology.

**North 5th Pump Station Rehab Contract C-0008 (Overstreet Engineering & Const.)**
N. 5th. Pump Station - 2%+ Complete.
1. Subcontractor "Piping Technologies" is removing one pump and its associated piping.
2. Electricians are making preparations to electrically disconnect various pumps.

See ya,
Bob
CELRC-CO-S (1180-1-1q)

MEMORANDUM FOR SEE DISTRIBUTION

SUBJECT: Contract No. DACW27-01-C-0001
Little Calumet River
Pump Stations Rehabilitation, Phase 1A
Hammond Sanitary District
Lake County, Indiana
Modification No. P00005 - Executed

1. Enclosed for your file is a copy of all pertinent information related to executed Modification No. P00005, under the subject contract.

2. Any questions concerning the enclosed items shall be directed to Douglas Anderson at (219) 923-1763/74.

Thomas A. Deja, P.E.
Area Engineer
Calumet Area Office

Enclosures

DISTRIBUTION:
CELRC-CO-S (Complete Mod. File)
CELRC-CO-C (Complete Mod. File)
CELRC-CT (Complete Mod. File)
CELRC-PM-M (Mod. Only) I. Samara
CELRC-CO-S (Mod. Only) R. Craib
CELRC-CO-S (Mod. Only) D. Anderson
\*LCRBDC (Mod. Only) J. Pokrajac
AMENDMENT OR MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT

2. REQUEST MODIFICATION NO. P00005 (FC-01.05)
3. EFFECTIVE DATE SEE BLOCK 16C
4.quisition MODIFICATION NO. 08166693015179
5. PROJECT NO. DACEW23

6. ISSUED BY CODE
Chicago District
111 North Canal Street
Suite 600
Chicago, IL 60606-7206

7. ADMINISTERED BY CODE
USAED, Chicago (CO-S)
Calumet Area Office
906 Griffith Boulevard
Griffith, IN 46319

8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (Inc, Street, County, State and Zip Code)
Overstreet Electric Co., Inc.
4220 N. Davis Highway Bldg. B
Pensacola, FL 32503

9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO.

9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11)
05 Oct 2000

10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT ORDER X DACW27-01-C-0001
10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)

11. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS
The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of offers is extended, is not extended.
Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods:
(a) By completing items 8 and 15, and returning _______ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitations and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening left and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA
See Page 2.
Contract Amount Unchanged

13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS, IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.

A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify Authority)
THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.

B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FARS 43.109(b).

C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:

D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor is not required to sign this document and return original and _______ copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF session headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)
Contract for Pump Station Rehabilitation, Phase 1A at Little Calumet River, Indiana.
Reference No. R00004
SS004 Continuing Contract Funding, $464,087.50
See Page 2.

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)
15B. CONTRACTOR'S RECORD NO. 15C. DATE SIGNED
15D. SIGNATURE OF PERSON AUTHORIZED TO SIGN

16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)
Dick L. Albert
Administrative Contracting Officer

16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

16C. DATE SIGNED
17 SEP 2001

STANDARD FORM 30
(Revised 10-03)
PRESCRIBED BY GSA
FAR (48 CFR) 53.243

NSN 7540-01-152-3070
PREVIOUS EDITION UNUSABLE

05-105-2

19
Construction-Operations Division
Calumet Area Office (1180-1-1q)

SUBJECT: Contract No. DACW27-00-C-0035
Pump Stations Rehabilitation Phase 1B
Highland and Hammond Sanitary Districts
Lake County, Indiana
Preparation for Final Inspection

Mr. Ken Thieneman
Thieneman Construction, Inc.
756 Madison Street
Crown Point, IN 46307

Dear Mr. Thieneman:

On Wednesday, September 12, 2001, representatives of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers conducted a brief walkthrough of the 81st Street and S.E. Hessville Pump Stations. Please ensure that the items listed below are on or added to Thieneman Construction, Inc.'s existing punch list, along with the previous items discussed by Robert Craib and Brian Davis.

81st. Pump Station:
1. Remove the construction trailer and communication line.
2. Grade and restore the site of the construction trailer, once it is removed.
3. Repair the downspout near the jib crane.
4. Adjust the electrical conductors away from lens within the west exterior light fixture.
5. Complete the corner of toe board above the discharge box.
6. Install bolts in existing holes where the toe board is attached to the handrail’s posts above the discharge box.
7. Remove the bolts and repair all holes and saw cuts in concrete slabs (control room floor, wet well landing, etc.)
8. Inventory MCC spare parts with Robert Craib and supply MCC spare parts to Mike Pipta.
9. Tighten concrete anchor bolt nuts at bottom of the stairway in the dry well.
10. Touch up paint on the DWP discharge piping and bolts (on top).
11. Touch up the paint on SWP-1 discharge pipe (scratch on stairway side).
12. Replace the bent stairway tread (5th. or 6th. from bottom) in dry well.
13. The emergency light's red lamp, in wet well, is burned out.
14. The wet well's supply fan is reversed (operating as an exhaust fan).
15. Adjust reset button (in the MCC) for the wet well supply fan. The fan tripped while it was in normal operation.
16. Install motor nameplates (duty cycle starting capabilities).
17. Remove confined space sign in the wet well.
18. Remove standby pump controller and PVC conduit lying on ground (north side of station).
19. Remove the trashcans.
20. Remove the dumpster.
21. Remove the portable sanitation facility.

SE Hessville Station:
1. Repair the lock at the front door (The Hammond Sanitary District pump station personnel's keys will not operate this lock. The screen room lock is keyed correctly.)
2. Remove the O&M manual from SWP-3.
3. The left lamp of the emergency light, near DWF, does not work.
4. Inventory MCC spare parts with Robert Craib and supply MCC spare parts to Jack Downing.
5. Touch up the paint on all motors.
6. Clean the ladder and concrete landing in screen room chamber.
7. Touch up the paint on the yellow toe board (east trash rack).
8. Remove paint overspray from the concrete between the trash racks.
9. Tighten all grating nuts, and install missing nut, above discharge box.
10. Remove the dumpster.
11. Remove the portable sanitation facility.

It is requested that you complete the above work prior to the final inspection.
If you have any questions regarding this matter, please do not hesitate to contact Douglas Anderson at (219) 923-1763 or 1764.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Thomas A. Deja, P.E.
Contracting Officer's Representative

Copies Furnished:
CELR-Case (Closing File) w/o Encl.
CELR-Case (Closing File - Misc. Corr.) w/o Encl.
CELR-Case (Closing File) w/o Encl.
CELR-Case (Closing File) w/o Encl.
CELR-Case (D. Anderson)
CELR-Case (B. Craib)
LCRBC (J. Pokrajac)
Construction-Operations Division
Calumet Area Office (1180-1-1q)

SUBJECT: Contract No. DACW27-00-C-0035
Pump Stations Rehabilitation Phase 1B
Highland and Hammond Sanitary Districts
Lake County, Indiana
Checklist for Turnover

Mr. James E. Pokrajac
Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission
6100 Southport Road
Portage, IN 46368

Dear Mr. Pokrajac:

A "Checklist for Turnover" that shows the status of key turnover items for the subject contract is attached. As discussed below, operations and maintenance (O&M) manuals and as-built drawings will be submitted to you under separate cover.

O&M manuals for the various equipment components were supplied to the pump station operators at the time of equipment startup. The Contractor, Thileneman Construction, Inc., is in the process of compiling copies of the individual O&M manuals into comprehensive pump station O&M manuals that will include the documentation for all equipment installed under the contract. After they have been completed, copies of the final O&M manuals will be transmitted to you for distribution to the appropriate local community representatives.

In accordance with the contract, the Contractor is in the process of finalizing the working as-built drawings. The final as-built drawings will be available within approximately two months and will be transmitted to you at that time.
If you have any questions, please contact Douglas Anderson or Bob Craib at (219) 923-1763/4.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Thomas A. Deja, P.E.
Contracting Officer's Representative

Enclosure

Copies Furnished:

Hammond Sanitary District (J. Downing)
Highland Sanitary District (M. Pipta)
Larry Webb is the owner of Webb Construction in Gary, which won the SBA Minority Business of the Year award for the state of Indiana.

Gary's Webb Construction honored for its success and commitment to community.

Gary – Larry S. Webb has owned and operated one of the state's leading minority businesses since 1958. Webb Construction, located on 30th Place in Gary, has handled major concrete, structural and other work on such mammoth projects as the city's Grant Street I-90/94 highway project. It is now busy with concrete construction for the new baseball stadium.

But no one was more surprised than Webb when his firm was named by the U.S. Small Business Administration Indiana District Office as the 2001 Minority Small Business of the Year. Webb was honored at special ceremonies held in Indianapolis last week.

"I really was surprised," Webb said. "There are a lot of people and a lot of businesses in Indiana, so I never really thought about special honors. We were all surprised, and very pleased."

Webb Construction specializes in carpentry, concrete and masonry work for residential, commercial and heavy construction projects.

The company's customer roster includes municipalities, schools, general contractors and the federal government, among others. Six projects Webb Construction received a award for excellence and commitment to the American Corps of Engineers, an agency with which it has worked for several years.

Webb's firm earned an honor bestowed upon less than 1 percent of contractors.

There are a lot of people and a lot of businesses in Indiana, so I never really thought about special honors. We were all surprised, and very pleased.

Larry S. Webb, owner of Webb Construction in Gary

STORY BY JULIA VERSAU - TIMES CORRESPONDENT

BRIEFCASE

Continued from D1

Webb said he started his company in an era when establishing a minority business was difficult, but financing was the main obstacle. Working jobs on the side while he nurtured his fledgling construction business, Webb said he exhausted all the money he made back into his business.

"Getting financing was so hard. I didn't really pursue it. The hard part was how difficult it could be to grow that way. But the good part was that when I was up and running, I didn't owe anyone a cent," Webb said.

Throughout the years of growth, Webb remained committed to his community, staying in Gary and building a firm that now employs 35 full-time workers.

Webb said he advises other minority business owners to focus on what can be achieved, but what can be achieved with the means at hand. He also said choosing a line of work one enjoys "helps you get through the rough times because you actually like what you're doing, you're not just doing it for the money."

"Sure, I had a lot of 25-hour work days," Webb said. "You have to keep your eye on the ball."

SBA Indiana District Director John Wolfe said Webb deserved this honor.

"Larry Webb is an outstanding role model for Indiana's minority communities," he said. "His dedication and commitment to his business were the driving forces behind his success."
Mr. Dan Gardner  
Little Calumet River Basin  
Development Commission  
6100 Southport Road  
Portage, Indiana 46368

Subject: Little Calumet River, Stage VIII

Dear Mr. Gardner:

I have a concern regarding the west reach in general and Stage VIII in particular. At the Stage VIII 50% plan-in-hand meeting held at SHE's facility on October 4, 2001, Mr. Jim Manden from the Town of Munster had a concern regarding the plans being at 50% without having any public input. When Mr. Manden, a representative of the community has a concern about public involvement. That concern concerns me. We do not want to progress any further on Stage VIII without a public meeting. This may delay the contractor and this may result in additional cost to the contract. We need to hold these meetings as soon as we can. We also have the same concern on Stage VII, Stage VI and Stage V. These stages are at 100% and no public meeting took place yet.

I suggest that we hold a meeting between your office and the Chicago District to discuss public meetings. We need to establish dates and format for these meetings and define the extent of the district's involvement at these meetings. I will contact your office to set a day for the meeting.

If you still have a concern regarding these items please contact me at 312-353-6400 ext. 1809.

Sincerely,

Imad N. Samara  
Project Manager
WORK STUDY SESSION
ENGINEERING COMMITTEE
November 1, 2001

Bob Huffman, Committee Chairman

1. Stage VIII review (Columbia to State Line) review & comments
   - This meeting was an engineering and field review.
   - Refer to minutes of the meeting from October 3rd & 4th, previously mailed to you in agenda packet
   - LCRBDC engineering review comments available upon request

2. Mitigation contract – Advertise Fall 2001, Construct Spring 2002
   - The COE is intending to advertise the mitigation contract for “in-project” lands this fall and start construction in Spring 2002 (Refer to Engineering Report – Page 8)
   - It is intended to be a $1 million contract with our 25% portion being $250,000

3. COE reviewing project information on internet
   - COE has started a web site:
     - Maps for recreation, trails
     - Levee alignment (existing and proposed)
     - Available by end of November 2001
     - Would make tape available also
     - Can access as follows: LRC@usace.army.mil (Go to projects; then go to Little Cal. River project)

4. Stage III Remediation contract scheduled for advertising late Fall 2001
   - This includes lift stations west of Grant, East reach remediation area lift station and demolition, and extending the combination sewer east of Grant to our line of protection.
   - Tentative advertisement for this in spring 2002

5. Formliner information:
   - We received a letter from the manufacturer/distributor addressing several concerns expressed by some of our Commissioners at our Oct. 4 Board meeting

6. We need to schedule a meeting with the Engineering Committee
   - Committee to review cost sharing measures for the project through alternative engineering (refer to handout)
Minutes

Little Calumet Stage VIII
October 3 - 4, 2001
SEH - Hammond, Indiana

Minutes By: Jeff Davis, 651.490.2025 or jdavis@sehinc.com


Copies To: James O’Riley, Jim Pokrajac, Mike Hickey, Jeff Johnson, Ron Farmer, Chuck Childs, Dave Odden Nancy Jacobson


1. ITR Comments
   a. Most of the comments were good, however no need to document spelling mistakes and other minor errors. Keep track of these separately.

2. Local Sponsor
   a. Look at houses at River Drive. Three out of four homeowners would like to stay if possible.

3. LCRBDC provided a copy of a comment letter that was set to Imad Samara.

4. Hart Ditch weir elevation is higher than the 100 year event.

5. The Thornton Quarry may be available for storage when the project is completed.

6. SEH would like to complete the project by April 1, 2001.

7. Concern expressed on plan Sheets C-19 through 22 and C-30 regarding the proximity of construction to the existing structures (houses). The alignments have been optimized to reduce the impact as much as possible.

8. Pile driving along reach 1N is of concern. Moving the wall toward the river may eliminate
impact. Moving the wall more toward the river could eliminate Sheet pile as a flood protection option.

9. A drop hammer driving rig will probably be used versus a vibratory hammer.

10. A pre-construction condition survey is included in the contract work to document structure and surface conditions.

11. Form liners will be used on all walls. Two form liners will be included in the estimate. The fractured fin type form liner is to be included as an option. SEH will provide a few catalog cuts for form liners to the local sponsor.

12. During construction a sample panel will be cast using the form liner chosen for the project.

13. Local sponsor would like a few catalog cuts for the public meetings to be held.

14. SEH proposed the elimination of the trail along the church/daycare in Reach 2N just west of Calumet Avenue. A ramp could be incorporated at the east side of the Ted Muta site for access to the top of the levee. This would provide additional space for grading/drainage along this stretch. Someone still needs to identify the unknown utility on the riverward side of the church.

15. A partial ramp would be included along the east side of the church to provide maintenance access at the west side of the Calumet Avenue bridge.

16. SEH will provide a pencil sketch along the church/daycare to depict the revised cross section.

17. SEH did optimize the location of the Ted Muta levees and walls to provide the maximum space on the landward side of the barrier. SEH provided a cost comparison between the concrete wall and Sheet pile floodwall. Someone at the District made a comparison between the levee and floodwall costs. This cost should be provided to the local sponsor at the question will likely come up during discussion with the property owner(s).

18. SEH will have a few cross sections along the Ted Muta site available for presentation at the initial public meeting.

19. If the plans sit on the Shelf for some amount of time, six months prior to bidding the project a final BCOE meeting is typically held.

20. Concern expressed about the railroad area. Representatives from NICTD will be on site on October 4, 2001.

21. Cutoff at the railroad is Sheet pile and will extend across the entire railroad embankment and into the levee section on each side.

22. Lighting in Riverside Park is considered as a local concern. These lights are leased from the power company. The power company will typically remove and replace the lights.

23. Existing headwalls to be removed as part of the construction.
24. Pump Stations  
a. Forest Avenue pump station has little work required however access is of great concern. Based on the site visit move the access to the driveway abutting the lift station.  
b. Hohman-Munster pump station has two outlet pipes stacked one on top of the other. As built plans show one outlet pipe. Photos verify the condition.  

25. Haul road designations are still required.  

26. Borrow site is not addressed in the specifications.  

27. Sheet pile tip elevations will only be shown on the structural drawings to eliminate possible errors.  

28. Comment form is on the FTP site.  

29. Design analysis is still under review.  

30. Seepage cutoff is a concern.  

31. Revise the concrete depth on the floodwalls from a 5 foot to a 4 foot bury.  

32. Don’t break out inspection trench types on the bid form. Use the current quantity as the basis of the estimate.  

33. District is reviewing the seepage analysis.  

34. Minimize seepage cutoff that could cause ground water to mound and cause wet basements. SEH will check the guidance given the DM. Someone mentioned the possible need for a seepage trench.  

35. Review the designation for baseline profile.  

36. Contractor needs to find a disposal site for the junk material to be removed from the upper portion of the existing levee.  

37. Rip rap design – add a sand cushion on top of the geotextile for rip rap placement.  

38. Look at the zoned bedding detail for the utility crossings through the levee. Check out Stage 4 – 1 for the details. Granular can be placed on the landward side of levee.  

39. SEH may need to proceed with the design without any additional utility information. This will leave many holes in the design to be addressed at the BCOE schedule for six months prior to starting the bid process.  

40. SEH should use Stage 4 – 1 as an example plan as much as possible and not Stage 6.  

41. District staff will provide Burr Stage 2 to SEH with updated details.  

42. Add chain link fencing to the gatewells. Eight feet high with barbed wire.
43. Use a bolt down grating at the surface openings for the gatewells.

44. Use side mounted posts for the chain link fence at the gatewells with a bottom rail. See plans for Stage 4-1 for details.

45. Pipe cradle detail. District wants one in the plans.

46. Construction staff would like the pipe embedded similar to Stage 4-1 south.

47. Grab bar comment.

48. Isolation plate detail comment.

49. Use a minimum 12” thickness on all headwalls.

50. Detail presented to eliminate the stop logs at the Calumet Avenue pump station and install (2) gates within the box culvert instead. This eliminates the need for a crane to install the stop logs and greatly reduces the time to secure a continuous barrier.

51. SEH requested the District check with M/E staff regarding the need for a permanent gate operator on the 84” diameter gate at the Southside pump station outfall. Is there a possibility of using the portable operators to be used on the other gates?

52. District staff to check out handrail requirements for retaining walls in the District safety manual.

53. The levee does abut the railroad embankment.

54. Add the haul routes to the real estate drawings along with the utilities.

55. Legend for the real estate drawings should stand alone.

56. COE will work closely with the local sponsor to insure a pre-project inspection the project site and haul roads.

57. SEH to look at the A-frame gates and new bollard details. Use the A-frame gates at the access points for the grass surface maintenance trails.

58. Comment made regarding the use of a tide flex in a manhole.

Interior Drainage

59. Station 15+50 Reach 2S. 24” RCP w/MH and flap gate.

60. Munster needs to get information on the storm sewer at station 25+50 Reach 2S.]

61. Tank overflow also in this area. Should only one penetration of the barrier be allowed with both the tank overflow and interior drainage connected?

62. Connect to the existing storm sewer in Reach 2S at stations 32+75 and 37+00.
63. Need to look at the drainage at Edinger Plumbing (southwest side of the project at Calumet Avenue).

64. Reach 3S — tie into the combined sewer as necessary. Munster did confirm this is acceptable. However, connections should be made to shallow pipes that are already connected to the 18 to 20 foot deep sewer.

65. Surface runoff discharge to the combined sewer is estimated to be 7 cfs during a 100 year event.

66. Verify flow in the storm sewer at the Hammond Clinic parking lot. Is the existing storm sewer connected to the combined sewer or discharging through the existing levee?

Site Visit

67. Get an updated contact for INDOT. Let INDOT know that at least four deck drains at the I-80 bridge must be redirected away from the levee.

68. Eliminate the maintenance trail at the north side of Edinger Plumbing (Reach 2S station 37+25 to the Calumet Avenue bridge). Provide access to the top of the levee on the west side of Edinger Plumbing at rough station 37+00.

69. Adjust real estate drawings to take 12 feet or one row of parking along the north side of the Hammond Clinic parking lot for equipment access.

70. Eliminate the pedestrian/maintenance trail on the south side of the church/daycare in Reach 2N (station 33+00 to 35+75). This allows for better drainage and reduces the amount of work in a very restricted work zone.

71. With the elimination of the trail at the church/daycare add a ramp at the east end of the Ted Muta site. This seems to make sense since the pedestrian traffic will need to cross Calumet Avenue at the traffic signal at River Drive. City of Hammond is responsible for the traffic signal and can change the operation to include a pedestrian crossing cycle activated by push button. Curb cuts should also be provided.

72. Under the present situation SEH’s design should be based on the four houses on River Drive in Reach 1S being removed until directed to do otherwise.

73. At the Hammond Clinic make sure there is at least 22 feet for the contractor’s north/south access.

74. Storm sewer at Edinger Plumbing should be investigated for use as a connection to the existing storm sewer in River Drive south.

75. A possible connection to the Illinois recreation trail was mentioned. However the exact location is unknown.
B. October 4, 2001

1. Government comments were covered at the meeting on October 3, 2001

2. SEH is requesting a response from the District regarding the levee and wall alignments from a hydraulic perspective.

3. SEH requested a summary memorandum regarding the interior drainage discussions with the local communities to better understand what utility information the locals are to provide.

4. SEH made a brief utility presentation and summary contact spread Sheet. In summary very little information has been provided by the utility companies. This is getting to be a critical design/layout issue.

5. SEH agreed to contact each of the utilities to verify whether they have received the 50% plans and if they have a schedule for review of the documents.

6. SEH will need 45 to 60 days to incorporate anything coming out of the public meeting(s) into the documents. Local sponsor indicated it would be at least two months before a public meeting could be scheduled.

7. Town of Munster would like to hire SEH to evaluate and design the required utility modifications. This would be after an agreement is in place between Munster and the local sponsor (LCRBDC). No objection was expressed.

8. Munster representative would like houses along River Drive to be removed. Others may have different thoughts however they will not be responding to the emergency calls during flood conditions.

9. Local sponsor asked why a floodwall could not be constructed around the houses. District did evaluate this in the early stages of the project. District project manager to research and respond to the local sponsor.

10. Munster representative indicated that if the houses are removed River Drive should be terminated in a cul-de-sac and not connected to Hohman Avenue. Eliminating the road raise would put Hohman Avenue in this area at risk.

11. SEH should not design driveway modifications required if the houses stay.

12. Munster is in the process of investigating which utilities can connect to for interior drainage purposes.

13. District views use of the gates in at the Calumet Avenue pump station as positive. HSD would like the chlorine contact tank removed and pump discharges directed into the river through a header structure and single outlet pipe. This was viewed as excessive unless HSD is willing to participate financially with respect to the removing the contact tank.

14. Munster rep. would like to see the chronology of the design development including which concepts were considered and not implemented. This information should be available for
discussion at the public meetings as well.

15. Local sponsor needs to provide input to the District regarding the wall form liners.

16. Toe trench drains and pump stations may be needed to collect seepage on the landward side of the levee in various areas of the project.

17. Inspection trench backfill could cause mounding of water on the landward side of the levee. SEH will check out the DM for guidance. District staff informed SEH that higher authority gave the District approval to deviate from the standard guidance for this project based on soil conditions.

18. Connections to the combined sewer should be made to a shallow lead. Storm sewers at Howard and Kooy Streets should be reviewed for possible connection points.

19. SEH LA's should look at the Reach 1S landscape area to verify potential problems with the future amenities planned for the site. GET GUIDANCE FROM THE DISTRICT BASED ON NICTD LETTER.

20. Fall protection discussed at the floodwalls. SEH should provide a sketch detail of proposed rail that could be incorporated.

21. SEH will copy bike safety standards and forward to the District staff for consideration. SEH staff indicated that we typically use 4.5 feet for railing height along side a bike trail.

22. Additional survey and design are required at the church/daycare to redirect the pedestrian trail.

23. Hammond representative thinks the pedestrian trail should extend along the west side of Columbia Avenue to River Drive. This looks like a good place for pedestrian and bike to cross due to traffic conditions.

24. Hammond may require contractor to post a bond in order to haul over local streets.

25. SEH will provide form liner catalog cuts to the District and local sponsor.

26. Residents are (and will be) concerned about how much of their yard is to be impacted. We can show it on a plan view, cross section or stake it in the field. What works best to get the point across?

27. Local sponsor suggested renderings be developed. SEH suggested photographs that are electronically enhanced to show the post construction conditions. If something like this is to be developed the photos should be taken before the snow flies. Construction indicated that a person should be superimposed to get a sense of size and perspective.

28. SEH - How do we proceed effectively prior to the public meeting?

29. Hammond would like the trails to be bicycle safe even if a fence is needed on one or both sides of the trail.
30. SEH needs response from the District on river hydraulics, including the wall and levee locations as well as the pedestrian bridge.

31. SEH staff gave an explanation of the wall/levee locations and the space constraints. Original project concept included a double trail in various locations however these have all been eliminated.

32. Bike safety issues may be a legal concern. A number of views were expressed.

33. Road through Riverside Park, as designed, connects to the parking lot just off Columbia Avenue. The alignment of the connection will impact a number of parking spaces in the lot.

34. Hammond to look at closing the road and building another parking lot closer to River Drive. At this time there is no parking space provided along the park road.

35. SEH requested that any firm comments from Hammond be presented in writing.

36. Utility poles along the park drive are under NIPSCO ownership. Park Board may prefer to keep the lighting even if the road is eliminated. In any event vehicle access must be provided to the Tapper Avenue pump station.

37. Hammond to consider terminating the park road with a cul-de-sac at the east end.

38. Two areas in the park are presently identified for staging areas. District construction staff has different thoughts about the number of staging areas required. There are relatively few areas to be used for staging. This project is very linear in nature. In addition, the locals were asked to comment on the phasing of the project. Is there any reason to require the contractor to construct Reach 1 then 2 then 3 or any other combination? If so, this could impact the overall staging concept.

39. There is no staging area on the south side of the project.

40. The park area at the Jackson Street pump station will be cut in half by construction of the levee with about 200 feet still usable.

41. Most if not all of the trees between the north and south barrier are gone as part of the construction.

42. Railroad bridge is a debris catcher. Not sure what the railroad has in mind for modifications if any.

43. FDM 4 does not show the location of the future concession stand.

44. Development of the trail head, parking lots and such are part of a future project.

45. Local sponsor requested the trail extend to the Illinois State line to connect to a state trail. District needs to discuss this possibility with the local sponsor. This is not presently part of the project.
46. Local sponsor may have information with respect to a study conducted by the University of Purdue regarding bike safety.

47. Hammond would like to remove debris in the river. This is not included in Stage VIII.

Representatives from NICTD accompanied District and SEH design staff to the existing railroad right of way and bridge. Subsequent to the meeting NICTD provided a letter dated October 9, 2001 that summarized their comments. A copy of this letter has been faxed to the District staff. SEH requests that discussions be held once the District has had an opportunity to evaluate the impacts on the project.

Local sponsor provided a letter from R.W. Armstrong dated September 28, 2001 with four major comments.

District staff provided a number of written comments to SEH. Formal comments are to be provided to SEH on or about October 15, 2001.
WORK STUDY SESSION
1 NOVEMBER 2001

LAND ACQUISITION / LAND MANAGEMENT
ARLENE COLVIN, CHAIRPERSON

1.) OFFERS/CONDEMNATIONS: There are no increased offers or condemnations.

2.) NEED A MOTION:
To pay an invoice for $4300 for mowing services to Precision Lawn Care from LEL funds.

3.) Emergency Response:
The training session for the closure structure at 35th east of Chase was a success. The demonstration was attended by LCRBDC, Corps, Gary Sanitary, Gary Parks, Gary Safety, White River Corps, etc. and videotaped for future reference.

4.) Agnew Park:
Robert Marszalek, Assistant Superintendent of River Forest Schools, has agreed to have a dedication ceremony for Charles Agnew Handicapped-Accessible Park next summer at the end of construction of Phase 2.

5) Public Meeting:
Public meeting for Stage VIII will be held Wednesday, 28 November 2001 at 6:30 pm at Wicker Park Social Center. Commissioners are encouraged to attend. As part of the program Dan will appeal to landowners to help with calls and letters to legislators for support for the flood project.

6.) Kennedy Industrial Park:
Appraiser Dale Kleszynski has completed a "gross appraisal" of the businesses affected by levee construction in the Kennedy Industrial Park. He has calculated a range of $843,000 to $1,160,000. The sum of $650,000 was budgeted for acquisition. The Corps reviewed current mapping to eliminate some temporary work zones and planting areas to minimize cost, however, after consulting with their engineers, the Corps thinks changes can only be made to the three (3) hotels. Right now LCRBDC would need to pay the hotels $477,000 for easements and damages. I-walls would cost about $625,000. Changes must be less than damages. On 10/29 Dale was assigned to re-appraise just the hotel area with new engineering to see how much money could be saved with new engineering.
LAND ACQUISITION REPORT
For meeting on Thursday, November 1, 2001

(Information in this report is from September 6, 2001 – October 26, 2001)

STATUS (Stage II Phase I) – Harrison to Broadway – North Levee:

STATUS (Stage II Phase II) – Grant to Harrison – North Levee:
1. Project completed December 1, 1993

STATUS (Stage II, Phase 3A (8A) – Georgia to Martin Luther King – South Levee:

STATUS (Stage II, Phase 4) – Broadway to MLK Drive – North Levee:

STATUS (Stage II, Phase 3B) – Harrison to Georgia – South Levee:
1. Project currently 98% complete.
2. Additional land will be required to temporarily extend a recreation trail along both the sidewalks east and west of Broadway to allow recreation trail continuation. (Refer to Recreation Report.)
   • Upon completion of I.U. Northwest modifications on, and adjacent to, Broadway, we will install a permanent trail crossing south of the river and along the line of flood protection, as originally proposed by the COE.
   • We will be receiving a letter from the COE requesting that we postpone Broadway and Grant Street recreation trail re-locations, and that they be included in the next recreation contract. (See Recreation Report.)

STATUS (Stage II, Phase 3C2) – Grant to Harrison:
1. Completion and turnover of O&M manuals was done on November 21, 2000.
2. The re-location of the recreation trail would require agreements with the city of Gary to be able to cross Grant St. at the light at 32nd Ave.
   • We will be receiving a letter from the COE requesting that we postpone Broadway and Grant Street recreation trail re-locations, and that they be included in the next recreation contract. (See Recreation Report.)
   • A meeting was held on April 12, 2001, to review our proposals for trails at Broadway & East of Grant. (See Recreation Report)
   • We received a response from the city of Gary on July 11 (From Roland Elvambuena, City Engineer) indicating their concurrence to our proposed re-location. (Refer to Recreation Report).

STATUS (Stage III) – Chase to Grant:
2. Final acquisitions for flowage easements east of Chase and north of the river are ongoing (DC209 to DC213). Appraisals are being reviewed by COE.
3. A letter was sent to Otho Lyles on May 24th, 2001 (along with stipulated court order) instructing them to clean up illegally dumped materials from the property.

**STATUS (Stage IV – Phase 1-North) – Cline to Burr (North of the Norfolk Southern RR):**
1. Construction is complete. Final inspection was held on August 30th, 2001, with minor turnover items & “as-built” drawings due to the LCRBDC.

**STATUS (Stage IV – Phase 1-South) – Cline to Burr (South of the Norfolk Southern RR):**
1. Bids were reviewed and Dyer Construction is the contractor. Work started on May 23rd, 2000 – 450 days to complete project. Project currently 85% complete.

**STATUS (Stage IV – Phase 2A) – Lake Etta – Burr to Clark:**
1. Construction is complete.

**STATUS (Stage IV – Phase 2B) – Clark to Chase:**
1. Construction is complete.

**STATUS (Stage V – Phase 1) – Wicker Park Manor:**
1. Project completed September 14, 1995

**STATUS (Stage V – Phase 2) – Indianapolis to Kennedy – North Levee:**
1. Wicker Park appraiser John Snell is waiting on hydrology information from the COE.
2. North Township owns the property west of Hart Ditch to Hawthorne Street. North Township has mentioned a possible relocation of the levee onto this parcel.
   - Meeting was held on 7/19/01 with COE, Munster, and North Township trustee Greg Cvitkovich. COE is reluctant to relocate the levee due to re-design costs.

**STATUS (Stage V – Phase 3) – Northcote to Indianapolis – (Woodmar Country Club):**
1. Woodmar preliminary figures are in from appraiser Dale Kleszynski. Since Woodmar Construction is 2-3 years in the future, Woodmar acquisition is not a priority.

**STATUS (Stage VI-Phase 1) – Cline to Kennedy – North of the river, and Kennedy to Liable – South of the River:**
1. The COE had an internal meeting on October 23rd, 2001, to consider modifying acquisitions in the Kennedy Industrial Park for cost savings. More discussion at the November 1st, 2001 Commission meeting.

**STATUS (Stage VI – Phase 2) Liable to Cline – South of the River:**
1. We had a recreation coordination meeting with Highland and Griffith to determine trail relocation which allow us to cross at Cline Avenue at Highway Avenue.(Refer to Recreation Report)
   - A field meeting was held with Griffith on June 27th, 2001, to review possible routes East of Cline. Information will be provided to the COE to review and implement.
STATUS (Stage VII) – Northcote to Columbia:
1. The COE submitted Stage VII real estate drawings for us to review on April 11th with a request to complete by April 23rd.
   - We submitted comments to the COE on April 24th. (No response as yet.)
2. A public meeting has been scheduled for Wednesday, November 28th, 2001 at Wicker Park Social Center. Details to be worked out.

STATUS (Stage VIII – Columbia to State Line (Both Sides of River))
- GLE is sending out introduction letters to residents who will be surveyed.

STATUS (Betterment Levee – Phase 1) E.J. & F. Railroad to, and including, Colfax North of the NIPSCO R/W – Ditch is South of NIPSCO R/W from Arbogast to Colfax.
1. Construction has been completed and the final inspection was held on August 30th, 2001. Minor turnover items and “as-built” drawings are due to the LCRBDC.

STATUS (Betterment Levee – Phase 2) Colfax to Burr Street, then North N.S. RR, then East (North of RR R/2) ½ between Burr and Clark, back over the RR, then South approx. 1,400 feet:
1. Acquisition deadline of October 2001 has been extended to summer of 2002. COE has been instructed to construct only "credible" items. Burr Street levee is a betterment; therefore, not creditable. Problem is that Burr Street area is already experiencing water increase. LCRBDC will attempt to have Burr Street levee creditable.
2. Two corporate landowners – Mansard Apartments and I-80/94 Auto Parts – are having addendums completed to the original appraisals. Five acquisitions and one railroad easement remain to be acquired. All offers, except the railroad, have been sent.

EAST REACH REMEDIATION AREA – (NORTH OF I-80/94, MLK TO I-65):
1. LCRBDC sent a letter to attorney on 10/16/01 to begin acquisition of properties on the tax sale. Private landowners will receive offers in November 2001.

MITIGATION
1. LCRBDC received a letter from Shirley Heinze President Ron Trigg on 10/22/01 stating that their attorney has reviewed the contract and will contact LCRBDC attorney.
LAND MANAGEMENT REPORT
For meeting on Thursday, November 1, 2001

(Information in this report is from September 6, 2001 – October 26, 2001)

NON-PROJECT LAND MANAGEMENT

A. Handicapped-Accessible Park
   1. The Charles Agnew Handicapped Accessible Park is complete for this year. The remaining segment will probably be completed next year and a dedication ceremony held in the summer.
B. Gleason Park-Driving Range
   1. A meeting was held with Gary Parks and Recreation on June 19th, 2001, to review and discuss scheduling, funding, and scope of work for a driving range North of 30th Ave., West of Broadway.
      • We have not received any requests, comments, or questions regarding this as of October 26, 2001.

PROJECT RELATED LAND MANAGEMENT

A. O&M (Project manual review/accepting completed segments)
   1. It is anticipated to start accepting levee segments (after inspections are completed and found acceptable) as early as November 2001.
   2. The LCRBDC agreed that we would initially inspect and accept the projects on an individual basis to relieve the contractor of his obligations. However, we will require a procedure to mutually sign off with the COE to accept O & M responsibility.
      (Ongoing)
   3. LCRBDC is currently working on O&M responsibility tables to establish each task and who will do each item. (Ongoing)

B. Mitigation (entire project area)
   1. The acquisition of DC616 – 29th & Hanley – could be a major problem. COE and LCRBDC need to discuss the elimination of this parcel.
   2. Refer to Engineering report for status on upcoming construction and enhancement for “in project” lands for mitigation.

C. Emergency Management/River Monitoring
   1. It is our understanding that the GSD will expect compensation for monitoring river levels as part of the emergency response participation plan. This will be discussed at the upcoming coordination meeting with Gary/GSD/and WREP.
      • Currently, the LCRBDC has completed review of GSD/WREP concerns that have not been addressed and will incorporate these as an item of discussion on the agenda.
• Refer to Item “F” in this Report regarding operations and maintenance.

2. LCRBDC has reviewed COE mapping which shows locations of road closings, sandbagging, and emergency response locations. A plan to coordinate each community flood event response needs to be formulated. (Ongoing)
  • This information was outdated and included a number of locations for stoplogs. Since that time, road raisings have been done as part of construction by LCRBDC & INDOT and a number of changes were incorporated into the current O&M Manual.
  • We do not have the most recent copy. COE will get us copies during the week of October 22nd, and we will incorporate this into mapping for distribution.

3. We submitted a letter to the Gary Civil Defense on September 21st including installation procedures, prints showing the installation, and a parts list, and also confirming the installation date for confirmation for October 12, 2001.
  • This information was also sent to the Gary Dept. of Public Safety, Lake County Emergency Management, WREP, Gary Sanitary District, and the COE.

4. The installation of the closure structure on 35th (East of Chase) was held on Friday, October 12, 2001.
  • Participants included Lake County Emergency Management, Gary Civil Defense, General Services from Gary, Gary Dept. of Public Safety, Gary parks Dept., GSD, WREP, the COE, and LCRBDC.
  • Total installation took approximately 2 hours.
  • A video and pictures were taken that will be developed into an instructional tape for distribution.
  • Modified installation procedures are being worked on, also for distribution.

D. Lake Erie Land Company (The Great Konomick)
   1. No next meeting date has been scheduled.

E. LAMAR Advertising Company
   1. Beginning January 1st, 2003, we will begin receiving approximately $3,300 annually each for two new signs at 80/94 and I/65 intersection.
      • We received 2 fully executed agreements from LAMAR on September 28th as reviewed and approved by the LCRBDC.
      • At the October 4th Commission meeting, Arlene Colvin stated the city of Gary’s position on the 2 proposed new signs at I-65 & I-80/94 would not be in compliance with city codes (1200’ between signs) and the city would decline their request.

F. Gary Sanitary District (White River Environmental Partners (WREP)) O&M
   1. LCRBDC has gathered information from the COE to address both engineering and maintenance questions raised by GSD/WREP. We completed a current status sheet that will be reviewed & forwarded to them for discussion as part of an agenda to turn over O&M to them.
• We submitted a request to the Coe on September 6, 2001 to address 5 remaining GSD/WREP concerns that have not been answered in writing. We cannot proceed with a meeting until these are addressed. Refer to Item “F” in this Report regarding operations and maintenance.

G. The Griffith levee west of the EJ&E RR to Cline Avenue has been completed as well as the Colfax road raise. We will be scheduling a meeting with Griffith to discuss their participation in maintaining and operating these items in the future no later than mid-November (See Engineering Report)
• The final inspection for this area was held on August 30th. We are awaiting materials and paperwork from the COE prior to turning this over.

H. Portions of west reach pump stations in Hammond and Highland are being turned over to their respective communities. Representatives of the Hammond and Highland Sanitary Districts are inspecting with the COE and Contractor and signing off as owner.
1. A letter was sent to the COE on September 6th requesting information, materials, warranties, as-built drawings, and copies of all previously signed documents from the communities that will be incorporated into our final turnover and sign-off.
2. Currently working with Highland (John Bach/Mike Griffin) to put together an agreement whereby the community/LCRBDC/COE can mutually sign off for construction acceptance, but the community assumes O&M responsibility. (Ongoing)
• Submitted to Attorney Casale on October 5, 2001 for review & comments.

General Information
A. Jerry Holcomb (Precision lawn Care) has mowed miscellaneous areas other than levees.
His estimate and prices are as follows:
Area 1 - $1500 (Stan Stann Tower)
Area 2 - $400 (30th Avenue north of NSRR)
Area 3 - $800 (North of I.U., west of Broadway)
   $600 (if we do east levee face)
Area 4 - $400 (Mr. Halford property - East of I-65, North of I-80/94)
Area 5 - $600 (Area north of 35th and west of Berkheimers)
Total Cost - $4300
• We request a motion be made to approve to pay this invoice, in the amount of $4300, out of LEL maintenance money.
September 21, 2001

Mr. Jeff Kumorek
Deputy Director
Gary Civil Defense
1100 Massachusetts
Gary, Indiana 46407

Dear Jeff:

Enclosed is our “Panel and Post Closures – Proposed Installation Procedure” which outlines a step-by-step description of how to install this closure. This procedure is preliminary but may be used during the installation of the closure panels on 35th Street east of Chase or on Chase Street north of the Little Calumet River. We have scheduled the installation of this closure structure for Friday, October 12th at 9:30 a.m. The material we will use to install the closure is currently stored in our building (Chase Street Produce) and will be available on the site for installation. It is our intent to install this closure only on 35th Avenue. This installation will serve as a trial demonstration whereby city of Gary personnel will be given the opportunity to familiarize themselves with the procedure. We have estimated that we need 6 men to install this closure in a timely and efficient manner. Will you please make arrangements to provide the manpower and tools necessary to install this closure. Will you also make arrangements to have the trenches for the closures cleaned prior to October 12th. Please contact me in order that the Army Corps of Engineers and myself may observe what has accumulated in these trenches since their last cleaning approximately 2 years ago. In our conversation, you also mentioned that you will be able to coordinate road closure with the Gary Civil Defense and/or the Gary Police during the time we will be doing this installation. We estimate that it should not take longer than 4 hours.

I have also enclosed pertinent prints from the Army Corps from our Stage III levee which was installed approximately in 1994. These prints show the plans, elevations and sections of the closure panels along with parts lists and individual details of all the parts necessary to install this structure.
We intend to provide a video service during this installation process that will later be edited in order to provide an instructional tape showing and describing the installation of this closure. We will distribute copies of this to all representatives from the city of Gary that would be involved in this process.

Please let us know if October 12th fits in your schedule. If it does not, we would then reschedule a date that will be convenient for all involved parties. If you have any questions regarding this exercise, please contact me at the above number.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

James E. Pokrajac, Agent
Land Management/Engineering

/sjm

cc: Ken Smith, Gary Civil Defense
    Garnett Watson, City of Gary
    Arlene Colvin, City of Gary, LCRBDC
    Jeff Miller, Lake County Emergency
    Dean Button, WREP
    Imad Samara, COE
    Sue Davis, COE
    Tom Deja, COE
    Ed Kawatka, COE
    GENE KELLAR PRODUCTIONS INC.
PANEL AND POST CLOSURES – PROPOSED INSTALLATION PROCEDURE

1) In response to a flood fight, get the closure material to the Chase St. closure: a) Before the low area of Chase St. becomes flooded. b) Before 35th St. closure is begun. Otherwise the material will have to be transported via Grant St. and 25th Ave.

2) Blow out dirt from the cover plate bolt recesses, preferably using an air compressor.

3) Unbolt and remove cover plates. Assure that plates are match marked for re-installation.

4) Pump out standing water from trench. Use small pump in one of the post pockets using it as a sump.

5) Assure that the post pockets are reasonably clean. Clean sill to allow for a good seal.

6) Install the posts in the pockets.

7) Loosely install clip bolts and clips in slots on the bottom row of 3 ft. panels. Install a pair between each post in each bottom slot of each one ft. panel (18 required on each 3 ft. high panel)

8) Install bottom row of 3 ft high panels including loose vertical seal at end without a seal. Bolt vertical joints first using 20, 5/8” bolts with nut and 2 washers per bolt. Next install wedges through embedded pins in trench. Next drive in wooden wedges into post pockets. Now fasten clips to posts.

9) After loosely installing clip bolts as in “7” above, install the second row of 3 ft. high panels. Install vertical seal bolts as necessary to maintain alignment of horizontal slots. Install connector pins to connect second row to first with pin head on top. Install pin wedges through pins. Fasten clips to posts.

10) Repeat “9” above for the third row of 3 ft. high panels followed by the one ft. high row of panels.

Note that past installations have had problems aligning subsequent rows of panels when previous rows were fastened tight. It may be necessary to loosen previous rows to allow connections.
September 28, 2001

Mr. James E. Pokrajac, Agent
Land Management / Engineering
Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission
6100 Southport Road
Portage, Indiana 46368

RE: Lamar Lease #3180 (W/S I-65 App. 1400’ S/O I-94, Gary, IN)
    Lamar Lease #3190 (N/S I-94 App. 2000’ W/O I-65, Gary, IN)

Dear Mr. Pokrajac:

Please find enclosed one fully executed Lease Agreement for each of the above-referenced properties.

If you should have any questions or concerns regarding the enclosed agreements, please do not hesitate to contact our Leasing Manager, Ed Marcin, at a time that is most convenient for you.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

Ginni Winston
Real Estate Secretary

Enclosures

Cc: Ed Marcin – Real Estate Manager, Lamar
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>NET 45 DAYS</th>
<th>PAYMENT TERMS</th>
<th>BILLING DATE</th>
<th>INVOICE DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>400.00</td>
<td>10/31/02 DUE DATE</td>
<td>10/31/02</td>
<td>224-766-9996</td>
<td>10/31/02</td>
<td>11/30/02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL AMOUNT:** 4800.00

**SUBTOTAL:**

- 600.00
- 400.00
- 1400.00
- 400.00
- 1500.00

**DESCRIPTION**

- Area 5 - north of 35th Ave. and west of Berthelmers
- Area 4 - south of 35th Ave. east of I-55 and west
- Area 3 - west of Broadway north of I-290 (including levee)
- Area 2 - south of 39th Avenue and north of the river
- Area 1 - south of 35th Ave. east of Oak

**NOTICE:**

Gary, Indiana 46409
3178 Broadway
Precision Law Care

**INVOICE NUMBER:** 1504
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### CALUMET AREA OFFICE
### CONTRACT STATUS REPORT
### 1 – 27 OCT 2001

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONT. NO.</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>CONTRACTOR</th>
<th>ORIGINAL AMOUNT</th>
<th>CURRENT AMOUNT</th>
<th>OBLIGATED AMOUNT</th>
<th>EARNED AMOUNT</th>
<th>FINAL W/C</th>
<th>% COMPLETE</th>
<th>SCH</th>
<th>ACT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>95-0071</td>
<td>STA. II-PHS 3B</td>
<td>RAUSCH</td>
<td>$3,293,368.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$3,477,249.66</td>
<td>$3,280,112.42</td>
<td>05 DEC 98</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>959776</td>
<td>(GARCES)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,477,249.66</td>
<td>05 DEC 98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(KARWATKA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,280,112.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(TURNER)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,280,112.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COMMENTS:**
Contractor completing punchlist items.

Awaiting PP-PM/ED-D responses to following issues: Completion of As-Built drawings by ED-DT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONT. NO.</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>CONTRACTOR</th>
<th>ORIGINAL AMOUNT</th>
<th>CURRENT AMOUNT</th>
<th>OBLIGATED AMOUNT</th>
<th>EARNED AMOUNT</th>
<th>FINAL W/C</th>
<th>% COMPLETE</th>
<th>SCH</th>
<th>ACT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>95-0073</td>
<td>STA. IV-PHS 2A</td>
<td>DYER</td>
<td>$2,473,311.50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$3,504,445.80</td>
<td>$3,303,000.00</td>
<td>29 SEP 01</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7179328</td>
<td>(GARCES)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,504,445.80</td>
<td>29 SEP 01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(RUNDZAITIS)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,303,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COMMENTS:**
Building Installed. Minor Punchlist items identified and being completed.

P00035 (FC-73.37) – Reallocate existing obligated amount amongst work items. Executed and distributed.

Awaiting PP-PM/ED-D responses to following: None at this time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONT. NO.</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>CONTRACTOR</th>
<th>ORIGINAL AMOUNT</th>
<th>CURRENT AMOUNT</th>
<th>OBLIGATED AMOUNT</th>
<th>EARNED AMOUNT</th>
<th>FINAL W/C</th>
<th>% COMPLETE</th>
<th>SCH</th>
<th>ACT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>95-0076</td>
<td>STA. II-PHS 4</td>
<td>RAUSCH</td>
<td>$3,099,662.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$4,186,070.75</td>
<td>$4,175,000.00</td>
<td>22 SEP 98</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7168714</td>
<td>(GARCES)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$4,186,070.75</td>
<td>22 SEP 98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(KARWATKA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$4,175,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(TURNER)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$4,175,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COMMENTS:**
Contractor completing punchlist items.

Finalizing quantities on all unit priced items. Awaiting final supporting data from Contractor.

FC-76.XX - LEVEE QUANTITY OVERRUN EXCEEDING 115%. – Received revised final cross-section from Contractor. Provided Contractor CO-S review comments. Contractor reviewing.

FC-76.XX - Contractor requesting additional cost due to wet material from Deep River Barrow Site. CO-S has completed review, and presented results of review to Contractor. Contractor reviewing CO-S information.

Awaiting PP-PM/ED-D Responses to the Following Issues: Completion of As-Built Drawings by ED-DT.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONT. NO.</th>
<th>ORIGINAL CURRENT</th>
<th>ORIGINAL CURRENT</th>
<th>ORIGINAL OBLIGATED SUBSTANTIAL</th>
<th>ORIGINAL EARNED</th>
<th>FINAL W/O D. COMPLETION</th>
<th>% COMPLETE COMPLETION</th>
<th>% COMPLETE ACT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>97-0026</td>
<td>IV-2B</td>
<td>DYER CONSTR.</td>
<td>$1,530,357.50</td>
<td>16 NOV 98</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>717693881</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,939,038.28</td>
<td>30 SEP 00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(GARCES)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,939,038.28</td>
<td>30 SEP 00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(KARWATKA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,939,038.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(TURNER)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,939,038.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COMMENTS:**
Final inspection completed on 23 JUL 2001 with Sponsor and District personnel. PM-M requested fence be added to gatewell structure. All contract required features acceptable.

FC-26.24 – PM-M request for fence around gatewell. Issued RFP; Received Proposal; Preparing to Negotiate.

Awaiting PP-PM/ED-D responses to the following items. **None at this time.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONT. NO.</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>CONTRACTOR</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
<th>FINAL W/O D. COMPLETION</th>
<th>% COMPLETE COMPLETION</th>
<th>% COMPLETE ACT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>99-C-0040</td>
<td>EAST REACH</td>
<td>DYER CONST. CO.</td>
<td>$1,657,913.00</td>
<td>03 OCT 00</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%35539</td>
<td>REMEDIATION</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,873,784.88</td>
<td>03 OCT 00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(DEJA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,873,784.88</td>
<td>03 OCT 00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(LEE)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,873,784.88</td>
<td>03 OCT 00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(TURNER)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,873,784.88</td>
<td>03 OCT 00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COMMENTS:**
Preparing Contract Closeout documents.

Awaiting PP-PM/ED-D responses to the following: None at this time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONT. NO.</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>CONTRACTOR</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
<th>FINAL W/O D. COMPLETION</th>
<th>% COMPLETE COMPLETION</th>
<th>% COMPLETE ACT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>99-C-0027</td>
<td>C. SHORELINE</td>
<td>AMERICAN</td>
<td>$10,819,641.25</td>
<td>13 DEC 00</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%48691</td>
<td>I-55 TO 30th</td>
<td>MARINE CONST.</td>
<td>$13,323,614.83</td>
<td>13 DEC 00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(GARCES)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$13,216,189.83</td>
<td>30 NOV 00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(NEWELL)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$13,100,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(SMITH)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COMMENTS:**
Awaiting as-built drawings.

(FC-27.XX) – Offsite Disposal Quantity Overrun – Conducted preliminary negotiations. Awaiting revised proposal from Contractor that reflects revised final quantities and preliminary negotiations.

P00028 (FC-27.29) – Contractor VECP on water/SSP connection; $33,315.17 DECREASE and no change in time. Awaiting Contractor signature. **Contractor has requested info. under FOIA. related to Collateral Savings.**

CO/OIC provided PARC letter on requiring deletion of collateral savings from VECP clause. CO-S to forward on to Contractor.

Awaiting PP-PM/ED-D responses to the following items: None at this time.

Awaiting STS response to the following items: None at this Time
**CONT. NO.**

**PR&C NO.**

**(CO&SO MGR.)**

**DESCRIPTION**

**CONTRACTOR**

**AMOUNT**

**CONTRACT**

**ORIGINAL**

**CURRENT**

**OBLIGATED**

**EARNED**

**FINAL W/O D.**

**COMPLETION**

**% COMPLETE**

**SCH.**

**ACT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>00-C-0003</th>
<th>STAGE IV -</th>
<th>DILLON</th>
<th>$2,708,720.00</th>
<th>7 JAN 2001</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%22339</td>
<td>PHASE 1</td>
<td>CONTRACTORS</td>
<td>$3,013,910.52</td>
<td>1 MAY 2001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ANDERSON)</td>
<td>NORTH</td>
<td>INC.</td>
<td>$2,903,910.52</td>
<td>1 MAY 2001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(KARWATKA)</td>
<td>(TURNER)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,945,781.62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COMMENTS:**

Initiating Contract Closeout.

Approved redline as-built drawings and returned to Contractor for preparation of final as-builds.

Final Inspection was held on 30 AUG 2001.

P000XX (FC-03.XX) – Final Quantities. Preparing Modification.

Awaiting PP-PM/ED responses to the following: None at this time.

Awaiting Ayres Responses to the following items: None at this time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>00-C-0021</th>
<th>BURR</th>
<th>DYER</th>
<th>$2,074,072.70</th>
<th>24 JUN 01</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%198030</td>
<td>BETTERMENT</td>
<td>CONST. CO.</td>
<td>$2,228,652.16</td>
<td>24 JUL 01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ANDERSON)</td>
<td>PHASE I</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,228,652.16</td>
<td>24 JUL 01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(WALDRON)</td>
<td>(TURNER)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,228,652.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COMMENTS:**

Completed punchlist list items, including sluice gate dial indicators, reseeding, and as-built drawings.

Final Inspection was held on 30 AUG 2001.

Awaiting PP-PM/ED response to the following items: None at this time.

Initiating Contract Closeout.
### COMMENTS:
Contractor is continuing with submittals.

Began electrical work & pulling pumps at Homan/Munster.

Continued pulling pumps from Walnut Avenue pump station for refurbishment.

Fairbanks Morse pumps from Walnut Station have been previously refurbished and OEM parts will not work. Currently pursuing local manufacture of required parts.

Pulled one (1) pump for refurbishment from south Kennedy.

FC-01.01 – S. Kennedy Trash Rack Revisions. **Awaiting Contractors Proposal.**

#### 23- 00-C-0002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>CONTRACTOR</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
<th>OBLIGATED</th>
<th>CURRENT</th>
<th>CURRENT</th>
<th>EARNED</th>
<th>SUBSTANTIAL</th>
<th>FINAL W/O D</th>
<th>% COMPLETE</th>
<th>SCH. ACT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PUMP STA.</td>
<td>OVERSTREET</td>
<td>$4,639,400.00</td>
<td>$4,075,905.00</td>
<td>08 OCT 02</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%015179 1A</td>
<td>ELECTRIC COMPANY</td>
<td>$834,592.50</td>
<td>$796,400.00</td>
<td>08 OCT 02</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ANDERSON)</td>
<td>(CRAIB)</td>
<td>(TURNER)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments:**
Closeout file completed. Awaiting ED completion of As-Built drawings in order to transfer files. Drawings were returned once again for corrections.

**Awaiting PP-PM response to following items: Correction/Completion of As-Built Drawings.**

#### 00-C-0019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>CONTRACTOR</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
<th>OBLIGATED</th>
<th>CURRENT</th>
<th>CURRENT</th>
<th>EARNED</th>
<th>SUBSTANTIAL</th>
<th>FINAL W/O D</th>
<th>% COMPLETE</th>
<th>SCH. ACT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAL RIVER DREDGING</td>
<td>MICHIGAN</td>
<td>$1,922,680.00</td>
<td>$2,099,280.10</td>
<td>08 NOV 00</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%496849</td>
<td>LAKE</td>
<td>$1,922,680.00</td>
<td>$2,099,280.10</td>
<td>08 NOV 00</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(DEJA)</td>
<td>MICHIGAN CONTRACTORS</td>
<td>$2,099,280.10</td>
<td>$2,099,280.10</td>
<td>15 APR 01</td>
<td>15 APR 01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(NEWELL)</td>
<td>(TURNER)</td>
<td>(TURNER)</td>
<td>(TURNER)</td>
<td>(TURNER)</td>
<td>(TURNER)</td>
<td>(TURNER)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments:**
Contractor submitted As-Built Drawings for 2nd review. CO-S returned to Contractor for correction.

Initiating closeout activities.

**Awaiting PP-PM/ED-D responses to the following: None at this time.**
PR&C NO. | CURRENT OBLIGATED | CURRENT SUBSTANTIAL | DESCRIPTION | CONTRACTOR | EARNED AMOUNT | FINAL W/O D. COMPLETION | % COMPLETE | SCH. | ACT
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
00-C-0015 IV - 1 SOUTH | Dyer CONST. | $3,862,736.65 | 09 AUG 2001 | 100 | 100
%476784 (Anderson) | (KARWATKA) | $4,185,593.95 | 12 OCT 2001 |

COMMENTS:
Continuing levee & ramp construction.

Working on punchlist items.

P00016 (FC-15.15, 17 & 18) - Additional RipRap & guardrail at gatewell at Sta. 31+40; Additional Topsoil, and WIND fencing; $32,510.56 increase and no time change, issued RFP. Preparing Modification.

FC-15.XX – Existing Levee at Colfax to match INDOT roadraise. Preparing RFP.

Awaiting PM-M/E/D-D responses to the following items: None at this time.

01-C-0008 PUMP NORTH | OVERSTREET ENG. & CONST. COMPANY | $2,387,500.00 | 17 MAR 2003 | 4 | 3
%15555 (Anderson) (Craig) (Turner)

COMMENTS:
Contractor preparing and submitting submittals.

Removing DWP-1, DWP-2.

FC-08.01 – Installation of Metering Transformer Cabinet and Electrical Connections to NIPSCO Transformer. Awaiting Proposal.

FC-08.03 – Delete 3 Ton Portable Gantry Crane; Awaiting Proposal.

P00004 (FC-08.05) - $100K Continuing Contract Funding. Executed and Distributed.

00-C-XXXX IN HARBOR | CDF CUTOFF WALL | $150,000.00 | $ 65,000.00 |
(Anderson) (Rundzaitis) (Turner)

COMMENTS:
Advertised. Conducted Pre-Bid Site Visit and Conference. Issued Amendment No. 2.

Proposal due 30 OCT 01.

Proposal evaluation board scheduled to convene on 05 NOV 2001.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(CO-S QA)</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>CONTRACTOR</th>
<th>EARNED AMOUNT</th>
<th>FINAL W/O D. COMPLETION</th>
<th>% COMPLETE</th>
<th>SCH. ACT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00-C-0033</td>
<td>BURNS HARBOR</td>
<td>AMERICAN</td>
<td>$1,727,500.00</td>
<td>16 SEP 2001</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00-C-0033</td>
<td>NORTH B/W REPAIR</td>
<td>MARINE</td>
<td>$2,675,480.03</td>
<td>14 OCT 2001</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(GARCES)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(NEWELL)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(SMITH)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COMMENTS:**
Contractor completed placing types A, B, and C.

Awaiting As-Built Drawings.

P00059 (FC-33.09) - Final Quantities - $73,330.03 INCREASE and no time. Mailed to Contractor for Signature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(CO-C MGR.)</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>CONTRACTOR</th>
<th>EARNED AMOUNT</th>
<th>FINAL W/O D. COMPLETION</th>
<th>% COMPLETE</th>
<th>SCH. ACT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01-C-0002</td>
<td>CAL. HARBOR</td>
<td>HOLLY</td>
<td>$1,388,898.00</td>
<td>10 OCT 01</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01-C-0002</td>
<td>CDF RPR.</td>
<td>MARINE</td>
<td>$1,388,898.00</td>
<td>10 OCT 01</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(GARCES)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(LEE)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(TURNER)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COMMENTS:**
Continued placing "A" and "B" stone.

P00004 (FC-32.03) - $400,000.00 Continuing Contract Funding: Executed & Distribution to be made.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(CO-S QA)</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>CONTRACTOR</th>
<th>EARNED AMOUNT</th>
<th>FINAL W/O D. COMPLETION</th>
<th>% COMPLETE</th>
<th>SCH. ACT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00-C-0035</td>
<td>PUMP 1B</td>
<td>THIENEMAN</td>
<td>$1,963,400.00</td>
<td>24 JUL 01</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00-C-0035</td>
<td>CONSTRUCTION</td>
<td>INC.</td>
<td>$2,120,730.12</td>
<td>18 SEP 01</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ANDERSON)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(CRAIB)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(TURNER)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COMMENTS:**
Preparing As-Bults and Final O&M Manuals

Completed Final Inspection and Final O&M Manuals

Initiating Contract Closeout.

Awaiting PP-PMED-D responses to following items: None at this time.
COMMENTS:
Contractor completed mobilization and will be starting work.

49-01-C-0016  C. SHORELINE  BROADWAY  $7,671,948.00  0  0
%77628  41ST,43RD  CONSOLIDATED  $7,671,948.00
(SMOLAR)  COMPS
(NEWELL)

COMMENTS:
Pre-Con scheduled for 14 NOV 01 at District Office.
NTP letter issued to Contractor on 24 OCT 01.

02-C-00XX  CADY MARSH  DITCH
%  
(_____

COMMENTS:
Design Ongoing

02-C-00XX  TRAIL CREEK  DREDGING
%
(_____

COMMENTS:
Due to Local Sponsor/Disposal/PCA issues, contract will not be issued until spring for June/July dredging.

XX-C-00XX  LITTLE CAL  STG. VIII
%  
(_____

COMMENTS:

CONTRACT
CONT. NO.  PAT. NO.
(CO-S MGR.)  (CO-S QA)
(CO-C MGR.)  DESCRIPTION  CONTRACTOR  ORIGINAL  ORIGINAL  ORIGINAL  ORIGINAL
CURRENT  CURRENT  CURRENT  CURRENT
OBLIGATED  EARNED  SUBSTANTIAL  FINAL W/O D
% COMPLETE  COMPLETION  SCH  ACT

02-C-00XX  LITTLE CAL  MITIGATION
%  
(_____

9
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Phone (Business)</th>
<th>Phone (Home)</th>
<th>Email Address</th>
<th>Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WILLIAM TANKE</td>
<td>464-2838</td>
<td>462-3842</td>
<td><a href="mailto:william.tanke@nipsco.com">william.tanke@nipsco.com</a></td>
<td>290 NORTH 250 WEST VALPARAISO, IN 46385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Chairman)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:huffman@msn.com">huffman@msn.com</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROBERT HUFFMAN</td>
<td>647-5241</td>
<td>924-4881</td>
<td><a href="mailto:rehuffman@nipsco.com">rehuffman@nipsco.com</a></td>
<td>9607 DOGWOOD MUNSTER, IN 46321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Vice Chairman)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:huffman@msn.com">huffman@msn.com</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CURT VOSTI</td>
<td>853-6378</td>
<td>937-1042</td>
<td><a href="mailto:curt.vosti@jormsm.com">curt.vosti@jormsm.com</a></td>
<td>HAMMOND PARKS &amp; RECREATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Treasurer)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HAMMOND CIVIC CENTER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOHN MROCZKOWSKI</td>
<td>755-3755</td>
<td>838-7938</td>
<td><a href="mailto:john@cyberz.net">john@cyberz.net</a></td>
<td>5825 SOHL AVE. HAMMOND, IN 46320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Secretary)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHARLES AGNEW</td>
<td>962-5488</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:clanvan1@aol.com">clanvan1@aol.com</a></td>
<td>2924 FRANKLIN ST. HIGHLAND, IN 46322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEORGE CARLSON</td>
<td>844-6492</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>311 McKinley ST. HOBART, IN 46342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STEVE DAVIS</td>
<td>874-8316</td>
<td>879-2499</td>
<td><a href="mailto:steve@netnitco.net">steve@netnitco.net</a></td>
<td>7343 ARIZONA AVE. HAMMOND, IN 46323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARLENE COLVIN</td>
<td>881-1312</td>
<td>882-9244</td>
<td><a href="mailto:arlene@ci.gary.in.us">arlene@ci.gary.in.us</a></td>
<td>DNR, DIVISION OF WATER 100 WEST WATER ST.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:Acolvin@ci.gary.in.us">Acolvin@ci.gary.in.us</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MICHIGAN CITY, IN 46360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:leneocol@aol.com">leneocol@aol.com</a></td>
<td>881-5268</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMERSON DELANEY</td>
<td>926-6138</td>
<td>983-3058</td>
<td><a href="mailto:emerson@niia.net">emerson@niia.net</a></td>
<td>1336 WASHINGTON #202 GARY, IN 46402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARK RESHKIN</td>
<td>462-4063</td>
<td>462-7100</td>
<td><a href="mailto:mark@netnitco.net">mark@netnitco.net</a></td>
<td>CITY HALL, 401 BROADWAY GARY, IN 46402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARION WILLIAMS</td>
<td>962-1505</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1359 NORTH 100 EAST CHESTERTON, IN 46304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAN GARDNER</td>
<td>763-0696</td>
<td>836-4326</td>
<td><a href="mailto:dgardner@niirpc.org">dgardner@niirpc.org</a></td>
<td>2907 WINDSOR TRAIL VALPARAISO, IN 46385-9320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Executive Director)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOU CASALE</td>
<td>736-2163</td>
<td>736-5025</td>
<td><a href="mailto:lou@niia.net">lou@niia.net</a></td>
<td>7606 HAROLD AVE. GARY, IN 46403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Attorney)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6100 SOUTHPORT RD. PORTAGE, IN 46368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5201 FOUNTAIN DR., SUITE A CROWN POINT, IN 46307</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**STANDING COMMITTEES - 2001**

**LAND ACQUISITION/MGMT**
Chuck Agnew, Chair
Arlene Colvin
Mark Reshkin
Curt Vosti

**RECREATION**
Curt Vosti, Chair
Charles Agnew
Emerson Delaney
Steve Davis
Robert Huffman

**MARINA**
Bill Tanke, Chair
Charles Agnew
Steve Davis
Emerson Delaney

**FINANCE**
Curt Vosti, Chair
Charles Agnew
Arlene Colvin
John Mroczkowski

**LEGISLATIVE**
George Carlson, Chair
Arlene Colvin
John Mroczkowski
Curt Vosti

**ENGINEERING**
Robert Huffman, Chair
George Carlson
Emerson Delaney
Mark Reshkin

**MINORITY / LOCAL CONTRACTING**
Marion Williams, Chair
Arlene Colvin
Steve Davis
**LITTLE CALUMET RIVER BASIN**  
**DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION**  
**APPOINTMENT STATUS**  
(As of December 1, 2000)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Appointing Authority</th>
<th>Term Expiration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>DEMOCRAT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Agnew</td>
<td>Governor</td>
<td>12-31-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Carlson</td>
<td>Mayor of Hammond</td>
<td>12-31-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arlene Colvin</td>
<td>Mayor of Gary</td>
<td>12-31-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Mark Reshkin</td>
<td>Governor</td>
<td>12-31-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Mroczkowski</td>
<td>Governor</td>
<td>12-31-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marion Williams</td>
<td>Lake County Commissioners</td>
<td>12-31-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>REPUBLICAN</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerson Delaney</td>
<td>Governor</td>
<td>12-31-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Huffman</td>
<td>Governor</td>
<td>12-31-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Tanke</td>
<td>Porter County</td>
<td>12-31-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curtis Vosti</td>
<td>Governor</td>
<td>12-31-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>INDEPENDENT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Davis</td>
<td>IDNR Director</td>
<td>12-31-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCT</td>
<td>VENDOR NAME</td>
<td>AMOUNT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5811</td>
<td>CASALE, WOODWARD &amp; BULS, LLP</td>
<td>283.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5811</td>
<td>CASALE, WOODWARD &amp; BULS, LLP</td>
<td>17.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5812</td>
<td>NRPC</td>
<td>9,152.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5821</td>
<td>NAFSMA</td>
<td>888.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5821</td>
<td>SANDY MORDUS</td>
<td>45.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5822</td>
<td>JIM POKRAJAC</td>
<td>30.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5824</td>
<td>VERIZON</td>
<td>113.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5824</td>
<td>MCI</td>
<td>162.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5824</td>
<td>A T &amp; T</td>
<td>93.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5825</td>
<td>SAND RIDGE BANK</td>
<td>44.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5825</td>
<td>SAND RIDGE BANK</td>
<td>12.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5825</td>
<td>SAND RIDGE BANK</td>
<td>191.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5825</td>
<td>SAND RIDGE BANK</td>
<td>20.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5838</td>
<td>CASALE, WOODWARD &amp; BULS, LLP</td>
<td>5,941.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5843</td>
<td>LAKE COUNTY RECORDER</td>
<td>32.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5843</td>
<td>INDIANA TITLE NETWORK COMPANY</td>
<td>75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5844</td>
<td>JAMES POKRAJAC</td>
<td>1,932.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5844</td>
<td>JAMES POKRAJAC</td>
<td>141.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5844</td>
<td>JAMES POKRAJAC</td>
<td>4,370.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5844</td>
<td>JUDITH VAMOS</td>
<td>2,508.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5844</td>
<td>JUDITH VAMOS</td>
<td>19.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5844</td>
<td>JUDITH VAMOS</td>
<td>2,020.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5844</td>
<td>SANDY MORDUS</td>
<td>159.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5844</td>
<td>SANDY MORDUS</td>
<td>318.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5844</td>
<td>G. LORRAINE KRAY</td>
<td>775.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5844</td>
<td>G. LORRAINE KRAY</td>
<td>742.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5845</td>
<td>DLZ</td>
<td>2,300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5845</td>
<td>DLZ</td>
<td>1,050.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5845</td>
<td>DLZ</td>
<td>2,020.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5845</td>
<td>DLZ</td>
<td>1,550.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5845</td>
<td>DILLON CONTRACTORS INC</td>
<td>390.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5845</td>
<td>PRECISION LAWN CARE</td>
<td>4,300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5848</td>
<td>THE SLOAN GROUP</td>
<td>120.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5851</td>
<td>MERIDIAN TITLE CORP</td>
<td>1,174.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5852</td>
<td>ELGIN JOLIET &amp; EASTERN RWY</td>
<td>4,532.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5852</td>
<td>ELGIN JOLIET &amp; EASTERN RWY</td>
<td>1,830.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>50,486.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**LITTLE CALUMET RIVER BASIN**  
**DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION**  
**ATTENDANCE ROSTER**

**NAME OF MEETING:**  LCRBDC  
**DATE:**  11-1-01  
**LOCATION:**  6100 Southport Portage  
**CHAIRMAN:**  Bill Tanke

**PLEASE SIGN IN**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NAME (PLEASE PRINT)</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION, ADDRESS, PHONE NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sandy O'Brien</td>
<td>Hubart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ted M. Macker</td>
<td>Ted M. Alder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Jim Fleck</td>
<td>R. W. Armstrong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Iman Samaara</td>
<td>Corps Eng</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Bill Pettrice</td>
<td>High Land</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Maria</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IN ATTENDANCE:  
LCRBDC  
Dan Gardner  
Lou Casale  
Lorraine Kray  
Angela Ogrentz  
Judy Vamos  

COE  
Paul Mohrhardt  
Imad Samara  
Chrystal Spokane  

1. STATUS OF APPRAISAL SPREADSHEET FOR STAGE VI-1  
(DALE'S FEASIBILITY REPORT ON THE KENNEDY INDUSTRIAL PARK)  
There are 22 separate acquisitions in the Kennedy Industrial Park area east of Carlson-Oxbow Park and 15 of these are corporate acquisitions. Current plans show the levee cutting into delivery driveways or parking lots of these businesses resulting in a large purchase price for easements. LCRBDC is having Dale Klesczynski write a feasibility study that will give an approximation of the cost for these easements. Imad said that the Corps will review engineering plans to eliminate planting zones at the base of the levee, decrease the levee side slopes, or consider an I-wall to eliminate these costly easements.

Judy will call Dale and have him submit the study by 10/15/01. Corps will then review the study, make changes if possible, and return it to Jim for new surveys.  
(ACTION: Judy/LCRBDC)

Dan reported that LCRBDC has received the $3,000,000 first installment of LCRBDC biennium monies, however, the next $2,500,000, which is dependent on the economy, may not be forthcoming. Spending the $3 million must be done wisely and state legislators must be educated to the fact that they have a responsibility to an ongoing federal contract.

Imad stated that the Corps at this point is not looking to construct any levees until 2004 because LCRBDC's funding situation can't keep up with the Corps's. At this rate the project will go on another ten years and the Corps thinks that time is too long. Acquisition and crediting, however, must continue to show performance results. Imad said that something must be done to deal with the state.

Paul Mohrhardt stated that if the LCRBDC can't depend on continued state funding, LCRBDC can't be considered a viable local sponsor and stopping the project may be an alternative. Pressure is on at the Corps since the 11 September atrocity to take a second look at existing projects and their results. The Corps has had a change of priorities and people may be pulled away into other projects. Chrystal mentioned that the Corps may eliminate a full-time Corp Realty Specialist from the project.
4. **BURR STREET BETTERMENT LEVEE**

Offers are out on the Burr Street properties and must be honored even though Burr Street is not creditable and at this rate will not be constructed for two years. It was agreed that Gary needs to be brought into the Burr Street discussion. Gary desires to be out of the floodplain and may be willing to help financially to complete the Burr Street levee and ditches. Dan and the Corps will begin a dialogue with Mayor King.

(ACTION: COE and LCRBDC)

5. **DISCUSS THE MONEY FOR CREDIT**

Dan is confident LCRBDC will receive credit for the bridges. He's pursued several people at the Federal Highway Commission and they have assured Dan they will write a letter to the Corps granting LCRBDC credit for the Rt. 41 bridge, the Grant Street road-raising, and the Georgia Street culverts under I-80/94. This will prove further to the governor's staff that LCRBDC is serious about crediting.

(ACTION: Dan/LCRBDC)

6. **OTHER ISSUES**
   a. **Meeting with Visclosky's Staff**
   
   Dan, Lou, and Imad will meet with Mark Lopez and other Visclosky staff on Monday 1 October 2001 to discuss project funding problems.

   b. **Stage VII 50% Drawings Meeting on 3 & 4 October 2001 with the AE**
   
   Jim will attend the October meeting with the AE for Stage VIII.

   c. **Stage VIII Real Estate Maps to be Completed 19 October 2001**
   
   Imad mentioned that in addition to the AE meeting a public meeting for residents affected by the project for Stages VII and VIII should be planned soon. Judy requested that the meeting be held "not too soon." She said that when residents attend meetings too soon anticipation is raised and residents are disappointed and difficult to deal with when actual construction dates are two to three years away.

7. **NEXT MEETING**

Next meeting will be held 30 October 2001, 9:30 am, LCRBDC office

*JV 9/25/01*
CASH POSITION - JANUARY 1, 2001

CHECKING ACCOUNT
LAND ACQUISITION 541,026.11
GENERAL FUND 49,962.51
TAX FUND 0.00
INVESTMENTS 2,556,370.25
ESCROW ACCOUNT INTEREST 21,476.77

RECEIPTS - JANUARY 1, 2001 - SEPTEMBER 30, 2001
LEASE RENTS 33,700.00
INTEREST INCOME (FROM CHECKING & CALUMET BANK) 2,876.07
LAND ACQUISITION 955,538.00
ESCROW ACCOUNT INTEREST (YEAR TO DATE) 269.93 35.92 Available
MISC INCOME 459,906.95
AMERITECH 16,746.91
EMERSON DELANSEY 100.00
MERIDIAN TITLE 465.89
MERIDIAN TITLE 495.36
TICOR 540.00
CALUMET BANK 167,859.35 LEL MONEY
BANK ONE 12,911.42 MARINA BOND FUND
L. C. AUDITOR 20,000.00 TOWN OF HIGHLAND 675.00
LOAN FROM CERTIF 239,272.00
INVESTMENT RE: ESCROW CHECKING (UP TO 10/1/01) 33,510.66
KRBC REIMBURSEMENT RE: TELEPHONE CHARGE 1,560.94
PROCEEDS FROM VOIDED CHECKS 0.00
TOTAL RECEIPTS 1,466,228.76

DISBURSEMENTS - JANUARY 1, 2001 - SEPTEMBER 30, 2001
ADMINISTRATIVE
2001 EXPENSES PAID IN 2001 179,710.72
PERS DEB 7,350.00
LEGAL SERVICES 5,149.47
NURSE 82,906.23
TRAVEL & MEALS 1,382.04
PRINTING & ADVERTISING 2,377.07
BONDS & INSURANCE 6,942.63
TELEPHONE EXPENSE 5,307.54
MEETING EXPENSE 2,902.39

LAND ACQUISITION
LEGAL SERVICES 47,906.13
APPRAISAL SERVICES 130,650.00
ENGINEERING SERVICES 32,406.42
LAND PURCHASE CONTRACTUAL 31,059.50
FACILITIES/PROJECT MAINTENANCE SERVICES 49,154.59
OPERATIONS SERVICES 0.00
LAND MANAGEMENT SERVICES 133,178.65
SURVEYING SERVICES 46,331.72
MISCELLANEOUS EXPENSES 1,282.39
ECONOMIC/MARKETING SOURCES 327,859.36
PROPERTY & STRUCTURE COSTS 27,909.96
MOVING ALLOCATION 18,270.00
TAXES 3,114.53
PROPERTY & STRUCTURE INSURANCE 21,068.50
UTILITY RELOCATION SERVICES 66,355.57
LAND CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT 134,077.75
STRUCTURAL CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS 2,503.30
PURCHASE CERTIFICATES CALUMET BANK 12,300.00
PURCHASE MONEY MARKET BANK ONE 393,900.41
PURCHASE MONEY MARKET BANK ONE 107,859.35
PURCHASE MONEY MARKET BANK ONE 12,213.42
DEPOSIT INTO BENGONE MONEY MARKET 1,500.00
FAO ARMY CORP OF ENGINEERS 181,272.00
FAC ARMY CORP OF ENGINEERS 58,300.00
TOTAL DISBURSEMENTS 2,018,012.28

CASH POSITION - SEPTEMBER 30, 2001
CHECKING ACCOUNT
LAND ACQUISITION 25,524.90
GENERAL FUND 12,133.05

INVESTMENTS
BANK CALUMET 700,000.00 10/30/2001
(BASE CAPITAL INVESTMENT)
BANK CALUMET 76,758.00 10/30/2001
(MISC INVESTMENT/INVESTMENT
BANK ONE 165,110.13 10/4/2001
(CONSTRUCTION/ESCROW INTEREST)

BANK ONE 138,868.66 MONEY MARKET
(LEL MONEY)
BANK ONE 139,393.86 MONEY MARKET
(SHARDA SANT HARRI)
BANK ONE 25,425.69 MONEY MARKET
(STATE BONDS MONEY)
BANK ONE 318,772.79 MONEY MARKET
(GARY PARK & RSC MONEY)
TOTAL INVESTMENTS 1,324,163.15

ESCROW ACCOUNT INTEREST 35.82

1,567,957.65
LITTLE CALUMET RIVER BASIN DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

TRAVEL POLICIES

The following policies shall be used in providing or reimbursing Commission members or employees for travel accommodations when such travel has been authorized by the Chairman and Director:

A. REGISTRATION FEES

The cost of registration or similar fees for conferences, seminars and other similar meetings or functions related to Commission affairs will be provided for or reimbursed when authorized by the Commission and supported by receipts. In the event that a Commissioner or employee fails to attend a conference for which registration fees are non-refundable, without a valid reason, as determined by Commission, the Commissioner or employee shall be liable for that portion of the fee which is non-refundable.

B. TRANSPORTATION

Transportation for Commission business will be reimbursed or paid for as follows:

1. Travel by airline, rail service, bus or similar common carrier made will be provided for at the prevailing "coach" or "tourist" rate when properly authorized and supported by original receipts. A Commissioner or employee cancelling a ticket without valid reason, as determined by the Commission, will be liable for the non-refundable cost thereof.

2. Taxi fare and/or the cost of other local (public) conveyances will be provided for or reimbursed when supported by receipts.
3. Parking fees and tolls will be reimbursed when supported by receipts.

4. When a personal automobile is used in lieu of common carrier transportation, reimbursement will be at the amount of the then current mileage rate authorized by the State of Indiana for general use by state employees.

5. The use of rental cars may be authorized by the Chairman and Director when suitable local transportation is not available or feasible. When properly authorized, associated costs will be provided for or reimbursed when supported by receipts.

C. LODGING

1. Lodging shall be provided for or reimbursed when supported by receipts in the following manner:
   a) Single occupancy will be provided at actual cost.
   b) Double occupancy will be provided at actual cost when both parties are eligible for reimbursement.
   c) Double occupancy will be provided at the single occupancy rate when only one part is eligible for reimbursement.

2. Reimbursement for lodging costs shall include room costs, associated local taxes and necessary, business related telephone charges. Any other charges made to the room are the responsibility of the Commission member or employee.
D. MEALS.

1. Meals will be reimbursed up to the following maximum amount:

$24.00 per day for overnight travel

The exception to this reimbursement is when a meal is provided for by others, that meal shall be deducted from the daily $24 amount at the following rate:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meal</th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breakfast</td>
<td>$6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lunch</td>
<td>$6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dinner</td>
<td>$12.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The amounts contained herein conform to the current State Budget Agency Policy and shall automatically adjust as per amendments to said policy.

2. When separate checks are not available, a Commission member or employee may claim reimbursement for other Commission members or employees up to the maximum amount provided for in the preceding section multiplied by the number of Commission members or employees, provided that each Commission member and/or employee is identified by name and that a receipt is provided.

E. PER DIEM

According to Statute IC 14-6-29.5-2(d), each Commissioner participating in Commission business shall receive a salary per diem of $50.00, when they do not receive payment from any other source of government funds, in addition to meal and travel allowances.

F. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR AND COMMISSIONER EXPENSES

In the course of providing for the achievement of Commission goals and objectives, it is, on occasion, necessary to provide for the expenses of those who are not Commission members, employees or contractors of the Commission. The Chairman and Director shall be authorized to make such provisions.
MEMORANDUM

TO: Commission members
FROM: William Tanke, Chairman
RE: Proposed Travel Policy
DATE: September 27, 1996

The preliminary draft of the Travel Policy as presented at the Commission meeting in July was prepared by staff at my request. My reasons were as follows: There was one past minor misunderstanding of telephone charges; there were NIRPC Commission members who had reservations purchased and then cancelled for no valid reason. There are also other practices which I have discussed with the State Board of Accounts people that may be questionable. This was, in my opinion, a valid reason for having guidelines, plus as one of the two members signing the claim forms, I did not want any problems with a State Board of Accounts Audit.

There was a discussion and questions raised. There was a committee meeting held in July, in which I thought all concerns and questions had been answered so a final draft was prepared. The final draft was presented at the August meeting at which time there was again discussion.

The discussion then was to get additional comments from the Commissioners at the September meeting and either pass or reject the Travel Policy as presented or amended. I think the policy as written is a good one; it gives directions to the members in a clear and concise manner. It simply states what the requirements of the State of Indiana are without having to resort to other documentation.

I have requested staff to not place this on this agenda for the September meeting. This decision is based on some of the discussions that have been held and which I feel should not be stated at a public meeting. Plus the fact that there are many more important problems facing this Commission and to get bogged down with further discussion on this matter is not in the best interests of expediting the project.

Please be advised, however, that I have instructed staff to revise the last draft and substitute the word "guidelines" for the word "policy". These are the guidelines I intend to follow in approving claims for payment, subject to a vote of the Commission directing me to do otherwise. I would suggest future Chairmen do the same.

Thank you for your patience.