MEETING NOTICE

THERE WILL BE A MEETING OF THE LITTLE CALUMET RIVER BASIN DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION
AT 6:00 P.M. THURSDAY, JULY 5, 2001
AT THE COMMISSION OFFICE
6100 SOUTHPORT ROAD
PORTAGE, IN

WORK STUDY SESSION - 5:00 P.M.

AGENDA

1. Call to Order by Chairman William Tanke
2. Pledge of Allegiance
3. Recognition of Visitors and Guests
4. Approval of Minutes of May 31, 2001
5. Chairman’s Report
   • Moment of Silence in remembrance of Charles A. Agnew
   • Groundbreaking ceremony for the Charles Agnew Handicapped Accessible Park held on June 7th
   • Letter to Governor of notification of vacancy
   • Report on meeting with Congressman Visclosky’s staff and COE held on June 1st
6. Executive Director’s Report
   • Dept. of Defense 2001 Value Engineering award – Chicago District/Little Calumet River Project
   • OMB approval for project construction increase
   • Coffee Creek Watershed Preserve dedication held on June 8th
7. Standing Committees
   A. Land Acquisition/Management Committee
      • Appraisals, offers, acquisitions, recommended actions
      • COE Real Estate meeting held on June 4th
      • Boy Scout cleanup held on Saturday, June 2nd
      • Meeting with John Lowe & Kim Lyles on June 19th re: Gleason Park driving range
      • Presentation by Ed Marcin of LAMAR at Work Study Session
      • Other issues

   B. Project Engineering Committee – Bob Huffman, Chairman
      • Meeting on June 6th for utility drainage and coordination for Stage VIII
      • Technical review meeting with COE held on June 12th
      • Meeting held on June 14th with Highland, INDOT, N. Township to review drainage concerns in Stage V-2
      • Utility coordination meeting held on June 20th
      • Substantial completion has been done for the East Reach Remediation area
      • Other issues

   C. Legislative Committee – George Carlson, Chairman
      • Conversation with Dan Noveske, Deputy Budget Director
      • Tentative State Budget Committee meeting for 2001-03 budget – July 25-26-27

   D. Recreational Development Committee – Curtis Vosti, Chairman
      • Currently, no responses received for trail locations at Grant & Broadway
      • Field meeting with Griffith was held on June 27th to review Griffith trail alignment east of Cline Avenue
      • Meeting scheduled for July 5th with Highland to review trail alignment west of Cline
      • Other issues

   E. Marina Development Committee – Bill Tanke, Chairman
      • Permit approval for City of Portage dredging on Portage Burns Waterway
      • Other issues

   F. Finance/Policy Committee – Curt Vosti, Chairman
      • Financial status report
      • Approval of claims for July 2001
      • Approval of revised budget
      • Approval to transfer Misc. Interest/Rental investment monies ($316,000) to Land Acquisition account
      • Ratification of transferring partial escrow interest into Administrative account
      • Other issues

   G. Minority Contracting Committee – Marion Williams, Chairman
      • Minority participation in construction contracts
      • Other issues

8. Other Business

9. Statements to the Board from the Floor

10. Set date for next meeting
Chairman William Tanke called the meeting to order at 6:10 p.m. Seven (7) Commissioners were present. Pledge of Allegiance was recited. Quorum was declared and guests were recognized.

Development Commissioners:
George Carlson
Emerson Delaney
Mark Reshkin
William Tanke
Steve Davis
Bob Huffman
Marion Williams

Visitors:
Bill Petrities – Highland resident
Jomary Crary – IDNR, Div. of Water

Staff:
Dan Gardner
Sandy Mordus
Lou Casale
Jim Pokrajac
Judy Vamos

Commissioner Bob Huffman made a motion to approve the minutes of May 3, 2001; motion seconded by Mark Reshkin; motion passed unanimously.

Chairman’s Report – Mr. Tanke stated that a meeting has been scheduled with the Chicago COE office and Mark Lopez from Congressman Visclosky’s office for the next day, June 1st. Executive Dan Gardner and Chairman Bill Tanke would be going. They will report back at the next Board meeting. Chairman Tanke also shared with Board members the invitation to Coffees Creek Watershed Preserve dedication on June 8th. Dr. Reshkin stated that he was planning to attend.

Executive Director’s Report – Mr. Gardner referred to the letter in the packet to Jeff Viohl, IN Federal Liaison to the Governor’s Office capturing the highlights of the meeting at the Governor’s Office on May 9th. Mr. Gardner showed the video of the project and was very pleased with the meeting and its outcome. 3 areas of concern were raised in the meeting: (1) that of closing the gap between State appropriations and credits received, (2) methods to impact the COE decision making on cost increases and (3) exploring methods to “cap” State funding limits. After all these years, it appears that we are finally very visible and they understand exactly who we are and what our project entails. Mr. Gardner distributed a report entitled “Proposed Credits Strategy” which was discussed. He will be contacting the meeting participants in the near future as a follow-up.
Mr. Gardner went on to list out some areas of concern that we need to focus on to obtain credit, i.e. Burr Street betterment levee and the highway bridges. Commissioner Reshkin brought up the question of environmental justice concern, which was discussed. Attorney Casale stated that there are 3 levels to be addressed – administrative, political and legal. Staff also met with Mark Lopez, Congressman Visclosky’s office, on May 10th to discuss these same concerns.

Land Acquisition/Management Committee – In Committee Chairman Chuck Agnew’s absence, Judy Vamos proposed an increased offer on DC-595. Mr. Huffman made a motion to increase the offer to $43,000 to avoid condemnation; motion seconded by Mark Reshkin; motion passed unanimously. It was then proposed to condemn DC574 (because owner cannot be found). Mr. Huffman made a motion for staff to proceed with condemnation proceedings on DC574; motion seconded by Mark Reshkin; motion passed unanimously.

Staff recently met with LAMAR regarding the leasing of billboards. We have just acquired the right to install 2 new outdoor signs as part of the DC707 and DC816 purchase. LAMAR is proposing 2 more signs on Commission property. Discussion was held on whether it was desirable to enter into any more sign lease agreements. The attorney was asked to review the leases and staff was directed to find out the City’s position and explore other sign companies going rates. No motions were made and staff will report back at the next meeting.

An informal neighborhood meeting was held on May 24th at a resident’s home on Southmoor Drive in Hammond. 15 neighbors attended as well as staff and Commissioner Curt Vosti. Another meeting is planned later this year when the COE has Stage VIII mapping available.

A letter was received from Professor Kenneth Brock of IU Northwest asking us to consider a bird sanctuary in the 200-acre area of Chase to Grant. Mr. Gardner will follow up with Professor Brook.

The Boy Scouts had their campout this weekend at Riley School in Hammond and worked to clean up and beautify the levees along River Drive. The Commission supplied 25 pizzas to the Boy Scouts for lunch on Saturday.

At the request of Gary Sanitary District, the Commission has installed fencing around the Burr Street, Grant Street and Broadway storm water pumping stations.

The groundbreaking ceremony for the handicapped park development at River Forest Elementary School is scheduled for 2:00 p.m. on June 7th. All are invited.

Project Engineering Committee – Committee Chairman Bob Huffman gave the engineering report. He reported that a meeting was held with KROSAN and the COE on May 7th at the Griffith COE office. Their building is approximately 3.5’ outside of the 75’ drainage easement. COE is revising design and specifications.
The planting zone will have to be sacrificed in order to revise the design to allow room for the building and roadway access around the south end.

A pre-construction meeting for North 5th Pump Station was held on May 21st. Construction will start in late summer and should be completed in the summer of 2002.

A utility coordination meeting is scheduled for June 20th and a Technical Review meeting with the COE is scheduled for June 12th. Jim Pokrajac added that when an agenda is completed for the Technical Review meeting, it will be shared with the Congressman’s Office prior to the meeting.

With the Griffith levee completion on May 10th, there is now complete flood protection from Cline Avenue to the EJ&E RR.

**Legislative Committee** – Committee Chairman George Carlson reported that thank you letters were sent to key government officials that really played a key role in helping us to secure the $5.5 million. Discussion was held on having a joint Finance/Legislative committee meeting for the purpose of devising some kind of plan to work with the Budget Agency and Governor’s staff so that it is not such a last minute crisis at budget time. George Carlson suggested that before both committees meet, it may be a good idea for just the committee chairman along with staff and Chairman Tanke to meet first to lay out an outline. Mark Reshkin added that he thought a marketing program plays a very important role in our project – the more communities and people understand the project and what it means for them, the more visible support we can get. Especially the homeowners along the river who will come out of the floodplain can be very persuasive.

**Recreational Development Committee** – In Committee Chairman Curt Vosti’s absence, Jim Pokrajac gave the report. He referred to a letter sent to the City of Gary, Gary Parks & Rec, IU Northwest and the Gary Planning Dept. on May 21st with the proposals for trail locations and a request for their input. The route shown on the map is the least invasive to the areas and an effort is being made to stay away from any development that the City may want to do later. The COE is reviewing the possibility of a shorter route – any changes, according to Imad’s letter, would be a betterment. Discussion ensued on crossing at Grant Street. With the amount of traffic on Grant Street, safety of pedestrians is a big concern. Staff will have further discussions with the COE.

A follow-up phone call was held with INDOT to assure that there would be no re-consideration given to cross at the frontage road at Cline Avenue and not further south at Highway Avenue in Highland. INDOT reiterated their position in opposing that crossing because of the high-speed traffic there. Mr. Pokrajac has contacted the towns of Highland and Griffith to help come up with alternative routes for trails. Bob Huffman proposed an alternate route after biking the area himself. If we desire a route other than what the COE has proposed, it will be at our cost.
Marina Committee – Committee Chairman Bill Tanke stated that he plans to set up a meeting with the Mayor of Portage and John Smolnar, head of the Portage Port Authority. Discussion needs to happen to devise a way to build out the 100 marina slips required yet. Mr. Tanke also informed the Commission that consideration is being given to raise the launch fees from $3 to $8. The permit is also still pending for the dredging of Burns Waterway. It was also stated that Mike Doyne is no longer the harbormaster at the marina.

Finance Committee – In Treasurer Curt Vosti’s absence, Mr. Gardner gave the monthly financial status report and presented the claims for approval. George Carlson made a motion to approve the financial status report and claims sheet totaling $195,578.15; motion seconded by Bob Huffman; motion passed unanimously. Mr. Gardner then informed the Board members that because we only had a 6-month budget because we did not have enough funds to have a year budget, he presented 2 budget transfers that need to happen. Bob Huffman made a motion approving a budget transfer of $100,000 from Budget Line 5860 to Budget Line 5840 and a second budget transfer of $10,000 from Budget line 5882 to Budget line 5881; motion was seconded by Emerson Delaney; motion passed unanimously. A finance meeting will be scheduled shortly to look at a yearly budget. Mr. Gardner then stated that we have asked the COE to request the lowest amount possible for the Burr Street betterment escrow account and they have asked for $148,000 to keep the contracts only. We will be paying that amount.

Minority Contracting Committee – Committee Chairman Marion Williams reported that monthly minority reports continue to be coming in and they are always available to anyone.

Other Business – Mr. Gardner thanked everyone for attending. There was a potential problem with a quorum but several members went beyond the call of duty when they became aware of this, and attended the meeting.

Statements to the Board – There were none.
Lake Station breaks ground on special park for all kids

Handicapped-accessible park named for teacher Charles Agnew, who died Wednesday.

By Ruth Ann Krause
Post-Tribune correspondent

LAKE STATION — Retired veteran teacher and public servant Charles Agnew had a vision for a handicapped-accessible park at River Forest Elementary School.

His dream moved closer to reality on Thursday, when scores of public officials, parents and children gathered at a groundbreaking ceremony for a park that children of all abilities will be able to enjoy.

Unfortunately, Agnew was not on hand to participate in the ceremonial shoveling of dirt. He died Wednesday at The Methodist Hospitals Southlake Campus.

The park will be called the Charles Agnew Handicapped-Accessible Park in memory of Agnew, who died three years ago after 36 years of teaching at River Forest Elementary.

A former student named Richard whose handicaps prevented him from enjoying the regular playground equipment provided the motivation for Agnew's goal of a handicapped-accessible park to serve the community.

"Chuck's dream to provide a handicapped-accessible park has come true today," said Bob Huffman, vice chairman of the Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission.

Please see PARK, Page A7

Continued from Page A1

Joyce Ann Agnew (left) consoles Melissa Harris, assistant nurse at River Forest Elementary. The school playground was dedicated in her husband's name.
June 22, 2001

The Honorable Frank O’Bannon
Governor of Indiana
State House
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
Attn. Jean Farison, Special Asst. to
Boards and Commissions

Dear Jean:

I am writing to inform you of a vacancy that has been caused on the Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission due to the death of Commissioner Charles Agnew on June 6th. Mr. Agnew was a democratic Governor’s appointment with his term expiring 12/31/03. For your use and to see that our records are consistent, I am enclosing his appointment letter. For your information, also enclosed is a sheet of current appointments with their expiration dates listed.

Please feel free to call me if you need any additional information from me.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Dan Gardner
Executive Director

/sjm
encl.
June 7, 2001

District Engineer

Mr. Dan Gardner
Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission
6100 Southport Road
Portage, Indiana 46368

Dear Mr. Gardner:

On June 6, 2001, I accepted the Department of Defense (DoD) 2001 Value Engineering (VE) Achievement Top Installation Award for the Chicago District at an awards ceremony held at the Pentagon. I would like to express my appreciation to you and James Pokrajac for assisting our district with the Little Calumet River, Indiana, Local Flood Protection and Recreation Project, East Reach Levee System, Stage IV Phase 1 VE Study. Please pass my appreciation to Mr. Pokrajac as your efforts were instrumental in the Chicago District winning this award. Enclosed is a copy of the awards certificate.

The DoD VE Achievement awards are intended to stimulate VE activity for the purpose of reducing costs, improving quality, enhancing effectiveness, and increasing efficiency through the DoD. The DoD VE Achievement awards apply to all DoD Components and is designed to honor those who made a significant VE contribution within the last fiscal year.

We recognize that our success would not have been possible without your partnership and team efforts.

Sincerely,

Mark A. Roncoli
Colonel, U.S. Army
District Engineer

Enclosure
MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR OF CIVIL WORKS

SUBJECT: Little Calumet River, Indiana

Enclosed is a letter from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), clearing the Little Calumet River flood control project post authorization change report for submission to Congress. Please note OMB's concerns with the significant cost increases incurred on this project. You should closely monitor remaining design and construction activities to ensure that further increases do not occur. Please prepare the project for submission to both the House of Representatives and the Senate and return the reports, along with the transmittal letters, to this office for signature. Your staff may direct any questions to Mr. James J. Smyth of my staff at 703-695-1370.

Claudia L. Tornblom
Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army
(Management and Budget)

Enclosure
Governor Joins Celebration

Chesterton, IN—On Friday, June 8, 2001, the Honorable Frank O'Bannon will speak at the dedication ceremony honoring the Coffee Creek Watershed Preserve at Coffee Creek Center. The ceremony will begin at 10 a.m. at the Chesterton Amphitheater located just southeast of the 1050N. and Route 49 intersection.

The Coffee Creek Watershed Preserve consists of 167 beautifully restored acres within the Coffee Creek Center neighborhood. Within the preserve are water features, boardwalks, and a five-mile trail system.

Stewardship of the land, donated by Lake Erie Land Company (LEL), a NiSource Inc., subsidiary, is the distinct honor of the Coffee Creek Watershed Conservancy board of directors. LEL’s dedication to land preservation and restoration made it possible to create a non-profit organization comprised of Northwest Indiana’s leading environmental groups. Representatives from Save the Dunes Council, Porter County Chapter of the Izaak Walton League, The Shirley Heinz Environmental Fund, the Coffee Creek Life Center, the Chesterton High School SAFE Club, and the Northwest Indiana Steelheaders oversee the environmental initiatives and coordination of social activities within the Coffee Creek Watershed Preserve.

"Historically, developers and environmentalists have been on opposite sides of the fence. The Coffee Creek Watershed Preserve demonstrates the possibilities of balancing man and nature without compromising the integrity of either group," said Katie Rizer, Executive Director of the Coffee Creek Watershed Conservancy.

Additional aspects of the Coffee Creek Watershed Preserve are the restoration processes that restored the land to pre-settlement conditions. The plant and animal habitat that make up the environmental system have their health rooted in the hydrology of the landscape. At Coffee Creek, storm water management methods are designed to infiltrate storm water.
deeply into the landscape, where the root systems of native plants assist in the natural absorption and even flow of water in the landscape.

For more information about Coffee Creek Center, please visit our website at www.coffeecreekcenter.com or call 219-395-5300. For more information about the Coffee Creek Watershed Conservancy please contact Katie Rizer at 219-926-1842.
The Coffee Creek Watershed Preserve

A. Pavilion Parking
B. The Pavilion
C. Restrooms
D. Chesterton Amphitheater
E. Water Plaza
F. Weir Bridge
G. Creek Overlook
H. Angelica Deck
I. Trellis Overlook
J. Bike Racks

Red Brick Trails
Boardwalks
Crushed Granite/Wood Chip

Coffee Creek Bridges are numbered: 1-7
TO: Kim Lyles, Gary Park & Recreation Superintendent
    John Lowe, Golf Pro/Superintendent

FROM: Dan Gardner, Executive Director

SUBJECT: Monies available in account for the Gary Parks & Recreation Dept.

DATE: June 19, 2001

The arrangement between the Gary Parks & Recreation Department and the Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission has come about as the result of the Commission acquiring flowage easements for the Federal Flood Control/Recreation project on properties along the Little Calumet River owned by the Gary Parks & Rec. To facilitate the use of the dollars by the Parks Dept, an arrangement was made whereby the Development Commission would create an account in the amount of the appraised value of the easements. That money would be made available for payment to contractors selected by the Parks Dept. for the purposes of a greenhouse re-construction and services for the establishment of a youth golf/driving range facility. To date, the greenhouse has been completed and it is our understanding that the Gary Parks Dept. has obtained a permit for the development of a driving range/golf facility and now wishes to begin drawing down of the remaining funds in the account based upon contract for services.

The process for draw down is that the Gary Parks Dept. would procure a vendor through proper public services selection. Once that vendor is selected, the vendor will submit documented claims to the Parks Dept. for their review and approval, then the claim will be sent to the Development Commission for payment of the claim to the vendor. The total amount available for vendors and construction services of the facility is capped by the total remaining in the account. The account balance to date is $394,540.41.

If you have any questions or need any documentation regarding this final balance, please call me at your convenience.

/sjm
cc: Lou Casale, LCRBDC attorney
STATE OF INDIANA
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
CERTIFICATE OF APPROVAL
CONSTRUCTION IN A FLOODWAY

APPLICATION # : FW-21265

STREAM : Portage-Burns Waterway

APPLICANT : City of Portage Port Authority
John Smolar
6070 Central Avenue
Portage, IN 46368

AGENT : City of Portage
City Engineer
Craig Hendrix, PE
6070 Central Avenue
Portage, IN 46368

AUTHORITY : IC 14-28-1 with 310 IAC 6-1 and IC 14-29-1 with 310 IAC 21

DESCRIPTION : Approximately 2400 cubic yards of sediment will be removed from a 120' x 85'
area to a depth varying from 1' to 3' below the current streambed. A clam bucket
attached to a crane will be used to perform the dredging at the mouth of the
marina. The excavated material will be hauled to a detention area where it will be
dewatered. Also, a silt curtain will be installed to prevent the spread of disturbed
sediment. Details of the project are contained in information and plans received

LOCATION : On the right (east) bank, approximately 120' south (upstream) of the US 12
stream crossing at Portage, Portage Township, Porter County
SE1/4, NE1/4, NW1/4, Section 36, T 37N, R 7W, Portage Quadrangle
UTM Coordinates: Downstream 4607175 North, 485325 East

APPROVED BY : Michael W. Neyer, P.E., Director
Division of Water

APPROVED ON : June 7, 2001

Attachments: Notice Of Right To Administrative Review
General Conditions
Special Conditions
Service List
**LITTLE CALUMET RIVER BASIN DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION**  
**FINANCIAL STATEMENT**  
**JANUARY 1, 2001 - MAY 31, 2001**

### CASH POSITION - JANUARY 1, 2001
**CHECKING ACCOUNT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Account</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Land Acquisition</td>
<td>541,026.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>49,902.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax Fund</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INVESTMENTS</td>
<td>2,596,370.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESCROW ACCOUNT INTEREST</td>
<td>21,476.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,308,775.65</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### RECEIPTS - JANUARY 1, 2001 - MAY 31, 2001

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lease rents</td>
<td>19,400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest income (from Checking &amp; Calumet Bank)</td>
<td>2,251.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Acquisition</td>
<td>660,131.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escrow Account Interest (year to date)</td>
<td>269.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misc Income</td>
<td>18,289.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ameritech</td>
<td>16,746.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerson Delaney</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meridian Title</td>
<td>406.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meridian Title</td>
<td>495.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TICOR</td>
<td>540.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest from Escrow Checking</td>
<td>33,515.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRBC Reimbursement RE: Telephone Charge</td>
<td>745.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from Voided Checks</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Receipts</strong></td>
<td><strong>734,598.14</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### DISBURSEMENTS - JANUARY 1, 2001 - MAY 31, 2001
**ADMINISTRATIVE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000 Expenses Paid in 2001</td>
<td>179,750.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per Diem</td>
<td>3,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Services</td>
<td>4,714.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIFPC</td>
<td>56,197.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel &amp; Mileage</td>
<td>683.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printing &amp; Advertising</td>
<td>2,336.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonds &amp; Insurance</td>
<td>5,642.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone Expense</td>
<td>3,197.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting Expense</td>
<td>2,593.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Land Acquisition</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Services</td>
<td>23,100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appraisal Services</td>
<td>83,173.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering Services</td>
<td>33,734.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Purchase Contractual</td>
<td>24,090.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities/Project Maintenance Services</td>
<td>29,760.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations Services</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Management Services</td>
<td>69,815.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surveying Services</td>
<td>37,311.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Expenses</td>
<td>702.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic/Marketing Sources</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property &amp; Structure Costs</td>
<td>255,174.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moving Allocation</td>
<td>12,690.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes</td>
<td>3,114.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property &amp; Structures Insurance</td>
<td>550.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utility Relocation Services</td>
<td>14,907.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Capital Improvement</td>
<td>34,745.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structural Capital Improvements</td>
<td>1,263.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase Certificate Calumet Bank</td>
<td>21,510.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase Money Market Bank One</td>
<td>393,945.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Disbursements</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,116,900.41</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CASH POSITION - MAY 31, 2001
**CHECKING ACCOUNT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Account</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Land Acquisition</td>
<td>178,725.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>29,619.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INVESTMENTS</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bank Calumet</td>
<td>700,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[BASE CAPITAL INVESTMENT]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank Calumet</td>
<td>316,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[BASE INTEREST INVESTMENT]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank One</td>
<td>101,116.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[CONSTRUCTION ESCROW INTEREST]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank Calumet</td>
<td>162,684.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[EEI INCOME]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank One</td>
<td>12,593.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[MARINA BOND FUND SURPLUS]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank One</td>
<td>121,412.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[MARINA BAND MONEY]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank One</td>
<td>344,290.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[STATE DRAW MONEY]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank One</td>
<td>399,564.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[GARY PARIS &amp; REC MONEY]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Investments</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,165,661.42</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Investments</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,374,650.57</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Note:** The page contains a table with financial data including receipts, disbursements, and cash positions for the specified period. The data includes various accounts and their respective amounts. The page also lists investment details and a total investment amount. The financial data appears to be part of a financial statement submitted by the Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission from January 1, 2001, to May 31, 2001.
# Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission

**Monthly Budget Report, June 2001**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2001</th>
<th>6 Month</th>
<th>Unallocated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Allocated</td>
<td>Budgeted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUDGET</td>
<td>JANUARY</td>
<td>FEBRUARY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5801 PER DIEM EXPENSES</strong></td>
<td>16,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5811 LEGAL EXPENSES</strong></td>
<td>8,500.00</td>
<td>903.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5812 NIRPC SERVICES</strong></td>
<td>125,000.00</td>
<td>8,860.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5821 TRAVEL/MILEAGE</strong></td>
<td>14,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5822 PRINTING/ADVERTISING</strong></td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
<td>2,306.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5823 BONDS/INSURANCE</strong></td>
<td>7,500.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5824 TELEPHONE EXPENSES</strong></td>
<td>7,000.00</td>
<td>113.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5825 MEETING EXPENSES</strong></td>
<td>8,000.00</td>
<td>137.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5838 LEGAL SERVICES</strong></td>
<td>62,500.00</td>
<td>3,957.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5840 PROFESSIONAL SERVICES</strong></td>
<td>350,000.00</td>
<td>43,899.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5860 PROJECT LAND PURCHASE EXP.</strong></td>
<td>578,622.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5881 PROPERTY/STRUCTURE INS.</strong></td>
<td>22,500.00</td>
<td>550.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5882 UTILITY RELOCATION EXP.</strong></td>
<td>90,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5883 PROJECT LAND CAP. IMPROV.</strong></td>
<td>125,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5884 STRUCTURES CAP. IMPROV.</strong></td>
<td>12,500.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>1,432,122.00</td>
<td>60,677.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2001</th>
<th>12 Month</th>
<th>Unallocated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Allocated</td>
<td>Budgeted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUDGET</td>
<td>JULY</td>
<td>AUGUST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5801 PER DIEM EXPENSES</strong></td>
<td>16,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5811 LEGAL EXPENSES</strong></td>
<td>8,500.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5812 NIRPC SERVICES</strong></td>
<td>125,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5821 TRAVEL/MILEAGE</strong></td>
<td>14,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5822 PRINTING/ADVERTISING</strong></td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5823 BONDS/INSURANCE</strong></td>
<td>7,500.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5824 TELEPHONE EXPENSES</strong></td>
<td>7,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5825 MEETING EXPENSES</strong></td>
<td>8,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5838 LEGAL SERVICES</strong></td>
<td>62,500.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5840 PROFESSIONAL SERVICES</strong></td>
<td>350,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5860 PROJECT LAND PURCHASE EXP.</strong></td>
<td>578,622.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5881 PROPERTY/STRUCTURE INS.</strong></td>
<td>22,500.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5882 UTILITY RELOCATION EXP.</strong></td>
<td>90,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5883 PROJECT LAND CAP. IMPROV.</strong></td>
<td>125,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5884 STRUCTURES CAP. IMPROV.</strong></td>
<td>12,500.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>1,432,122.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCT</td>
<td>VENDOR NAME</td>
<td>AMOUNT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5601</td>
<td>CHARLES/OYCEANN AGNEW</td>
<td>500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5601</td>
<td>GEORGE CARLSON</td>
<td>700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5601</td>
<td>ARLENE COLVIN</td>
<td>150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5601</td>
<td>EMERSON DELANEY</td>
<td>200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5601</td>
<td>ROBERT HUFFMAN</td>
<td>500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5601</td>
<td>JOHN MROCKOWSKI</td>
<td>250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5601</td>
<td>WILLIAM TANKE</td>
<td>650.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5601</td>
<td>MARION WILLIAMS</td>
<td>200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5601</td>
<td>CURT VOSTI</td>
<td>300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5611</td>
<td>CASALE, WOODWARD &amp; BULS, LLP</td>
<td>283.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5612</td>
<td>NRPC</td>
<td>9,275.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5612</td>
<td>KRAMER &amp; LEONARD</td>
<td>87.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5612</td>
<td>UPS</td>
<td>38.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5621</td>
<td>CHARLES/OYCEANN AGNEW</td>
<td>37.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5621</td>
<td>ARLENE COLVIN</td>
<td>13.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5621</td>
<td>EMERSON DELANEY</td>
<td>22.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5621</td>
<td>ROBERT HUFFMAN</td>
<td>89.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5621</td>
<td>WILLIAM TANKE</td>
<td>102.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5621</td>
<td>MARION WILLIAMS</td>
<td>11.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5621</td>
<td>SANDY MORDUS</td>
<td>23.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5624</td>
<td>VERIZON</td>
<td>210.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5624</td>
<td>VERIZON</td>
<td>113.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5624</td>
<td>MCI</td>
<td>270.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5625</td>
<td>SAND RIDGE BANK</td>
<td>15.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5625</td>
<td>SAND RIDGE BANK</td>
<td>252.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5625</td>
<td>SAND RIDGE BANK</td>
<td>41.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5638</td>
<td>CASALE, WOODWARD &amp; BULS, LLP</td>
<td>6,315.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5641</td>
<td>ASSOCIATED PROPERTY COUNSELORS</td>
<td>1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5641</td>
<td>ASSOCIATED PROPERTY COUNSELORS</td>
<td>1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5641</td>
<td>ASSOCIATED PROPERTY COUNSELORS</td>
<td>1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5641</td>
<td>ASSOCIATED PROPERTY COUNSELORS</td>
<td>1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5641</td>
<td>ASSOCIATED PROPERTY COUNSELORS</td>
<td>1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5641</td>
<td>ASSOCIATED PROPERTY COUNSELORS</td>
<td>1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5641</td>
<td>ASSOCIATED PROPERTY COUNSELORS</td>
<td>1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5641</td>
<td>ASSOCIATED PROPERTY COUNSELORS</td>
<td>1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5641</td>
<td>ASSOCIATED PROPERTY COUNSELORS</td>
<td>1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5641</td>
<td>ASSOCIATED PROPERTY COUNSELORS</td>
<td>1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5641</td>
<td>ASSOCIATED PROPERTY COUNSELORS</td>
<td>1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5641</td>
<td>ASSOCIATED PROPERTY COUNSELORS</td>
<td>1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5641</td>
<td>ASSOCIATED PROPERTY COUNSELORS</td>
<td>1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5641</td>
<td>ASSOCIATED PROPERTY COUNSELORS</td>
<td>1,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5641</td>
<td>MARTINEZ, SHARMAT &amp; ASSOCIATES</td>
<td>450.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5641</td>
<td>MARTINEZ, SHARMAT &amp; ASSOCIATES</td>
<td>450.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5641</td>
<td>MARTINEZ, SHARMAT &amp; ASSOCIATES</td>
<td>450.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5641</td>
<td>MARTINEZ, SHARMAT &amp; ASSOCIATES</td>
<td>450.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5642</td>
<td>R. W. ARMSTRONG</td>
<td>4,841.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5643</td>
<td>INDIANA TITLE CORPORATION</td>
<td>30.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5643</td>
<td>INDIANA TITLE CORPORATION</td>
<td>270.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5643</td>
<td>INDIANA TITLE CORPORATION</td>
<td>270.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5643</td>
<td>INDIANA TITLE CORPORATION</td>
<td>270.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5643</td>
<td>INDIANA TITLE CORPORATION</td>
<td>270.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5643</td>
<td>INDIANA TITLE CORPORATION</td>
<td>270.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5643</td>
<td>INDIANA TITLE CORPORATION</td>
<td>270.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5643</td>
<td>INDIANA TITLE CORPORATION</td>
<td>270.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5643</td>
<td>INDIANA TITLE CORPORATION</td>
<td>270.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5643</td>
<td>INDIANA TITLE CORPORATION</td>
<td>150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5643</td>
<td>INDIANA TITLE CORPORATION</td>
<td>150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCT</td>
<td>VENDOR NAME</td>
<td>AMOUNT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5843</td>
<td>INDIANA TITLE CORPORATION</td>
<td>270.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5843</td>
<td>INDIANA TITLE CORPORATION</td>
<td>270.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5843</td>
<td>INDIANA TITLE CORPORATION</td>
<td>270.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5843</td>
<td>TICOR TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY</td>
<td>75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5843</td>
<td>TICOR TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY</td>
<td>270.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5843</td>
<td>TICOR TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY</td>
<td>75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5843</td>
<td>MERIDIAN TITLE CORPORATION-LAPORTE</td>
<td>275.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5843</td>
<td>MERIDIAN TITLE CORPORATION-LAPORTE</td>
<td>275.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5844</td>
<td>JAMES POKRAJAC</td>
<td>4,830.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5844</td>
<td>JAMES POKRAJAC</td>
<td>174.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5844</td>
<td>JAMES POKRAJAC</td>
<td>4,054.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5844</td>
<td>JUDITH VAMOS</td>
<td>3,368.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5844</td>
<td>JUDITH VAMOS</td>
<td>69.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5844</td>
<td>JUDITH VAMOS</td>
<td>2,899.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5844</td>
<td>SANDY MORDUS</td>
<td>245.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5844</td>
<td>SANDY MORDUS</td>
<td>490.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5844</td>
<td>G. LORAINE KRAY</td>
<td>618.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5844</td>
<td>G. LORAINE KRAY</td>
<td>660.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5845</td>
<td>SOUTH SHORE MARINA</td>
<td>1,240.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5845</td>
<td>DLZ</td>
<td>5,887.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5845</td>
<td>DLZ</td>
<td>1,385.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5845</td>
<td>DLZ</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5861</td>
<td>TICOR TITLE</td>
<td>60,091.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5881</td>
<td>INDIANA TITLE COMPANY</td>
<td>43,422.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5882</td>
<td>SANDRA MOSHINSKY</td>
<td>1,050.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5881</td>
<td>DON POWERS AGENCY</td>
<td>319.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5892</td>
<td>ELGIN JOLIET &amp; EASTERN RWY</td>
<td>2,947.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5882</td>
<td>MARATHON ASHLAND PIPE LINE LLC</td>
<td>234,379.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5884</td>
<td>JAMES POKRAJAC</td>
<td>13.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>416,983.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


RECREATION REPORT
For meeting on Thursday, July 5, 2001

(Information in this report is from May 26, 2001 – June 29, 2001)

GENERAL STATEMENT:
Currently, the joint recreation venture with the Army Corps is completed; 90% of the completed east reach levees have stoned trails completed; the remainder of east reach trails should be completed by the fall of 2002.

- A supplemental contract will be released as part of the Stage III remediation project in the late summer of 2001 that will include the paving of all ramps.
- We need to review how the trail locations at Grant and Broadway will be scheduled and funded.
- We submitted general information to Attorney Casale on June 11th, 2001, regarding pedestrian crossings over railroad easements from the EJ&E. (A copy of this blank license agreement is available upon request.

RECREATION - PHASE 1.
(This contract includes recreational facilities for Lake Etta, Gleason Park, Stage III (trails), and the OxBow area in Hammond.

A. OXBOW (Hammond)
   1. October 28th, 1998 was the date that this facility was turned over to the City of Hammond.

B. GLEASON PARK (Gary Parks & Recreation)
   1. October 25th, 1998 was the date this facility was turned over to the Gary Parks and Recreation Department.

C. LAKE ETTA (Lake County Parks)
   1. October 27th, 1998 was the date that this facility was turned over to the Lake County parks department.

D. CHASE STREET TRAIL (City of Gary)
   1. October 27th, 1998 was the date that this facility was turned over to the City of Gary.

EAST REACH RECREATION

A. Recreation trail re-alignments will be required in the east reach due to conflicting land use plans with City of Gary, I.U. Northwest, or other regulatory groups.

1. Broadway and Grant Street Crossings:
   - We received a letter of response from INDOT on October 18 indicating no concerns regarding the crossing as long as we coordinate with the locals, and that a right-of-way permit with them would be required.
• A meeting was held with the City of Gary, Indiana University, LCRBDC, and the COE on April 12, 2001 (at Indiana University) to review our proposals for recreation trail re-alignment at Broadway Street and at Grant Street.

• A letter was sent to the City of Gary, Gary Parks and Rec, I.U. Northwest, and the Gary Planning Dept. on May 21st with our proposals for trail installations and requesting their input for both Grant St. and Broadway. **(WE have received no responses from any parties as of June 29th, 2001).**

• Upon completion of I.U. Northwest modifications on, and adjacent to, Broadway, we will install a permanent trail crossing south of the river and along the line of flood protection as originally proposed.

**WEST REACH RECREATION**

**A. Cline Avenue Crossing:**
1. A meeting was held with INDOT and the COE on April 11, 2001, to review this situation.
2. INDOT agreed we could cross further south at the existing light at Highway Avenue.
3. A follow-up conference call was held on May 17th with INDOT to assure there could be no re-consideration to cross at our original location or if any other alternate methods of crossing at that location could be implemented.
   • Their response remained the same.
4. We will be having a recreation coordination meeting with Highland and Griffith to determine trail re-locations which allow us to cross at Cline Avenue at Highway Avenue
   • A field meeting was held with Griffith on June 27th, 2001 to walk and review potential recreational trail adjustments east of Cline. A proposal will be forwarded to the COE for their consideration and review.
   • A meeting is scheduled with Highland on July 5th, 2001, to review the recreation trail location west of Cline Ave.
   • This will require additional easements.

**B. Tri-State recreational trail tie-in for the Highland/Wicker Park/Erie Lackawanna Trail Systems.**
1. The COE will modify the engineering and real estate drawings. It is still the intent to have this recreation trail on the land side. (Refer to Land Acquisition & Engineering Reports).
2. North Township – Wicker Park recreational trail alignment is being evaluated.
   • It was mentioned to re-locate the trail from between the golf courses to the existing trail along the West and South boundaries.
   • North Township would not have a problem, but are awaiting information from INDOT for impacts to Indianapolis Blvd. R/W which would cause their existing trail to be re-located. (Ongoing)
   • We had a meeting with Highland to discuss Highland/INDOT plans for drainage in this area (See Engineering Report).

**C. A letter was sent to the Lake County Highway Dept. on December 28, 2000 requesting permission, and comments, to cantilever a walkway on the east side of the Kennedy Avenue bridge to allow our trail to be contiguous.**
1. It was approved at our February 1 Board meeting to contract with R.W. Armstrong to do a feasibility study for the pedestrian walkway and get an agreement at a cost not to exceed $7,000.
   - R.W. Armstrong (Indianapolis) made a presentation at our April 5, 2001 Board Meeting. We will have a discussion with the Engineering/Recreation Committee to review the different options. (Ongoing)
TO: Lou Casale, Attorney
FROM: Jim Pokrajac
SUBJECT: EJ&E RR information
DATE: June 11, 2001

As per your request, I am enclosing a copy of the information provided to me by the EJ&E RR regarding pedestrian crossings over railroad easements. Mr. Rick Mays submitted this to me on June 4th and it includes a copy of a license agreement that we would have to enter into in order to continue our recreational trail through their right-of-way. I have also contacted Mr. Dave Orrison from the Norfolk Southern RR and as of this date, have not received any information regarding your request. If you have any questions regarding this information, please call me at your convenience.

/sjm
encl.
STATUS (Stage II Phase 1) Harrison to Broadway – North Levee:
   Dyer Construction – Contract price $365,524

STATUS (Stage II Phase II) Grant to Harrison – South Levee:
1. Project completed on December 1, 1993.
   Dyer/Ellas Construction – Contract price $1,220,386

STATUS (Stage II Phase 3A) Georgia to Martin Luther King – South Levee:
   Ramirez & Marsch Construction – Contract price $2,275,023

Landscaping Contract (This contract includes all completed levee segments – installing, planting zones, seeding, and landscaping):
1. Dyer Construction – Final contract cost $1,292,066
   • Overrun (over original bid) $200,016
   Project completed June 11, 1999

STATUS (Stage II Phase 3B) Harrison to Georgia – South Levee:
1. Rausch Construction started on 11/20/95. (Construction is approx. 98% complete)
   • Currently $3,280,112.42 has been spent on this project.
   • Overrun (over original bid) $183,281.60
   • Balance (to be paid to contractor) $197,137.00
2. A final inspection with the LCRBDC and the COE will be scheduled for this entire portion of the project, including the pump station, no later than August of 2001.

STATUS (Stage II Phase 3C2) Grant to Harrison: (8A contract)
1. The final inspection, and punch list items have been completed. We received a letter from the COE on November 22nd, 2000, indicating Webb Construction has completed this work in accordance with the provisions of the plans and specs.
   • Currently, $3,915,178.36 has been spent on this project.
   • Overrun (over original bid) $463,196
   • Balance (to be paid to contractor) $189,875
**STATUS (Stage II Phase 4) Broadway to MLK Drive – North Levee:**
1. Project is approx. 98% completed. (All work is completed except for the pump station.)
   - Overrun (over original bid) $1,096,378
   - Balance (to be paid to contractor) $11,070
   - Current money spent to date is $4,175,000
2. A final inspection will be scheduled with the LCRBDC and the COE for this entire project, including the Ironwood stormwater pumping station, no later than **August, 2001.**

**STATUS (Stage III) Chase to Grant Street:**
   - Kiewit Construction – Contract price $6,564,520.
2. **STAGE III DRAINAGE REMEDIATION PLAN.**
   A. The LCRBDC submitted comments to the COE on April 12, 2001 for their 50% plans and specifications submittal.
      - These plans were incomplete for 50% review and lacked much detail and information.
   B. Technical review meeting discussed remediation plan on November 1st, 2000.
      - COE estimates approx. $1 million to do this work. $800,000 for ditches and pumps, $50,000 to engineer an 18,500 GPM pump station West of Grant St. & Remainder toward work with the City of Gary.
      - A letter was sent to the COE on March 23, 2001, requesting cost/budget information on remaining money for this work and whether it will be enough to complete the “East Reach Construction Clean-Up Project.”
      - As of **June 29, 2001** we have not received a response to this request. It is critical to determine the scope of work to assure missing or incomplete items in the East Reach are done.

**STATUS (Stage IV Phase 1 - North) Cline to Burr (North of the Norfolk Southern Railroad):**
1. IV-1 (North) The drainage system from Colfax to Burr Street North of the Norfolk Southern RR.
   A. This project was advertised on November 3rd, 1999, was awarded to Dillon Contractors on November 30th, 1999, and received the notice to proceed on January 14th, 2000. (Project currently approx. 98% complete.) Projected completion by **July 31, 2001.**
      - The contractor is currently working on clean-up and minor punch list items. (Trash Rack change at Calhoun, and guardrail end sections)
      - Anticipate a June preliminary inspection and an **August** mutual **FINAL** acceptance inspection. We will receive “as-built” drawings shortly thereafter.
   B. The low bidder was Dillon Contractors, Inc. with a total base bid of $2,708,720, which was approximately 80% of the government estimate.
      - Extras on contract - $292,771.00
      - Balance (to pay) - $1,608,719.00
   C. On March 6, 2001, it was brought to our attention by the COE, that we were having erosion problems in the drainage ditch (sloughing) and we would try seeding to cure this problem.
• These areas were hydro-mulched on April 2, 2001 and the seed is starting to take. Periodic inspections are being made. Sloughing now is minor, but may still occur.

STATUS (Stage IV Phase 1 – South) (South of the N.S. RR.)
1. The pre-bid meeting was held on February 23rd, 2000. Bid due date is March 7th, 2000, price range $5 - $10 million – small business set aside
   A. Dyer Construction was low bidder at approximately $3.8 million. The COE estimate for this project was $4.2 million.
   B. Overall construction is approx. 80% complete, approx. all of the clay is placed for all of the levee work, but does not include backfilling with clay around structures (concrete structures still to be constructed). All sheet piling is completed.
   • An inspection is anticipated for Fall, 2001.
2. 450 days to complete (September 2001 completion of seeding and fine grading.)
3. Minor work to be completed for gates and bollards.
   • Levee work west of WIND to be completed.

STATUS (Stage IV Phase 2A) Burr to Clark – Lake Etta:
1. Dyer Construction-95% complete.
   A. Currently, $3,477,249.66 has been spent on this project.
      • Overrun (over original bid) $183,281.00
      • Balance (to be paid to contractor) $197,137.00
2. The North Burr Street stormwater pumping station has been completed.
   A. A meeting was held on February 8th, 2000, with the COE and GSD to review design and installation of auxiliary power hook-up with a portable generator.
      • This will be done as an addendum to the contract with Dyer Construction subcontracting the work. Anticipated start summer of 2001; anticipated completion will be the end of September, 2001.
      • A preliminary construction meeting will be held in the field with GSD/WREP to review the scope of work and keep them informed.
   B. Austgen Electric will be the contractor.
3. A final inspection will be scheduled with the LCRBDC and the COE for this entire project, including the pump station in summer of 2001.

STATUS (Stage IV Phase 2B) Clark to Chase
1. 100% of levee construction has been completed, and the projected overall completion is for the summer of 2001. A final inspection will be held approximately August 2001 with the LCRBDC prior to turnover.
   A. We received “as-built” drawings from the COE on June 24th, 2001 and distributed them to the City of Gary on June 27th, 2001
2. Project money status:
   • $1,938,358 has been spent.
   • Overrun (over original bid) $408,000
   • Balance (to be paid to contractor) $155,980
STATUS (Betterment Levee – Phase 1) E.J. & E. Railroad to, and including Colfax North of the NIPSCO R/W (Drainage from Arbogast to Colfax, South of NIPSCO R/W):

1. The bid opening was held on May 9th, 2000
   • The low bidder is Dyer Construction.
   • Government estimate is $2,108,500 and Dyer bid $2,078,523.
   • We received a copy of modification #9 to the contract on June 15th, 2001, indicating the total current contract to be $2,100,081.22.

2. Overall construction is approx. 90% complete, and the work completion date has been extended to July 10th, 2001 as per modification #9 due to inclement weather days.
   • The clay base plate is installed and approx. 90% overall of the clay is placed.
   • Seeding is complete
   • Stoning for recreational trail is complete
   • Staging area compound is to be abandoned.
   • Fencing to be installed around 2 structures for security.

3. The majority of work remaining is around Arbogast Avenue. This includes the main closure structure, ditch work, and security gate installations.
   • The drainage ditch north of the Mansards is having sloughing problems that should be corrected when Burr Street Phase II is completed.

STATUS (Betterment Levee – Phase 2) Colfax to Burr Street, then North NSRR, then East (North of RR R/W) ½ between Burr and Clark, back over the RR, then South approx. 1,400 feet:

1. Current schedule is to complete final engineering for review by end of May, 2001; award contract by September 2001; and a construction start of November 2001 – 360 days to complete.
   • We wrote a letter to the COE on May 21st, 2001, requesting final information for all utility re-locates in order that we may proceed with agreements.

2. The projected government estimate for this project is approximately $2.4 million.

STATUS (Stage V Phase 1) Wicker Park Manor:

1. Project completed on September 14, 1995.
   Dyer Construction – Contract price $998,630

2. Phillips Pipeline directional bore under the existing levee is currently being engineered by Phillips. Awaiting their design and cost by June, 2001.
   • As of March 23, 2001, a temporary hold has been put on this engineering request due to current funding restrictions.

STATUS (Stage V Phase 2):

1. With the approved $5.5 million for this biennium and with $5.5 million assumed for the next biennium, we project a fall, 2003 advertising date.

2. A letter was sent to the COE on June 21st enclosing the location survey work for the Tri-State bus terminal. Asked for engineering re-considerations for the location of the 1-wall.
• We received modified real estate requirements due to engineering revisions in December 2000 and will have modified legals by April. (This will include Tri-State and K-Mart Properties.)
• Additional engineering changes will modify Real Estate requirements. At our June 4th, 2001 Real Estate Meeting, the COE said they would have these changes to us no later than July 4th, 2001.

3. A utility coordination meeting was held on November 16th, 2000 with all pipelines, utilities, etc. that will be impacted in the NIPSCO corridor West of Kennedy Ave.
   A. We have received cost information from the pipeline companies to do the work necessary to accommodate I-walls. The total cost in this corridor and for 2 directional bores west of the RR will total approximately $1.1 million.
   B. A field meeting was held with Atlas Daylighting on February 14, 2001 to review the scope of work and anticipate a cost estimate no later than April 2, 2001.
      • We received a quote from Atlas Daylighting dated March 27, 2001, in the amount of $11,500 (dry conditions) or $37,000 (wet conditions). We submitted a letter to the COE on April 5, 2001 requesting we wait for dry conditions to save money.
      • We anticipate the locations to start no later than July 13th, 2001 and have all the locations and top center elevations by the end of July, 2001. (A meeting is scheduled in the field on July 9th, 2001).

4. We received a request from North Township on April 20, 2001 to re-align the levees adjacent to Hart Ditch further Westward to allow more room to develop property on the Wicker Park Gold Course.
   • We received title work indicating North Township has ownership of this area in conjunction with the golf course on May 22nd. (See Land Acq. report)
   • We have talked to both North Township and Munster and will schedule a meeting with both by mid-July.

5. We requested an email from Highland/COE regarding drainage concerns with INDOT in the area around and adjacent to the Tri-State Bus terminal.
   • A meeting was held with the COE, INDOT, LCRBD, North Township and Highland on June 14th, 2001, to review these drainage concerns.
   • A potential exists to partner between Highland, North Township and INDOT to build a pump station in the ditch area West of Tri-State.

STATUS (Stage V Phase 3) Woodmar Country Club:
1. Refer to Land Acquisition report for status of appraisal process and revised schedule.
   • As per our June 7th, 2000 partnering meeting, the schedule shows a March 2002 advertising date. This date will be pushed back due to funding restrictions even if we get the $5.5 million for this biennium.

2. Appraisal work ongoing (refer to Land Acquisition report).
3. Had a conference call with the COE & Tim Nugent (appraisal consultant) on May 16th – 5 bridges will be included in the appraisal process. (Not as part of our construction)
STATUS Stage VI – Phase 1 (Cline to Kennedy – North of the river, and Kennedy to Liable, South of the river):
1. A utility coordination meeting was held with the Town of Highland and City of Hammond on September 12th, 2000, to update original Stage VI – plans from 1996 and gather information on new or proposed utilities.
2. Legal descriptions North of the river have been completed by GLE, and legal descriptions South of the river have been completed by DLZ.
   A. A meeting was held with KROZAN ENTERPRISES at the Griffith COE office on May 7th to discuss their building installation relative to our real estate requests.
      • Minutes of the May 7th, 2001 meeting were completed and distributed on June 8th, 2001.

STATUS Stage VI – Phase 2 (Liable to Cline – South of the river):
1. Rani Engineering was awarded the A/E contract by the COE in January 2000. (They are out of St. Paul, Minnesota.)
2. We received a letter from INDOT to Rani Engineering on January 8, 2001 indicating their concerns regarding culverts & recreational proposals.
   A. A meeting was held with RANI, the COE, INDOT, and the LCRBDC on April 11, 2001 to review these and other engineering issues.
      • INDOT agreed we could cross Cline Avenue at the existing light at Highway Avenue. (See Recreation Report).
      • A meeting was held with Griffith to review proposed route for recreation trail east of Cline on June 27th (Refer to Rec. Report) and a meeting is scheduled with Highland on July 5th.
   B. We are still not at the 50% level of review – many issues to still be resolved. The COE agreed we would have one more opportunity to review and comment prior to their 100% submittal.

STATUS (Stage VII) Northcote to Columbia:
1. The final contract with Earth Tech to do the A/E work for this stage/phase of construction was signed and submitted by the COE on December 21st, 1999.
2. We received a request for a 75% review from the COE on January 16, 2001 along with their comments and responses to our 50% review.
   • We sent a letter to the COE on February 1 with comments to their 75% submittal indicating a number of concerns and requesting another review opportunity prior to the 100% review. (No response as of June 29, 2001).
3. A public meeting will be scheduled with both communities. (This will be after the 75% BCOE review process).

STATUS (Stage VIII) Columbia to the Illinois State Line:
1. The A/E award was given to S.E.H. (Short, Eilliot & Henderson Inc.)
2. We received a letter from SEH to the COE (dated January 31, 2001) on February 5, 2001 along with utility responses indicating additional follow-up and coordination will be required.
3. A meeting was held with SEH, Munster, Hammond, the COE, and the LCRBDC on June 6th to review hydrology concerns.
• Minutes of the meeting were finalized and submitted for distribution on June 26th, 2001.
• We received a “memo for record” from the COE along with a memo on hydraulics on June 20th, 2001.

4. We received a fax from GLE on June 5th, 2001, indicating that they have scheduled a meeting with Mrs. Rausch (Southmoor resident), complaining of surveyors damaging her shrubs, along with sample letter to residents notifying them of surveyors on, or adjacent to their property.

• We received a letter of complaint from Mrs. Rausch on May 25th, 2001, about damage to her plants.

5. We received a letter of concern from Ted Muta, dated June 22nd, 2001, expressing concern for leaning trees, stone finish on walls which will help preserve natural beauty.

East Reach Remediation Area – North of I-80/94, MLK to I-65:
1. Dyer Construction is the contractor. Construction was started on September 13th, 1999, and was completed in December, 2000 excluding minor punch list items.

2. Contract price - $1,657,913
   Extras - $145,483
   Balance (to be paid to contractor) - $287,950

3. The entire project is completed with the exception of minor gate and sign installations. Anticipated inspection should be scheduled for July 2001 when the weather permits and the signage & seeding are done.

• We received a copy of the final inspection punch list on June 1st to Dyer Construction. We anticipate a mutual final inspection in late July 2001.

• We received “as-built” drawings from the COE on June 25th and distributed to the city of Gary on June 27th.

West Reach Pump Stations – Phase 1A:
1. The four (4) pump stations that are included in this initial West Reach pump station project are Baring, Walnut, S. Kennedy, and Hohman/Munster.

2. Pump station Government estimate was $2,915,265 – Low bid was $4,638,400 (63% overrun). Notice to proceed issued November 7th, 2000 – 700 days to complete – October 2002. Successful bidder was Overstreet Construction.

A. A pre-construction meeting was held on November 27th, 2000, to discuss scheduling, establish points of contact, and coordination.


3. A monthly coordination meeting was held with Overstreet Construction on May 21st to discuss scheduling, shut down procedures, and submittal reviews. Project is on schedule.

4. We received a status report from the COE on June 25th, 2001.
West Reach Pump Stations – Phase 1B:
1. The Two (2) pump stations included in this contract are S.E. Hessville (Hammond), and 81st Street (Highland).
   A. The 81st Street Pump Station is approximately 90% complete to date.
      • The drywell pump inspection was held on April 17, 2001 and was found to be acceptable for both pumps and have been placed in service.
      • An agreement will be needed with both Highland and Hammond to allow their respective representatives to sign off, as owners for partial acceptance and turnover of these projects. (Ongoing)
      • **All pumps are currently installed and placed in service.**
   B. The S.E. Hessville Pump Station is approximately 35% complete.
2. The current COE schedule, as per our January 26th, 2000 coordination meeting, is to start construction by late September – 350 days to complete.
   • Pumps have been manufactured and tested and ready to be installed – Stored at manufacturers’ yard.
3. Thieneman Construction from Griffith, IN was the successful bidder.
   • The government estimate was $2,092,000
   • The low bid was $1,963,400, which was under estimated by $128,600
   • **We received modification #8 to the contract on June 20th, increasing the total current contract amount to $2,020,904.35.**
4. We received a status report from the COE on June 25th, 2001.

West Reach Pump Stations – General
1. We received an e-mail from the COE on January 2, 2001 with a breakdown of pump disposal for each project (who keeps the existing pumps after removal- the contractor or the community).

North Fifth Avenue Pump Station:
1. The Town of Highland submitted a letter to the COE dated December 8th, 2000, requesting that the electric transformer cost of $120,000 be part of the project.
   • The LCRBDC wrote a letter to the COE on January 23 requesting that rather than us entering into an agreement with Highland (as a utility re-locate) the costs be treated as a project cost.
   • **We received an email from the COE on June 19th, 2001, showing the question we raised again without a written response. This was raised at our pre-construction meeting on May 21st, 2001.**
2. The bid opening for North 5th was on February 6, 2001. The government estimate without profit is $2,662,000. The low bid was Overstreet Construction at $2,387,500. This was $274,500 under the government estimate (Not including profit).
3. A pre-construction meeting was held on May 21st with Overstreet Construction, town of Highland, COE, NIPSCO, and the LCRBDC.
   • Some construction may start in late summer, but the pumps are not projected for delivery until December 2001. At that time, the major work will begin (pump replacement & piping).
   • There are currently 10 pumps and all of these will be replaced with new and will be coordinated with the town of Highland.
• Minutes of the meeting were submitted for review by the COE on June 8th, 2001, and supplemented by the LCRBDC on June 14th, 2001.

4. We received a status report from the COE on June 25th, 2001.

**GENERAL:**

1. Utility Re-locations:
   
   A. **On June 20, 2001, a utility coordination meeting was held with the LCRBDC and the COE to discuss utility status and how to track each relocation.**

   • Compensability for utility relocations was discussed and milestones were presented for review to Don Valk and the COE on March 20, 2001.

2. Our quarterly technical review meeting **was held** with the COE on June 12th to review current & ongoing engineering concerns. (Last meeting was November 1, 2000).
MEMORANDUM
FOR: SEE DISTRIBUTION

SUBJECT: Contract No. DACW27-00-C-0021
Local Flood Protection
Burr Street Betterment Levee, Phase I
Little Calumet River, Indiana
Modification No. P00009 - Executed

1. Enclosed for your file is a copy of all pertinent information related to executed Modification No. P00009, under the subject contract.

2. Any questions concerning the enclosed items shall be directed to Douglas Anderson at (219) 923-1763/4.

Thomas A Deja, P.E.
Area Engineer
Calumet Area Office

Enclosures

DISTRIBUTION:
CELRC-CO-S (Complete Mod. File)
CELRC-CO-C (Complete Mod. File)
CELRC-CT (Complete Mod. File)
CELRC-PP-M (Mod. Only) I. Samara
CELRC-CO-S (Mod. Only) E. Karwatka
CELRC-CO-S (Mod. Only) B. Waldrom
CELRC-CO-S (Mod. Only) D. Anderson
LCRBDC (Mod. Only) J. Pokrajac
Meeting Notes

Drainage Issues West of Tri-State Bus Station

June 14, 2001

1. A meeting to discuss drainage issues west of Tri-State Bus Lines was held in the Highland, Indiana Town Hall at 10:00AM on June 14, 2001.

2. Attendees: A list of attendees is attached to these meeting notes.

3. Meeting Purpose: To discuss stormwater drainage issues for the area generally located west of Tri-State Bus Station and south of the Little Calumet River. The drainage ditch immediately west of the Tri-State Bus Station is referred to as the “West Ditch” in these meeting minutes.

4. Agenda: A copy of the agenda for the meeting is attached to these meeting notes.

5. Terry Hodnik reviewed the current situation on existing drainage, planned construction projects and known problems.

   a. Existing Drainage
      
      Existing drainage is generally from south to north through a system of three ditches that parallel the abandoned railroad beds. Two of the three ditches empty into the West Ditch at a location just south of the Tri-State Bus Station. The West Ditch flows into the Little Calumet River. The West Ditch is heavily silted and the outlet pipe to the Little Calumet River is mostly plugged.

   b. Planned Construction Projects in the Area
      
      - Little Calumet River Levee System/Recreation Trail
      - Highland Bike Trail
      - US 41 Improvements
      - Stormwater Relief Sewer on east side of Indianapolis Blvd to Highland’s 81st Street Stormwater Pump Station
      - 81st Street Stormwater Pump Station Rehabilitation (under construction)

   c. Known Problems
      
      The most significant problems to be addressed from Highland’s viewpoint are:

      - Complaints from Stan Petrites that ditch in his back yard (the easternmost railroad ditch) does not drain properly.

2
6. Following general discussion, there was concurrence among attendees that the best way to solve the problem would be to construct a pump station to handle excess flows that could not drain to the Little Calumet River by gravity. The pump station would most likely be constructed on the West Ditch, just south of the proposed 48-inch control structure in the Levee project designed by the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). An alternative to discharge INDOT drainage to the east and the Town's 81st Street Pump Station was discarded because of insufficient capacity of the storm sewer system and the 81st Street Station. It was also noted that the upgrade to the 81st Street Pump Station to replace all four pumps was currently under construction.

7. Imad Samara indicated that the USACE had previously sent a letter to INDOT, dated May 24, 2000, recommending that a pump station be provided at this location. Ned Grady, acknowledged receipt of the letter but noted that INDOT had consciously decided not to include a pumping station in the US 41 Improvement Project. Imad also stated that USACE did not want to get involved in the design of the pump station by INDOT or to dictate the type of design that should be used. Imad did offer to provide pertinent drawings for the Broadway Pump Station, which he said had some similarities to the pump station needed at the West Ditch location. Merrill Dougherty indicated that INDOT was counting on the detention volume in the ditch system parallel to the old railroad bed to store some of the excess water.

8. Dennis Simala noted that a platform was being provided in the levee project to facilitate locating temporary, portable pumping equipment by North Township to remove water from the golf course property.

9. Merrill Dougherty indicated that the amount of drainage being diverted from the US 41 project into the West Ditch system was about 20 cfs for a 10 year return period rainfall event.

10. Ron Adams suggested that the group requesting INDOT participation in design and construction of a pumping station put their request in writing, sending the letter to Ned Grady of United Consulting Engineering. After discussion, it was agreed that Imad Samara would forward a copy of the USACE letter recommending a pump station to the Town of Highland. John Bach agreed that Highland will add an endorsement and forward the letter to the Little Calumet River Basin Drainage Commission (LCRBDC) and North Township for additional endorsements and forwarding to Ned Grady. Ron Adams is to be a "copy to" addressee for the letter.
11. It was generally agreed, to the extent that financing by several agencies was feasible, that INDOT, the Town of Highland and North Township would work together to finance the pump station. Financing responsibility would generally be in proportion to the amount of runoff contributed by each entity, based on a 10 year return period rainfall event. It was agreed that Highland would take the lead in estimating rainfall amounts from lands owned by each entity. Calculations by INDOT and USACE for interior drainage quantities would be provided to Highland. Susanne Davis offered to assist by providing previous interior drainage calculations by USACE for North Township property. John Bach noted that some of the drainage to the West Ditch originated from drainage ditches located southeast of the existing bridge on US 41.

12. Design and construction of the pump station would be an INDOT responsibility. Since the INDOT plans for the Phase I project on US 41 (to be constructed from the Cady Marsh Ditch north to 81st Street) were 95% complete, it was agreed that the new pump station would be a separate project. USACE indicated that there may be some potential for installing pumps as part of the Levee Structure with details to be discussed at a later date. The Levee Construction in this area is at least 2 and probably 3 years away.
LITTLE CALUMET RIVER BASIN DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

MEETING WITH LANDOWNER KROSAN DEVELOPMENT DC 1014/1015 (Mercantile National Bank Trust #4385)

Meeting held 7 May 2001 at Corps Field Office in Griffith

Attendees: George Carlson, LCRBDC
Lou Casale, LCRBDC
Jim Pokrajac, LCRBDC
Judy Vamos, LCRBDC
Don Santacaterina, Krosan Development (Mr. S.)
Imad Samara, USACE
Chrystal Spokane, USACE
Tim Kroll, USACE
Rick Ackerson, USACE

Meeting opened with Lou Casale explaining the 75’ recorded drainage easement along the Little Calumet River and suggesting a survey be drawn to show its location on this landowner’s property and for future use as well. Don Santacaterina of Krosan Development represented the company and stated that his firm paid strict attention to the 75’ easement, and had Plumb Tuckett redo the survey five times. Mr. S stated that he did not know the earthen levee was going to be constructed. He stated that he was told – by an individual that he cannot recall at this point in time - that an I-Wall could possibly be constructed in place of the proposed levee. Mr. S then stated that he thought the 75’ easement was the only easement that would be needed for the COE project work. He is certain the building and driveway do not encroach upon the 75’ easement.

Jim Pokrajac indicated that a letter was sent to Hammond City Engineer, Stan Dostatni, dated 10 November 1998, with copies provided to Mr. S. This letter, together with an earlier meeting attended by Jim, Dan Gardner, and Mr. S, presented all the future project real estate requirements, levee sections, and the 75’ drainage easement location.

In addition, Jim suggested that the Krosan drawing and LCRBDC location survey both appear to show that the portions of the new buildings are located outside the 75’ easement. To confirm, the LCRBDC needs to know from what point (relative to the existing levee) did Plumb Tuckett measure the 75’ to establish the easement line.

Imad identified what the current real estate needs are for the COE project. Mr. Santacaterina questioned whether or not semi-truck traffic would still have the use of the driveway between the building and the levee. He stated that the use of this driveway as part of the temporary easement for construction would actually make the construction and access easier. Tim Kroll stated that the current project alignment conflicts with maintaining traffic passage behind the building. Tim then described two of the options that would improve this situation:

- Removal of the planting zone,
- Relocation of a portion of the levee embankment riverward (only if necessary).

The Corps asked Mr. S. to indicate the width of driveway area necessary to maintain Krosan’s current semi-truck operation. Mr. Santacaterina stated his desire to minimize customer interference, and requested as much flat ground as possible.

Mr. Kroll indicated that the maximum distance that the can be provided without causing the levee to encroach upon the river floodway was 30’. This includes shifting the levee riverward, having a 2.5 to 1 slope, and eliminating the planting zone. Mr. Kroll then questioned whether this amount of space was absolutely necessary for semi-truck operation. Mr. S was uncertain, and stated that he would follow-up and notify the COE
Mr. Santacaterina inquired about the date that construction will be initiated. Imad stated that 10/02 is the date by which all the real estate must be acquired for the project, and that construction would occur in FY 03. Mr. S. stated his concerns regarding noise, dirt, and interference from truck traffic to his customers during construction.

Could the Corps consider a temporary easement for construction purposes between his two lots rather than using the west easement? Corps responded in the affirmative if the engineering works out. The existing permanent roadway easement will be kept for maintenance but the "new" roadway easement will be temporary and strictly for construction.

Mr. S stated that Krosan Development in the next 90 days will be starting construction on two new buildings on Lot 2 of the Merrimac Addition. The same kind of buildings will be built and he wants to make sure a similar problem of building into the easement doesn't happen again. Could COE review the new engineering plans quickly? Imad answered yes.

**ACTION:**
1.) Lou will contact the Lake County Surveyor to locate the 75' drain.
2.) Mr. S will provide his existing drawings, his new building plans, and the Plumb Tuckett survey to the Corps and LCRBDC. Jim needs to know from what place did Plumb Tuckett take its 75' drainage measurement.

3.) Tim Kroll will review the Krosan drawings and make real estate changes on Corps drawings. Mr. S will then review Corps drawings to see what options are best for his business.

Tim Kroll asked Mr. S about the far eastern building set farther away from the levee line. He said he can adjust the drawings to give him 30' there as well. Mr. S said he'll look at his drawings but it seems that 30' is okay.

Question of drainage was discussed about the area between the building and levee on the west building. There will be a flat span of ground that will store water for a few hours. The manhole belongs to Krosan, not the City of Hammond. The manhole will have to be relocated. Mr. S explained the drainage is discharged into the river, not through a lift station, but a flapgate. Lou mentioned the Compensability of the utility relocation, however, will Krosan be paying for the utility relocation since it's theirs?

**ACTION:**
Mr. S will also supply the storm drainage plat to Corps and LCRBDC.
Minutes

Little Calumet River Stage VIII Utility and Drainage Coordination
June 6, 2001
10:00 a.m.
SEH Conference Room

Meeting Chair: Jeff Davis
Minutes By: Jeff Davis

Present: Sue Davis, USACE, Jan S. Plachtn, USACE, Bob Huffman, Little Cal River, Jim Pokrauc, Little Cal River, Stan Dostatni, City of Hammond, John Devine, Hammond Sanitary, Mark Knesed, Town of Munster, James Mandon, Town of Munster, Jeff Davis, SEH, Dave Odden, SEH, Rick Trevino, SEH

Copies To: Sue Davis, USACE, Jan S. Peachtre, USACE, Bob Huffman, Little Cal River, Jim Pokrauc, Little Cal River, Stan Dostatni, City of Hammond, John Devine, Hammond Sanitary, Mark Knesed, Town of Munster, James Mandon, Town of Munster, Jeff Davis, SEH, Dave Odden, SEH, Rick Trevino, SEH

I. Refer to SEH Agenda

II. SEH provided a verbal summary of the colored markups of the utility drawings.

A. Comments IN drawings:
   1. City(Hammond)/HSD to verify location, depth and structural integrity of combined sewer at station 14+00. Levee alignment may need to be located further west over the sewer. A gatewell is required on the utility inline with the flood barrier.
   2. A gated culvert is required at station 12+00. SEH to detail. District to provide the hydrologic and hydraulic evaluation (sizing). Minimum size is 24” diameter.
   3. City to verify existence of the storm sewer at station 4+00.
   4. A number of footing drains discharge into the river. City to address eliminating these drains.

B. Comments IS drawings:
   1. Culvert at station 0+20 to include a sluice gate and not a flap gate.
   2. Watermain at station 3+20 is not required if the 3 to 4 houses are removed. If the watermain is to remain in place a gate valves is required on both sides of the barrier. City to design for settlement.
3. City/HSD to address the storm sewer and combined sewer at station 3+50 and 4+70. Settlement of about 9” is anticipated.
4. Watermain at 7+20 services the Hohman/Munster pump station and does not extend across the bridge.
5. City to confirm location and configuration of combined sewer and storm sewer at stations 8+30 and 8+50. City/HSD to provide design.
6. A culvert is required across the flood barrier at station 16+20. SEH to include a flap gate.
7. A culvert across the barrier or catch basin and storm sewer connection are required at station 26+80.
8. Settlement in this area is anticipated to be in the range of 6” to 9”. City/HSD to address settlement in their remedial design. After meeting comment: Adding a 100 to 150 foot wall segment and reconstruction of the utilities in this corridor would minimize the settlement impacts on the utilities. Construction of the embankments near Hohman Avenue require a surcharge type construction methodology.

C. Comments 2 N drawings:
1. Low point at station 0+00. No drainage pick up currently provided. City to provide structure(s) with connection to interior drainage system. This area will be partially filled with the extension of the recreation trail. Without fill placement seepage will be a problem.
2. SEH to provide two drainage structures in the vicinity of the Jackson Street pump station. One structure at about station 4+50 (with connection to the existing storm) and at station 10+80 (with connection to the existing combined sewer.
3. Watermain crossing at station 16+00 needs valves on both sides of the barrier. District will provide a detail off Stage 2,3B to SEH. (See 2S comments).
4. City to remove the 4” pvc pipe at station 26+60.
5. City will verify existence of the pipe at station 28+70.
6. City will verify existence of the pipe at station 34+30.
7. City will address the roof leader across the levee at the daycare at station 35+00.
8. City will investigate black corrugated standpipe at station 34+80 on the riverside of the daycare.
9. SEH to determine if field surveys confirm the building configuration at 35+00.

D. Comments 2S drawings:
1. Construction of the barrier at railroad creates a low point. SEH to change the casting on the existing manhole to a beehive type inlet.
2. Munster to pick up drainage along the barrier.
3. Storm sewer at station 10+30 is abandoned.
4. Construction of the barrier between 12+00 and 16+00 create two low points. Munster to pick up the drainage and discharge to the existing interior drainage system.
5. The pipe at station 23+50 is a tank drain. The pipe must extend through the barrier and have a flap gate installed on the riverside end. Munster to provide invert elevation.

6. Storm sewer at station 24+00 has been abandoned.
7. Storm sewer at station 25+00 has been abandoned.
8. Storm sewer at station 25+50 has been abandoned.
9. Munster to pick up lateral drainage along the barrier.
10. Storm sewer at station 29+25 has been abandoned.
11. Storm sewer at station 32+60 has been abandoned.
12. Storm sewer at station 36+50 has been abandoned.
13. Munster to verify status of storm sewer at station 39+50.
14. Munster to address roof drainage falling on trail off the structure at station 39+25.
15. Watermain crossing at station 17+80 to 2N could be shut down for one day to allow for concurrent wall construction. Munster to design and submit methodology to SEH for cursory review. A gate valve is required on both sides of the river.

E. Comments 3N drawings:

1. Hammond to verify the need for the storm sewer at station 27+60.
2. City to verify connection of park road to parking lot at Columbia.
3. Levee will impact the existing driveway just north of the river. SEH will expand the driveway connection at River Drive to replace the driveway closure.
4. HSD to review sanitary sewer at station 27+20.
5. City to verify existence of culvert at station 2+50.

F. Comments 3S drawings:

1. The storm sewer at station 0+20 includes a 56” gate valve and flap gate. Munster to check need for redesign.
2. Munster to check on the storm sewers at station 4+80 and 6+10.
3. Storm sewer at station 13+50 has been abandoned.
4. Munster to pick up lateral drainage and get drainage to existing interior drainage system.
5. Storm sewers at stations 19+80 and 23+70 are abandoned.
6. HSD to review sanitary sewer at station 26+50.
7. HSD to review sanitary sewer location between station 18+00 to 23+50.

G. Other Comments:

1. Local officials to provide designated haul routes to SEH for inclusion in the construction documents.
2. House removal west of Hohman Ave. to be discussed at the June 14th Technical Review meeting.
3. Contact James O’riley to determine the date of the most recent utility and aerial surveys on the project.
4. Recreation trail along the north side of the project does not meet current bike safety design standards due mostly to the 2.5 on 1 levee slope.

5. Concern was expressed about the walls, fence and maintenance trail proposed in Munster. Officials expressed concern that locals did not have adequate input into the concept design.

6. Officials expressed concern that all of the utility design and construction should be included in the current project design and not addressed by the locals.

7. Munster indicated a storm sewer runs parallel to a good portion of the project on River Drive.

8. District staff indicated that only a small amount of backyard storage would be required for a relatively small duration if the backyard drainage is not piped to the existing interior drainage system. If this approach is incorporated into the design a number of additional barrier penetrations and gates are required.
MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: Little Calumet River, Indiana Local Flood Protection and Recreation
Stage VIII Utility Coordination Meeting
Drainage and Encroachment Issues

1. A Utility Coordination Meeting was held at SEH offices on 6 June 2001 with representatives of SEH, Hammond, Hammond Sanitary District, Munster, the Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission and the Corps of Engineers. A copy of the meeting agenda and attendance roster are attached.

2. The meeting focused on a set of drawings that had been labeled with drainage concerns, low spots, drainage swales, survey conflicts (between the FDM and survey data) relating to sewer lines, and lines to be removed or replaced. There did appear to be some confusion regarding interior drainage features associated with the levee versus drainage features that are utility relocations. Suggest that PM and the COR provide clarification to the A/E.

3. Sheet 2SouthA- There is a low spot shown between the L&N RR and the existing levee embankment north of the Outlot A pump station. Drainage options to be considered include fill/grade the low spot to drain or add a catch basin and connection to the existing storm sewer line. Grading might be preferable at this location.

4. Sheet 2SouthB- Two low points were identified around the western edge of the levee near the water tanks. The A/E was proposing that the drainage along the toe of the levee should be addressed. As for the low point near Outlot A, filling and grading might be an appropriate solution. The runoff could be directed to the existing sewer lines located along River Drive with the proper contouring.

5. Sheet 2SouthC- Ductile Iron Pipe (DIP) line from tank was identified by Munster between the two blue lines shown on the drawings. The line would need to penetrate the levee. Also, a flap gate should be provided to prevent back flow into the line under flood conditions. Munster will provide details about the line to the A/E.

6. Sheet 3SouthA- There was a question regarding 2 parking lot drains located east of the Calumet Pump Station. Munster will determine if the lines still exist, are active or can be removed. The FDM indicated removal. The A/E is proposing to route surface drainage from the east end of this segment towards the sewer inlets in the medical center parking lot. Munster concurred with the proposal. Also, the A/E proposed including a drainage swale on the landside of the I-wall near the chlorine contact chambers associated with the Calumet Pump Station.
7. Sheet 3SouthB- The A/E is proposing a drainage swale along the base of the I-wall to collect runoff from the backyards and the levee. They are proposing to connect the flow into the existing storm sewers that run east west along River Drive just south of the river. Flow has not be calculated, but was estimated at approximately 3-4 cfs. Munster was insistent that drainage impacts that result from project construction, such as an increase in backyard ponding must be addressed. The A/E thought that the responsibility for design and construction of these drainage “improvements” would be the responsibility of the community. It appears, however, that these are necessary drainage features in order to remove interior flow from the toe of the levee alignment. Suggest that PM address this with the A/E.

8. Sheet 3North A - Unknown pipe (shown in FDM, not in survey, A/E could not field locate). We need to check the 1992(? EarthTech Survey. We gave Mike Hickey access last year. Will check with Murphy. May have been ID’d when river levels were very low. Hammond to check, also.

9. Sheet 3North B – Gatewell to be installed as per FDM.

10. Sheet 3North C- Storm sewer line next to bridge. Hammond to check where it is coming from. Hammond to check on size of sewer line to/from Southside that will be under the levee. Access to baseball parking lot will be covered by levee footprint. A/E to include new permanent access in plans.

11. Sheet 2NorthC- The pump outlet for the botanical gardens is noted to be removed. The topography shown at the gardens indicates that runoff would flow to the existing pond. The gardens uses a small pump, with a discharge at the river, to dewater their site. Much of the area has been made into parking, and the A/E was not certain if any drainage features had been added to the parking. Drainage for this site will need to be determined.

12. Sheet 2NorthB & Sheet 2SouthC- issue raised about water line crossing through embankment and under river (Munster & Hammond). Need to show A/E how the previous water lines were addressed (Gary Hobart in East Reach). Also, who does construction, etc. Do lines have to be out of service, etc. This is another issue that should be addressed with the A/E as they are not certain how this works.
CELRC-ED-HH

SUBJECT: Little Calumet River, Indiana Local Flood Protection and Recreation
        Stage VIII Utility Coordination Meeting
        Drainage and Encroachment Issues

13. Sheet 1NorthB - Seal embankment at L&N probably will have to be moved and made
    into full levee because of space constraints & interstate bridge piers. A/E to advise when
    survey is complete. Also, moving alignment will impact combined sewer line.
    Hammond to check. Maybe we should relook at the whole setback alignment since we
    will now be putting in a full levee section under the interstate bridge. Can we get the RE
to do this? Would there be conflicts with InDOT?

14. Sheet 2NorthA – Hammond to evaluate best location for stormwater flow to enter the
    Jackson Street pump station. A/E is looking to grade/drain route to existing inlets.

15. Sheet 1NorthB - Seal embankment at L&N probably will have to be moved and made
    into full levee because of space constraints & interstate bridge piers. A/E to advise when
    survey is complete. Also, moving alignment will impact combined sewer line.
    Hammond to check. Maybe we should relook at the whole setback alignment since we
    will now be putting in a full levee section under the interstate bridge. Can we get the RE
to do this? Would there be conflicts with InDOT?

16. Sheet 2NorthA – Hammond to evaluate best location for stormwater flow to enter the
    Jackson Street pump station. A/E is looking to grade/drain route to existing inlets.

17. Other issues: Jim Pokrajac indicated that the Commission has been asked to re-look at
    the four homes near the stateline. The residents have expressed a desire not to be
    relocated. Believe that he will discuss these issues at the next local sponsor/Corps
    coordination meeting (14 June).

18. A/E mentioned that their geotechnical investigations indicate significant settlement west
    of Hohman (about 9 inches). Not certain where soil boring were located, or if this would
    impact our work west of Hohman, which would be on disturbed earth, i.e. existing
    embankment and near existing roadways. This could have a potential impact if structural
    solutions at the river for the four homes at the stateline were considered.

19. Point of contact for this memorandum is the undersigned at extension 3114.

Susanne J. Davis, P.E.
Hydraulic & Environmental Engineering
Minutes

Hydraulic Optimization of Barrier Alignment
June 6, 2001
1:45 p.m.

Meeting Chair: Jeff Davis
Minutes By: Jeff Davis
Present: Sue Davis, Chicago District, Dave Odden, SEH, Ron Farmer, SEH, Jeff Davis, SEH
Copies To: Sue Davis, Chicago District, Dave Odden, SEH, Ron Farmer, SEH, Jeff Davis, SEH

I. Hydraulic modeling is based on the existing levee location and not on the proposed FDM 5 barrier location.

II. 1N/1S – SEH to verify cross-sections once the field surveys are provided. Briefly discussed the amount of muck in the riverbed.

III. 2N – Barrier location revised between stations 17+00 to 20+50 due to the building and overhead billboard placement. Look at 2S in conjunction with this move. Looks like the modification could be an overall improvement.

IV. 2N – District to look at placement of the wall/trail in the general area of station 34+00. SEH will lower the ground level on the riverside of the wall reduce the hydraulic impact of the wall placement.

V. 2N – District to review cross sections and provide guidance on the breach configuration of the existing levee.

VI. 1S – A gated culvert is required at the connection with I-80. The gate must be a sluice gate. This will allow trapped water to drain through the barrier and into the interior drainage system after the water level in the river recedes.

VII. 1S – District to provide guidance on the barrier alignment/configuration along Manor Avenue. SEH has provided a wall configuration option to the District for consideration. It may be possible to use sheet pile wall with earth fill instead of a concrete cap. This option provides an exposed sheet pile surface on the riverside of the wall and a turf exposure on the landside.
SEH LAKE COUNTY

FIELD OFFICE: ____________________________  SEH FILE NO: A-0000E 9902
_______________________________________  DATE: 6-5-01
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□ URGENT

ATTENTION: Jim Rokasene

CO/ORGANIZATION: LCRBDC

FAX NO: 219-767-6053  TELEPHONE:

SUBJECT: LCR Site Visit

REMARKS:

Jim attached is a copy of the letter I sent the homeowners. I spoke to Jeff Tateka today and he plans on meeting with Mr. and Mrs. Brown today at 1:00 PM. He will let me know the outcome of that meeting. I will pass on the information to you.

Look forward to seeing you tomorrow.

We are:

□ Sending original by mail  X Sending by FAX only  □ Sending as requested

For your:

□ Information/Records  □ Review and comment  □ Approval
□ Action  □ Distribution  □ Revision and resubmittal

If transmission was not received properly, please contact the sender at the phone number above

WE REQUEST A RESPONSE FROM YOU BY: __________________________

Short Elliott Hendrickson Inc.  •  Offices located throughout the Upper Midwest  •  Equal Opportunity Employer

15
May 29, 2001

Re: The Little Calumet River Flood Control and Recreation Project

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is to inform you that within the next few weeks there will be land surveyors in your neighborhood surveying the Little Calumet River levee for engineering design. Although every attempt will be made to remain outside of your backyards, we will need to gain access for short periods of time. The survey crew will inform you before gaining access to your property. If you have any questions regarding the engineering design of this project please contact Mike Hickey or Rick Trevino with SEH at (219) 554-4000 or if you have any questions regarding the surveying efforts you may contact myself at GLE at (219) 933-1954. Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,
Garcia LE & Associates

Jeff Yatsko, LSIT
Project Engineer
May 25, 2001

Mr. Pokrajac:

You asked us to write you a note about the disturbance due to surgery along the back of our yard, caused by an employee of the surveying company, during work on the river. We feel that this was entirely un-called for, and the company should be held responsible for making reparations to us. We will appreciate any help you can give us, in making this right.

Thank you:

Mr. & Mrs. Alton R. Rausch
227 Sandman Rd.
Telephone: 933-6444
June 26, 2001

Mr. Imad Samara
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
111 N. Canal Street
Chicago, Illinois 60606-7206

Dear Imad:

Enclosed is a copy of a letter dated June 22, 2001 from Ted Muta, who owns an advertising building located in Stage VIII north of the Little Calumet River and west of Calumet Avenue. Along with the letter, I have enclosed copies of photos he took with a look he is trying to achieve to improve aesthetics in the areas adjacent to his building. His letter also expressed concerns regarding leaving as many trees as possible and he would like to preserve the land and its natural beauty as much as possible.

This letter is for your information and we hope that considerations along this line will be given by SEH prior to submittal of the 50% engineering plans & specifications for review.

In a conversation I had with Ted Muta, I did inform him that we will be using concrete formliners that have this type of a look; and that upon my receipt of the 50% drawings, I would give him pertinent drawings showing project impacts to his property.

If you have any questions, please call me.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

James E. Pokrajac, Agent
Land Management/Engineering

/encl.

cc: Jan Plachta
    Tim Kroll
    Judy Vamos
June 22, 2001

Mr. Jim Pokrajac
Little Calumet River Basin
6100 Southport Rd.
Portage, IN 46368

Dear Jim,

Lately I see more engineers on my acreage, making me feel that construction of the new dyke is near. I spoke to an engineer yesterday, and he told me that the dyke would not cut through my property – which makes me feel great! The only concern I now have is what the dyke will look like.

I’ve enclosed two (2) photos of a dyke in Boise, Idaho; it’s really nice and it adds character to the property it protects. It would be horrible to have a stark concrete wall, which looks like an expressway, around all properties involved. Please see if the engineers would consider a wall with inserts of stone (as per the photos enclosed), or perhaps a large, colorful river rock could be added to the concrete mixture, which would add a rough texture – using the latter should not add a prohibitive cost. Please let me know if any of my suggestions are possible – the future of our two parcels and the neighborhood could be adversely affected or greatly enhanced by simply building the dyke with some charm and character.

I’m also concerned about cutting down trees, as we have a bird sanctuary and wildlife haven on these parcels of acreage. Removing too many trees will disturb the ecology and balance of nature: please use discretion when removing foliage and leave as much vegetation as possible, preserving the land and its natural beauty. I believe the dyke could be an attractive addition while still protecting the surrounding homes and business properties.

Thanks, Jim for your sensitivity and consideration regarding this truly monumental undertaking. Please keep me informed as to what will take place. The dyke will impact my property tremendously.

Kindest Regards,

Ted Muta
President
TED MUTA ADVERTISING AND SALES PROMOTION

P.S. Jim, when you have engineering drawings available, please let me have a copy.
DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
CHICAGO DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
111 NORTH CANAL STREET
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60606-7206

JUN 01 2001

Construction-Operations Division
Calumet Area Office (1180-1-1q)

SUBJECT: Contract No. DACW27-99-C-0040
Local Flood Protection
Little Calumet River, Indiana
East Reach Remediation; Area Office Final Inspection Punch-list

Ms. Janet Furman
Dyer Construction Company, Inc.
1716 Sheffield Avenue
Dyer, Indiana 46311

Dear Ms. Furman:

Reference is made to the Area Office final inspection of the subject contract performed on May 25, 2001. Participants included myself and Mr. Curtis Lee, USACE; and yourself and Messrs. Tom O’leary and Robert Vander Heyden, Dyer Construction Co. Inc. The following items were identified, and must be completed before scheduling a final inspection by representatives of USACE, LCRBDC and Dyer Construction Company, Inc.

1. The Contractor will operate and grease the Sluice Gate Stem at the 48” Gatewell Structure and the Inlet Box.

2. Re-seed bare areas located at approx. Sta. 11+56 and Sta. 14+00 to Sta. 23+00, specifics of the locations can be coordinated with Mr. Lee prior to reseeding.

3. Fill in ruts and low spots throughout the crest of the levee and add additional Top-Soil as needed. Exact locations will be coordinated with Mr. Lee prior to start of work.

4. Retrofit Swing Gates with Chain Mechanism for locking on all gates. We request that your Company submit a design to this office for the locking mechanism, based on discussions between Mr. Jim Hanson, Mr. Ed Karwatka and representatives from Illian Fence Co. on May 25, 2001.

5. Remove all signage from the project, signs include Safety, Project and Accident Record signs.

It is requested that you complete these items as soon as possible, so that the final inspection may be scheduled, the project turned over to the LCRBDC, and the contract financially closed out.

Please note that during the final inspection, other punchlist items may be identified by the local sponsor or representatives from the Chicago District Office.

If you have any questions concerning this punch-list, please contact Mr. Curtis Lee at (219) 923-1763/4.

Sincerely,

Thomas A. Deja, P.E.
Authorized Representative
of the Contracting Officer

Copies Furnish
CELRC-CT (Closing File)
CELRC-CO-C (Closing File)
CELRC-CO-S (Closing File)
CELRC-CO-S (Misc. Corr. – Closing File)
CELRC-CO-S (C. Lee)
LCRBDC (J. Pokrajac)
To:   'littlecal@nirpc.org' <littlecal@nirpc.org>
Cc:   Samara, Imad LRC <Imad.Samara@lrco2.usace.army.mil>; Deja, Tom LR <Tom.Deja@lrco2.usace.army.mil>; Anderson, Douglas M LRC <Douglas.M.Anderson@lrco2.usace.army.mil>
Date: Monday, June 25, 2001 12:35 PM
Subject: Progress of Pump Stations Contracts.

Pump Rehab 1B Contract C-0035 (Thieneman Const.)
81st Station - 90%+- complete to date.
1. All pumps have been installed and placed in service.
2. Wet well access cover installation (concrete work) is 95%+- complete.
3. Discharge box modifications (concrete work) are 40%+- complete.
4. Standby pumps have been installed and are inspected daily.
5. Painting of piping and various other items remains to be performed.
6. Heating and Ventilation installation is not yet complete.
7. Old electrical service has been deenergized by NIPSCO and the old electrical equipment in the station will be removed soon.

S.E. Hessville Station - 35%+- complete to date.
1. Discharge box modifications (concrete work) are 95%+- complete.
2. Removal of old pumps has begun.
3. New pumps and motors have been manufactured, tested, and will be delivered on June 26, 2 Old pumps and motors will be delivered to Hammond Sanitary District's warehouse, as they are removed.
4. Electricians are making required changes to the existing MCC and new station lighting has been installed.
5. Existing mechanical trash rack has been inspected by manufacturer and no additional repairs required. One half of the trash rack has been rehabilitated. The other 1/2 remains to be complete.

Pump Rehab 1A Contract C-0001 (Overstreet Electric Co.)
Baring Ave Pump Station - 0%+- Complete.
South Kennedy Ave Pump Station - 0%+- Complete.
Hohman/Munster Pump Station- 0%+- Complete.
Walnut Ave. Pump Station - 0%+- Complete.

1. Various submittals are being prepared.
2. No on-site construction activity.
3. Coordination/progress meeting held on June 21, 2001 went well. Next meeting is scheduled for July 24, 2001.

North 5th Pump Station Rehab (Overstreet Engineering & Const.)
N. 5th Pump Station - 0%+- Complete.

1. No construction activity.
2. Various submittals are in review.
3. Coordination/progress meeting held on June 21, 2001 went well. Next meeting is scheduled for July 24, 2001.
4. A construction office trailer and a tool trailer was mobilized to the N. 5th station. These trailers will be utilized for both of Overstreet's contracts (N. 5th and 1A).
MEMORANDUM FOR DISTRIBUTION

SUBJECT: Contract No. DACW27-00-C-0035
Pump Stations Rehabilitation
Phase IB, Highland and Hammond
Sanitary Districts
Little Calumet River, Indiana
Modification No. P00008 – Executed

1. Enclosed for your file is a copy of all pertinent information related to executed Modification No. P00008, under the subject contract.

2. Any questions concerning the enclosed items shall be directed to Douglas Anderson at (219) 923-1763/4.

Thomas A. Deja, P.E.
Area Engineer
Calumet Area Office

Enclosures

DISTRIBUTION:
CELRD-CO-C (Complete Mod. File)
CELRD-CO-S (Complete Mod. File)
CELRD-CT (Complete Mod. File)
CELRD-PP-PM (Mod. Only) I. Samara
CELRD-CO-S (Mod. Only) R. Craib
CELRD-CO-S (Mod. Only) D. Anderson
√LCRBDC (Mod. Only) J. Pokrajac
From: Anderson, Douglas M LRC <Douglas.M.Anderson@lrc02.usace.army.mil>
To: Samara, Imad LRC <Imad.Samara@lrc02.usace.army.mil>; Deja, Tom LRC <Tom.Deja@lrc02.usace.army.mil>
Cc: 'Jim Pokrajac, LCRBDC' <littlecal@nirpc.org>
Date: Tuesday, June 19, 2001 12:26 PM
Subject: North 5th Avenue Pump Station Preconstruction Conference Meeting Minutes

I received a telephone call from Jim Pokrajac with comments on the North 5th Avenue Pump Station Preconstruction Conference meeting minutes. Jim identified the following items as missing from the minutes:

1. The Town of Highland has entered into an agreement with NIPSCO for electrical modifications to the pump station power supply. The value of the agreement is reported to be approximately $114,000. Mr. Pokrajac has previously mentioned to Mr. Samara that the Local Sponsor believes that this work should have been a DACW27-00-C-0008 project cost. Mr. Samara has indicated that he will prepare a letter to the Local Sponsor addressing this issue.

2. The Contractor's scope of work includes providing a Microprocessor Controller/Telemetry Unit to control the pumps. (See Specification Section 16225.) The Town of Highland is responsible for supplying telephone services, etc., needed to interface with and make use of the telemetry system.

I plan to attach a copy of this e-mail message to the meeting minutes. Call if you have questions or comments.

Doug Anderson

06/19/2001
Construction-Operations Division
Calumet Area Office (1180-1-1q)

SUBJECT: Contract No. DACW27-01-C-0008
Little Calumet River
North Fifth Avenue Pump Station Rehabilitation
Highland Sanitary District
Lake County, Indiana
Preconstruction Conference Minutes

Mr. Clyde McCutcheon
Overstreet Engineering & Construction, Inc.
4220 N. Davis Hwy., Bldg. B
Pensacola, FL 32503

Dear Mr. McCutcheon:

Enclosed are the minutes of the Pre-Construction Conference conducted on 21 May 2001. It is requested that you review the minutes, indicate your concurrence by signing in the space provided, and returning one signed copy to this office. Any requested changes or additions to the minutes shall be attached as an addendum.

If you have any questions concerning the minutes, please contact Mr. Douglas Anderson at (219) 923-1763/4.

Sincerely,

Thomas A. Deja, P.E.
Contracting Officer's Representative

Enclosures:

Copies Furnished:
CELRC-CO-S (File) w/Encl.
CELRC-CO-C (D. Albert) w/Encl.
CELRC-CT (V. Salinas-Nix) w/Encl.
CELRC-CO-S (B. Craib) w/Encl.
CELRC-PP-PM (I. Samara) w/Encl.
Town of Highland (M. Pipta) w/Encl.
VLCRBDC (J. Pokrajac) w/Encl.
CELRC-CO-S

SUBJECT: Contract No. DACW27-01-C-0008
Little Calumet River
North Fifth Avenue Pump Station Rehabilitation
Highland Sanitary District
Lake County, Indiana
Preconstruction Conference- Meeting Minutes

1. INTRODUCTIONS

A. Attendees: See attached sign in sheet.

2. PURPOSE

A. Mr. Douglas Anderson, USACE Project Engineer for this Contract, summarized the purpose of the meeting and the USACE personnel involved with the project.

B. The purpose of this Preconstruction Conference is to develop a mutual understanding between Contractor and the Government regarding procedures on contractual and administrative matters. Discuss Contract Clauses, Accident Prevention, and Environmental Protection provisions of the contract. Allow the Contractor and the Government an opportunity to exchange questions and thoughts on how the project will be accomplished.

Contracting Officer: Be Salinas-Nix
Administrative Contracting Officers: Dick L. Albert
Thomas A. Deja, P.E.

Contracting Officer Representatives: Thomas A. Deja, P.E.
Ven Garces

Project Engineer: Douglas M. Anderson, P.E.

QA Site Representative: Bob Craib

3. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Mr. Anderson briefly summarized the project. The project consists of the rehabilitation of the North Fifth Avenue Pump Station, which is an existing storm sewer pump station on the west reach of the Little Calumet River, Indiana. The pump station is operated and maintained by the Highland Sanitary District. The rehabilitation work generally includes, but is not limited to:

- Replacement of pumps and motors;
- Installation of new HVAC, electrical distribution, and instrumentation and control equipment;
- Removal/disposal of the existing comminutor and installation of trash racks;
B. Mr. McCutcheon stated that Overstreet's project team for the North Fifth Avenue Pump Station will be the same team that will be completing the Phase 1A Pump Stations Rehabilitation Contract. Mr. McCutcheon indicated Overstreet's desire to utilize the North Fifth Avenue Pump Station temporary facilities as Overstreet's base of operations for both the North Fifth Avenue Pump Station contract (DACW27-01-C-0008) and the Phase 1A Pump Stations Rehabilitation contract (DACW27-01-C-0001). The subject was discussed among those present, including the representatives of the Town of Highland, LCRBDC, and USACE, and no objections were voiced.

C. Mr. McCutcheon stated that Overstreet will likely provide pumps manufactured by Johnson and by Patterson, rather than by Cascade and Yeomans. These pumps were selected after consideration of delivery times and the manufacturers' exceptions to the Specifications.

D. Discussions indicated that pumps DWP-1 and DWP-2 can both be out of service at the same time. Pumps 6 and 7 are also currently out of service.

E. The existing underground generator fuel storage tank was discussed. The Contractor's scope of work does not include removal or closure of the underground storage tank (UST). It is the Town of Highland's responsibility to comply with Indiana Department of Environmental Management's (IDEM's) UST closure requirements. Mike Pipta indicated that he would discuss the matter with Mr. John Bach of the Town of Highland.

6. LCRBDC AND LOCAL COMMUNITY QUESTIONS/CONCernS

A. Representatives of the LCRBDC and/or Highland Sanitary District brought up several local community concerns for discussion. The discussions are summarized in the following paragraphs.

B. Mike Pipta mentioned that there is a steel grate across the end of the discharge to the river that was placed to keep people out of the discharge pipe. Mr. Pipta suggested that, for public safety reasons, Overstreet should prevent access to the to discharge pipe by children and unauthorized personnel if the grate is removed to install flap valves or perform other discharge box work.

C. Contractor's work should be coordinated with the Town of Highland. Mike Pipta should be notified of outages or reduction in pumping capacity.

D. Mike Pipta indicated that he liked the suggested schedule for the pump work that was laid out in Section 01010, paragraph 3.1.2.

E. A complete list of emergency contact telephone numbers should be developed for the Town of Highland, the Contractor, and the USACE.

F. Jim Pokrajac emphasized the minority participation the minority participation requirements of the Contract and indicated the Sponsor's desire for the Contractor to use local labor and subcontractors, to the extent possible.

G. Jim Pokrajac requested that the LCRBDC be informed of the Contractor's schedule.

H. The need for an agreement between LCRBDC and the Town of Highland was discussed whereby the Town of Highland representative would act as an agent for the LCRBDC for the purposes of accepting equipment placed in service. Mr. Pokrajac, Mr. Pipta, and Mr. Samara will follow investigate this matter further.
July 5, 2001

Ms. Betty Cockrum, Director
State Budget Agency
Statehouse, Room 212
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

Dear Ms. Cockrum:

The Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission respectfully requests to be placed on the July State Budget Committee agenda. The Development Commission requests Budget Committee approval for the allocation of $3 million from the 2001/03 State Budget to be made available for claim draw down. This amount is needed to keep the 2001 Federal construction contracts ongoing and to continue the non-Federal project obligations in anticipation for the 2002 Federal construction budget. Congressman Peter Visclosky has included in the House of Representatives markup $4.5 million for the next construction season. Presently, $8.8 million of Federal appropriations are being utilized.

It is imperative that the $3 million be released for claim draw down at the July meeting as the Development commission has worked to spend all available previous monies to maximize the Federal construction progress. Toward achieving this, the Commission currently has only $55,000 from previous State appropriations remaining and over $2.5 million in current obligations. The State funds will be used for ongoing contractual non-Federal obligations: those being cash escrow commitment; public right-of-way acquisition; required utility relocation; public land acquisition of required wetland mitigation properties (required as a condition of the IDNR construction permit) and professional services costs to implement these.

Included as documentation for the use of the dollars is a letter from Imad Samara, Federal Project Manager for the Corps of Engineers, indicating non-Federal cash contributions required to keep pace with the Federal construction contracts.
The Development Commission continues to work closely with the Congressional offices as well as the Governor's Office and the staff of the Budget Agency to best coordinate the State funding with required Federal obligations. In order to stretch the available State appropriations and maximize Federal construction, the Development Commission will be approaching the 3 communities in the next construction segments to seek participation by those communities by the donation of publicly held property. The communities are Hammond, Highland and Munster.

We cannot emphasize enough that the Commission has never been depleted of funds as it is now; thus requiring the release of this requested amount of $3 million to keep the contractors working this summer. We would anticipate this amount lasting until the spring of next year, at which point we would request the release of the remaining $2.5 million from the 2001/03 budget appropriation.

If you would like any additional information or if you have any questions, please let us know. We thank you and the Budget Committee for your past support of this important project for northwest Indiana and we ask your favorable consideration of our request.

Sincerely,

William S. Tanke
Chairman

Dan Gardner
Executive Director

/sjm
encl.
cc: Dan Novreske, State Budget Agency
Mark Brown, State Budget Agency
Jeff Viohl, Federal Liaison to Governor O'Bannon
Senator Richard Lugar's Office
Senator Evan Bayh's Office
Congressman Peter Visclosky's Office
Senator William Alexa
Representative Earl Harris
Representative Chet Dobis
John Sirles, COE Deputy for Project Management
Imad Samara, COE Project Manager
June 29, 2001

Planning, Programming and
Project Management Division

Mr. Dan Gardner
Little Calumet River Basin
Development Commission
6100 Southport Road
Portage, Indiana 46368

Dear Mr. Gardner:

As we discussed in our last telephone conversation regarding the funding needs for fiscal years (FY) 2001, 2002 and 2002. Enclosure 1 to this letter is a table that shows the breakdown project funding needs for the next three fiscal years. These funding requirement are based on completing the ongoing construction contracts for Stage IV-1 North and 1 South, Burr Street Betterment Levee Phase 1, Pump Station 1A and 1B, and North 5th Pump Station. The table is also based on awarding only the Burr Street Betterment Levee Phase 2 and the Wetland Mitigation Implementation Contract in Project Lands.

As you can see that for the current FY 2001 (ending September 2001), $1,131,272 is required. As of today we have received the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Construction funds</th>
<th>Burr Street Betterment Fund</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16 November 2001</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 March 2001</td>
<td>$49,000</td>
<td>$79,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 March 2001</td>
<td>$64,000</td>
<td>$186,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 June 2001</td>
<td></td>
<td>$148,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$313,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$513,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A total of $826,000 have been received and a total of $305,272 is still required for this fiscal year. This funding is needed to maintain the construction contracts and other design contracts on schedule without any interruption due to lack of funding.

For FY 2002 and 2003 the funding requirement is based on no new awards of any construction contracts on the West Reach due to the lack of funding to acquire lands for the construction. The funding required will be used to complete the ongoing construction contracts and any other design contracts. The total local funding requirement for FY 2002 and FY 2003 are $1,157,428 and $777,233 respectively.
I hope this information will help you in requesting funds from the state for this project. Please let me know if you have any questions, you can call me at 312-353-6400 ext. 1809.

[Signature]

Imad N. Samara
Project Manager
### LITTLE CALUMET RIVER FLOOD CONTROL AND RECREATION PROJECT

**PROJECTED FEDERAL AND NON-FEDERAL FUNDING REQUIRED FOR**


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>28-Jun-01 CONTRAICT</th>
<th>TOTAL CONTRACT COST</th>
<th>FY 00 Fed</th>
<th>10/09/00</th>
<th>Total Per FY 00</th>
<th>FY 01 Fed</th>
<th>10/09/01</th>
<th>Total Per FY 01</th>
<th>FY 02 Fed</th>
<th>10/09/02</th>
<th>Total Per FY 02</th>
<th>FY 03 Fed</th>
<th>10/09/03</th>
<th>Total Per FY 03</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>East Reach Rema.</td>
<td>$1,700,000</td>
<td>$1,392,000</td>
<td>$388,000</td>
<td>$1,400,000</td>
<td>$226,837</td>
<td>$17,074</td>
<td>$243,911</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV-1 North</td>
<td>$3,013,911</td>
<td>$2,699,000</td>
<td>$314,000</td>
<td>$2,770,000</td>
<td>$1,546,344</td>
<td>$118,323</td>
<td>$1,664,767</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV-1 South</td>
<td>$3,662,736</td>
<td>$2,095,000</td>
<td>$165,000</td>
<td>$2,260,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burr Street 1</td>
<td>$2,169,453</td>
<td>$579,814</td>
<td>$469,160</td>
<td>$1,069,453</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burr Street 2</td>
<td>$2,670,547</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump Station 1A</td>
<td>$4,638,400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump Station 1 B</td>
<td>$2,041,195</td>
<td>$279,000</td>
<td>$210,000</td>
<td>$360,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. 5th Pump Sta.</td>
<td>$2,300,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hired Labor*</td>
<td>$2,399,400</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>$2,600,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/E Contracts</td>
<td>$1,593,000</td>
<td>$1,109,400</td>
<td>$393,600</td>
<td>$1,193,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage VI-1</td>
<td>$6,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage VI-2</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage V-3</td>
<td>$1,200,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage V-2</td>
<td>$8,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetland Mitigation*</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$10,373,704</td>
<td>$989,466</td>
<td>$11,363,000</td>
<td>$11,363,000</td>
<td>$7,724,423</td>
<td>$1,131,272</td>
<td>$8,855,695</td>
<td>$4,513,121</td>
<td>$1,374,267</td>
<td>$5,887,478</td>
<td>$3,822,767</td>
<td>$777,233</td>
<td>$4,600,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*This wetland mitigation is being done on project lands.

### Burr Street Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Burr Street Budget</td>
<td>$4,640,000</td>
<td>$484,000</td>
<td>$395,000</td>
<td>$5,687,000</td>
<td>$2,700,000</td>
<td>$2,987,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Non-Fed: $9,277,565

lcrfind00-02.xls
WORK STUDY SESSION
ENGINEERING COMMITTEE
July 5, 2001

Bob Huffman, Committee Chairman

1. Meeting was held on June 6th to review & discuss utility drainage and coordination for Stage VIII
   • Information exchanged with Munster/Hammond to address drainage impacts due to project. (Minutes available upon request)

2. A technical review meeting with COE held on June 12th
   • Working with COE to finalize minutes of the meeting.

3. A meeting was held on June 14th with Highland, INDOT, North Township to review drainage concerns in Stage V-2
   • Possibility of getting partnership with Highland/INDOT/North Township to construct a pump station. (Minutes available upon request)

4. A utility coordination meeting was held on June 20th
   • Reviewed status of all west reach utilities and discussed tracking. (Working with COE on minutes of the meeting)

5. Substantial completion has been done for the East Reach Remediation area (around Marshalltown)
   • Inspection anticipated in late July.

6. Burr Street Phase 1 (EJ&E RR to Colfax)
   • Anticipated completion date is July 10, 2001
LAND MANAGEMENT REPORT
For meeting on Thursday, July 5, 2001

(Information in this report is from May 26, 2001 – June 29, 2001)

NON-PROJECT LAND MANAGEMENT

A. Handicapped-Accessible Park
   1. The groundbreaking ceremony for the Charles Agnew Park was held on June 7th, 2001 at 2PM. The ceremony included speeches from LCRBDC, the River Forest School Community, Mayor Shirley Wadding of Lake Station, and Mr. Agnew’s son. Construction of the park should be complete by mid-July. A formal dedication in honor of Charles Agnew will be planned for later this year.

B. Chase Street to Grant Street land management issues
   1. We received a letter from Professor Kenneth J. Brock, Interim Dean, College of Arts & Sciences of Indiana University Northwest on May 18, 2001 requesting our consideration to dedicate land between levees (Chase to Grant) for a shorebird management site for migrating birds.
   2. A letter will be written to Professor Kenneth Brock explaining the land situations inside the levee and on the land side.

C. Gleason Park-Driving Range
   1. A meeting was held with Gary Parks and Recreation on June 19th, 2001, to review and discuss scheduling, funding, and scope of work for a driving range North of 30th Ave., West of Broadway.
   2. A letter was submitted to them at the meeting indicating that $394,540.41 is available to them for lands that were needed for our project.
   3. We received a copy of a letter of approval from the Detroit COE indicating conditions of the site, compliances and requirements, and a (5) year jurisdiction determination dated May 21st, 2001.

PROJECT RELATED LAND MANAGEMENT

A. O&M (Project manual review/accepting completed segments)
   1. It is anticipated to start accepting levee segments (after inspections are completed and found acceptable) as early as September 2001.
   2. The LCRBDC agreed that we would initially inspect and accept the projects on an individual basis to relieve the contractor of his obligations. However, we will require a procedure to mutually sign off with the COE to accept O & M responsibility.
      (Ongoing)
   3. We sent a letter to the COE on February 14, 2001 enclosing information from IDEM on controlled burns dated January 31, 2001. We have been awaiting written response from the COE on this issue for over 2 years. (No response as of May 25, 2001.)
4. LCRBDC is currently working on O&M responsibility tables to establish each task and who will do each item.

B. Mitigation (entire project area)
   1. No action on mitigation.

C. Emergency Management/River Monitoring
   1. **The computers for the City of Hammond were updated in June, 2000 and the outdated computer equipment was retired.**
      - It is our understanding that the GSD will expect compensation for monitoring river levels as part of the emergency response participation plan. This will be discussed at the upcoming coordination meeting with Gary/GSD and WREP.
      - Currently, the LCRBDC is reviewing GSD/WREP concerns that have not been addressed and will incorporate these as an item of discussion on the agenda. We intend on having this meeting no later than the end of July.
   2. LCRBDC has reviewed COE mapping which shows locations of road closings, sandbagging, and emergency response locations. A plan to coordinate each community flood event response needs to be formulated.

D. Lake Erie Land Company (The Great Konomick)
   1. No next meeting date has been scheduled.

E. LAMAR Advertising Company
   1. LCRBDC is accountable for costs incurred by LAMAR to remove signs on previously acquired properties.
   2. **A check for #93,352.56 was submitted to them on June 19th, 2001. Starting January 1st, 2003, we will start receiving annual payments for existing signs.**
      - **Beginning January 1st, 2003, we will begin receiving approximately $4,100 each for two new signs, with a potential of (2) more signs in the same area for the same amount of money.**
   3. A field inspection was held with FOCUS Sign Company on April 18th to review the installation of two of their signs in the area of I-65 and I-80/94.
      - They do not obstruct our roadway access. FOCUS installed extra stone to improve the roadway, and we will review an agreement to allow them to use some of our property to gain access to their signs. (Ongoing)
   4. **Mr. Ed Marcin of LAMAR agreed to meet at our Work Study Session with the Commissioners on July 5th, 2001, to discuss the amount of the current leases, whether to bid out the (2) new locations at I-80/94 & I-65, and review their process for permitting.**

F. Gary Sanitary District (White River Environmental Partners (WREP)) O&M
   1. A field meeting was held with Dean Button (WREP) on August 24, 2000 to review security for all (4) east reach pump stations. The LCRBDC has agreed to install fencing and locks as necessary to secure the stations and their equipment.
• Work was completed on May 1st and an inspection was done on May 2nd. Minor punch list items have been completed on May 15th and WREP was notified to inspect and comment.

G. The Griffith levee west of the EJ&E RR to Cline Avenue has been completed as well as the Colfax road raise. We will be scheduling a meeting with Griffith to discuss their participation in maintaining and operating these items in the future no later than mid-July (See Engineering Report)

H. Portions of west reach pump stations in Hammond and Highland are being turned over to their respective communities. Representatives of the Hammond and Highland Sanitary Districts are inspecting with the COE and Contractor and signing off as owner.

1. At the June 14th, 2001 meeting with the Town of Highland, one of the items discussed involved partial turnover of Highland Pump Stations and having a representative of their community sign off for acceptance.
   • Currently working with Highland (John Bach/Mike Griffin) to put together an agreement whereby the community/LCRBDC/COE can mutually sign off for construction acceptance, but the community assumes O&M responsibility.

General Information
A. A new appraisal procedure has been established to accelerate the acquisition schedule in the West Reach. A complete review of the procedure with cover letter to COE Appraiser Chief Chris Burton is attached.
B. Boy Scout Troop #280, with the cooperation of Troops #223 and 268, held a “Little Calumet River conservation project” on June 1, 2, and 3, 2001. A news release was sent to the media on June 19th, 2001.
PROJECT 1

Project Understanding

It is our understanding that The City of Gary intends to develop a Comprehensive Golf Course Practice Facility. It is proposed that this facility will include:

- A Practice Range;
  - 250-300 yds. in Depth;
  - Target Greens at Appropriate Distances;
  - An All Weather Teeing Surface and A Large Grass Tee Surface;

- A Practice Putting Green or Practice Chipping Green;

Circulation for:
- Pedestrian movement through the facility via paths;
- Vehicular ingress and egress;

- A Three Hole Golf Course in the western portion of the site for teaching, and junior play;
- Location (provision for) of a clubhouse structure;
- Provision to light the facility for after hours usage.

Project Requirements

It is our understanding that the Gary Parks Department will require, at minimum, the following:

- A two dimensional plan that illustrates the proposed physical configuration and relationships of the designated Golf Course Practice Facility elements;
- A budget of the proposed improvements;
- Services to Aid other Consultants in the preparation of Permit Applications;
- Preparation of a Complete Set of Construction Documents for the Golf Portions of the Practice Facility;
- General, Technical and Construction Specifications;
- Bidding Services;
- Site Observation & Payout Administration of Golf Course Practice Facility Construction

NOTE:

Clubhouse Architecture & Engineering

Martin Design Partnership, Ltd will work closely with the selected project team, including architects and engineers to see that all aspects of the clubhouse site design, engineering, and overlapping fragments of the project are addressed in an efficient and effective manner. MDP will not provide any services as it relates to permitting, coordination of applications, or any other service that relates to project approvals or structural elements.
PROJECT 1

Scope of Services

We have attempted to be as thorough as possible with this Proposal so that your ultimate goals are achieved. The following is a Scope of Service for the programming, planning, meeting, coordination, preparation of plans, bidding and on-site review of construction activities for the redevelopment of Gleason Park Practice Facility.

Phase I
A. Gleason Park Practice Facility
   Concept Development Planning

   Base Map Preparation
   MDP will prepare a base map from information provided by the Client. This information will be coordinated with civil engineering services by The Client or his representative. This includes any topographical data, aerial maps, boundary information, etc. This map, prepared at a scale of 1'0" : 100' will be used for all planning and design exercises.

   Site Reconnaissance
   MDP will review the site, exploring the terrain, vegetative types and locations, view corridors, suitable locations for various facility components. This information will be incorporated onto a Site Analysis Map that will be used in-house for discussion and subsequent planning purposes.

   Concept Planning
   In conjunction with plans that have already been developed, as well as subsequent review meetings, MDP will prepare Concept Plans (at a scale of 1'0" : 100' and suitable for review and reproduction) & refinements that illustrate how the Golf Course may be developed. These plans will attempt to integrate the elements described in the Project Understanding, as well as any objectives outlined in the Programming Meeting.

   Meetings
   MDP will periodically meet with Park District representatives to review the plans and concepts in order to produce a Final Golf Facility Development Plan.

   Final Golf Course Development Plan
   MDP will prepare a scaled drawing (1'0" : 100') of the property showing how the Golf Course may be developed. This would include all golf course features, including, but not necessarily limited to: existing site features of vegetation, buildings, etc. greens, tees, fairways, bunkers, bridge/wall locations, water features, general grading concepts, and practice areas; The clubhouse site with parking, ingress and egress, general landscape treatments and circulation locations.

   This drawing will then be color rendered and mounted for presentation purposes.

   Additionally, reduced versions of this could be produced. This could include mounted color reproductions at 24x36 for small group presentations or 8x10 color photograph (color copies) for individual distribution.
B. Budget for Golf Course Related Items

Upon completion of the Final Park Development Plan, MDP will prepare a Cost/Budget Cost Estimate for the Golf Course Improvement Plan. This Cost/Budget Estimate will be in a line item format, illustrating the various aspects of planning, design, engineering, construction, grow-in, and start-up for this golf course.

Phase II
Preliminary Construction Documentation

A. Preliminary Grading Plans

In order to develop plans suitable for submission as part of the Army Corps of Engineering (ACOE) Wetlands Permit Application, MDP will prepare grading and drainage plans that illustrates the proposed grading and site development. These plans will be developed on the base map provided by the civil engineer and represent the grading for the entire site development (practice facility, teaching range, 3 hole golf course, etc.)

MDP will modify these plans as necessary in order to secure the appropriate permit.

MDP will work with the Civil Engineer to complete the plans and answer questions as necessary to obtain the appropriate permits. MDP will not provide any services as they relate to the actual permit preparation, application or submission.

PHASE III
Final Construction Documentation

A. Construction Documentation

Based upon the Final Development Plan and the ACOE permit submittal review and approval, MDP will provide the following:

Grading Plans, Drainage Plans, Green Details, Tee and Bunker Details, Grassing Plans, Clearing Plans, Erosion Control Plans, Landscape Plans, Irrigation Plans, as well as Coordinated services for walls and bridges, and other site details as necessary etc.

NOTE: These services are applicable for golf improvements only. Any site features for the clubhouse area, including final construction documentation for entry monuments or other landscape structures will be an additional service.

B. Cost Estimate of Construction & Construction Schedule

Upon completion of the Construction Documents, MDP will prepare a Cost Estimate for the Golf Course Improvement Plan. This Cost Estimate will be in a line item format, illustrating the various aspects of planning, design, and construction for this golf course.

Additionally, MDP will prepare a Project Construction Schedule that illustrates key dates of construction completion for various phases of the work.
C. Preparation of Technical Specifications and
Conditions of Construction
MDP will prepare a complete guide of construction specifications for the proposed golf
course improvements including General Conditions of Construction, Special Conditions of

Unless otherwise determined, the golf course construction standards will comply with
USGA design specifications.

D. Preparation of Bid Documents
MDP will prepare the necessary Bid Documents for distribution purposes. These Bid
Documents include:
- Invitation to Bid
- Instructions to Bidders
- Proposal and Unit Price Sheet
- Prime Bidder Affidavit
- Addenda (if necessary)
- Construction Drawings
- Project Manual (Definitions, General Conditions, Special Conditions,
  Technical Specifications, Inspection Procedures and Guarantees)

Phase IV
Bidding and Bid Review

A. Bidding
MDP will provide services to solicit Bids from qualified Golf Course Contractors and prepare the
following for distribution: Host a Pre-Bid Meeting on Site, follow-up and response to questions posed
by Bidders and issue of addenda, Bid Receipt and Review, Bid Recommendations, and Contractor
Recommendations, Meetings with City/Park District Officials to review Bids.

Phase V
Construction Related Services

A. On Site Review of Construction Activities
Construction Administration and Construction Observation
Includes: Pre Construction Meeting, Periodic Construction Observation
Construction Review Notes, Payout Administration (Draw Request Review and
Approval), Punch List Development, Project Close-Out, Golf Course Opening, etc.

We have allocated Seven (7) site visits to observe construction activity. If Martin Design
Partnership, Ltd. is required to be present for more than Seven (7) site visits, additional fees
may be warranted. Those fees will be based upon an agreed to hourly rate or a fee per visit
basis as follows [Five Hundred Dollars ($500.00)] for professional fees only.
# Professional Fees & Conditions

## PROJECT 1

South Gleason Golf Academy  
Practice Facilities and Junior Course

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Professional Fee</th>
<th>Approx. %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phase I</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Final Concept Development Plan</td>
<td>$2,100.00</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Budget Preparation</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phase II</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Preliminary Construction Documentation &amp; Permit Application Assistance</td>
<td>$2,450.00</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phase III</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Construction Documentation</td>
<td>$5,800.00</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Detailed Cost Estimate of Construction</td>
<td>$3,500.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Preparation of Technical Specifications and Conditions of Construction</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Preparation of Bid Documents</td>
<td>$2,520.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phase IV</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bidding</td>
<td>$3,670.00</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phase V</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>On Site Review of Construction Activities</td>
<td>$4,810.00</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Professional Fees**  
[Twenty Four Thousand Four Hundred Fifty Dollars]

5
Gary junior golf course in jeopardy

Proposed golf course plan

The three-hole course would consist of a par 3, par 4 and par 5. The driving range would be lighted and there would be a building for classroom instruction. The original site, just north of the golf course and adjacent to the Little Calumet River, was rejected by the city because it would have been too costly to mitigate impacted wetlands. The city is waiting for final approval from the Army Corps of Engineers for a permit before it can begin construction.

South Gleason manager says state officials holding up project

BY MIKE HUTTON
Staff Writer

GARY — John Lowe remembers a year when volunteers from U.S. Steel brought some old golf clubs and mowed down a field, and more than 100 kids showed up for golf lessons.

The program faded away, but the demand for a youth program never did.

Lowe, the manager at South Gleason Golf Course, still gets dozens of calls every year asking about golf lessons for kids. Because they have no certified instructor, Lowe has to turn them away.

Last winter, before the season started, Lowe thought he could answer those phone calls with "not this year but probably next."

That's because after years of talking about it, money was finally appropriated for a mini course for juniors, with tentative plans for a certified instructor.

The drawings for a three-hole course with a driving range, a building for instruction and a chipping area were rendered. A site was selected, and a construction time-line for the course was even drawn up with a groundbreaking date set for August 1.

But unforeseen snags in getting site approval by state officials because of the presence of wetlands has left the three-hole course's future in limbo and Lowe and city officials frustrated.

"Gary needs a course for the kids," Lowe said. "We're ready to go. If we get approval, we could conceivably begin construction this fall."

The problem

In February, after working with J.F New & Associates, a wetlands consulting firm, Lowe and city officials thought an inspection by Marty Maupin, a senior environmental manager with the Indiana Department of Environmental Management, was going to be routine.

Instead, they were surprised when Maupin informed them they would have to replace an extensive amount of wetlands on the 60-acre site at a cost of approximately $600,000, according to Fred Ware, the director of parks.

The whole cost of the golf course was estimated to be in the $700,000 range, according to Lowe. (The project was being funded by $200,000 from the sale of some property, a $30,000 grant from the United States Golf Association and up to $100,000 from First Tee).

"It was disturbing," Ware said. "It just took him (Maupin) two seconds to look at the site and say, 'No, you can't do that.'"

Please see Golf, Page C2
TO: Kim Lyles, Gary Park & Recreation Superintendent
    John Lowe, Golf Pro/Superintendent

FROM: Dan Gardner, Executive Director

SUBJECT: Monies available in account for the Gary Parks & Recreation Dept.

DATE: June 19, 2001

The arrangement between the Gary Parks & Recreation Department and the Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission has come about as the result of the Commission acquiring flowage easements for the Federal Flood Control/Recreation project on properties along the Little Calumet River owned by the Gary Parks & Rec. To facilitate the use of the dollars by the Parks Dept., an arrangement was made whereby the Development Commission would create an account in the amount of the appraised value of the easements. That money would be made available for payment to contractors selected by the Parks Dept. for the purposes of a greenhouse re-construction and services for the establishment of a youth golf/driving range facility. To date, the greenhouse has been completed and it is our understanding that the Gary Parks Dept. has obtained a permit for the development of a driving range/golf facility and now wishes to begin drawing down of the remaining funds in the account based upon contract for services.

The process for draw down is that the Gary Parks Dept. would procure a vendor through proper public services selection. Once that vendor is selected, the vendor will submit documented claims to the Parks Dept. for their review and approval, then the claim will be sent to the Development Commission for payment of the claim to the vendor. The total amount available for vendors and construction services of the facility is capped by the total remaining in the account. The account balance to date is $394,540.41.

If you have any questions or need any documentation regarding this final balance, please call me at your convenience.

/sjm

cc: Lou Casale, LCRBDC attorney
DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
DETROIT DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
REGULATORY OFFICE
SOUTH BEND FIELD OFFICE
2422 VIRIDIAN DRIVE SUITE F 101
SOUTH BEND, INDIANA 46628

May 21, 2001

IN REPLY REFER TO

File No. 00-075-006-0

Valerie Newman
Cowhey Gudmundson Leder, Ltd
300 Park Boulevard
Suite 350
Itasca, Illinois 60143

Dear Ms. Newman:

This is in response to your correspondence regarding Department of the Army jurisdiction on the location of the proposed Gleason Park Three Hole Junior Golf Course in Gary, Lake County, Indiana (Section 21, Township 36N, Range 8W).

In wetlands adjacent to the Little Calumet River, as in all waters of the United States, any discharge of dredged and/or fill material must be authorized by the Department of the Army. The authority of the Corps of Engineers to regulate the discharge of dredged and/or fill material is contained in Section 404 of the Clean Water Act and regulations promulgated pursuant to that Act. Filling and grading work, mechanized landclearing, the sidecasting of excavated material, and some forms of piling installation constitute or otherwise involve discharges of dredged and/or fill material under the Corps’ regulatory authority.

Please be advised that the property in question does contain wetlands within the jurisdiction of the Corps of Engineers. Except for corrections made to the data sheets in a May 2, 2001 letter, we concur with the wetland delineation report dated April 19, 2001 prepared and submitted by Cowhey Gudmundson Leder, Ltd. This jurisdiction determination is valid for a period of five (5) years from the date of this letter unless new information warrants revision of the delineation before the expiration date.

Our assertion of jurisdiction is based on the following criteria: (1) our documentation that the areas identified as wetlands meet our technical definition of wetlands per the criteria in the Corps of Engineers 1987 Wetlands Delineation Manual, (2) our documentation that areas identified as nonwetlands do not meet the same criteria, and (3) our documentation that the wetlands in question are adjacent to and have a contiguous connection with the Little Calumet River, which is a navigable water of the United States.
Per our regulations found at 33 CFR, Part 331 (as amended in the Federal Register on March 28, 2000), you may appeal this approved jurisdiction determination over the wetlands on the property in question. Our appeal process is briefly described in the enclosed Notification of Administrative Appeal Options and Process and Request for Appeal (NAP Document) and flowchart. Appeals of jurisdiction determinations are initially handled at our Division level and any Request for Appeal should be sent to the Division Engineer at:

Brigadier General Robert H. Griffin  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
Lakes & Ohio River Division  
P.O. Box 1159  
Cincinnati, Ohio 45210-1159

Your appeal must be received by the Division Engineer within 60 days of the date of this letter.

Per our on-site discussions the morning of April 18, 2001, no permit will be required for the proposed project as long as there is no discharge of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States. Per the Concept Plan dated April 18, 2000 prepared by Martin Design Partners and supplied by John Lowe of the City of Gary, the majority of the three hole golf course and the associated fairways will be created in the upland portions of the property. Wetland A in the attached diagram (east half of the site) will be a practice driving range. It is allowed to plant and mow this the area without a permit from this office. However, if the soil surface is disturbed via grading, disk, or other changes to the existing topography, a permit will be required. In addition, as the wetland boundary lies approximately 70 feet east of the existing parking lot, this does include a portion of the area shown on the provided figure to tee off into the practice range and a portion of the Practice Chipping Area. However, with only slight modifications to the Concept Plan, these impacts could be avoided.

Any discharges of dredged and/or fill material into the wetlands on this property will require a Federal permit. If you anticipate work in these wetlands please complete and return the enclosed permit application. Plan view and cross-sectional view drawings, in 8½ x 11- inch format, should accompany the application. Drawings and the appropriate sections of the application form should include a description of all quantities, dimensions, and nature of materials to be placed and soil to be moved within wetland areas. We also advise you to contact the Indiana Department of Natural Resources (IDNR), Division of Water at (317) 232-4183 for a determination of State Permit requirements.
Thank you for giving us the opportunity to review this proposal. Should you have any questions, please contact me at the above address or telephone number (219) 232-1952 and refer to File Number: 00-075-006-0.

Sincerely,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY

Gregory A. McKay
Project Manager
South Bend Field Office

Enclosures
Site Map
Flowchart
NAP Document
Concept Plan (2 pages).
Permit Application

Copy Furnished
John Lowe -- Gleason Golf Course
Marty Maupin - IDEM
June 19, 2001

Mr. Ed Marcin
Real Estate Manager
LAMAR ADVERTISING COMPANY
1770 W. 41st Avenue
Gary, Indiana 46408

Dear Ed:

Enclosed is a check in the amount of $93,352.75 which was calculated from the balance of the monies owed "WHITECO/LAMAR" less the monies due to the Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission for license agreements on signs on Development Commission property. We concur that the next payments for LAMAR signs 3475 and 3480 located between Chase and Grant Streets on the south side of I-80/94 will be due on December 6, 2002. In addition, we also understand that the money due the Development Commission for LAMAR Sign 1050 in the amount of $665.24 will be due on December 9, 2001.

For your information, we have been directed by our Commissioners that upon expiration of the current license agreements with LAMAR, that we re-investigate the true market values of rentals paid for signs in these areas.

If you have any questions, please call me at the above number.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

James E. Pokrajac, Agent
Land Management/Engineering

/sjm
encl.
cc: Lou Casale, Attorney
     Judy Vamos, LCRBDC
12 June 2001

Mr. Chris Borton, Chief, Appraisal Branch, Acting Interim Chief, Acquisitions
Army Corps of Engineers Real Estate
111 North Canal Street
Chicago, IL 60606

Dear Chris:

RE: Status Report on New West Reach Appraisal Procedure

My letter and report today will provide you an update of the new West Reach Appraisal Procedure we’ve been using since October 2000. This new procedure was established on a trial basis to accelerate the LCRBDC acquisition schedule in the West Reach in turn to accommodate the Army Corps accelerated construction schedule in the West Reach.

First my congratulations on your move to Portland, Oregon and my thanks for cooperating with me on the Little Calumet River Flood Control Recreation Project. This project is most complex and your help has been invaluable in achieving successful results. My best wishes follow you in your career.

For an appraisal update please know that of the nineteen (19) appraisals I’ve sent you since 7 February 2001 fifteen (15) have been returned approved. Your approvals complete the first cycle of the procedure and I am pleased to report success with this new system.

I understand that with your increased duties as Acting Interim Chief of Acquisitions it has been difficult to review the additional appraisals. I think, however, that personnel changes at the Corps which cause time constraints do not diminish the success of this system. Viewing the procedure under ordinary circumstances and removing extraordinary events which are a sometime occurrence is the logical way to evaluate the new acquisition procedure.

The attached report will explain how the new procedure is organized to save time and money for the project and deliver just compensation through fair market value to landowners.

I have discussed the results of the new procedure with LCRBDC Executive Director Dan Gardner and he’s impressed with the results we’ve obtained in the last eight months. Credit goes to Appraisal Manager Dale Kieszynski; Associated Property Counselors; Martinez, Sharmat and Associates; Janet O’Toole and Associates for their work performances.

I look forward to working with your replacement and achieving the same successful results as we already have. Please accept my thanks for your continuing cooperation and I ask that you review the attached report and call me if you have comments. Thanks, again.

Respectfully,

[Signature]

Judith (Judy) Vamos
Land Acquisition Agent
Attachment
INTRODUCTION
On 7 June 2000 the Chicago District of the Army Corps of Engineers and the Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission (LCRBDC) attended a joint partnering meeting to discuss a strategy and team approach to the Corps accelerated construction schedule in the West Reach portion of the Little Calumet River Flood Control and Recreation Project. The West Reach stretches from Cline Avenue as the east boundary to the Indiana/Illinois state line as the west boundary and encompasses 406 easement acquisitions.

The 6/7/00 meeting was necessary to discuss the Corps directive to finish construction of the flood project in the next six (6) years with land acquisition as a pre-requisite to construction. Prior to the meeting LCRBDC had drafted a land acquisition schedule for the West Reach using the acquisition procedure currently in use for East Reach acquisitions. Property identification, surveys, appraisals, offers, closings, and deed recordings were considered in the time table. The LCRBDC had preliminarily identified 389 properties to be acquired in the years 2001 through 2004. An acquisition schedule using the current acquisition procedure of 16 to 18 months was graphed over the three year period of 2001 to 2004. Since the 6/7/00 meeting 17 more properties have been identified for acquisition. To date the total is now 406 acquisitions.

PROBLEM
The Army Corps' accelerated construction schedule necessitated an increase of easement takes acquired in a shorter time period from the current 18 month procedure. Under that 18 month schedule one (1) land acquisition agent, three (3) contract appraisers, one (1) Corps reviewer, and (1) LCRBDC attorney worked the following procedure after the final Corps real estate drawings had been received by the LCRBDC:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTION</th>
<th>TIME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1.) LCRBDC staff completes after receiving final Corps drawings:
  property identification (2 weeks)
  title work (4 weeks)
  survey (6 weeks) | 3 months |
| 2.) LCRBDC staff assigns appraisal (2 weeks)
  appraisal completed (5 weeks)
  Corps review (3 weeks) | 2.5 months |
| 3.) LCRBDC attorney prepares and sends offer
  landowner accepts or rejects owner has 25 days) | 1.5 months |
| 4.) LCRBDC attorney sends/receives final documents from landowner
  LCRBDC records documents/order and receives final title | 2 months Total 10 months |
| 5.) Add possible LCRBDC condemnation, with court dates, court- appointed
  appraisers, appraisals, judgement, and recordings | 6 to 8 months Total 16 to 18 months |

The above procedure shows the extensive time involved to complete steps 1 and 2. Using 3 contract appraisers resulted in 3 appraisals being produced in 2 months, 9 appraisals in 6 months. This procedure was slow as appraisers completed a before and after full narrative appraisal, changes were required for reviewer approval,
appraisers made changes then submitted the final appraisal. Additionally, engineering changes, phone calls, and miscommunications were other problems that plagued the current system. To meet the West Reach accelerated acquisition schedule additional land acquisition staff for the LCRBDC would be needed. Contracting that additional help was difficult because of LCRBDC state funding problems and by September 2000 seventeen (17) more properties had been identified for a total of 406 acquisitions in the three years. A new acquisition procedure had to be established.

NEW PROCEDURE
Over the months of July and August in 2000 and after conversations and agreement between Land Acquisition Agent for the LCRBDC Judith Vamos, Chief of the Appraisal Branch for the Army Corps of Engineers Christopher Borton, and with major input from President of Associated Property Counselors Dale Kleszynski, the LCRBDC established the following new system on a trial basis for appraisals in Stages V-2, V-3, and VI-1 in the West Reach.

The new system required the same amount of people: one (1) land acquisition agent, three (3) contract appraisers, one (1) Army Corps reviewer, and the LCRBDC attorney. Completed appraisals, however, increased by more than 400% in 4 months time (from October 2000 to February 2001). Approval of the first 15 of 19 appraisals was completed by April 2001. The following is an explanation of the new procedure and what transpired:

1.) an Appraisal Coordinator (AC - Dale Kleszynski) was chosen to head the new system under the direction of the LCRBDC. He assigned appraisals to be completed in compliance with the Federal Land Acquisition Act of 1992 and the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP).

2.) the AC assigned appraisals to three (3) appraisal firms:
   Associated Property Counselors
   Martinez, Sharmat & Associates
   Janet O'Toole & Associates

3.) residential appraisals:
   - were a "before" on the URAR form as a fee take
   - AC then completed the "after" valuation and review and forwarded the appraisal to LCRBDC
   - LCRBDC reviewed appraisal and forwarded the appraisal to the Corps for review
   - the Corps returned an approved appraisal to LCRBDC.

4.) for acreage, commercial, municipal appraisals:
   - were assigned as "before" appraisals or "before and after" appraisals, decision left to the AC
   - the AC completed the "after" valuation and review, forwarded appraisals to LCRBDC
   - LCRBDC reviewed appraisal and forwarded the appraisal to Corps for review
   - the Corps returned an approved appraisal to LCRBDC.

5.) the appraisal firms submitted their bills to the AC. AC attached his invoice and submitted all the bills to LCRBDC for payment to individual firms. The AC was able to track cost.

6.) the AC assumed "appraiser of record" responsibility.

7.) the following fee schedule was agreed upon in advance with the AC
   $300 (+) for residential "before fee appraisal," $1200 (+) for "after easement value and review"
   $2,500 (+) for before and after full narratives on acreage, commercial, municipal acquisitions
   $2,000 (+) for larger commercial fee take, after value and review $2000 +
   *(increases/decreases are based on individual appraisals)*
RESULTS
On 13 October 2000 the LCRBDC assigned 38 DC numbers (properties in IV-1) to the AC to be appraised. The AC assigned the appraisals to three firms:

- Associated Property Counselors  7 commercial, utility, municipal appraisals
- Martinez, Sharmat & Associates  27 residential appraisal
- Janet O'Toole & Associates  4 commercial appraisals

Over the next three (4) months until February 2001 the three appraisal firms completed the assignments as assigned by the AC. Thirty-four (34) appraisals were completed and delivered to the AC within that October 2001 to February 2001 timeframe. The AC completed the "after" values, reviewed the appraisals, mailed them to the LCRBDC land acquisition agent for her review by March 2001. LCRBDC mailed appraisals to the Corps reviewer. Corps reviewer starting returning approved appraisals in April 2001.

Under the old system 9 appraisals would have been completed from October 2000 to February 2001 with Corps review taking another 3 months. A total of 9 appraisals in 7 months. Under the new system a total of 34 appraisals and reviews will have been completed in the eight (8) months period from October 2000 to June 2001. An increase of nearly 400%.

Under the old system 9 appraisals at $2,500 each would have cost $22,500. Under the new system 38 appraisals have a cost to date of $43,300 with an anticipated $5,000 yet to be billed.

CONCLUSION (with a personal message to Chris Barton from Judy Vamos)
This report is to inform Chris Barton, the Corps, and LCRBDC commissioners of the success of this new system.

On 13 February 2001 LCRBDC gave the Appraisal Coordinator (Dale) 27 more appraisals to begin the second phase of the system. Nine (9) of these assignments have been completed and will be under review by the Corps by 20 June 2001. Ten corporate landowner appraisals in Stage VI-1 will be given to Dale on 14 June 2001, however, higher land values for these acquisitions are anticipated. Because of this a significant cost-savings in this second phase is not as expected as in the first phase, however, if the appraisals come in under budget participants should still consider the new system a success. To continue into the second phase of the procedure is a statement, a vote of confidence that "success is ordinary people performing extraordinary work."

On a downside note the LCRBDC is experiencing a funding crisis and Uniform Land Offers to landowners can't be mailed as yet, however, appropriations for the LCRBDC are expected to be received in August of 2001 and at that time offers will be made. If any appraisal needs updated, a simple addendum to the original will suffice. The review process has been also hampered by personnel changes at the Corps level, however, even with these problems the procedure is working.

"On a personal note, Chris, I send my sincere appreciation for your cooperation with Dale and me in implementing this new appraisal system. Steve Martinez, Andy Sharmat, Janet O'Toole, Mark Medonic, and Merry Hartman also deserve a grateful "Thank you" for all their hard work. Dale Kleszynski especially deserves great praise for his diligence, energy, and perseverance in achieving success with this procedure. I will be happy to meet with you for a final update on the procedure before your departure. Thanks, again."
LITTLE CALUMET RIVER BASIN DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE
Contact: Dan Gardner, Executive Director
Little Calumet River Flood Control and Recreation Project
6100 Southport Road Portage, IN 46368
219-763-0696

BOY SCOUTS HOLD "BEAUTIFY THE LEVEE" CAMPING WEEK-END

Portage, IN – 19 June 2001

Boy Scout Troop # 280 held its third year of the "Little Calumet River Conservation Project" on June 1, 2, 3. The project is a camp-out week-end from Friday to Sunday and the scouts clean-up debris and plant wildflowers to beautify the levee along the north side of the Little Calumet River from Columbia Avenue to Northcote Avenue.

Troop #280 was joined this year by Troop #223 and Troop #268 as they camped overnight at James Whitcomb Riley School in Hammond and spent Friday, Saturday, and Sunday constructing wood chip walking trails along the top of the levee. (See attached pictures.)

"The trails allow walkers to view the natural beauty of the river and not disturb wildlife habitats," said Troop #280 Scoutmaster Walter Church.

The Scouts received a five year $5,000 grant from the Greater Hammond Community Corporation and planting materials from the Hammond Park Department for the beautification project. About 75 scouts and adults were in attendance and the Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission supplied pizzas for lunch on Saturday afternoon.
LAND ACQUISITION REPORT  
For meeting on Thursday, July 5, 2001  

(Information in this report is from May 26, 2001 – June 29, 2001)  

STATUS (Stage II Phase I) – Harrison to Broadway – North Levee:  
   Dyer Construction – Contract price $365,524  

STATUS (Stage II Phase II) – Grant to Harrison – North Levee:  
1. Project completed December 1, 1993  
   Dyer/Ellas Construction – Contract price $1,220,386  

STATUS (Stage II, Phase 3A (8A) – Georgia to Martin Luther King – South Levee:  
   Ramirez & Marsch Construction – Contract price $2,275,023  

STATUS (Stage II, Phase 4) – Broadway to MLK Drive – North Levee:  
   Rausch Construction – Contract price $4,186,071  

STATUS (Stage II, Phase 3B) – Harrison to Georgia – South Levee:  
1. Project currently 98% complete.  
2. Additional land will be required to temporarily extend a recreation trail along both the  
   sidewalks east and west of Broadway to allow recreation trail continuation. (Refer to  
   Recreation Report.)  
   • Upon completion of I.U. Northwest modifications on, and adjacent to, Broadway, we will  
     install a permanent trail crossing south of the river and along the line of flood protection.  
3. University Park Medical Center (DC-538)  
   • We received a letter from the COE dated May 31st, 2001 on June 6th, 2001,  
     eliminating the medical center from the project. The medical center has added 2” of  
     fill to expand the parking lot thereby raising it above project elevations.  

STATUS (Stage II, Phase 3C2) – Grant to Harrison:  
1. Completion and turnover of O&M manuals was done on November 21, 2000.  
2. The re-location of the recreation trail due to the crossing at Grant St. would require  
   agreements with the city of Gary to be able to cross Grant St. at the light at 32nd Ave.  
   • A meeting was held on April 12, 2001, to review our proposals for trails at Broadway &  
     East of Grant. (See Recreation Report)  
   • A proposal was sent to city of Gary to review & comment on our alignment on May 18th,  
     2001. (AS of June 29th, 2001, we have received no responses from anyone).
STATUS (Stage III) – Chase to Grant:
   Kiewit Construction – Contract price $6,564,520
2. Final acquisitions for flowage easements east of Chase and north of the river are ongoing
   (DC209 to DC213)
3. A letter was sent to Otho Lyles on May 24th, 2001 (along with stipulated court order)
   instructing them to clean up illegally dumped materials from the property.

STATUS (Stage IV – Phase 1-North) – Cline to Burr (North of the Norfolk Southern RR):
1. All easements obtained, utility re-locations completed, and construction started. Anticipated
   substantial completion of project is July 2001.

STATUS (Stage IV – Phase 1-South) – Cline to Burr (South of the Norfolk Southern RR):
1. Bids were reviewed and Dyer Construction is the contractor. Work started on May 23rd, 2000
   – 450 days to complete project (see Engineering Report).

STATUS (Stage IV – Phase 2A) – Lake Etta – Burr to Clark:
1. All construction is currently completed. Pump test has been completed. (Refer to
   Engineering Report)

STATUS (Stage IV – Phase 2B) – Clark to Chase:
1. Construction is complete. (Refer to Engineering Report)

STATUS (Stage V – Phase 1) – Wicker Park Manor:
1. Project completed September 14, 1995
   Dyer Construction – Contract price $998,630

STATUS (Stage V – Phase 2) – Indianapolis to Kennedy – North Levee:
1. We are waiting for revised drawings for Tri-State in order to proceed with
   legal/appraisal. These are expected by July 4th, 2001.
2. Wicker Park appraisal is ongoing.
   • We received copies of title work on May 22nd indicating that North Township owns the
     property west of Hart Ditch to Hawthorne Street. North Township has mentioned a
     possible relocation of the levee onto this parcel. The COE has asked that North
     Township put the request in writing for their consideration. The Wicker Park
     appraisal will continue with current drawings.
3. COE Engineering will move the K-Mart recreation trail as far west as possible to minimize
   taking of parking spaces and reducing impact to tire center at southwest corner of property.
   • Modifications from the COE are expected by July 4th, 2001.

STATUS (Stage V – Phase 3) – Northcote to Indianapolis – (Woodmar Country Club):
1. Golf course architect Tim Nugent will finish his Woodmar Country Club report and
   forward it to appraiser Dale Kleszynski by June 29th, 2001. Dale will incorporate Tim’s
   report into the appraisal.
STATUS (Stage VI-Phase 1) – Cline to Kennedy – North of the river, and Kennedy to Liable – South of the River:
1. The Kennedy Industrial Park has 18 corporate land owners. Appraiser Dale Kalezynski was assigned on June 28th, 2001 the task of completing a feasibility study for costs and damages before a formal appraisal is completed. He’ll report in 30 to 60 days. The COE may have to change some engineering designs if acquisition costs are so high that LCRBDC may have further funding issues.
2. A coordination meeting was held on May 7th, 2001, to review real estate impacts to the Krostan Enterprises property and engineering design. Results of the meeting were mailed to the COE and Krostan Development.

STATUS (Stage VI – Phase 2) Liable to Cline – South of the River:
1. We received a letter from Komark Business Co. on November 17th, 2000, regarding concerns of preliminary real estate design from the COE West of Cline and South of NIPSCO R/W.
2. We are still awaiting final engineering drawings to review.
3. We will be having a recreation coordination meeting with Highland and Hammond and Griffith to determine trail re-locations which allow us to cross at Cline Avenue at Highway Avenue.
   - A field meeting was held with Griffith on June 27th, 2001, to review possible routes East of Cline. Information will be provided to the COE to review and implement.

STATUS (Stage VII) – Northcote to Columbia:
1. Review of the real estate AE drawings was completed on December 8th, 2000, and drawings were found to be totally inadequate.
   - The COE submitted Stage VII real estate drawings for us to review on April 11th with a request to complete by April 23rd.
   - We submitted comments to the COE on April 24th.

STATUS (Stage VIII – Columbia to State Line (Both Sides of River))
1. We received a sample “to whom it may concern” letter from GLE to notify residents of surveying on, or adjacent to, Stage VIII properties.
2. We received a letter from Mrs. Rausch about damaging her shrubs on May 25th, 2001. Mrs. Rausch is a landowner who attended the May 24th, 2001 neighborhood meeting at Ms. Ruth Mores home. Mrs. Rausch wants compensation for the damaged shrubs.

STATUS (Betterment Levee – Phase 1) E.J. & E. Railroad to, and including, Colfax North of the NIPSCO R/W – Ditch is South of NIPSCO R/W from Arbogast to Colfax.
1. Construction started on July 28, 2000 (Refer to Engineering Report for details) Anticipated completion is August, 2001 – Approx. 90% completed.

STATUS (Betterment Levee – Phase 2) Colfax to Burr Street, then North N.S. RR, then East (North of RR R/2) ½ between Burr and Clark, back over the RR, then South approx. 1,400 feet:
1. Current schedule is to advertise by October 2001; award contract by November 2001; and a construction start of 2002 – 360 days to complete.
2. We received modified real estate drawings from the COE on June 4th, 2001, at the Real Estate Meeting, and the tabulation of estates on June 11th, 2001. Legals were completed on June 27th, 2001, and the appraisal process will be starting.
• We received a letter from the COE (dated May 17th) on May 21st enclosing modified real estate drawings that included NSRR information (These are finals).
• The final real estate drawings were slightly changed and we received updated RE-5 & RE-6 maps on May 29th, 2001 (dated May 24th).
• LCRBDC assigned DLZ the task to do plats & legals for the RR on May 22nd.

EAST REACH REMEDIATION AREA – (NORTH OF I-80/94, MLK TO I-65):
1. Due to the funding crisis and Burr Street levee and in-project mitigation acquisitions being priorities, the 27 flowage acquisitions in the ERR are “On Hold” for now.

WEST REACH PUMP STATIONS – PHASE 1A
1. These stations include Baring, Hohman-Munster, Walnut and South Kennedy.
2. Refer to Engineering Report.

WEST REACH PUMP STATIONS – PHASE 1B
1. These stations include 81st Street (Highland) and S.E. Hessville (Hammond)
2. Refer to Engineering Report.

MITIGATION

1. On hold.
Real Estate Division

SUBJECT: Little Calumet River Flood Control and Recreation Project, Tract DC 538 (University Park Medical Center)

Mr. James E. Pokrajac
Little Calumet River Basin
Development Commission
6100 Southport Road
Portage, Indiana 46368

Dear Mr. Pokrajac:

This letter is in response to a letter dated 29 December 2000 which requested that Tract DC 538 (University Park Medical Center) be excluded from the land necessary for project purposes. Recent information confirms that fill has been added to this tract, thereby increasing the elevation. After careful consideration by the Corps' Hydraulic Engineering Section, this tract is no longer necessary for project purposes.

Respectfully,

[Signature]

Christopher D. Borton
Acting Chief

Copy Furnished:
Imad Samara, PM-PM
Judy Vamos, LCRBDC
Rick Ackerson, ED-HH
Via Certified Mail

Otho Lyles
1843 West 15th Avenue
Gary, Indiana 46404

Otho Lyles
c/o Kalvin D. Hawkins
Attorney at Law
P.O. Box M859
4858 Broadway
Gary, Indiana 46401

Clean Earth, Inc.
2927 Chase Street
Gary, Indiana 46404

RE: Lots 12 and 13 Tolleston Club – First Edition, Lake County, City of Gary;
Commonly Known as 2927 Chase Street, Gary, Indiana 46404

Dear Mr. Lyles:

I am writing to you in connection with the above referenced property. I represent the State of Indiana, Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission (LCRBDC). As you are aware, LCRBDC is currently in the process of acquiring additional properties in the Chase Street area in connection with the ongoing river basin project. The above referenced properties (hereinafter referred to as “Lots 12 and 13”) have been designated for acquisition of a flowage easement.

It is my understanding that Lots 12 and 13 were previously owned by Lyles & Sons Excavation & Sales, Inc. It is also my understanding that Lyles & Sons filed for and received relief under Chapter 7 of the Federal Bankruptcy Code under cause number 96-60432, and that an Order issued on or about April 16, 1997, granting the relief sought.

In reviewing your bankruptcy schedules, it is clear that Lots 12 and 13 were not listed as assets of the bankruptcy estate. In addition, during the course of other unrelated court proceedings held in this matter before the Honorable Gerald Svetanoff, under Cause No. 45D03-9505-CP-00544, you represented to the court that you did not have any interest in Lots 12 and 13. Based on those representations, an agreed order was entered. (See attached Stipulated Order.) In State Court action, LCRBDC had filed a Counterclaim.
against Lyles & Sons seeking an order to have Lyle & Sons remove materials that had been dumped on Lots 12 and 13 and adjoining properties. Since Lyles & Sons was claiming no interest in Lots 12 and 13, LCRBDC did not pursue the counterclaim against Lyles & Sons to force the removal of all the illegally dumped materials.

It is my current understanding, that you are now claiming that Lyles & Sons continued to hold a fee simple interest in Lots 12 and 13, which was then passed to Clean Earth, Inc. pursuant to a Quit Claim Deed, executed by you individually, and in your capacity as owner of Lyles & Sons on August 2, 2000. It is my further understanding, that you are the sole owner of Clean Earth, Inc.

In view of the above, on behalf of LCRBDC, I demand that you or your representative contact me within seven (7) days of the date of this letter, so that we can make arrangements for removal of all the illegally dumped materials from Lots 12 and 13 and owned by LCRBDC. If I do not hear from you or your representative within the prescribed time, I will contact my client and advise them of all legal remedies available to them.

Please govern yourself accordingly,

Sincerely,

[Signature]

James M. Spivak

cc: Judy Vamos
    Jim Pokrajac
25 June 2001

Mr. John Snell, MAI
Snell Real Estate Evaluation Co., Inc.
Five Parkwood Crossing
510 East 96th Street Suite 195
Indianapolis, IN 46240

Dear Mr. Snell,

RE: A notice to proceed for the Wicker Memorial Park Golf Course Appraisal

My letter today is in response to your 17 May 2001 letter asking for specific direction on the Wicker Memorial Park Golf Course appraisal. I've been in contact with Army Corps Appraisal Chief Christopher Borton and we agree on the following:

- Please complete the Wicker Memorial Park Golf Course Appraisal with the drawings you have now. There was an indication that the levee may be moved, however, Army Corps Project Manager Imad Samara assured me at our last real estate meeting that the levee will not be re-designed for now. Circumstances may change in the future and you could complete an appraisal addendum if necessary at the time.

- Since the levee impacts the golf course only and the ancillary park non-golf improvements are physically removed from the project area, do not include them in the appraisal. The township offices will have information on the golf course buildings that do need to be included.

- Pipelines and utility relocations questions should be directed to the LCRBDC Engineer Jim Pokrajac, who can assist you in engineering decisions.

Chris and I agree that we trust your expertise and if an impact is clear and you can make a reasonable decision, make the decision. If a decision can go either way please call me and we can obtain outside help or make assumptions for the appraisal.
Submit the 50% balance of your bill with the finished appraisal (remember, I need three copies). If an appraisal addendum is needed we can write another contract or pay you at an hourly rate, whatever we agree on.

I send my grateful thanks for your time and patience and ask that you call me at 219-763-0696 if problems. Thank you.

Respectfully,

[Signature]

Judith (Judy) Vamos
Land Acquisition Agent
Meeting held 7 May 2001 at Corps Field Office in Griffith

Attendees: George Carlson, LCRBDC  Imad Samara, USACE
Lou Casale, LCRBDC  Chrystal Spokane, USACE
Jim Pokrajac, LCRBDC  Tim Kroll, USACE
Judy Vamos, LCRBDC  Rick Ackerson, USACE
Don Santacaterina, Krosan Development (Mr. S.)

Meeting opened with Lou Casale explaining the 75' recorded drainage easement along the Little Calumet River and suggesting a survey be drawn to show its location on this landowner's property and for future use as well. Don Santacaterina (Mr. S.) of Krosan Development represented the company and stated that his firm paid strict attention to the 75' easement and had Plumb Tucket Engineering redo the survey five times. He also stated he did not know that an earthen levee was to be built. He said that he was told by someone he couldn't recall that an I-Wall could possibly be constructed in place of the proposed levee. Mr. S. then stated that he thought the 75' easement was the only easement that would be needed for the Corps project work. He is certain the building and driveway do not encroach upon the 75'easement.

Jim Pokrajac said that a letter was sent to Hammond City Engineer Stan Dostatni dated 10 November 1998 with copies to Mr. S. The letter along with an earlier meeting between Jim, Dan Gardner, and Mr. S. presented all the real estate requirements, levee sections, and the 75' drainage easement location. In addition Jim suggested that the Krosan drawing and LCRBDC location survey both appear to show his buildings outside the 75' easement. To confirm LCRBDC needs to know at what point (relative to the existing levee) did Plumb Tucket measure the 75' to establish the easement line.

Imad identified the current real estate needed for the project. Mr. S. asked if he could still use his driveway between the building and the levee? He said his driveway on the temporary easement would actually make the construction and access easier. Tim Kroll stated that the current project alignment conflicts with traffic passage behind the building. Tim said the Corps has a few options that could improve the situation:
   1.) removal of the planting zone,
   2.) relocation of a portion of the levee embankment riverward (only, if necessary)

The Corps needs to know how much driveway Krosan needs. Mr. Santacaterina said, "We don't want our customers to experience interference. Take the planting zone away and give me as much flat ground as possible (for the semi-trucks)."
KROSAN MEETING NOTES / page 2

Tim indicated that the maximum distance that can be provided without causing the levee to encroach upon the river floodway is 30 feet. This includes shifting the levee riverward having a 2.5 to 1 slope, and eliminating the planting zone. Tim questioned whether this amount of space was absolutely necessary for semi-truck operation. Mr. S. was uncertain and said he would notify the Corps later.

Mr. S. asked when will the construction take place? Imad answered 10/02 is the date to acquire all the real estate and FY 03 for construction. Mr. S. said he's worried about the noise, dirt, and interference from truck traffic to his customers during construction and could the Corps consider a temporary easement for construction purposes between his two lots rather than using the west easement? Corps responded in the affirmative if the engineering works out. The existing permanent roadway easement will be kept for maintenance but the "new" roadway easement will be temporary and strictly for construction.

Mr. S. stated that Krosan Development in the next 90 days will be starting construction on two new buildings on Lot 2 of the Merrimac Addition. The same kind of buildings will be built and he wants to make sure a similar problem of building into the easement doesn't happen again. Could Corps review the new engineering plans quickly? Imad answered yes.

ACTION:
1.) Lou will contact the Lake County Surveyor to locate the 75' drainage easement.

2.) Mr. S. will provide his existing drawings, his new building plans, and the Plumb Tuckett survey to the Corps and LCRBDC. Jim needs to know from what place did Plumb Tuckett take its 75' drainage measurement.

3.) Tim Kroll will review the Krosan drawings and make real estate changes on Corps drawings. Mr. S. will then review Corps drawings to see what options are best for his business.

Tim Kroll asked Mr. S about the far eastern building set farther away from the levee line. He said he can adjust the drawings to give him 30' there as well. Mr. S. said he'll look at his drawings but it seems that 30' is okay.

Question of drainage was discussed about the area between the building and levee on the west building. There will be a flat span of ground that will store water for a few hours. The manhole belongs to Krosan, not the City of Hammond. The manhole will have to be relocated. Mr. S explained the drainage is discharged into the river, not through a lift station, but a flapgate. Lou mentioned the Compensability of the utility relocation, however, will Krosan be paying for the utility relocation since it's theirs? This was not decided here.

ACTION:
Mr. S. will also supply the storm drainage plat to Corps and LCRBDC.
May 29, 2001

Re:  The Little Calumet River Flood Control and Recreation Project

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is to inform you that within the next few weeks there will be land surveyors in your neighborhood surveying the Little Calumet River levee for engineering design. Although every attempt will be made to remain outside of your backyards, we will need to gain access for short periods of time. The survey crew will inform you before gaining access to your property. If you have any questions regarding the engineering design of this project please contact Mike Hickey or Rick Trevino with SEH at (219) 554-4000 or if you have any questions regarding the surveying efforts you may contact myself at GLE at (219) 933-1954. Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,
Garcia LE & Associates

Jeff Yatsko, LSIT
Project Engineer
24 May 2001

Real Estate Division
Acquisition Branch

SUBJECT: Little Calumet River, Burr Street Betterment, Phase II, Real Estate Maps

Mr. James E. Pokrajac
Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission
6100 Southport Road
Portage, Indiana 46368

Dear Mr. Pokrajac:

Enclosed are updated RE-05 and RE-06 Real Estate Maps for the Little Calumet River Flood Control Project, Burr Street Betterment Levee, Phase 2. Please use the enclosed to replace the corresponding pages sent to you by a cover letter dated 17 May 2001. Please do not hesitate to call me should you have any questions. I may be reached at 312-353-6400 ext 5010.

Respectfully,

Chrystal Spokane
Realty Specialist

Enclosures

Copy Furnished (w/o encls):
Imad Samara, PM-PM
J. Murphy O’Riley, ED-DC
WORK STUDY SESSION 5 JULY 2001
LAND ACQUISITION / LAND MANAGEMENT
CHUCK AGNEW, CHAIRMAN

1.) OFFERS: There are no increased offers.
   CONDEMNATIONS: The following DC numbers could become condemnations for the
Burr Street Betterment Levee Phase 2. We're asking for approval as a back-up measure.
(These are offers not returned or in present litigation circumstances.)
   1.) DC 69  
   2.) DC 69-A  
   3.) DC 69-B  
   4.) DC 70-A 
   5.) DC 575-A 
   6.) DC 577  
   7.) DC 582/583  
   8.) DC 584  
   9.) DC 597  
  10.) DC 598-A  
  11.) DC 600  
  12.) DC 601 

2.) Boy Scout Troop #280:
   Troop #280 held its third annual Conservation Project on June 1,2,3. The project is a
week-end camp-out and the scouts this year constructed wood chip trails atop the levee
between Columbia and Northcote. About 75 scouts and adults attended and the LCRBDC
supplied pizzas for Saturday. The scouts have hats and badges for the commissioners. A
news release has been sent to the media.

3.) MOTION:
   Need a motion to have C & H Mowing of Gary mow the all completed levee segments
from Burr Street to Martin Luther King Drive for a lump sum of $6,000.

4.) Handicapped-Accessible Park at River Forest:
   The Charles Agnew Handicapped-Accessible Park Groundbreaking Ceremony was held 7
June 2001. Chuck Agnew's family attended along with Lake Erie Land and River Forest
Community School officials, and Mayor Shirley Wadding of Lake Station. The ceremony
was well-attended. Staff is preparing a photograph album of the event for LCRBDC files
and a second one to present to the Agnew family. The Post Tribune covered the event and
a new release was sent to the Times.

5.) The Gleason Park Driving Range:
   The driving range is an issue and the $394,000 the LCRBDC is holding as payment for the
Gary Parks and Recreation easements will be available for their use.

6.) Presentation by Ed Marcin of Lamar Outdoor Advertising.
   Ed is here tonight to answer questions the commissioners have about existing and potential
outdoor sign leases on project lands. We may need a motion if the commissioners elect to
approve the existing and potential sign leases.
At the July 5th Board meeting, we will be collecting for donations from anyone who would like to contribute toward the

**River Forest Dollars for Scholars fund**  
*In Memory of Charles A. Agnew  
(6/1/38 to 6/6/01)*

We thought this would be a nice tribute to Chuck from the Commission members and staff.

If you are so inclined, please be prepared to contribute that evening, as there will be no follow-up with you after the meeting.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME (PLEASE PRINT)</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION, ADDRESS, PHONE NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Betty K. Bloassey</td>
<td>Healthy Places, Sierra Club</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howard Amacher</td>
<td>7462 S. Portage, 762-7716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ron Ewaldt</td>
<td>LEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jomary Cray</td>
<td>DNR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeff Lowder</td>
<td>DNR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandy O'Brien</td>
<td>Hazard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louise Karwowski</td>
<td>Sierra Club</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ursula Cano</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob Petrides</td>
<td>Highland resident</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From: Deja, Tom LRC <Tom.Deja@lrc02.usace.army.mil>
To: Samara, Imad LRC <Imad.Samara@lrc02.usace.army.mil>; 'Little Calument' <littlecal@nirpc.org>
Cc: Anderson, Douglas M LRC <Douglas.M.Anderson@lrc02.usace.army.mil>; Deja, Tom LRC <Tom.Deja@lrc02.usace.army.mil>
Date: Tuesday, July 03, 2001 4:26 PM
Subject: RE: Money We Need Now

-----Original Message-----
From: Samara, Imad LRC
Sent: Monday, July 02, 2001 6:21 PM
To: 'Little Calument'
Cc: Anderson, Douglas M LRC; Deja, Tom LRC
Subject: FW: Money We Need Now

Sandy, I'm forwarding this message from the field. I asked them to let me know the minimum they need in July. Below they show what they need: We need about $46,000 in Construction fund ($25,000 = Pump 1B etc. and $21,000 Recreation for Burr Betterment) and $20,000 in Burr Street Betterment Fund. P.S. I did hurt my back last Friday and I'm at home recovering today and tomorrow (Monday and Tuesday). When I get back in the office I will write Dan a letter requesting the funds. This should be the last time hoping that Dan is successful in getting funds in August.

-----Original Message-----
From: Anderson, Douglas M LRC
Sent: Monday, July 02, 2001 2:16 PM
To: Samara, Imad LRC
Cc: Deja, Tom LRC
Subject: Money We Need Now

Imad:

We need to obligate another $250,000 to be able to pay the Pump 1B invoice that we will receive this week.

We need to obligate another $36,000 or so to be able to pay the Burr Street Betterment invoice we will receive this week.

We have enough to cover IV-1 South, IV-1 North, Pump 1A and North 5th until next month.

Doug
**BANK ONE**
Bank One, Indiana, NA
7610 W. Washington Street
Indianapolis, IN 46231

**LITTLE CALUMET RIVER BASIN DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION**
6100 SOUTHPORT RD
PORTAGE IN 46368-6409

WE'RE READY TO ASSIST YOU WITH YOUR BANK ONE ACCOUNT OR OPEN NEW BUSINESS ACCOUNTS 24 HOURS A DAY. CALL BANK ONE BUSINESS SOLUTIONS AT 1-800-404-4111 AND SPEAK WITH ONE OF OUR BUSINESS BANKING EXPERTS TODAY. CALL BUSINESS LOAN BY PHONE AT 1-800-404-4111 WHEN YOU NEED MONEY FOR YOUR BUSINESS.

**BANK ONE BUSINESS MARKET INDEX**
Account number 000001577433376

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of deposits</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>Beginning balance</th>
<th>$398,160.52</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of withdrawals</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Deposits</td>
<td>+ 1,403.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Withdrawals</td>
<td>- 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Balance as of May 31</td>
<td>$399,564.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>interest paid this year</td>
<td>$5,523.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Transactions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Deposits</th>
<th>Withdrawals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>05-31</td>
<td>Interest Payment</td>
<td>1,403.59</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fees and charges** Your Bank One Business Market Index monthly service fee was waived because you maintained a minimum monthly balance of $5,000.00.

**Interest rates on collected balances**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effective date</th>
<th>$0 - 15,000</th>
<th>$15,000 - 25,000</th>
<th>$25,000 - 50,000</th>
<th>$50,000 - 100,000</th>
<th>$100,000+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>05-01</td>
<td>1.24%</td>
<td>3.69%</td>
<td>3.83%</td>
<td>3.83%</td>
<td>3.93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05-14</td>
<td>1.24%</td>
<td>3.69%</td>
<td>3.93%</td>
<td>4.12%</td>
<td>4.31%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$399,564.11

Sincerely,

Bank One

T R O 90 12993
From: Deja, Tom LRC <Tom.Deja@lrc02.usace.army.mil>

To: Samara, Imad LRC <Imad.Samara@lrc02.usace.army.mil>; 'Little Calument'
     <littlecal@nirpc.org>

Cc: Anderson, Douglas M LRC <Douglas.M.Anderson@lrc02.usace.army.mil>; Deja, Tom LRC <Tom.Deja@lrc02.usace.army.mil>

Date: Tuesday, July 03, 2001 4:26 PM

Subject: RE: Money We Need Now

-----Original Message-----
From: Samara, Imad LRC
Sent: Monday, July 02, 2001 6:21 PM
To: 'Little Calument'
Cc: Anderson, Douglas M LRC; Deja, Tom LRC
Subject: FW: Money We Need Now

Sandy, I'm forwarding this message from the field. I asked them to let me know the minimum they need in July. Below they show what they need: We need about $46,000 in Construction fund ($25,000 = Pump 1B etc. and $21,000 Recreation for Burr Betterment) and $20,000 in Burr Street Betterment Fund. P.S. I did hurt my back last Friday and I'm at home recovering today and tomorrow (Monday and Tuesday). When I get back in the office I will write Dan a letter requesting the funds. This should be the last time hoping that Dan is successful in getting funds in August.

-----Original Message-----
From: Anderson, Douglas M LRC
Sent: Monday, July 02, 2001 2:16 PM
To: Samara, Imad LRC
Cc: Deja, Tom LRC
Subject: Money We Need Now

Imad:

We need to obligate another $250,000 to be able to pay the Pump 1B invoice that we will receive this week.

We need to obligate another $36,000 or so to be able to pay the Burr Street Betterment invoice we will receive this week.

We have enough to cover IV-1 South, IV-1 North, Pump 1A and North 5th until next month.

Doug

07/03/2001
In our eleven years of being an environmental consultant we have never written a letter requesting support for legislation. The support we are asking for today does not require any monetary participation. Only joining a coalition of organizations to support a piece of outstanding legislation.

CARA, the Conservation and Reinvestment Act, is being considered by the U.S. Congress this year. CARA can provide more funding for environmental projects than any piece of legislation I have seen in over 34 years working in this field. Please take 3 minutes to read this information and, if you see the potential value, please sign the enclosed support form and fax it to Betsy Ingle.

WHAT IS IT?
Nationally, the Conservation and Reinvestment Act (CARA) would provide several BILLION dollars annually for:

- Wildlife conservation
- Historic preservation
- Wildlife related recreation and education
- State & local parks and recreation areas
- Restoration of coastal areas - including the Lake Michigan watershed

All WITHOUT any new taxes. CARA funding would derive from offshore oil and gas drilling revenues. CARA is arguably the most important conservation legislation of all time.

WHAT'S IN IT FOR INDIANA?
Indiana stands to receive about $30 million of new, federal money EVERY year for 15 years. These funds would be allocated for land and water conservation, wildlife conservation, urban parks and recreation, and Lake Michigan coastal restoration.

WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE?
Support passing CARA through the U.S. Congress by joining us in a national coalition of more than 6,000 organizations and individuals urging state delegations to support passing CARA.

Work with the Governor and Indiana General Assembly to match all CARA monies available now and in the future to ensure that none of this new money reverts back to the federal government.

We have an earlier start this year compared to last and feel with YOUR support we can all make this happen. Today CARA (HR 701) has bi-partisan support, with 223 cosponsors in the House. Early preparations are being made for CARA in the Senate and it is quickly gaining momentum.

Please read, sign and fax the enclosed form today if you agree with this effort. We may never again have a great opportunity to provide conservation dollars to Indiana's natural resources and wildlife.

For more information, please feel free to contact Phil Seng at D.J. Case & Associates (219.258.0100) or visit the coalition's web-site at www.teaming.com.

Your efforts are sincerely appreciated.

Enclosure
STATEMENT OF ENDORSEMENT

On behalf of the ______________________________, I hereby endorse Teaming With Wildlife.

Our group is delighted to join the National Coalition dedicated to fish and wildlife conservation, outdoor recreation and conservation education in every state. Teaming With Wildlife, funded by Outer Continental Shelf oil and gas revenues, is our natural investment in a future teeming with wildlife!

Signed: ______________________________

Date:

Please Print:
Name of Group: ______________________________
Signer & Office held:

Address:

Phone: ______________________________
Fax/E-mail: ______________________________

** If you are an organization, how many members do you represent? ______________________________

Personal letters of support are appreciated and will be documented as testimony for the growing support for permanent conservation funding. Please mail with information about your group to Betsy Ingle, Special Projects Coordinator, DNR Division of Fish and Wildlife, 402 W. Washington St. Rm # W273, Indianapolis, IN 46204. Thank you.

Graphic/Endorsement Form IN
# Dyer Construction Company, Inc.

1716 Sheffield Avenue - Dyer, Indiana 46311  
Phones: (219) 985-2061, (724) 931-7665, (317) 396-3429  
Fax: (219) 985-2961

LITTLE CALUMET RIVER FLOOD PROJECT - LEVEE CONSTRUCTION PHASE I, SOUTH  
MAY 2001 MINORITY UTILIZATION UPDATE

**Contract No.: DACW27-00-C-0015**  
**Location:** Gary, Lake County, Indiana  
** Contractor:** DYER CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC.  
**Contract Amount:** $3,852,735.65

## 1. LABOR UTILIZATION STATUS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONSTRUCTION TRADE</th>
<th>TOTAL HOURS ALL EMPLOYEES BY TRADE</th>
<th>TOTAL HOURS BLACK</th>
<th>TOTAL HOURS HISPANIC</th>
<th>TOTAL HOURS PACIFIC ISLANDER</th>
<th>TOTAL HOURS NATIVE AMERICAN</th>
<th>MINORITY PERCENTAGE</th>
<th>FEMALE PERCENTAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>THIS MONTH TO DATE</td>
<td>THIS MONTH TO DATE</td>
<td>THIS MONTH TO DATE</td>
<td>THIS MONTH TO DATE</td>
<td>THIS MONTH TO DATE</td>
<td>THIS MONTH TO DATE</td>
<td>THIS MONTH TO DATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Engineers</td>
<td>461.00</td>
<td>5,311.50</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laborers</td>
<td>255.50</td>
<td>1,731.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>31.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survey</td>
<td>112.00</td>
<td>933.50</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>112.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survey</td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>212.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>112.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpenters</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concrete Finishers</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Driving</td>
<td>56.00</td>
<td>2,616.50</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>369.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>227.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ACTUAL TOTAL:** 597.50  
10,864.50  
0.00  
194.00  
0.00  
0.00  
227.00  
1,646.00  
0.00  
365.00  
25.20%  
19.38%  
0.00%  
6.81%

**CONTRACT GOALS:**  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TOTAL HOURS ALL EMPLOYEES BY TRADE</th>
<th>TOTAL HOURS BLACK</th>
<th>TOTAL HOURS HISPANIC</th>
<th>TOTAL HOURS PACIFIC ISLANDER</th>
<th>TOTAL HOURS NATIVE AMERICAN</th>
<th>MINORITY PERCENTAGE</th>
<th>FEMALE PERCENTAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>THIS MONTH TO DATE</td>
<td>THIS MONTH TO DATE</td>
<td>THIS MONTH TO DATE</td>
<td>THIS MONTH TO DATE</td>
<td>THIS MONTH TO DATE</td>
<td>THIS MONTH TO DATE</td>
<td>THIS MONTH TO DATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Engineers</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>395.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laborers</td>
<td>119.00</td>
<td>259.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survey</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>122.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpenters</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>637.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concrete Finishers</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>292.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Driving</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1,726.50</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ACTUAL TOTAL:** 631.00  
1,726.50  
0.00  
0.00  
0.00  
0.00  
0.00  
0.00  
0.00%  
0.00%  
0.00%  
0.00%

**CONTRACT GOALS:**  

---
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**DYER CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC.**
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**LITTLE CALUMET RIVER FLOOD PROJECT - LEVEE CONSTRUCTION PHASE I, SOUTH**

**MAY 2001 MINORITY UTILIZATION UPDATE**

### 2. MATERIAL, SUPPLIES, & MISCELLANEOUS PURCHASES STATUS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOTAL OF ALL PURCHASES</th>
<th>PURCHASED WITHIN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LAKE CO., INDIANA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THIS MONTH TOTAL</td>
<td>AMOUNT % OF TOTAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TO DATE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THIS MONTH TOTAL TO DATE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$74,501.75</td>
<td>$1,354,341.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3. SUBCONTRACTS STATUS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOTAL OF ALL AWARDED SUBCONTRACTS</th>
<th>AWARDED WITHIN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LAKE CO., INDIANA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THIS MONTH TOTAL</td>
<td>AMOUNT % OF TOTAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TO DATE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THIS MONTH TOTAL TO DATE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$135,496.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUBCONTRACTS (AT ANY TIER) AWARDED UNDER THIS CONTRACT:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AWARDOR</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>LARGE BUSINESS</th>
<th>SMALL BUSINESS</th>
<th>MINIF</th>
<th>HREE</th>
<th>CONTRACT</th>
<th>COMPLETED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GREAT LAKES SOIL</td>
<td>BURR RIDGE, IL</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30,000.00</td>
<td>26,651.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIMBERMASTERS, LLC</td>
<td>SCHERSEVILLE, IN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30,000.00</td>
<td>28,593.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRAINAGE &amp; GROUND IMPROVEMENT</td>
<td>BRIDGEVIEW, PA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>28,200.00</td>
<td>25,325.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. HARKER CONSTRUCTION CO.</td>
<td>CROWN POINT, IN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>165,774.00</td>
<td>102,465.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUBCONTRACTING PLAN COMPARISON:  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOTAL SUBCONTRACTING</th>
<th>PLAN</th>
<th>ACTUAL TO DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$345,500.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$35,500.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$30,000.00</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$165,774.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$30,000.00</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$30,000.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$30,000.00</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Dyer Construction Company, Inc.

4. ACTION TAKEN TO PROMOTE MINORITY PARTICIPATION (WORKFORCE AND SUBCONTRACTING):

Dyer Construction Company, Inc. has in force Affirmative action Plans for hiring minority employees utilizing but not limited to the Sixteen (16) steps as listed in the Specifications. Dyer Construction participates in the "School to Work Program". Dyer Construction Company, Inc. is a member of the "Indiana Plan". Dyer participated in the East Chicago Career Fair on August 17, 2000, and the Ivy Tech Job Fair on October 6, 2000, and the 21st Century Scholars College Career Night held on November 3, 2000. Dyer Construction represented Contractors at NWIN 2001 held on January 17th & 18th. and worked with the apprenticeship schools to explain the opportunities in the construction trades to students of various school systems.

I declare under penalty of perjury, pursuant to Title 28, United States Code, Section 1746, that the foregoing is true and Correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

[Signature]

KANE

DATE

22 June 2001
## LABOR UTILIZATION STATUS:

### CONSTRUCTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRADE</th>
<th>TOTAL HOURS ALL EMPLOYEES BY TRADE</th>
<th>TOTAL HOURS BLACK</th>
<th>TOTAL HOURS HISPANIC</th>
<th>TOTAL HOURS PACIFIC ISLANDER</th>
<th>TOTAL HOURS NATIVE AMERICAN</th>
<th>MINORITY</th>
<th>PERCENTAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>THIS MONTH TO DATE</td>
<td>THIS MONTH</td>
<td>THIS MONTH TO DATE</td>
<td>THIS MONTH</td>
<td>THIS MONTH TO DATE</td>
<td>THIS MONTH</td>
<td>THIS MONTH TO DATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Engineers</td>
<td>605.50</td>
<td>4,188.50</td>
<td>249.50</td>
<td>966.50</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>147.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laborers</td>
<td>462.50</td>
<td>2,183.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>167.50</td>
<td>828.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survey</td>
<td>170.50</td>
<td>1,037.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>170.50</td>
<td>1,037.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teamsters</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>158.50</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpenters</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement Finishers</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pile Drivers</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>706.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>23.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ACTUAL TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,238.50</strong></td>
<td><strong>8,253.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>249.50</strong></td>
<td><strong>987.50</strong></td>
<td><strong>338.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,865.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>147.00</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CONTRACT GOALS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### LABOR UTILIZATION STATUS: SUBCONTRACTORS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRADE</th>
<th>TOTAL HOURS ALL EMPLOYEES BY TRADE</th>
<th>TOTAL HOURS BLACK</th>
<th>TOTAL HOURS HISPANIC</th>
<th>TOTAL HOURS PACIFIC ISLANDER</th>
<th>TOTAL HOURS NATIVE AMERICAN</th>
<th>MINORITY</th>
<th>PERCENTAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>THIS MONTH TO DATE</td>
<td>THIS MONTH</td>
<td>THIS MONTH TO DATE</td>
<td>THIS MONTH</td>
<td>THIS MONTH TO DATE</td>
<td>THIS MONTH</td>
<td>THIS MONTH TO DATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Engineers</td>
<td>31.00</td>
<td>366.25</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>111.50</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laborers</td>
<td>183.00</td>
<td>488.50</td>
<td>31.00</td>
<td>31.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teamsters</td>
<td>33.50</td>
<td>42.50</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>66.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpenter</td>
<td>179.00</td>
<td>1,064.50</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement Finishers</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>406.50</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ACTUAL TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>448.50</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,424.25</strong></td>
<td><strong>31.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>31.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>338.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,865.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>147.00</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CONTRACT GOALS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONT. NO.</td>
<td>CONTRACT ORIGINAL</td>
<td>CONTRACT ORIGINAL</td>
<td>CONTRACT CURRENT</td>
<td>CONTRACT CURRENT</td>
<td>OBLIGATED SUBSTANTIAL</td>
<td>EARNED FINAL W/O D.</td>
<td>% COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-0071</td>
<td>STA. II-PHS 3B</td>
<td>RAUSCH</td>
<td>$3,293,968.00</td>
<td>$3,477,249.65</td>
<td>05 DEC 99</td>
<td>05 DEC 98</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>959776</td>
<td>(GARCES)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(KARWATKA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(TURNER)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COMMENTS:**
Due to weather conditions, Contractor to complete punchlist in summer.

Awaiting PP-PM/ED-D responses to following issues: None at this time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONT. NO.</th>
<th>CONTRACT ORIGINAL</th>
<th>CONTRACT ORIGINAL</th>
<th>CONTRACT CURRENT</th>
<th>CONTRACT CURRENT</th>
<th>OBLIGATED SUBSTANTIAL</th>
<th>EARNED FINAL W/O D.</th>
<th>% COMPLETE</th>
<th>SCh</th>
<th>ACh</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>95-0073</td>
<td>STA. IV-PHS 2A</td>
<td>DYER</td>
<td>$2,473,311.50</td>
<td>$3,504,445.80</td>
<td>29 SEP 01</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71759328</td>
<td>(GARCES)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(RUNDZAITIS)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(TURNER)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COMMENTS:**
P00034 (FC-73.36) -- Awaiting delivery of pre-fab building to proceed with electrical/generator work.

Awaiting PP-PM/ED-D responses to following: None at this time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONT. NO.</th>
<th>CONTRACT ORIGINAL</th>
<th>CONTRACT ORIGINAL</th>
<th>CONTRACT CURRENT</th>
<th>CONTRACT CURRENT</th>
<th>OBLIGATED SUBSTANTIAL</th>
<th>EARNED FINAL W/O D.</th>
<th>% COMPLETE</th>
<th>SCh</th>
<th>ACh</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>95-0075</td>
<td>STA. II-PHS 4</td>
<td>RAUSCH</td>
<td>$3,086,692.00</td>
<td>$4,185,070.75</td>
<td>22 SEP 98</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71680714</td>
<td>(GARCES)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(KARWATKA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(TURNER)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COMMENTS:**
Due to weather conditions, Contractor to complete punchlist in summer.

Finalizing quantities on all unit priced items. Awaiting final supporting data from Contractor.

**CITY OF HOBART ROAD REPAIR:**
Contractor yet to resolve with City.

**FC-76.XX - LEVEE QUANTITY OVERRUN EXCEEDING 115%:**
Received revised final cross-section from Contractor. Provided Contractor CO-S review comments. Contractor reviewing.

**FC-76.XX - Contractor requesting additional cost due to wet material from Deep River Borrow Site.**
CO-S has completed review, and presented results of review to Contractor. Contractor reviewing CO-S information.

Awaiting PP-PM/ED-D Responses to the Following Issues: None At This Time.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PR &amp; NO.</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>CONTRACTOR</th>
<th>CURRENT OBLIGATED</th>
<th>CURRENT SUBSTANTIAL</th>
<th>EARNED AMOUNT</th>
<th>FINAL WO D.</th>
<th>% COMPLETE</th>
<th>SCH</th>
<th>ACT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>97-0025</td>
<td>IV-2B</td>
<td>DYER CONSTR.</td>
<td>$1,530,357.50</td>
<td>16 NOV 98</td>
<td>$1,938,357.86</td>
<td>30 SEP 00</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71769388</td>
<td>(GARCES)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,938,357.86</td>
<td>30 SEP 00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(KARWATKA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,938,357.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(TURNER)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,938,357.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COMMENTS:**
Monitoring turf establishment.

Punchlist completed. Anticipate scheduling final inspection in JUN/JUL.

Preparing Contract Closeout.

Awaiting PP-PMED-D responses to the following items: CO signature of As-Built Drawings, and return of requested file and Sponsor paper/reproducible copies.

| 99-C-0040 | EAST REACH | DYER | $1,657,013.00 | 03 OCT 00 | 100 |
| %355539 | REMEDIATION | CONSTR. CO. | $1,873,784.68 | 03 OCT 00 |     |
| (DEJA) | | | $1,873,784.68 | 03 OCT 00 |     |
| (LEE) | | | $1,873,784.68 | 03 OCT 00 |     |
| (TURNER) | | | | | |

**COMMENTS:**
Anticipate scheduling final inspection by Sponsor and District in JUN/JUL.

Awaiting PP-PMED-D responses to the following: CO Signature of As-Built Drawings and return of requested file and Sponsor paper/reproducible copies.

| 99-C-0027 | C. SHORELINE | AMERICAN | $10,819,641.25 | 13 DEC 00 | 100 |
| %495861 | I-55 TO 30th | MARINE CONSTR. | $12,298,542.87 | 13 DEC 00 |     |
| (GARCES) | | | $13,191,117.67 | 30 NOV 00 |     |
| (NEWELL) | | | $13,100,000.00 | 30 NOV 00 |     |
| (SMITH) | | | | | |

**COMMENTS:**
Awaiting as-built drawings, replacement of dead trees and CPD direction on seed at articulating mat.

Finalizing all earthwork quantities.

(FC-27.XX) - Offsite Disposal Quantity Overrun - Conducted preliminary negotiations. Awaiting revised proposal from Contractor that reflects revised final quantities and preliminary negotiations.

P00028 (FC-27.29) - Contractor VECP on waier/SSP connection; $33,315.17 DECREASE and no change in time. CO-S (CEMVR) preparing modification.

P00027 (FC-27.28) - Additional cost of reconstruction of manhole at Sta. -10+64; $25,071.95 and no time. Preparing modification.

P00025 (FC-27.25) - Purchase of work limits fence. $7,425.00 and no time; Executed and Distributed.

Preparing to forward information to CO-C/OC/PM on Contractor's initial request for collateral savings beyond savings in this contract.

Awaiting PP-PMED-D responses to the following items: None at this time.

Awaiting STS response to the following items: None at this Time
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONT. NO.</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>CONTRACTOR</th>
<th>CONTRACT ORIGINAL AMOUNT</th>
<th>CONTRACT CURRENT AMOUNT</th>
<th>CONTRACT OBLIGATED AMOUNT</th>
<th>CONTRACT SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION</th>
<th>CONTRACT FINAL W/O D. COMPLETION</th>
<th>% COMPLETE</th>
<th>SCH.</th>
<th>ACT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00-C-0003</td>
<td>STAGE IV -</td>
<td>DILLON</td>
<td>$2,708,720.00</td>
<td>$3,013,910.52</td>
<td>$3,013,910.52</td>
<td>7 JUN 2001</td>
<td>1 MAY 2001</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%422356</td>
<td>PHASE 1</td>
<td>CONTRACTORS INC.</td>
<td>$3,013,910.52</td>
<td>$3,013,910.52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ANDERSON)</td>
<td>PHASE I</td>
<td>(KARWATKA)</td>
<td>$2,500,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(TURNER)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COMMENTS:**

Working on punchlist items.

Received redline as-built drawings.

Awaiting PP-PM/ED responses to the following: None at this time

Awaiting Ayres Responses to the following items: None at this time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONT. NO.</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>CONTRACTOR</th>
<th>CONTRACT ORIGINAL AMOUNT</th>
<th>CONTRACT CURRENT AMOUNT</th>
<th>CONTRACT OBLIGATED AMOUNT</th>
<th>CONTRACT SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION</th>
<th>CONTRACT FINAL W/O D. COMPLETION</th>
<th>% COMPLETE</th>
<th>SCH.</th>
<th>ACT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00-C-0021</td>
<td>BURR</td>
<td>DYER</td>
<td>$2,074,072.70</td>
<td>$2,074,072.70</td>
<td>$1,775,700.00</td>
<td>24 JUN 01</td>
<td>24 JUN 01</td>
<td>78</td>
<td></td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%198030</td>
<td>BETTERMENT</td>
<td>CONST. CO.</td>
<td>$2,074,072.70</td>
<td>$2,074,072.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ANDERSON)</td>
<td>PHASE I</td>
<td>(WALDRON)</td>
<td>$1,775,700.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(TURNER)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COMMENTS:**

Continued work on 72” gatewell structure.

Continued work on levees and ramps.

Completed concrete I-Walls.

Completed Colfax Road raise.

Continued ditch construction.

**FC-21.04** – Addition of access ramp, change in riprap thickness, increase in access ramp width. Evaluating Contractor’s proposal.

**FC-21.06** – Design modification to 72” gatewell structure. Evaluating Contractor’s Proposal.

**FC-21.10** – DSC’s related to sewer by pass work. Evaluating proposal.

**FC-21.11** – Adverse Weather.

Awaiting PP-PM/ED response to the following items: None at this time.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONT. NO.</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>CONTRACTOR</th>
<th>CONTRACT AMOUNT</th>
<th>CONTRACT AMOUNT</th>
<th>% COMPLETE</th>
<th>COMPLETION</th>
<th>SCH.</th>
<th>ACT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01-C-0001</td>
<td>PUMP STA. 1A</td>
<td>OVERSTREET</td>
<td>$4,638,400.00</td>
<td>08 OCT 02</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%015179</td>
<td>ELECTRIC COMPANY</td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
<td>08 OCT 02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ANDERSON)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(CRAIB)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(TURNER)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COMMENTS:**
Contractor is preparing submittals.

Contractor is planning to install temporary facilities in JUN 2001.

**P0000X (FC-01.01) - Partnering Conference - Preparing modification for $1,592.50**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONTRACT NO.</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>CONTRACTOR</th>
<th>CONTRACT AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23-00-C-0002</td>
<td>MICHIGAN CITY</td>
<td>M.C.M.</td>
<td>$606,685.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%924789</td>
<td>DREDGING</td>
<td></td>
<td>$839,798.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(DEJA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$839,798.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(LEE)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>01 DEC 2000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COMMENTS:**
Closeout file routing District for approval.

Awaiting PP-PM response to following items: Correction/Completion of As-Built Drawings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONTRACT NO.</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>CONTRACTOR</th>
<th>CONTRACT AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00-C-0019</td>
<td>CAL. RIVER DREDGING</td>
<td>LAKE</td>
<td>$1,922,680.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%498649</td>
<td></td>
<td>MICHIGAN CONTRACTORS</td>
<td>$3,032,390.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(DEJA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,092,289.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(NEWELL)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(TURNER)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COMMENTS:**
Demobilized. Contractor preparing As-Built Drawings.

Initiating closeout activities.

**P00009 (FC-19.08) - Final Quantities. Initiating preparation; USCG Deduct = $20,150.51 & USACE deduct = $319,950.39.**

Awaiting PP-PM/ED-D responses to the following: None at this time.
### CONTRACT TIMESHEET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONT. NO.</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>CONTRACT ORIGINAL</th>
<th>CONTRACT CURRENT</th>
<th>OBLIGATED CURRENT</th>
<th>SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION</th>
<th>FINAL W/O D.</th>
<th>% COMPLETE</th>
<th>CONTRACT AMOUNT</th>
<th>CONTRACT ORIGINAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00-C-0015</td>
<td>IV - 1 SOUTH</td>
<td>$3,882,736.65</td>
<td>$4,013,117.78</td>
<td>$3,520,381.13</td>
<td>$3,050,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%476574</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ANDERSON)</td>
<td>Const. CO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(KARWATKA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(TURNER)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COMMENTS:**
- Preparing to drive sheet pile wall across EJ&E and NS tracks at Sta. 16+00.
- Continuing levee & ramp construction.
- Drove Sheet Pile wall across, NS Railroad and EJ & E Railroad @ Sta. 4+50.
- Completed I-Wall at Colfax Street.
- Worked on gatewell structure.
- FC-15.10 – Concrete slab in railroad ballast storage area, WIND access ramp, widening of levee crest. Awaiting redesign of concrete slab by ED-DC.
- FC-15.11 – Change in f/rap placement area. Evaluating Contractor proposal.

### CONTRACT TIMESHEET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONT. NO.</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>CONTRACT ORIGINAL</th>
<th>CONTRACT CURRENT</th>
<th>OBLIGATED CURRENT</th>
<th>SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION</th>
<th>FINAL W/O D.</th>
<th>% COMPLETE</th>
<th>CONTRACT AMOUNT</th>
<th>CONTRACT ORIGINAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01-C-0008</td>
<td>PUMP NORTH</td>
<td>$2,367,500.00</td>
<td>$2,367,500.00</td>
<td>$500,000.00</td>
<td>$500,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ANDERSON)</td>
<td>5TH AVENUE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(CRAIB)</td>
<td>ELECTRIC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(TURNER)</td>
<td>COMPANY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COMMENTS:**
- Sent Contractor Initial letters.
- Pre-Construction Conference was held on 21 MAY 2001.
- Preparing FC-08.01 – Installation of Metering Transformer Cabinet and Electrical Connections to NIFSCO Transformer.

### CONTRACT TIMESHEET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONT. NO.</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>CONTRACT ORIGINAL</th>
<th>CONTRACT CURRENT</th>
<th>OBLIGATED CURRENT</th>
<th>SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION</th>
<th>FINAL W/O D.</th>
<th>% COMPLETE</th>
<th>CONTRACT AMOUNT</th>
<th>CONTRACT ORIGINAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00-C-XXXX</td>
<td>IN HARBOR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CDF CUTOFF WALL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(        )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(        )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COMMENTS:**
- CELRC-PP-PM resolving several outstanding issues (Real Estate; Cost; ARCO) that potentially impact advertisement.
- P&S being finalized in response to backcheck #2, and may require further revision based on cost discussions, and independent panel review.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PR&amp;C NO.</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>CONTRACTOR</th>
<th>CURRENT OBLIGATED AMOUNT</th>
<th>CURRENT SUBSTANTIAL EARNED</th>
<th>FINAL W/O D. COMPLETION</th>
<th>% COMPLETE SCH.</th>
<th>ACT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00-C-0033</td>
<td>BURNS HARBOR</td>
<td>AMERICAN</td>
<td>$1,727,560.00</td>
<td>16 SEP 2001</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%649564</td>
<td>NORTH B/W REPAIR</td>
<td>MARINE</td>
<td>$200,000.00</td>
<td>$200,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01-C-0002</td>
<td>CAL. HARBOR</td>
<td>HOLLY</td>
<td>$1,388,858.00</td>
<td>10 OCT 01</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%774164</td>
<td>CDF RPR.</td>
<td>MARINE</td>
<td>$1,388,858.00</td>
<td>10 OCT 01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(GARCES)</td>
<td></td>
<td>TOWING</td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(LEE)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(TURNER)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COMMENTS:**
Stone source approved. Contractor indicates placement will start mid JUN.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PR&amp;C NO.</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>CONTRACTOR</th>
<th>CURRENT OBLIGATED AMOUNT</th>
<th>CURRENT SUBSTANTIAL EARNED</th>
<th>FINAL W/O D. COMPLETION</th>
<th>% COMPLETE SCH.</th>
<th>ACT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00-C-0035</td>
<td>PUMP 'B'</td>
<td>THIENE MANN</td>
<td>$1,963,400.00</td>
<td>24 JUL 01</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%160517</td>
<td></td>
<td>CONSTRUCTION</td>
<td>$1,979,539.59</td>
<td>07 AUG 01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ANDERSON)</td>
<td></td>
<td>INC.</td>
<td>$1,903,671.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(CRAIB)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,680,900.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(TURNER)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COMMENTS:**
Started 1st SWP pump at 81st Street Pump Station.
Continued discharge Box modifications at Hessville.
Rebuilding trash racks at SE Hessville.

**FC-35.08 – S.E. Hessville Power Conductors Modification.** Priced NTP $55,721.96 and 28 calendar days. Preparing Modification.

**FC-35.09 – Disposal of Mercury containing comminutor.** Priced NTP $51,364.79 and 0 calendar days. Preparing Modification.

**PC-35.10 – Installation of Gantry Crane receptacles.** awaiting Contractors proposal.

Awaiting PP-PMED-D responses to following items:
A. 81st Street Stairway Modification,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PR&amp;C NO.</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>CONTRACTOR</th>
<th>CURRENT OBLIGATED AMOUNT</th>
<th>CURRENT ORIGINAL EARNED</th>
<th>FINAL W/O D. COMPLETION</th>
<th>% COMPLETE SUBSTANTIAL</th>
<th>ACT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00-C-0002</td>
<td>ACCESS</td>
<td>K&amp;S</td>
<td>$1,672,816.47</td>
<td>$1,672,816.47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%365315</td>
<td>RETROFIT</td>
<td></td>
<td>$500,000.00</td>
<td>$500,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(GARCES)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(NEWELL)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(SMITH)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COMMENTS:**
Conducted Pre-Con.

Work completed at 31st Street Beach. Initiating work at I-55 to 30th.
I can't thank you enough for the love and respect you have shown Chuck when he was alive as well as in his death. He was always so happy to be involved in Little Cal. The flowers were beautiful and the groundbreaking ceremony was great too! It helped me a lot. Thank you for giving him the dream of the Park! Love, Gayeann

A friendly smile.
A casual touch.
These are the things that mean so much.

To know you are with us in our time of sorrow.
Sharing our prayers today and tomorrow.

God gives us comfort in the form of good friends.
May His peace be with you.
His love never ends.

By the family of Charles Agnew
IN ATTENDANCE:

**LCRBDC**
- Lou Casale
- Sandy Mordus
- Jim Pokrjac
- Judy Vamos

**COE**
- Imad Samara
- Chrystal Spokane
- Steve Hughes

1. **ANNOUNCEMENTS/INTRODUCTIONS**
   a. William (Bill) White, Chief of Corps Real Estate, has been transferred to Operations and Maintenance. Christopher D. Borton (Chris), Chief of the Appraisal Branch will be Acting Chief of Real Estate taking Bill White’s place. Chris will also be leaving to return to the Portland, Oregon Corps District at the end of July. Permanent replacements will then be solicited for both Chief of Real Estate and Chief Appraiser positions.

   Attorney Steve Hughes from the Little Rock, Arkansas area will be Acting Chief of Acquisitions taking Emmett’s place until a permanent replacement is found. Appraisals will be reviewed in the interim period by the Louisville District.

   *(ACTION: COE)*

2. **WICKER PARK**
   a. Appraisal – Appraisal is on hold due to a new development. North Township Trustee Greg Cvitkovich has recently learned that a section of land between Hawthorne Drive and the river, thought to belong to Munster, does in fact belong to North Township. He has expressed his desire to have the proposed levee moved from completely inside the park to that parcel of land between Hawthorn Drive and the river. This move would involve a great deal of re-design and Imad is reluctant to begin such a costly effort. He asked Judy to tell Appraiser John Snell to complete the Wicker appraisal with the current COE mapping. Imad asked Jim to have Greg Cvitkovich write the COE a letter explaining his recommendation to re-locate the levee. The COE will consider his request if it is feasible. The appraiser could complete an addendum to the appraisal if the levee location changes.

   *(ACTION: Jim and Judy/LCRBDC)*

   b. Recreation Trail – Problem is with fee ownership for recreation features. COE requires recreation features to be held in public ownership. The deed creating Wicker Park also states that it must always be in North Township ownership. How then can an LCRBDC recreation trail then be in fee on Wicker Park? Judy will write to Wicker Park asking for a copy of the deed and forward it to Lou. Chrystal thinks that since allowances were made for recreation trails on City of Gary property (Gary didn’t want to sell in fee, took recreation easements only), allowances could be made for Wicker Park.

   *(ACTION: Judy/LCRBDC)*
3. FOLLOW-UP FROM JUNE MEETING WITH MARK LOPEZ
   a. Chrystal reported on the 1 June 2001 meeting with the Congressman's office Mark Lopez. Attendees were Dan, Bill Tanke, Imad, Chrystal, Chris, and John Sirles. Chrystal said the COE will address the $3.5 million dollars in submitted crediting packages that haven't been approved and returned as yet.

   - Sandy said there still is $800,000 the LCRBDC is holding until previous crediting is returned and $1.2 million more LCRBDC will be seeking credit for. Imad said the COE is skeptical about the $3.5 million dollar figure in crediting the COE is supposed to have and wants a complete breakdown of what exactly the $3.5 million covers. Sandy will provide the explanation. There is also a discrepancy in the cash escrow account between LCRBDC and the COE. Sandy and Imad will confer on this.
   (ACTION: Imad/COE and Sandy/LCRBDC)

   - Chris will coordinate crediting for the INDOT bridges. Dan has been asking for credit for the bridges for quite some time. Chris said that Mark Lopez wants this finished. He said there must be cooperation between INDOT, the COE, and the LCRBDC.
   (ACTION: Chris/COE)

   - Lopez also wants the gap between submissions and approvals closed within the next 30 days. Obtaining credit before acquisition instead of the present system of obtaining credit after acquisition was discussed. Dan has mentioned at previous meetings that the Indiana State Budget Committee wants LCRBDC to receive COE credit before acquisition. This way the state will know it's money will be credited to the flood project. Problems could arise as follows:
     appraiser submits an appraisal bill for $2000
     COE approves $1500 as a reasonable cost
     LCRBDC submits $2000 bill to the state
     State advances $1500 (creditable to project)
     Where does the other $500 come from?

   Chrystal said she will call the White River Project and the Louisville Corps District to learn how they handle their crediting.
   (ACTION: Chrystal/COE)

4. REAL ESTATE TRACKING PROGRAM
   a. Chrystal reported that she will be working on crediting in the next month, however, remedial work on the dbase tracking program is on hold. Jim mentioned that utility relocations were to be added to the dbase tracking program. How will that be handled now? Chrystal reported that Jan Plochta is still in charge of utility relos and this discussion could be continued at the 20 June meeting.
   (ACTION: COE and LCRBDC)
5. ACQUISIONS UPDATE  
a. Burr Street Phase 2 – problems with Norfolk and Southern Railroad. There are two overlapping easements. COE is working on mapping changes. Jan Plochta is preparing a packet of information to send to David Orrison at the N. & S. RR for a right-to-construct if easement agreements get bogged down in the railroad bureaucracy.  
(ACTION: Jon/COE)

b. Krosan Development - problem with building in the levee line. Jim sent a surveyor to the site. Krosan is 3.5 feet out of the 75 foot regulated drainage ditch easement. Jim will contact Krosan to have them send their Asbuilt drawings to LCRBDC to see if a 30 foot turning radius for trucks is adequate.  
(ACTION: Jim/LCRBDC)

c. Grant Street Recreation Trail – Imad said he wants to implement the most cost effective trail. Discussion continues.

d. Stage V-2 – LCRBDC needs COE drawings on Tri-State and K-Mart to finish appraisals.

e. Stage V-3 - Tim Nugent is finishing his report on Woodmar and will forward it to Dale so he in turn can complete the Woodmar appraisal.

f. Stage VI-1 – Dale and Judy will be touring the Kennedy Industrial Park to acquaint Dale with the corporate acquisitions that he will be appraising. A feasibility study before the appraisal has been suggested so LCRBDC will know how much the acquisitions will cost.

g. Stage VIII – Jim has preliminary property identification from S. E. H. engineering. The property I.D.’s are needed for a public notice required by the DNR permit.

6. NEXT REAL ESTATE MEETING  
Thursday, 19 July 2001, 10 am at LCRBDC office. 

JV 6/22/01
Project Information

The Charles Agnew Handicapped-Accessible Park is a public and private sector partnership between the Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission, the River Forest Community School Corporation, and the Lake Erie Land Company. Costing $150,000 it is being built in two phases beginning in June of 2001 with anticipated completion in 2002.

Handicapped children, all children, are invited to enjoy the colorful slides, swings, merry-go-round, and activity panels that are tools of learning as well as play.

Honored Guests

The Family of Charles Agnew

Mayor Shirley Wadding, City of Lake Station

River Forest Community School Corporation:
Dr. James Rice, Superintendent
Mr. Robert Marszalek, Assistant Superintendent
Richard Arnold, Board President
Henry M. Gilliana, II, Board Vice-President
Michelle Martin, Board Secretary
Pat Schultz, Board Member
James Halcomb, Jr. Board Member

Mr. Donald Ewoldt, Director of Resource Management
Lake Erie Land Company

Mr. Dan Gardner, Executive Director
Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission

PROGRAM

Welcome to the Groundbreaking Ceremony of the Charles Agnew Handicapped-Accessible Park
7th of June 2001
2:00 pm
River Forest Elementary School

Master of Ceremony
Dan Gardner, Executive Director
Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission

Prayer:
Henry M. Gilliana, II Vice-President
River Forest Community School Corporation

Speakers:
Mayor Shirley Wadding, City of Lake Station
Robert Huffman, Vice-Chairman
Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission
Robert Marszalek, Assistant Superintendent
River Forest Community School Corporation
Charles Agnew, II

Formal Groundbreaking Ceremony

Refreshments
Served in the school cafeteria
CHARLES AGNEW
HANDICAPPED - ACCESSIBLE PARK

RIVER FOREST
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

The handicapped-accessible park at River Forest Elementary School in Lake Station is the dream of former River Forest Elementary fourth grade teacher Charles Agnew. Mr. Agnew saw his student Richard sit in his wheelchair at recess, not able to join the physical play. Richard inspired Mr. Agnew to work toward creating a park where children of all physical needs could play and learn together. Today that dream is a reality for Mr. Agnew and thousands of handicapped children.