MEETING NOTICE

THERE WILL BE A MEETING OF THE LITTLE CALUMET RIVER BASIN DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION
AT 6:00 P.M. WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 7, 2007
AT THE COMMISSION OFFICE
6100 SOUTHPORT ROAD
PORTAGE, IN

ONE-HALF HOUR WORK STUDY SESSION – 5:30 P.M.

AGENDA

1. Call to order by Chairman Bill Biller
2. Pledge of Allegiance
3. Recognition of Visitors and Guests
4. Approval of Minutes of January 3, 2007
5. Chairman's Report
   • Legislators' Briefing of N.W. IN priority items – January 24 in Indianapolis
6. Action Required:
   Finance: Approval of claims for January 2007 Approval of O&M claims for January 2007
7. Executive Director’s Report
   • Status of State Budget Committee meeting to release the requested $2,142,583
   • Updated status of Griffith levee

8. Standing Committees

   A. Finance Committee – Report by Treasurer Kent Gurley
      • Financial status report
      • Issues for discussion

   B. Land Acquisition/Land Management Committee – Committee Chair Bob Marszalek
      Land Acquisition
      • Appraisals, offers, acquisitions
      • Status of activity for Stage V-2, VII, and VIII
      Land Management
      • Status of VIEW Outdoor
      • Staff will develop a RFP for the 32 acres east of Clay Street
      • Received proposal from C&H for mowing of levees
      • Issues for discussion

   C. Project Engineering Committee – Committee Chair Bob Huffman
      • Update on Cabela’s coordination
      • Update on V-2 pipeline corridor
      • Update on Stage VIII coordination
      • Update on Pump Station Phase II contract
      • Issues for discussion

   D. Operation & Maintenance – Committee Chair Bob Huffman
      • Status of O&M turnover
      • Issues for discussion

   E. Legislative Committee – Committee Chair George Carlson
      • Budget request for $8 million submitted to State Budget Agency for 2007-09 biennial budget – Update
      • Issues for discussion

   F. Environmental Committee – Committee Chair Mark Reshkin
      • Projects schedule for Hobart Marsh wetland restoration/construction
      • Meeting held January 11 at Gary Stormwater Management District regarding Little Calumet River watershed planning
      • Issues for discussion

   G. Recreational Development Committee – Committee Chair Bob Huffman
      • Issues for discussion

   H. Policy Committee – Committee Chair Bob Marszalek

9. Other Issues / New Business

10. Statements to the Board from the Floor

11. Set date for next meeting; adjournment
Chairman William Biller called the meeting to order at 6:15 p.m. All ten (10) Commissioners were present. Pledge of Allegiance was recited. The guests were recognized.

**Development Commissioners:**
- William Biller
- Robert Huffman
- Arlene Colvin
- Dr. Mark Reshkin
- George Carlson
- Steve Davis
- Robert Marszalek
- Kent Gurley
- Charlie Ray
- John Mroczkowski

**Visitors:**
- Elizabeth Johnson, Congressman’s Office

**Staff:**
- Dan Gardner
- Sandy Mordus
- Lou Casale
- Jim Pokrajac
- Judy Vamos
- Lorraine Kray

The minutes of the December 6, 2006 meeting were approved by a motion from Bob Huffman; motion seconded by Arlene Colvin; motion passed unanimously.

**Chairman’s Report** – Chairman Biller reported that Dan Gardner will draft a letter to the legislative delegation, as well as IDNR and the Congressman’s Office, and inform them of the project progress made in the year 2006. Mr. Gardner referred to a year-end report developed by staff that highlights progress made this year. He reported that we have received about $3 million in 2006 and expects to receive another $2,142,583 in early January. We are awaiting approval from down state for the drawdown of this money. $1,442,583 is the Commission’s share of the Burr Street Phase II Little Cal portion of levee construction. It is critical to receive this money to allow the award of the contract. The contractor has already held his bid over for another month in anticipation of the funds coming through. The remaining $700,000 will allow the Commission to continue critical path acquisition through Stage V-2. Mr. Gardner went on to report that 26 out of 40 property easements needed in Stage V have closed (13 remaining); in Stage VII, there are 52 property easements where survey and title work is complete and appraisals are under final review. In Stage VIII, where there are 90 property easements, preliminary surveys have been completed for Corps review. We have had numerous easement donations amounting to over $413,000 (excluding Cabela’s). Several contracts are nearing completion or are ongoing: Stage VI-1 North (about 60% complete); Stage VI-1 South (about 88% complete); Stage VI-2 (about 90% complete); Burr Street II Gary (about 91% complete); Landscaping II (about 55% complete); In-Project Mitigation (about 99% complete). The Pump Station 1A contract is about 80% complete. Approximately $13 million has already been spent. In crediting, over $1.3 million has been completed. O&M turnover is ongoing; we are hoping to turn over the pump stations and overall O&M in Gary this calendar year.
Chairman Biller referred to the Nominating Committee (Arlene Colvin, chair; Steve Davis and Mark Reshkin) for their report. Arlene Colvin presented a slate of officers for 2007. They are:

Chairman - William Biller  
Vice Chairman - Robert Marszalek  
Treasurer - Kent Gurley  
Secretary - Charlie Ray

Ms. Colvin made a motion to nominate William Biller as Chairman; motion seconded by Mark Reshkin; motion passed unanimously.

Ms. Colvin made a motion to nominate Robert Marszalek as Vice Chairman; motion seconded by Bob Huffman; motion passed unanimously.

Ms. Colvin made a motion to nominate Kent Gurley as Treasurer; motion seconded by Steve Davis; motion passed unanimously.

Ms. Colvin made a motion to nominate Charlie Ray as Secretary; motion seconded by Mark Reshkin; motion passed unanimously.

Chairman Biller asked the Board members to select their committee preference and turn it in to Sandy. The committees will be presented at the next Commission meeting.

Action Required – Treasurer Arlene Colvin presented the claims for approval in the amount of $91,425.18; motion seconded by Bob Marszalek; motion passed unanimously. Ms. Colvin then presented the O&M claims for approval in the amount of $2,509.49; motion seconded by Bob Huffman; motion passed unanimously.

• Ms. Colvin informed the Board members that the Finance Committee met on December 21. She presented the proposed 2007 Operating Budget. Mr. Gardner explained the budget that identifies three budgets: Administrative Budget at $180,000 (the same as 2006); O&M Budget at $100,000(approximate monies remaining); and Land Acquisition/Project Development Budget at $3,468,583 (breakdown: $776,000-only credit/critical path eligible; $1,250,000- critical path utility relocation costs; $1,442,583-Burr Street cost share escrow account). The budget will be revised once a new State Budget is passed. Ms. Colvin made a motion to adopt the proposed 2007 budget; motion seconded by Bob Huffman; motion passed unanimously.

• Ms. Colvin presented the contract for administrative services with NIRPC with minor changes identified; motion seconded by Bob Huffman; motion passed unanimously.

• Ms. Colvin made a motion to approve the legal contract with Casale, Woodward & Buls with an increase to $110/hr from $100/hr; motion seconded by Bob Huffman; motion passed unanimously.

• In regard to the contracts of the independent contractors, Ms. Colvin made a motion to approve the engineering contract with Jim Pokrajac at $56.85 per hour; motion seconded by Bob Huffman; motion passed unanimously. Ms. Colvin proceeded to make a motion to approve the land acquisition contract with Judy Vamos at $41.35 per hour; motion seconded by Bob Huffman; motion passed unanimously. Ms. Colvin then proceeded to make a motion to approve a crediting contract with Lorraine Kray at $21.10 per hour; motion seconded by Bob Huffman; motion passed unanimously. Ms. Colvin then proceeded to make a motion to approve a crediting contract with Sandy Mordus at the same rate of $25 per hour; motion seconded by Bob Huffman; motion passed unanimously.

• Ms. Colvin made a motion to approve a budget transfer of $300 out of Budget Line 5821 (Travel and Mileage) into Budget Line 5824 (Telephone Expenses) to cover a shortfall of $276.32; motion seconded by Bob Huffman; motion passed unanimously.

• Commissioner Bob Marszalek commended the staff on a job well done.
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• Land Acquisition/Management Committee Chairman Bob Marszalek made a motion authorizing condemnation on DC1203 and DC1204 if negotiations with them fall through and we cannot come to an agreement with them; motion seconded by Bob Huffman; motion passed unanimously.

Executive Director’s Report – Executive Director Dan Gardner stated that the Burr Street II Gary portion of levee construction is almost complete. One change order amounting to $18,168 has been agreed upon (this is the 25% LCRBDC portion based upon our agreement). There is still one other change order ongoing regarding the quantity of clay used that is still in negotiation. We should be receiving that invoice shortly.
• In regard to Burr Street II Little Cal portion, Mr. Gardner reported that we are awaiting additional funding from the State so that the Army Corps can award the contract. $1,442,583 is the identified amount that the Corps has asked from us; we have already given them $300,000 as our cost share. The contractor has extended his bid for 30 days in anticipation of monies coming from the State so the Corps can award the contract. If the contract has to be re-bid, it will cost more money and more time. Mr. Gardner will be in contact with Senator Mrvan, a member of the Budget Committee, to urge a date early in the new year for the required meeting.

Legislative Committee – Committee Chairman George Carlson referred to the V8 Legislative Agenda, which was adopted by NIRPC and the Forum and listed support of the Commission’s $8 million budget request. Mr. Gardner will be attending a legislative reception in Indianapolis later this month and will have an opportunity to talk to some key legislators. Senator Frank Mrvan is on the State Budget Committee and the Senate Finance Committee and Representative Bob Kuzman is Vice Chairman of the Ways & Means Committee, both of which are important committees to us and the development of the State Budget.

Finance Committee – Finance action was already taken.

Land Acquisition/Land Management Committee – Committee Chairman Bob Marszalek referred to Jim Pokrajac for an update of land management items. Mr. Pokrajac reported on (1) View Outdoor is proceeding with the city of Gary in obtaining permits for billboards; (2) staff met with Lamar on December 7 and will pursue identifying additional locations for billboards; (3) LEL has responded waiving the option of the 32 acres; (4) another O&M meeting needs to be scheduled with the city of Gary; (5) all three farm leases have been signed; (6) staff met with Property Liquidators and they are interested in a license agreement on 3120 Gerry Street; (7) the log jam west of MLK Drive was removed and hauled away.
• In regard to LEL, a motion was made by Mark Reshkin to accept the waiver to terminate their option on the 32 acres and have staff develop a RFP for management of wetland banking on this property; motion seconded by Bob Huffman; motion passed unanimously. Dr. Reshkin stressed that we need to look at wetland banking as an economic development tool.
• Discussion was held on having a meeting with IDNR, ACOE, and FEMA regarding the Griffith levee. Staff will develop a RFP but needs to have FEMA define the exact criteria needed for certification of the levee prior to doing so. Dr. Reshkin asked that the Governor’s Office, as well as the Congressman’s Office be notified of any scheduled meeting.
• Judy Vamos gave an update on the land acquisition easements needed for the remainder of the project area. She is coordinating approximately 162 easement acquisitions that are all in various stages of acquisition.
**Engineering Committee** – Committee Chairman Bob Huffman reported that a meeting is scheduled to be held on January 10 with Cabela’s and INDOT in which staff will attend. The entry layout into Cabela’s and the design and coordination with INDOT will be discussed.

**Operation & Maintenance Committee** – Committee Chairman Bob Huffman referred to the chart (in the agenda packet) on the sluice gate/flap gate inspection results. Jim Pokrajac added that there will be done one more inspection. O&M turnover to Griffith will be coordinated for the segment between Cline and Colfax, including sluice/flap gates and levees.
* Jim Pokrajac stated that Highland plans on keeping the existing North 5th pump station. This will supplement the new pump station being built. The new station should be completed late spring 2007.
* Mr. Huffman reported that fencing repairs in the area of North Burr Street pumping station have been completed.
* A meeting with the attorneys for Gary and LCRBDC, along with decision makers, needs to be scheduled in the very near future to move the O&M turnover process forward.

**Environmental Committee** – Committee Chairman Mark Reshkin mentioned that he received a phone call from Carolyn Marsh (Audubon Society) regarding the MOU that the town of Highland has drafted regarding the “Blue Heron Rookery”. Dan Gardner stated that we had received a draft MOU from Highland where the town, the Commission, and the Calumet Audubon Society would sign the agreement. As far as we know, it is still in draft form. We have been requested not to formally adopt it yet. After opposition from the Audubon Society, it was not made part of the Coastal grant the town has applied for.

**Recreation Committee** – There was no report.

**Policy Committee** - There was no report.

**Other Issues** – Commissioner Kent Gurley inquired about page 6 & 7 in the Land Acquisition Report regarding the Forest Avenue levee in Hammond. Mr. Gardner stated that the levee was built back in the early 80’s by the city of Hammond to Corps specifications and that levee has already been credited. The problem is that the city never maintained the levee and it appears there are no easements from property owners. The Corps has declared that any work that needs to be done on that levee will not be part of the Stage VIII contract. A more detailed report/meeting will be held with the COE and the city of Hammond once more research has been done.

**Statements from the Floor** – There were none.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned. The next scheduled Board meeting is set for 6:00 p.m. on Wednesday, February 7, 2007.
January 24, 2007

Honorabe Robert Kuzman
IN State Representative
819 Savannah Drive
Crown Point, Indiana 46307

Bob

Dear Representative Kuzman:

The Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission congratulates you on your appointment as Vice Chairman of the House Ways and Means Committee. Your appointment is truly significant for northwest Indiana and for the Little Calumet River flood Control and Recreation project to successfully complete the Federal construction by the end of calendar year 2009 as directed by Congressman Pete Visclosky. As the result of the Congressmen’s directive (Attachment 1), the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the Development Commission developed an aggressive schedule to meet flood control completion to the Illinois State line by the end of CY2009 (Attachment 2).

In 2006, this commitment produced over $13,000,000 in Federal construction through seven contracts. Construction has extended west through Hammond and Highland to Kennedy Avenue; all while final construction is being completed in Gary and a needed tieback levee in Griffith is pursued. Also, the Cabela’s commitment to develop in Hammond bringing $78 million in development and approximately 400 jobs is directly dependent upon the flood control project being completed on the aggressive schedule to Northcote/Hart Ditch. The State of Indiana has committed additional funding (up to $6 million – Attachment 3) through IEDC negotiations to accommodate the construction schedule. These dollars have helped match Federal project requirements and speed the construction. Additionally, significant property easement donations have been made by Cabela’s, North Township (Wicker Park), Whiteco Advertising, the Lake County Convention and Visitors Bureau, the city of Hammond and the Town of Highland to assist the non-federal commitments (Attachment 4-2006 Progress).

To complete the remaining non-federal project requirements, **$8 million state funding** is needed in this Biennial budget to have real estate available, utility relocations accomplished, and non-federal cash match in hand to allow the remaining Federal construction contracts to be let on schedule. The Federal construction will total over $40 million in completing the project and finally allowing the corridor to be removed from the floodplain designation (over 8,000 homes and businesses). The use of the $8 million needed is shown on Attachment 5).
The Development Commission worked with the State Budget Agency, the IDNR, the IEDC, and the Governor’s Office in submitting and documenting the $8 million capital budget request in June 2006. The Development Commission strongly petitioned for inclusion in the Governor’s budget. The Governor’s budget did contain a positive commitment to this project by including it in his recommended budget, but the amount included was $2 million. This will not be sufficient to leverage the Federal funding Congressman Visclosky has committed to appropriating, nor to be sufficient to complete the project construction.

We respectfully request the House Budget markup include the full $8 million requested. The non-federal share must be appropriated and available up front of the Federal appropriations. Our funding will be used to acquire the over 140 easements remaining; to relocate the over 190 utility lines to be relocated; and to have available the required 7% non-federal cash participation to allow the Federal contracts to be let. As stated, over $40 million in Federal construction will be directly leveraged by the $8 million.

The Development Commission has the capacity to utilize the full $8 million in the biennium, with $6.5 million needed in the first year to accomplish the aggressive schedule. Surveys, title work, and appraisals are now underway to fully utilize new funding as it comes available. Additional contract help is available, pending funding, to meet the schedule.

This accelerated project schedule, as mentioned, was directed to be prepared by Congressman Visclosky, and is strongly supported by Mayor Clay, Mayor McDermott, and the Town Councils of Highland, Munster, and Griffith. To solidify support, the Development Commission submitted the full $8 million funding request to the legislative committee at NIRPC which recommended and was approved by both the NIRPC Commission and the Northwest Indiana forum as part of the “V8” regional priorities.

We stand ready to work with you and members of the northwest Indiana delegation to pursue this full funding request. We sincerely thank you and the delegation’s efforts and support in the past and respectfully request consideration of this project funding.

Respectfully submitted,

William Biller
Chairman

Dan Gardner
Executive Director

[Handwritten notes and signatures]
| 5801 PER DIEM EXPENSES   | 7,500.00   |         |         |         |         | 0.00     | 7,500.00   |
| 5811 LEGAL EXPENSES     | 3,500.00   | 283.33  |         |         |          |          | 3,216.67  |
| 5812 NIRPC SERVICES     | 145,000.00 | 11,742.56 |         |         |         |         | 133,257.44 |
| 5821 TRAVEL/MILEAGE     | 2,500.00   | 38.40   |         |         |          |          | 2,461.60  |
| 5822 PRINTING/ADVERTISING | 2,000.00 | 0.00    |         |         |          |          | 2,000.00  |
| 5823 BONDS/INSURANCE    | 8,000.00   | 0.00    |         |         |          |          | 8,000.00  |
| 5824 TELEPHONE EXPENSES | 6,500.00   | 469.54  |         |         |          |          | 6,030.46  |
| 5825 MEETING EXPENSES   | 5,000.00   | 79.50   |         |         |          |          | 4,920.50  |
| 5840 PROFESSIONAL SERVICES | 700,000.00  | 35,139.96 |         |         |         |         | 664,860.04 |
| 5860 PROJECT LAND PURCHASE EXP. | 76,000.00 | 1,783.00 |         |         |         |         | 74,217.00 |
| 5882 UTILITY RELOCATION EXP. | 1,250,000.00 | 0.00  |         |         |          |          | 1,250,000.00 |
| 5883 PROJECT LAND CAP. IMPROV. | 0.00 | 0.00 |         |         |          |          | 0.00 |
| 5884 STRUCTURES CAP. IMPROV. | 0.00 | 0.00 |         |         |          |          | 0.00 |
| 5892 PROJECT COSTSHARE/ESC ACCT | 1,442,583.00 | 0.00 |         |         |         |         | 1,442,583.00 |
| 3,648,583.00 | 49,536.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 49,536.29 | 3,599,046.71 |

| 5801 PER DIEM EXPENSES   | 7,500.00   |         |         |         |         |         | 7,500.00   |
| 5811 LEGAL EXPENSES     | 3,500.00   |         |         |         |         | 283.33  | 3,216.67  |
| 5812 NIRPC SERVICES     | 145,000.00 |         |         |         | 11,742.56 |         | 133,257.44 |
| 5821 TRAVEL/MILEAGE     | 2,500.00   |         |         |         | 38.40   |         | 2,461.60  |
| 5822 PRINTING/ADVERTISING | 2,000.00 |         |         |         | 0.00    |         | 2,000.00  |
| 5823 BONDS/INSURANCE    | 8,000.00   |         |         |         | 0.00    |         | 8,000.00  |
| 5824 TELEPHONE EXPENSES | 6,500.00   |         |         |         | 469.54  |         | 6,030.46  |
| 5825 MEETING EXPENSES   | 5,000.00   |         |         |         | 79.50   |         | 4,920.50  |
| 5840 PROFESSIONAL SERVICES | 700,000.00  |         |         |         | 35,139.96 |         | 664,860.04 |
| 5860 PROJECT LAND PURCHASE EXP. | 76,000.00 |         |         |         | 1,783.00 |         | 74,217.00 |
| 5882 UTILITY RELOCATION EXP. | 1,250,000.00 |         |         |         | 0.00    |         | 1,250,000.00 |
| 5883 PROJECT LAND CAP. IMPROV. | 0.00 |         |         |         | 0.00    |         | 0.00 |
| 5884 STRUCTURES CAP. IMPROV. | 0.00 |         |         |         | 0.00    |         | 0.00 |
| 5892 PROJECT COSTSHARE/ESC ACCT | 1,442,583.00 |         |         |         | 0.00    |         | 1,442,583.00 |
| 3,648,583.00 |         |         |         |         | 0.00    |         | 49,536.29 | 3,599,046.71 |
## Claims Payable for January 2007

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACCT</th>
<th>Vendor Name</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Explanation of Claim</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5911</td>
<td>CASALE, WOODWARD &amp; BULS LLP</td>
<td>283.33</td>
<td>Monthly Retainer Through Jan 29, 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5912</td>
<td>NIRPC</td>
<td>11,667.95</td>
<td>Services performed December 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5912</td>
<td>JAMES E POKRAJAC</td>
<td>54.61</td>
<td>Reimbursement for Office Supplies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5921</td>
<td>SANDY MORDUS</td>
<td>38.40</td>
<td>Mileage for December 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5924</td>
<td>AT &amp; T TELECONFERENCE SERVICES</td>
<td>51.64</td>
<td>Conference call 12/21/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5924</td>
<td>AT &amp; T</td>
<td>293.74</td>
<td>Billing Period 12/14/06-1/13/07 (Total Bill 299.28 KRBC 5.54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5924</td>
<td>VERIZON NORTH</td>
<td>124.16</td>
<td>Billing Period 1/19/07-2/16/07 (Total Bill 236.24 KRBC 112.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5925</td>
<td>JIMMY JOHNS</td>
<td>53.50</td>
<td>Expenses incurred for real estate meeting 1/10/07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5925</td>
<td>BANK CARD SERVICES</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>Meeting expense for mtg 1/8/07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5941</td>
<td>LAKE SUPERIOR COURT CLERK</td>
<td>1,500.00</td>
<td>Appraisal for DC-1101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5941</td>
<td>HERITAGE APPRAISAL SERVICE</td>
<td>7,500.00</td>
<td>Appraisal for DC-1243-1247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5941</td>
<td>THE GORMAN GROUP LTD</td>
<td>750.00</td>
<td>Appraisal Review Re: DC-1247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5943</td>
<td>STEWART TITLE SERVICES OF NORTHWEST</td>
<td>405.00</td>
<td>Title work for DC-1204A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5944</td>
<td>JAMES E POKRAJAC</td>
<td>4,674.10</td>
<td>Engineering Services 12/15/06-12/31/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5944</td>
<td>JAMES E POKRAJAC</td>
<td>204.40</td>
<td>December Mileage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5944</td>
<td>JAMES E POKRAJAC</td>
<td>4,889.10</td>
<td>Engineering Services 1/1/07-1/15/07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5944</td>
<td>JUDITH VAMOS</td>
<td>2,122.20</td>
<td>Land Acquisition Agent Services 12/15/06-12/31/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5944</td>
<td>JUDITH VAMOS</td>
<td>3,059.00</td>
<td>Land Acquisition Agent Services 1/1/07-1/15/07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5944</td>
<td>G. LORRaine KRAY</td>
<td>734.45</td>
<td>Crediting Technician &amp; Land Acquisition Asst 12/10/08-12/28/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5944</td>
<td>G. LORRaine KRAY</td>
<td>938.05</td>
<td>Crediting Technician &amp; Land Acquisition Asst 1/1/07-1/15/07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5944</td>
<td>SANDY MORDUS</td>
<td>162.50</td>
<td>Crediting Technician Services 12/16/06-12/27/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5944</td>
<td>SANDY MORDUS</td>
<td>325.00</td>
<td>Crediting Technician Services 1/1/07-1/15/07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5949</td>
<td>CASALE, WOODWARD &amp; BULS LLP</td>
<td>7,874.36</td>
<td>Land Acquisition/Legal Services for period ended 1/20/07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5961</td>
<td>LAKE SUPERIOR COURT CLERK</td>
<td>1,652.00</td>
<td>Purchase Price of DC-1101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5961</td>
<td>LAKE COUNTY RECORDER</td>
<td>131.00</td>
<td>Recording Fees Re: DC-1103 &amp; DC-1198</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total** 49,536.29
APPROVAL TO PAY THE FOLLOWING INVOICES
FROM O&M FUND
FEBRUARY 7, 2007

- $727.00 to Don Powers Agency for annual insurance policy on Commission-owned property at 3499 Chase Street (old Village Farm Stand)
- $52.53 to T-Mobile for costs incurred for cell phone for engineer field work; monthly service 12/11/06 – 1/10/07
- $52.84 to NIPSCO for gas & electricity costs incurred at 3120 Gerry Street(Commission-owned property); Statement date 12/11/07
- $52.81 to NIPSCO for gas & electricity costs incurred at 3120 Gerry Street(Commission-owned property); Statement date 1/11/07
- $8,950.00 to South Shore Marina for cleaning & hauling of debris of log jam at MLK Drive and railroad

TOTAL $9,835.18
January 30, 2007

Mr. Dan Gardner  
Executive Director  
Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission  
6100 Southport Road  
Portage, IN 46368

Dear Mr. Gardner:

I am writing to confirm our conversation earlier this afternoon regarding the upcoming State Budget Committee meeting scheduled for Wednesday, February 14, 2007 beginning at 8:30AM in Room 431 of the State House. Review of the pending request of the Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission for $2,142,583.00 of accelerated funding as part of the Indiana Economic Development Corp.‘s commitment to secure the agreement with Cabela’s Retail, Inc. in Hammond, Indiana will be one of the items placed on the agenda for the meeting.

Please feel free to contact me should you or others have any questions or concerns about the meeting of the State Budget Committee.

Sincerely,

Anthony T. Armstrong  
Deputy Director
January 5, 2007

Honorable Frank Mrvan
Indiana State Senator
6732 Maryland
Hammond, Indiana 46323

Dear Senator,

This letter is to inform you and to seek your support/assistance for the State Budget Committee's allocation of $2,142,583 to the Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission in facilitating a brief special meeting to be called in early January. Budget Director Schalliol has administratively moved, into the Little Cal capital account, this amount of money as part of the State's (IEDC) commitment to the construction schedule for Cabela’s site development in Hammond. These "accelerated" funds will assist both the Development Commission and the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers to meet the critical path construction schedule.

Tony Armstrong, Deputy Budget Director, and Chad Sweeney, Chief Financial Officer for IEDC, have been of great assistance in putting this funding commitment and process in place. For your information, I am including Tony's letter (encl.1) detailing the process. I have also included as background, the basis for the accelerated funding, which is the letter from IEDC Director Mickey Mauer (encl.2), and the Development Commission request for gap funding (encl.3). The State Budget Agency actions are needed to keep to the construction schedule of the project established by Congressman Visclosky, and in meeting the needs for the Cabela's site full development.

Thank you for your support in the past and if you have any questions, please feel free to call me or Tony Armstrong.

Sincerely,

Dan Gardner
Executive Director

/encl.

Cc: Congressman Peter Visclosky, Elizabeth Johnson
Kari Evans, Governor's Office
Chad Sweeney, IEDC
Ron McAhron, IDNR
Tony Armstrong, Budget Agency
IN Representative Linda Lawson
Hammond Mayor Tom McDermott
Hammond Councilman Dan Repay
William Biller, Chairman, LCRBDC
Imad Sumara, ACOE
# LITTLE CALUMET RIVER BASIN DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION
## FINANCIAL STATEMENT
### JANUARY 1, 2006 - DECEMBER 31, 2006

### CASH POSITION - JANUARY 1, 2006

**CHECKING ACCOUNT**
- LAND ACQUISITION: 149,768.81
- GENERAL FUND: 17,675.85
- TAX FUND: 0.00
- INVESTMENTS: 
- SAVINGS: 619,699.72
- ESCROW ACCOUNT INTEREST: 11,279.31

**TOTAL RECEIPTS - JANUARY 1, 2006 - DECEMBER 31, 2006**
- LEASE RENTS: 71,879.13
- LEL MONIES (SAVINGS): 
- INTEREST INCOME (FROM CHECKING): 1,434.79
- LAND ACQUISITION: 1,044,610.93
- ESCROW ACCOUNT INTEREST: 3,926.46
- MISC. RECEIPTS: 16,210.92
- KDBC REIMBURSEMENT RE: TELEPHONE CHARGE: 1,663.20
- TRANSFERRED FROM SAVINGS: 633,052.30
- PROCEEDS FROM VOIDED CHECKS: 

**TOTAL RECEIPTS**: 1,772,777.72

### DISBURSEMENTS - JANUARY 1, 2006 - DECEMBER 31, 2006

**ADMINISTRATIVE**
- 2004 EXPENSES PAID IN 2005: 120,614.81
- PER DIEM: 5,850.00
- LEGAL SERVICES: 3,599.96
- NIPRC: 144,883.26
- TRAVEL & MILEAGE: 1,329.60
- PRINTING & ADVERTISING: 
- BONDS & INSURANCE: 6,733.20
- TELEPHONE EXPENSE: 7,603.74
- MEETING EXPENSE: 754.18

**LAND ACQUISITION**
- LEGAL SERVICES: 94,924.48
- APPRAISAL SERVICES: 147,000.00
- ENGINEERING SERVICES: 224,129.95
- LAND PURCHASE CONTRACTUAL: 61,447.00
- FACILITIES/PROJECT MAINTENANCE SERVICES: 482.70
- OPERATIONS SERVICES: 209,373.62
- LAND MANAGEMENT SERVICES: 126,999.20
- SURVEYING SERVICES: 
- MISCELLANEOUS EXPENSES: 
- ECONOMIC/MARKETING SOURCES: 237,121.10
- PROPERTY & STRUCTURE COSTS: 
- MOVING ALLOCATION: 
- TAXES: 
- PROPERTY & STRUCTURES INSURANCE: 18,029.86
- UTILITY RELOCATION SERVICES: 
- LAND CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS: 
- STRUCTURAL CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS: 84.36
- BANK CHARGES MERCANTILE: 380,368.27
- PASS THROUGH FOR SAVINGS: 133,029.90
- PAYBACK TO SAVINGS: 

**TOTAL DISBURSEMENTS**: 1,704,141.42

### CASH POSITION - DECEMBER 31, 2006

**CHECKING ACCOUNT**
- LAND ACQUISITION: 180,024.55
- GENERAL FUND: 52,129.93
- TAX FUND: 

**TOTAL FUNDS IN CHECKING ACCOUNT**: 232,154.48

### BANK ONE SAVINGS ACCOUNT

**BALANCE**
- LAND ACQ IN HOUSE PROJECT FUNDS: 317,833.14
- (0 & M MONIES): 208,518.45
- **88,314.69**

**Note:** Original $700,000 note
**Note:** O & M Fund comprised of remaining LEL Money, $185,000 Interest Money, and $133,711.49 Marina Sand Money

**SAVINGS INTEREST**

**TOTAL SAVINGS**

**TOTAL OF ALL ACCOUNTS**

88,314.69

442,719.98

426,672.47

16,057.51

760,563.12

4,411.06

997,126.66
Sandy Mordus

From: "Jim Pokrajac" <jpokrajac@nirpc.org>
To: "Sandy Mordus" <smordus@nirpc.org>; <dgardner@nirpc.org>
Sent: Wednesday, January 31, 2007 5:28 PM
Attach: QCP_ProductSchedule.doc
Subject: Fw: Little Cal. Hobart Marsh Area Mitigation Product Schedule. (UNCLASSIFIED)

----- Original Message ----- 
From: "Moore, Gregory LRC" <Gregory.Moore@lrc02.usace.army.mil>
To: "Ackerson, Rick D LRC" <Rick.D.Ackerson@lrc02.usace.army.mil>;
"Adegbite, Ganiyat F LRC" <Ganiyat.F.Adegbite@lrc02.usace.army.mil>;
"Anderson, Douglas M LRC" <Douglas.M.Anderson@lrc02.usace.army.mil>; "Blair, Regina G LRC" <Regina.G.Blair@lrc02.usace.army.mil>; "Burnsed, Mary J LRC"
<Mary.J.Burnsed@lrc02.usace.army.mil>; "Damaraju, Satch LRC"
<Satch.Damaraju@lrc02.usace.army.mil>; "Druzbicki, David E LRC"
<David.E.Druzbicki@lrc02.usace.army.mil>; <elizabeth.mccluskey@fvs.gov>;
"Ennis, John D LRC" <John.D.Ennis@lrc02.usace.army.mil>; "Hedrick, Ray D
LRN" <Ray.D.Hedrick@lrn02.usace.army.mil>; "Hughes, Steven J LRC"
<Steven.J.Hughes@lrc02.usace.army.mil>; <jpokrajac@nirpc.org>; "Moore,
Gregory LRC" <Gregory.Moore@lrc02.usace.army.mil>; "Roach, Nicole L LRC"
<Nicole.L.Roach@lrc02.usace.army.mil>; "Ryder, Keith G LRC"
<Keith.G.Ryder@lrc02.usace.army.mil>; "Samara, Imad LRC"
<Imad.Samara@lrc02.usace.army.mil>; "Schiemann, David R LRC"
<David.R.Schiemann@lrc02.usace.army.mil>; "Schmidt, Joseph J LRC"
<Joseph.J.Schmidt@lrc02.usace.army.mil>; "Semmler, Jay A LRC"
<Jay.A.Semmler@lrc02.usace.army.mil>; "Shinbori, Yuki J LRC"
<Yuki.J.Shinbori@lrc02.usace.army.mil>; "Smith, Kent A LRC"
<Kent.A.Smith@lrc02.usace.army.mil>
Sent: Wednesday, January 17, 2007 12:14 PM
Subject: Little Cal. Hobart Marsh Area Mitigation Product Schedule.
(UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE

Good Morning Everyone,
We're finally getting started on the Hobart Marsh area mitigation for the Little Cal. project. The product schedule for that work is attached. Please give me a call if you have questions.

Greg

Gregory F. Moore
Plant Ecologist
Environmental Analysis Section
United States Army Corps of Engineers
111 North Canal Street, Suite 600
Chicago, Illinois 60606-7206
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTIVITY DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>Products 1&amp;2</th>
<th>DATES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complete QC Plan</td>
<td>Done</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design Analysis and P&amp;S Development</td>
<td></td>
<td>12 Feb 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% BCOE &amp; ITR Submittal</td>
<td></td>
<td>2 Apr 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% BCOE &amp; ITR Review</td>
<td></td>
<td>23 Apr 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100% BCOE &amp; ITR Submittal</td>
<td></td>
<td>14 May 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100% BCOE &amp; ITR Review</td>
<td></td>
<td>4 Jun 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respond and Incorporate ITR &amp; BCOE</td>
<td></td>
<td>5 Jul 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backcheck</td>
<td></td>
<td>25 Jul 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Plans and Specs</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 Aug 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBD Announcement</td>
<td></td>
<td>31 Aug 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertise and Award</td>
<td></td>
<td>16 Aug 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Contract Notice to Proceed</td>
<td></td>
<td>7 Dec 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Days</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>302</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1/ Forested Wetland Enhancement Plans, Specs and Contract Award.
2/ Wet/wet-mesic Prairie Restoration Plans, Specs and Contract Award.
1.) **There are two increased offers (same landowner):**

**DC 1203** All that part of the SW ¾ Sec. 17, T.36 North, R.9 West
The landowner has requested we purchase the land in fee since he is left with an uneconomic remnant. The offers were sent 12/29/06 for permanent and temporary easements that were calculated at a 50% easement ratio of fee for $2,197. The fee value would therefore be $4,394. The landowner also wants a 15% increase of the fee value ($659.11). Total offer would be $5,053.10 rounded to $5,053.

**DC 1206** All that part of the SE 1/4 Sec. 18, T.36N, R9W
The landowner has requested we purchase the land in fee since he is left with an uneconomic remnant. The offers were sent 12/29/06 for permanent and temporary easements that were calculated at a 50% easement ratio of fee for $2,197. The fee value would therefore be $4,394. The landowner also wants a 15% increase of the fee value ($659.11). Total offer would be $5,053.10 rounded to $5,053.

Both increases would total $10,106. A condemnation suit for the initial offers of $4,394 would cost another $7,000 to $8,000. Increasing the offer would be less costly and more importantly a delay of six to nine months in condemnation court would cost us more in time for meeting the deadline for Stage VII (June 07). (This is only the third offer increase out of the past 44 acquisitions.)

We respectfully request an approval to increase the offers for DC 1203 and DC 1206 to prevent a time delay for Stage VII.
REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF ADMINISTRATIVE SETTLEMENT
P.L. 91-646, Title III, as amended

Tract Number: DC 1203
Stage/Phase: VII North - Hammond
Owner(s): Charles Pettersen & Mary Petterson

The above described owner(s) has not accepted the uniform offer, which was based on an approved appraisal, submitted to them in the amount of the Lesser Interest of $2,197 and the Greater Interest of $4,394 for fee for an uneconomic remnant plus 15% increase of $659.

In accordance with 49 CFR §24.102i, it is requested that an Administrative Settlement be approved for the subject tract.

The reason for this request is as follows:

All reasonable efforts have been made to negotiate an agreement for the just compensation. In light of owner demands, as well as acquisition time delays and condemnation cost of $7,000 to $8,000, a settlement of $5,053 (rounded) is considered prudent and in the public’s best interests.

The recommended settlement is $5,053 (fee value $4,394) plus 15% increase of $659) over fee value). This amount is expected to be accepted by the owner(s).

_________________________ Date ______________________
Executive Director
Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission

Agreed to:

_________________________ Date ______________________
Chief, Real Estate
Army Corps of Engineers, Detroit District
DESCRIPTION

All that part of the West Half of the Southwest Quarter of Section 17, Township 36 North, Range 9 West of the 2nd P.M., lying North of the North meander line of Little Calumet River (U.S. Government Survey of 1835) and North of center of Little Calumet River, except the East 163.64 feet thereof, in the City of Hammond, Lake County, Indiana, excluding therefrom all land north of the south right-of-way line of River Drive North.
(This description partially taken from Lake County Deed Record 1055, Page 426.)

Total ownership area calculated to be ± 1.207 acres.
DESCRIPTION

A parcel of land in the West Half of the Southwest Quarter of Section 17, Township 36 North, Range 9 West of the Second Principal Meridian in the City of Hammond, Lake County, Indiana, said parcel being more particularly described as follows: Commencing at the northwest corner of Lot 110 of Unit 26 of Woodmar as recorded in Lake County Plat Book 19, Page 25; thence North 65°34'31" West along the south line of River Drive North a distance of 101.62 feet to the north meander line of the Little Calumet River; thence South 85°52'30" West along said line a distance of 55.65 feet to the Point of Beginning of this description; thence South 85°52'30" West along said line a distance of 241.90 feet; thence North 77°34'23" West a distance of 43.67 feet; thence North 82°15'50" West a distance of 44.26 feet; thence North 87°23'06" West a distance of 70.90 feet; thence North 86°09'05" West a distance of 85.27 feet; thence South 79°48'09" West a distance of 23.06 feet to the West line of the East Half of the East Half of the West Half of the West Half of said Southwest Quarter; thence North 00°05'30" East along said line a distance of 80.97 feet; thence North 81°29'19" East a distance of 122.71 feet; thence South 74°47'59" East a distance of 334.02 feet; thence North 24°21'34" East a distance of 20.23 feet; thence South 70°47'56" East a distance of 63.27 feet; thence South 24°47'47" West a distance of 13.23 feet to the Point of Beginning, containing 0.780 acres, more or less.
OWNER: CHARLES V. & MARY J.
PETTerson J/T w R/S
TEMPORARY WORK AREA EASEMENT T1 & T2

COUNTY: LAKE
SECTION: 17
TOWNSHIP: 36 NORTH
RANGE: 9 WEST
TAX KEY: 37-0052:0001

DC1203

Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission
6100 Southport Road Portage, Indiana 46368

7501 Indianapolis Boulevard
Hammond, IN 46324
Phone: 219.989.1954
Fax: 219.989.3321
www.garcia-consulting.com

LITTLE CALUMET RIVER, INDIANA
LOCAL FLOOD PROTECTION
STAGE VII HAMMOND

SHEET 6 OF 7
SCALE: 1" = 100'
REVISED DATE: 11/14/2006
LIT21.04
OWNER: CHARLES V. & MARY J.
PETTerson J/T w R/S

DESCRIPTION OF T1

A parcel of land in the West Half of the Southwest Quarter of Section 17, Township 36 North,
Range 9 West of the Second Principal Meridian in the City of Hammond, Lake County, Indiana,
said parcel being more particularly described as follows:
Commencing at the northwest corner of Lot 110 of Unit 26 of Woodmar as recorded in Lake
County Plat Book 19, Page 25;
thence North 65°34'31" West along the south line of River Drive North a distance of 150.33 feet to
the Point of Beginning of this description;
thence South 24°47'47" West a distance of 13.37 feet;
thence North 70°47'56" West a distance of 63.27 feet;
thence North 24°21'34" East a distance of 19.04 feet to the south line of River Drive North;
thence along said south line a distance of 6.74 feet along a curve to the right, said curve having a
radius of 733.62 feet and a chord bearing South 66°18'45" East a distance of 6.74 feet to a point of
tangency;
thence South 65°34'31" East along said south line a distance of 56.38 feet to the Point of Beginning,
containing 0.024 acres, more or less.

DESCRIPTION OF T2

A parcel of land in the West Half of the Southwest Quarter of Section 17,
Township 36 North, Range 9 West of the Second Principal Meridian in the City of
Hammond, Lake County, Indiana, said parcel being more particularly described as
follows:
Commencing at the northwest corner of Lot 110 of Unit 26 of Woodmar as
recorded in Lake County Plat Book 19, Page 25;
thence North 65°34'31" West along the south line of River Drive North a distance of 206.71 feet to a point of
tangency on said South line;
thence continuing along said south line a distance of 6.74 feet along a curve to the left, said curve having a
radius of 733.62 feet and a chord bearing North 66°18'45" West a distance of 6.74 feet;
thence South 24°21'34" West a distance of 29.14 feet to the Point of Beginning of
this description;
thence South 24°21'34" West a distance of 10.13 feet;
thence North 74°47'59" West a distance of 334.02 feet;
thence South 81°29'19" West a distance of 122.71 feet to the West line of the East
Half of the East Half of the West Half of the West Half of said Southwest Quarter;
thence North 09°05'50" East along said line a distance of 7.27 feet to the south line
of River Drive North;
thence along said south line a distance of 132.58 feet along a curve to the right,
said curve having a radius of 733.62 feet and a long chord bearing North 81°55'10"
East a distance of 132.40 feet;
thence South 74°48'00" East a distance of 328.26 feet to the Point of Beginning,
containing 0.102 acres, more or less.
Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission
6100 Southport Road  Portage, IN 46368  219-763-0696
Fax: 219-762-1653

TO:  U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers
Detroit District, CENCC-RE
477 Michigan Avenue
Detroit, MI 48226

REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF ADMINISTRATIVE SETTLEMENT
P.L. 91-646, Title III, as amended

Tract Number:  DC 1206
Stage/Phase:  VII North - Hammond
Owner(s):  Charles Pettersen et. al.

The above described owner(s) has not accepted the uniform offer, which was based on an approved appraisal, submitted to them in the amount of the Lesser Interest of $2,197 and the Greater Interest of $4,394 for fee for an uneconomic remnant plus 15% increase of $659.

In accordance with 49 CFR §24.102i, it is requested that an Administrative Settlement be approved for the subject tract.

The reason for this request is as follows:

All reasonable efforts have been made to negotiate an agreement for the just compensation. In light of owner demands, as well as acquisition time delays and condemnation cost of $6,000 to $8,000, a settlement of $5,053 (rounded) is considered prudent and in the public’s best interests.

The recommended settlement is $5,053 (fee value $4,394) plus 15% increase of $659) over fee value). This amount is expected to be accepted by the owner(s).

__________________________  __________________________
Executive Director  Date
Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission

Agreed to:

__________________________  __________________________
Chief, Real Estate  Date
Army Corps of Engineers, Detroit District
DESCRIPTION

That part of the Southeast Quarter of Section 18, Township 36 North, Range 9 West of the Second Principal Meridian, lying North of the Little Calumet River, lying East of a line parallel to and 1439.46 feet East of the West line of Southeast Quarter Section, lying West of a line parallel to and 686.25 feet West of the East line of said Southeast Quarter Section, which said parcel is 512.41 feet, more or less, in width along the East and West center line of said Section 18 (the North line of said tract) excepting therefrom dedicated streets, and also excepting therefrom that part thereof platted as Beverly Seventh Addition as shown in Plat Book 29, page 100, in Lake County, Indiana.

(This description taken from Lake County Deed Record 1095, Page 107.)

Total ownership area calculated to be ± 1.011 acres.
FLOOD PROTECTION LEVEE EASEMENT
TEMPORARY WORK AREA EASEMENT

Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission
6100 Southport Road Portage, Indiana 46368

GARCIA CONSULTING
7501 Indianapolis Boulevard
Hammond, IN 46324
Phone: 219.989.1954
Fax: 219.989.3321
www.garcia-consulting.com

LITTLE CALUMET RIVER, INDIANA
LOCAL FLOOD PROTECTION
STAGE VII HAMMOND

ROW - STREET RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE

TEMPORARY WORK AREA EASEMENT (0.011 AC.)
FLOOD PROTECTION LEVEE EASEMENT (1.000 AC.)
TOTAL OWNERSHIP AREA (1.011 AC.)

DATE 01/17/2006
LIT21.07
OWNER: Charles V. Petterson Et. Al.

DESCRIPTION

A parcel of land in the East Half of the Southeast Quarter of Section 18, Township 36 North, Range 9 West of the Second Principal Meridian in the City of Hammond, Lake County, Indiana, said parcel being a part of that land described in Lake County Deed Record 1095, Page 107, said part being more particularly described as follows:
Commencing at the southeast corner of Lot 26 of Block 1, Beverly Seventh Addition as recorded in Lake County Plat Book 29, Page 100;
thence South 00°15'22" East along the west line of Walnut Avenue extended a distance of 77.93 feet to a point on the south line of River Drive North, said point being the Point of Beginning of this description;
thence South 00°15'22" East along said line extended a distance of 121.22 feet to the north line of the Little Calumet River;
thence North 56°18'24" West along said line a distance of 176.32 feet;
thence North 71°08'52" West along said line a distance of 82.50 feet;
thence North 78°02'20" West along said line a distance of 77.17 feet;
thence North 88°00'57" West along said line a distance of 57.88 feet;
thence South 84°29'11" West along said line a distance of 154.92 feet to a point on a line lying parallel with and 1439.46 feet east of the west line of said Southeast Quarter;
thence North 00°00'00" West along said line a distance of 90.34 feet;
thence South 87°13'48" East a distance of 139.55 feet to the south line of River Drive North;
thence South 84°29'02" East along said line a distance of 194.01 feet to a point of curve on said line;
thence along said line a distance of 194.55 feet along a curve to the right, said curve having a radius of 445.58 feet and a chord bearing South 68°18'27" East a distance of 192.93 feet to the Point of Beginning, containing 1.000 acres, more or less.
DESCRIPTION

A parcel of land in the East Half of the Southeast Quarter of Section 18, Township 36 North, Range 9 West of the Second Principal Meridian in the City of Hammond, Lake County, Indiana, said parcel being a part of that land described in Lake County Deed Record 1095, Page 107, said part being more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at the southeast corner of Lot 26 of Block 1, Beverly Seventh Addition as recorded in Lake County Plat Book 29, Page 100;

thence South 00°15'22" East along the west line of Walnut Avenue extended a distance of 77.93 feet to a point on the south line of River Drive North;

thence along said line a distance of 194.55 feet along a curve to the left, said curve having a radius of 445.58 feet and a chord bearing North 68°18'27" West a distance of 192.93 feet;

thence North 84°29'02" West along said line a distance of 194.01 feet to the Point of Beginning of this description;

thence North 87°13'48" West a distance of 139.55 feet to a point on a line lying parallel with and 1439.46 feet east of the west line of said Southeast Quarter;

thence North 00°00'00" East along said line a distance of 6.72 feet to the south line of River Drive North;

thence South 84°29'02" East along said line a distance of 140.04 feet to the Point of Beginning, containing 0.011 acres (468 square feet), more or less.
PROJECT ENGINEERING  
MONTHLY STATUS REPORT

For meeting on Wednesday, February 7, 2007  
(Information in this report is based upon latest data provided at the time the report is put together. Dates and costs may vary depending upon ongoing design and/or coordination with the Army Corps)  
Report period is from December 29 – January 30, 2007)

COMPLETED CONSTRUCTION

STATUS (Stage II Phase 1) Harrison to Broadway – North Levee:  
   Dyer Construction – Contract price: $365,524

STATUS (Stage II Phase II) Grant to Harrison – North Levee:  
1. Project completed on December 1st, 1993  
   Dyer/Ellas Construction – Contract price: $1,220,386

STATUS (Stage II Phase 3A) Georgia to Martin Luther King – South Levee:  
1. Project completed on January 13th, 1995  
   Ramirez & Marsch Construction – Contract price: $2,275,023

STATUS (Stage II Phase 3B) Harrison to Georgia – South Levee:  
   Rausch Construction – Contract price: $3,288,102  
2. Received “as-built” drawings from the Corps on 11/6/06.

STATUS (Stage II Phase 3C2) Grant to Harrison: (8A contract)  
   WEBB Construction – Contract price: $3,915,178

STATUS (Stage II Phase 4) Broadway to MLK Drive – North Levee:  
   • Rausch Construction Company – Contract price: $4,186,070.75

STATUS (Stage III) Chase to Grant Street:  
1. Project completed on May 6th, 1994  
   Kiewit Construction – Contract price: $6,564,520

Landscaping Contract – Phase I (This contract includes all completed levee segments)  
installing, planting zones, seeding, and landscaping):  
1. Project completed June 11, 1999  
   Dyer Construction – Final contract cost: $1,292,066

STATUS (Stage IV Phase 2B) Clark to Chase:  
1. Project completed on October 2, 2002.  
   • Dyer Construction Company, Inc. - Contract price: $1,948,053
STATUS (Stage IV Phase 1 – South) EJ&E Railroad to Burr St., South of the Norfolk Southern RR):
   Dyer Construction – Contract price: $4,285,345

STATUS (Stage IV Phase 1 – North) Cline to Burr (North of the Norfolk Southern RR):
1. IV-1 (North) The drainage system from Colfax to Burr St. North of the Norfolk Southern RR.
   • Current contract amount - $2,956,964.61
   • Original contract amount - $2,708,720.00
   • Amount overrun - $248,244.60 (9%)

2. The only item needed to be completed is to assure turf growth in all areas.
   • Current plantings are for erosion control that will give way to native grasses. Native grasses weren’t planned on this contract, but will be needed to be included in an upcoming contract.
   • LCRBDC has a concern with sloughing in the concrete ditch bottom between Colfax and Calhoun.
   • We received a response from the Corps on January 7, 2003, addressing vegetation.
   • Currently, the entire concrete ditch bottom is filled with silt and dirt and has cattails growing. LCRBDC got a cost to clean the concrete bottom of the drainage ditch on August 18 during dry conditions in the amount of $8,200; and wet conditions in the amount of $11,640.

A letter will be sent to the COE requesting their participation for a design modification to prevent this sloughing from re-occurring. (The Corps suggested that this issue be addressed as part of the upcoming levee Inspection Reports in spring, 2007).

STATUS (Stage IV Phase 2A) Burr to Clark – Lake Etta:
   Dyer Construction – Contract price: $3,329,464
2. Received “as-built” drawings from the Corps on 11/6/06.

STATUS (Betterment Levee – Phase 1) EJ & E RR to, and including Colfax – North of the NIPSCO R/W (Drainage from Arbogast to Colfax, South of NIPSCO R/W):
   Dyer Construction – Contract price: $2,228,652

STATUS (Stage V Phase 1) Wicker Park Manor:
1. Project completed on September 14, 1995.
   Dyer construction – Contract price: $998,630

East Reach Remediation Area – North of I-80/94, MLK to I-65
1. Project cost information
   • Current contract amount - $1,873,784.68
   • Original contract amount - $1,657,913.00
   • Amount overrun - $215,971 (13%)

The lift station at the Southwest corner of the existing levee that will handle interior drainage has been completed as part of the Stage III remediation project. (See Stage III remediation in
this report for details.) Pump station final inspection with the contractor was held on June 23, 2005, and was found to be satisfactory.

2. This pump station is in the process of being turned over to the city of Gary for O&M responsibility.
   • A follow-up inspection was held with the COE and Greeley & Hansen on August 22 and 23 of 2006. Both stations were found to be satisfactory as per COE plans and specs.

West Reach Pump Stations – Phase 1B:
1. The two (2) pump stations included in this contract are S.E. Hessville (Hammond), and 81st St. (Highland). Overall contract work is completed.
   Thienneman Construction – Contract price: $2,120,730

North Fifth Avenue Pump Station:
1. The low bidder was Overstreet Construction
   • Current contract amount - $2,518,988.44
   • Original contract amount - $2,387,500
   • Amount overrun - $114,276 (4.9%)
   • Project is currently 99% completed
2. Minor items have been completed.
3. A final inspection was held with the COE, town of Highland, and the LCRBDC on February 28, 2006 as part of the O&M turnover.
   • A letter was sent by the Army Corps to Overstreet on March 15, 2006 listing the items remaining to complete the contract.
   • This letter also summarized their contractual obligations, and a sequence of events to complete the punch list. They demonstrated an unsatisfactory performance on this contract and have failed to complete these items in a timely manner.
5. Received “as-built” drawings from the Corps on 11/6/06.
   • LCRBDC will now turn this station over to the town of Highland (Refer to O&M Report).
6. Received monthly construction status report from the COE.

STAGE III Drainage Remediation:
   A. Dyer Construction – Contractor
   B. Final Inspection – June 23, 2005
      • Received partial O&M manuals and spare parts from the COE on July 13, 2005; received remainder of manuals & spare parts on August 23.
      • Received as-built drawings from the COE on December 23, 2005. (This is the last item that was needed to turn over the (2) pump stations to Gary for O&M responsibility)
      • Agreement for O&M turnover to Gary is being finalized (Ongoing) (Refer to O&M Report for details) These (2) stations will be included with the other (4) Gary stations in one process.
   C. Project money status:
      • Original contract estimate - $1,695,822
      • Original contract amount - $1,231,845
      • Current contract amount - $1,625,057
      • Amount overrun - $70,765 (4%)
ONGOING CONSTRUCTION

Landscaping Contract – Phase II (This contract includes all completed levee segments in
the East Reach not landscaped):
1. Contract award date – June 30, 2004
2. Notice to proceed – July 29, 2004 (430 days to complete)
3. Original contract completion date – October 1, 2010
4. Bids were opened on June 30 and the low bidder was ECO SYSTEMS, INC.
   • Current Contract Amount - $648,995.23
   • Original Contract Amount - $648,995.23
   • Percent completed – 54.7%
   • 104 acres included in bid – 100 to be herbicided, remaining 4 acres are ditches.
5. A walk-thru inspection was held with the COE and the contractor on October 25, 2005.
   • Scope of work – Approximately ½ of East Reach to plant trees, herbiciding has been
     completed, clean up growth in collector ditches, plant new native grasses on levees.
6. Received monthly construction status report from COE

STATUS (Betterment Levee – Phase 2 – Gary) Colfax to Burr St.
1. The low bidder was Superior Construction Company
   • Original Bid Amount - $2,301,518
   • Current Contract Amount - $2,374,191
   • Amount overrun - $72,673 (3.1%)
   • Percent completed – 90.6%
   • Current contract completion date – August 24, 2007
2. Bid opening was held at the Board of Public Works meeting on January 18, 2006.
   • The Gary Board of Public Works signed the “Notice of Award of Bid” at their
     February 1, 2006 Board of Public Works meeting.
3. This portion of construction was advertised, partially paid for, and coordinated by the City
   of Gary. The Army Corps is overseeing the design and construction to assure compliance
   with Federal specifications.
   • The Memorandum of Agreement was signed by Gary on December 21, 2005
     (Board of public Works), and Gary Stormwater Management Group on
     December 13, 2005.
4. Change Order #1 for Ditch #5 riprap
   • Received Public Works–Gary memo dated December 6, 2006 on December 14
     regarding change order #1 for rip-rap for Ditch #5. Total Cost $72,673 – Gary
     commitment is $54,505 (75%); LCRBDC will pay balance of $18,168 (25%) of
     change order when the request for payment is received from Gary.
5. Received monthly construction status report from COE.
6. Received email from COE on January 18, 2007 indicating final tabulation of fill will be
   approximately $94,000 overrun (waiting verification).

STATUS (Betterment Levee – Phase 2 - LCRBDC) North of the NSRR, East of Burr St.,
and ½ mile East, back South over RR approx. 1400
1. The current Army Corps schedule indicates that the final review set of drawings
   (excluding NSRR) will be due mid-March 2007; advertise mid-July; award the contract
   mid-September; issue Notice to Proceed October 2007; with a tentative construction
   start date in early February 2008 (weather permitting).
2. This portion of construction was advertised, coordinated, and facilitated by the Corps and
   LCRBDC as a betterment levee.
• The Army Corps provided a progression of the construction cost estimates, on 11/10/06, from April 2006 to the bid opening on August 31, 2006.

3. Project currently on hold. Awaiting funding from State Budget Committee to proceed. Low bid is currently being held for a limited period; COE is coordinating.

STATUS (Stage V Phase 2) Kennedy Avenue to Northcote

1. UTILITY CORRIDOR COORDINATION

A. LCRBDC Participation

Note: The Army Corps provided the 50% review set to the LCRBDC on January 26, 2007 for distribution and comments.

• LCRBDC provided Coe with updated pipeline corridor drawings for NIPSCO & NSRR R/W’s on January 23, 2007 for their design & layout usage. (Copies of layouts available upon request).
• Conference call with the COE & LCRBDC on January 19 to strategize coordination & scheduling.
• LCRBDC sent pipeline corridor drawings (received from the COE on January 26, 2007) to all of the pipeline companies on January 30, 2007 requesting initial review and comments.

B. Buckeye Partners:

• Received comments from Buckeye Partners regarding pipeline impacts due to our construction on November 4, 2005, and submitted them to the Corps, to include in their 50% submittal, on November 9, 2005.
• Email to Buckeye on January 24 requesting more detailed information on their (2) 8” lines – Location & depth are very close together.

C. NIPSCO

• A utility coordination meeting was held with all the pipeline companies on June 27, 2006 to review engineering concerns, answer questions, and discuss scheduling.

D. Conoco Phillips Pipe Line

1. LCRBDC discussed the possibility of modifying design west of the NSRR by using the “sheet pile & bridging” technique to eliminate the $450,000 directional bores for (2) 8” Conoco Phillips Pipeline company lines.
   • Conoco Phillips provided cost information to provide engineering review in the amount of $57,000. They have provided previous estimates, field visits, and design reviews at no charge to the project.

2. An email was sent to the Corps on 11/10/06 enclosing their engineering estimate, and indicating no data exists on depths or locations of pipes. Requested on how to proceed and who will facilitate.
   • Corps indicated we should contract Conoco Phillips to provide engineering data for their design on 11/27/06.
   • Email sent to Conoco Phillips on December 19, 2006 requesting sample agreement for our review to begin obtaining design information. (Ongoing)

E. BP Amoco Pipelines

• LCRBDC received a cost estimate from BP Pipelines on 11/29/06 in the amount of $62,127 to provide engineering review, field supervision costs, and project costs.
• Email reply (This agreement will wait until after Corps drawings are reviewed in mid January 2007.)
F. Explorer Pipeline

- LCRBDC submitted an email to the Explorer Pipeline on January 16, 2007 updating request to get an easement agreement, bringing them up on current status of design, and informing them of the current schedule.

2. The Army Corps has contracted Bergman Associates to do the design for the railroad closures north and south of the river, west of Kennedy Avenue, on the NSRR, as part of the V-2 construction.
- The current schedule by Bergman indicates the 50% engineering due date is the end of March 2007, with 100% completion no later than mid-April 2007.
- Bergman sent a letter to the NSRR (Mark Sawyer) on December 19 indicating the preferred closure option was a slide gate.
- NSRR (Mark Sawyer) responded on December 5 that the report submitted to them was "slanted toward the needs for levee operation and purpose not what is workable for the railroad". He also suggested other options and what he was opposed to.

3. A meeting was held with INDOT, Army Corps, and LCRBDC on August 31, 2006 to discuss COE design and project scheduling and funding in the area west of Indianapolis Blvd, and how it will impact their pump station design near the Tri-State bus terminal.
- LCRBDC received an email from INDOT on September 21 indicating that their schedule is to let their contract in the fall of 2007.

4. Cabela’s Development (West of 41, adjacent to Little Calumet River)
- A project development meeting was held with Cabela’s, their design team, Hammond, INDOT, Army Corps, and LCRBDC on January 10, 2007 to update and discuss the progress of this development. Minutes were received on January 16, 2007 (available upon request).
- A project progress meeting was held with Cabela’s design and layout team, INDOT, Hammond, Army Corps, and LCRBDC on January 22 to discuss the current Cabela’s layout for site usage (easements) and real estate needs and requirements for our flood control project.

**STATUS** Stage VI-1 (South) South of the river – Kennedy to Liable

1. Low Bidder was **Illinois Constructors Corporation** (awarded September 30, 2004)
   - Original Contract Amount - $6,503,093.70
   - Current Contract Amount - $7,463,681.54
   - Amount Overrun - $960,587.80 (14.7%)
   - Percent Completed - 90.2%
   - Original Completion Date - December 4, 2006
   - Current Completion Date - June 15, 2007

2. Received monthly construction status report from the COE.
3. Received an email from the Corps on 11/20/06 indicating that the existing North Drive pump station will remain to supplement our new pump station.

**STATUS** (Stage VI – Phase 1-North) Cline to Kennedy – North of the river

1. Low bidder was **Illinois Constructors Corporation** (awarded September 30, 2005)
   - Original Contract Amount - $5,566,871
• Current Contract Amount - $5,612,789
• Amount Overrun - $45,918 (8.8%)
• Percent Completed – 76.55%
• Bid is $958,382 (or 14.7%) under the Federal estimate
• Original Complete Date – July 21, 2007
• Current Completion Date – August 2, 2007

2. Coordination with the Lake County Highway Dept., LCRBDC, and the Army Corps was required for the upcoming construction by the county for their bridge and our construction on and adjacent to Kennedy Ave.
   • The bridge project was completed as of late October 2006. No concrete closure slabs or recreational walkways were constructed, nor coordinated by the Corps with the bridge contractor.
   • The COE indicated the walkways will be included as part of the Stage V-Phase 2 contract in January 2007.

3. Received monthly construction status report from the COE

4. Received a letter from Krosan Development on August 17, 2006 requesting the contractor clean up debris and repair asphalt. A follow-up letter was sent on January 19, 2007.
   • COE sent a letter on January 24, 2007 indicating needed repairs will be done when the asphalt plants start production in the Spring of 2007.
   • Note: Contractor usually does not repair areas like this until all construction activity is completed in that area.

5. Construction progress meeting held with I.C.C. & COE on January 11, 2007 (copies available upon request).

STATUS (Stage VI – Phase 2) Liable to Cline – South of the river:

1. Low bidder was Dyer Construction (awarded July 29, 2005)
   • Original Contract Amount - $4,205,644.17
   • Current Contract Amount - $4,205,644.17
   • Percent Completed – 89.81%
   • Original Completion Date – April 11, 2007
   • Current Completion Date – April 11, 2007
   • Low bid (awarded amount) - $4,205,645 (approx. 26% under Corps estimate)

2. Project Description
   • Construct a levee protection system consisting of 8,250 lineal feet of earthen levee, 1,600 lineal feet of steel sheet pile floodwall, (3) gatewell structures, culverts & sewer appurtenances, and miscellaneous tree planting and seeding.

3. Received monthly construction status report from the COE

4. Recreation trail ramp north and west of the NIPSCO substation on Liable is being raised, and the trail tie-in is being coordinated with the town of Highland (Refer to Monthly Recreation Report).
   • COE requested an update from Highland. Received response on January 19 indicating agreements with NIPSCO for easements are ongoing; Park Board set aside $10,000 for the tie-in; design will be finalized after agreements with NIPSCO are signed.

STATUS (Stage VII) Northcote to Columbia:

1. The final contract with Earth Tech to do the A/E work for this stage/phase of construction was signed and submitted by the COE on December 21st, 1999.

2. The schedule shows a June, 2008 contract award and a July, 2009 Completion.

3. All survey work on both sides of the river has been completed.
STATUS (Stage VIII) Columbia to the Illinois State Line:
1. Survey work has been completed and LCRBDC has divided work between DLZ, GLE, and Torrenza Engineering. (Refer to Stage VIII - Land Acq. Report.)
2. The Chicago Corps indicated to the LCRBDC on September 11, 2006 that their Buffalo District will be doing the engineering and specs for Stage VIII.
   • An introductory meeting, and field familiarization, were held on September 26 & 27, 2006.
   • The LCRBDC received the signed QCP for Stage VIII on the PMBP Access Portal from Buffalo on January 25, 2007. (copies available upon request).
3. A neighborhood meeting was held with Dan Gardner, Jim Pokrajac, and several residents west of Hohman Avenue on Forest Avenue.
   • Levee was constructed to Federal standards years ago, but it appears no easements exist for maintenance, flood fighting, or inspections.
   • Received an email from the COE on December 15, 2006 indicating this section will not be part of Stage VIII contract. The LCRBDC will be responsible for any work on that levee.
   • Send an email to LCRBDC surveyor in that area on December 18, 2006 indicating all real estate north of the river and west of Hohman Avenue will not be part of the Stage VIII contract.
   • A meeting is to be scheduled with the COE to discuss coordination and responsibilities to this area. (Ongoing)
4. Landslide drainage is currently being reviewed by the COE, Munster, and LCRBDC to determine if existing, abandoned storm sewer lines can be used. (A field meeting was held to review these potential locations with the COE, town of Munster, and the LCRBDC on January 12, 2007).
   • Munster is currently contracting Robinson Engineering to do condition testing of lines & LCRBDC will coordinate surveys. If COE concurs, this could save time & money on construction and real estate, as well as lessen construction impacts to residents.

Mitigation (Construction Portion) for “In Project” Lands:
1. Low Bidder was Renewable Resources, Inc. (from Barnesville, Georgia) Awarded September 29, 2002
   • Original Contract Amount - $921,102.68
   • Current Contract Amount - $1,405,940.96
   • Amount Overrun - $484,838 (53%)
   • Percent Completed – 96.14%
   • Original Completion Date – November 7, 2007
   • Current Completion Date – November 7, 2007
2. A final inspection was held on both sites on May 12, 2004, with the Corps, LCRBDC, project A/E, and Renewable Resources and was found to be satisfactory for this portion of the overall project.
3. The 24 month monitoring period began on May 15, 2004 (Cost - $3,000/month) (Ongoing)
4. Received monthly construction status report from the COE
5. Received modification to the contract #20 requesting an increase of $18,000 for incremental funding. This increases the total amount currently funded to $1,369,940.96.

West Reach Pump Stations - Phase 1A:
1. Low Bidder was Overstreet Construction Company, Inc. (from Milton Florida). Awarded on October 5, 2000
   • Original Contract Amount - $4,638,400
• Current Contract Amount - $4,262,835.48
  (Refer to Attachment #17-Project Status/Major Issues) at bottom – This amount was reduced due to work not completed and de-obligations.
• Percent Completed – 86%
• Original Completion Date – October 21, 2004

2. The four (4) pump stations that are included in this initial West Reach pump station project are Baring, Walnut, S. Kennedy, and Hohman/Munster.

3. Received monthly construction status report from the COE

Pump Station Rehabilitation – Contract 2
1. The scope of the contract is to provide new pumps and rehabilitation of the following (6) stations: Indianapolis Blvd., Jackson Ave., Southside, Kennedy Park Apartments, Tapper Ave., and Forest Avenue.

2. A letter was sent from the Corps to the Hammond Sanitary District requesting a field visit to gather information, and requesting real estate information to begin the easement process.

3. A letter of response was sent to the COE on January 9, 2007 from the Hammond Sanitary District indicating a number of concerns from the Pump Station 1A contract, and that they would not proceed any further until these items were answered or addressed.

   • The Army COE responded on January 24, 2007 and indicated they would resolve all of their concerns before any work would be started on this contract.

Griffith Golf Center (North of NIPSCO R/W, East of Cline Avenue)
1. LCRBDC was directed by the COE to obtain a flowage easement on the entire property in a letter dated October 7, 2005.
   • Refer to Land Acquisition Report for current update of appraisal.

2. A letter was received from the COE on January 13, 2006 indicating any construction shall not compromise our project in any manner and that compensatory flood storage would need to be provided.

3. LCRBDC was copied on a letter from U.S. Fish & Wildlife, dated December 8, 2006, indicating they concur that the proposed project is not likely to adversely affect endangered or threatened species.

Griffith Levee (EJ&E RR to Cline Avenue, north of River Drive)
1. Received a letter from the Congressman’s Office on October 10, 2006 indicating this area has been declared, by the Chicago Army Corps to need structural repairs in order to meet FEMA requirements for certification.

2. Army Corps & LCRBDC to get scope of work for Phase II of this process, then the LCRBDC will submit for a RFP.
   • Awaiting COE scope of work as of January 31, 2007.

Hobart Marsh – Mitigation Enhancements
1. Received an email from the COE on January 17, 2007 enclosing the proposed schedule for the Hobart Marsh area mitigation development.
GENERAL
A. INDOT coordination for Grant St. & Broadway interchanges with I-80/94.
   1. INDOT sent a letter to the COE on April 15th, 2004, indicating they worked out an
      agreement with the COE whereby flood control features will be included in their
      contract at no cost to the Corps, which could be credited to the LCRBDC for that
      portion constructed for the flood control of the Little Calumet River.
      • A letter was sent to INDOT on August 29, 2006 requesting cost and
        engineering data that could then be submitted to the COE for crediting. (No
        response as of January 31, 2007)
B. A meeting was held on July 27, 2006 with the LCRBDC, Corps, FEMA, and the IDNR to
   discuss and coordinate the Little Calumet River model review. Need IDNR approval as
   required by FEMA guidelines.
C. 27th & Chase Street – Pump Station Remediation
   1. Design is completed, Corps submitted real estate requests, surveys completed,
      need right to construct (Refer to Land Acquisition Report).
   2. As part of the turnover process, the COE has been working with the GSD & United
      Water to remediate a drainage problem at their existing 27th & Chase pump station.
      • Design is ongoing, and the COE anticipates drawings will be ready for review
        by mid-September.
        > Received a request from Gary about getting prints to review.
Any updates Dave? If so please let me know, I'm updating my end of the month reports.

Thanks, Jim

----- Original Message ----- 
From: "Druzbicki, David E LRC" <David.E.Druzbicki@lrc02.usace.army.mil>
To: "Arnie Muzumdar" <muzumdar@netnitco.net>; <gtousan@ci.gary.in.us>
<jpokrajac@nirpc.org>; "Lavicka, Kelsey W CPT LRC
<Kelsey.W.Lavicka@lrc02.usace.army.mil>; "Lee, Curtis A LRC"
<Curtis.A.Lee@lrc02.usace.army.mil>; <RDraschil@superior-construction.com>;
"Sam Shapira " <sspdir@ci.gary.in.us>; "Samara, Imad LRC"
<Imad.Samara@lrc02.usace.army.mil>
Sent: Thursday, January 18, 2007 8:59 AM
Subject: RE: Burr St Gary - Progress Meetings (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE

Superior/Grimmer has submitted revised drawings/calcs for the earthwork on 12/19/06. Their current overrun is approx. $94,000. Our Civil Design
Section is in the process of verifying their calcs and should have something
early next week. I'll keep everyone advised as more information becomes
available.

Dave

David E. Druzbicki
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
david.e.druzbicki@usace.army.mil
Phone: 219-923-1763
Fax: 219-923-2957

-----Original Message-----
From: Arnie Muzumdar [mailto:muzumdar@netnitco.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 17, 2007 9:02 AM
To: Druzbicki, David E LRC; gtousan@ci.gary.in.us; jpokrajac@nirpc.org;
Lavicka, Kelsey W CPT LRC; Lee, Curtis A LRC;
RDraschil@superior-construction.com; Sam Shapira ; Samara, Imad LRC
Subject: Re: Burr St Gary - Progress Meetings (UNCLASSIFIED)
LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

Jim Pekruljac
To: Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission

Date 25-Jan-07

Thru: 

Project No: Little Calumet River Flood Control Project

Re: Stage V-2 Utility Crossing Plans

Attn: 

X

No. of Copies

| 17 | Half Size Plans |

Sent to you for the following reason:

For Approval
Review Completed
Revise and Resubmit
For Your Use
Not Reviewed
Returned

X For Review and Comment

Remarks

NORTH SIDE:

No action by the utilities is required unless they wish to be involved in the process. The North side pipes will be located and uncovered by the Contractor prior to driving piling. The pipe excavation will be deep enough to determine if the pipes are bedded on granular fill. If they are, the granular fill shall be removed in the area of the pipe passing. The excavation shall be backfilled with flowable fill up to the centerline of the pipe, to ensure proper bedding of the pipe, and then the rest of the excavation shall be backfilled with satisfactory impervious fill. Finally the bridge wall or lintel beam will be built over top of the pipes and the rest of the I-Well will be constructed.

SOUTH SIDE:

No action by the utilities is required unless they wish to be involved in the process. Prior to driving the piles the Contractor shall locate and field verify the elevation of all the piles. The sheet pile for the floodwall will then be driven directly over the pipes and our normal concrete I-Well will be constructed.

Response:

(Comments due no later than March 1, 2007)

Request response from utilities no later than 01Mar07 on the pipe crossing sheets to ensure the incorporation of their comments into the completed 100% set for Stage 5-2.

Copy To: File

Signed:

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District
111 North Canal Street, Chicago, Illinois 60606-7206, (312) 353-6400, FAX (312) 353-2156

LRC FORM 1110-1 (REJ). June 1997
Jim Pokrajac

From: "Jim Pokrajac" <jpokrajac@nirpc.org>
To: "Samara, Imad LRC" <Imad.Samara@rc02.usace.army.mil>; "Lavicka, Kelsey W CPT LRC" <Kelsey.W.Lavicka@rc02.usace.army.mil>; "Kotwicki, Victor L LRE" <Victor.L.Kotwicki@rc02.usace.army.mil>; "Groboski, John A LRC" <John.A.Groboski@rc02.usace.army.mil>; "Cunningham, Matthew W LRC" <Matthew.W.Cunningham@rc02.usace.army.mil>
Cc: <ghl@garcia-consulting.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 23, 2007 10:52 AM
Attach: Pipeline Locations.PDF; Pipeline Locations NIPSCO.PDF; Pipeline Locations Norfolk Southern.PDF
Subject: Fw: NIPSCO & N/S Crossing - PDF's Updated 01-22-07

Gentlemen,

Attached are my latest drawings from GLE showing the NIPSCO pipeline corridor, the NSRR corridor, and the adjacent properties with the 8" Amoco line to the East and the (2) 8" Conoco/Phillips lines West of the NSRR R/W. This may be used in your current drawings and can be updated as we obtain more information. Some of the additional information I intend to clarify are the (2) 8" Buckeye lines that almost overlay each other approx. 10' East of the West NIPSCO R/W line, and to obtain more detailed information for Eric on the (2) Conoco/Phillips lines (I'm stillwaiting for a response to my Jan. 19, 3:58 P.M. e-mail) with several questions that need to be resolved before I proceed with the daylighting crew to get pipe location and elevations. If anyone has questions, suggests modifications, or needs revisions, please let me know.

Jim

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Gregg Heinzman
To: James E. Pokrajac
Sent: Monday, January 22, 2007 3:41 PM
Subject: NIPSCO & N/S Crossing - PDF's Updated 01-22-07

Gregg L. Heinzman PE SE LS
Vice President

Garcia Consulting
7501 Indianapolis Boulevard 219.989.1954
Hammond, IN 46324 Fax: 219.989.3321

219.989.3321
Jim Pokrajac
From: "Jim Pokrajac" <pokrajac@nirpc.org>
To: "Samara, Imad LRC" <Imad.Samara@lrc02.usace.army.mil>; "Lavicka, Kelsey W CPT LRC" <Kelsey.W.Lavicka@lrc02.usace.army.mil>; "John Groboski@USACE.army.mil"; "Kotwicki, Victor L LRE" <Victor.L.Kotwicki@lre02.usace.army.mil>; "Sampson, Eric LRC" <Eric.Sampson@lrc02.usace.army.mil>
Cc: <Vamos@nirpc.org>; <glh@garcia-consulting.com>; "Lou Casale" <lcasale@cwblawfirm.com>
Sent: Friday, January 19, 2007 3:58 PM
Attach: Pipeline Locations.PDF; Pipeline Locations NIPSCO.PDF; Pipeline Locations Norfork Southern Railway.PDF
Subject: Fw: N/S Pipe Crossing

Gentlemen,

Attached are the most recent Nipsco/NSRR Corridor drawings as prepared by GLE (Point of Contact) Gregg Heinzman. Several things are ongoing; questions need to be asked and coordination to be done. In a conversation with Vic Kotwicki on January 11, 2007 several items of discussion incurred which I think could help facilitate the coordination for real estate and utility re-locations as follows:

1. We felt it would be helpful to split this area into two (2) drawings; one showing the NIPSCO R/W, and one showing the NSRR R/W. This would be helpful to more clearly define which pipelines are on each R/W and to reference subordinated agreements relative to each easement.

   A. Pokrajac is in the process of getting information from Marathon, who have both a 12" and a 16" pipeline on the NSRR R/W, Explorer, who has a 24" pipeline, Wiltel Communications who have a conduit East of the railroad embankment, and a 10" steel conduit with fiber optic cable approx. 12’ East of the East set of rails at the top of the embankment which is probably owned by the NSRR.

   B. It appears that the two (2) 8” Conoco/Phillips lines are West of the West R/W line of the railroad. I am in the process of getting a contract with Atlas Daylighting to do a hydro-drill with a vacuum truck to expose the top, dead-center of pipe to get GPS shots to assist our engineering. However, I referenced the StanleyConsultants drawings for information. This is a 91 sheet set with no date.

   a. Drawing C-15 (sheet 19 of 91) references the plan and profile of the South Levee.
   b. Drawing C-7 (sheet 11 of 91) references control data for the North Levee.
   c. Drawing C-43 (sheet 47 of 91) references the 8 utility corridor, shows the (2) Phillips lines in plan, but not in profile at approx. Station 21+ 10: I’m assuming this is why you need pipe depths.
   d. Drawing C-44 (sheet 48 of 91) references the 6N utility corridor, shows the (2) Phillips lines in both plan and profile at approx. Station 6N 26+00; Do you feel confidence in these top of pipe elevations, or should I include this in my scope of work?
   e. It’s hard to tell where thw West R/W line of the NSRR is; Do you have more detailed information from Stanley that could help us?

   C. In the meantime, I have asked both Marathon and Explorer to check their records for agreements for their lines.

   D. I asked Judy Vamos to give me existing copies of title work for those adjacent pipe lines outside of the (2) R/Ws. This would include Whiteco Industries (DC-1103) North of the river and East of the NIPSCO R/W for the 8” Amoco line. Also, Shirley Schreier (DC-1130) who is East of the East NIPSCO R/W and South of the river for the 8” Amoco line.

   E. I also asked Judy for title work West of the West NSRR R/W for the (2) Conoco/Phillips locations which appear to be Virginia Young (DC-1171) South of the river, and Lake Co. Trust #3536 (DC-1172) North of the river.
F. I cancelled my meeting with the daylighting company until I can have the locations staked out because it could make a considerable difference in cost if we do it into the existing levee or the adjacent area at ground level elevation.

You provided me coordinates (I think John G. and Eric), but it appears unclear where our center lines of protection extend West of the RR. This extension will intersect the (2) pipelines in (4) locations, and I'm not sure of our design in this area. Could you at least provide me something preliminarily, before we get your initial set to review the first week of February?

I know this is a lot of information and questions, whoever can help I would certainly appreciate. Maybe this could be resolved before our first scheduled Stage V-Phase 2 conference call next Friday, January 26.

Thanks,

Jim Pokrajac

----- Original Message -----
From: Gregg Heinzman
To: James E. Pokrajac
Sent: Wednesday, January 17, 2007 4:01 PM
Subject: N/S Pipe Crossing

Jim,

Attached is a PDF of the pipelines in the Norfolk Southern right-of-way. One line appears to fall on the west line of the railroad r-o-w and one appears to fall off the r-o-w to the west. The Corps drawings will shed some light on this situation.

Gregg L. Heinzman PE SE LS
Vice President

Garcia Consulting
7501 Indianapolis Boulevard 219.989.1954
Hammond, IN 46324 Fax: 219.989.3321
January 30, 2007

Re: 10" Pipeline located approximately 46' East of the West NIPSCO Right-of-Way line
14" Pipeline located approximately 18' East of the West NIPSCO Right-of-Way line
(2) 8" Pipelines located approximately 10' East of the West NIPSCO Right-of-Way line

Dear Don:

Enclosed is a copy of the Stage V Phase 2 utility corridor drawings from the Army Corps of Engineers for your review and comments. This includes the areas along both sides of the Little Calumet River, west of Kennedy Avenue and south of I-80/94. The attached letter of transmittal from the Army Corps indicates that we would like responses no later than March 1, 2007 to insure the incorporation of your comments into their completed 100% set of plans and specifications for our upcoming Stage V Phase 2 construction. This segment is only a portion of the total contract that includes lines of flood protection between Kennedy Avenue and Northcote Avenue along both sides of the river.

The current Army Corps schedule indicates that they would like to advertise this project in mid July 2007. This would mean that the Development Commission would need signed agreements from you no later than the end of June 2007.

Also enclosed is a letter from Congressman Pete Visclosky indicating that the flood protection for the Little Calumet River should be completed no later than December 2009. Currently, three contracts are ongoing between Kennedy Avenue and Cline Avenue in Hammond and Highland and are anticipated to be completed no later than August 2007. With the current availability of funding, our schedule allows us to do acquisition, utility relocations, and provide coordination for this particular construction segment on this aggressive schedule.
January 30, 2007
Page 2

I realize this is a very short response time, but we ask your cooperation and ask you to call me to answer any coordination questions that would assist you in your response. If you have any technical questions regarding these drawings, please direct them to Captain Kelsey Lavicka. Also, when you complete your engineering review, please forward your comments to:

Captain Kelsey Lavicka
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
111 N. Canal Street
Chicago IL 60606-7206
Phone 312-846-5563
Fax 312-353-4256
Email Kelsey.W.Lavicka@usace.army.mil

It is the intent of this review set to show the proximity of your pipeline/pipelines relative to our construction to assure clearances and to be in compliance with your engineering standards or concerns. A more detailed set of the 100% review for the entire Stage V Phase 2 will be forthcoming and this data is needed as part of this process.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
James E. Pokrajac, Agent
Engineering/Land Management
Little Calumet River Basin
Development Commission
6100 Southport Road
Portage IN 46368
Phone 219-763-0696
Cell phone 219-805-9397
Fax 219-762-1653
Email jpkrajac@nirpc.org

/sjm
Encl.
Cc: Vic Kotwicki, Kelsey Lavicka, Imad Samara (ACOE)
Eric Sampson, Matt Cunningham (ACOE)
Lou Casale, LCRBDC attorney
William Biller, LCRBDC Chairman
From: "Jim Pokrajac" <jpokrajac@nirpc.org>
To: "Samala, Donald G." <DSamala@buckeye.com>
Cc: "Samara, Imad LRC" <Imad.Samara@lrc02.usace.army.mil>; "Lavicka, Kelsey W CPT LRC" 
<Kelsey.W.Lavicka@lrc02.usace.army.mil>; "Sampson, Eric LRC" 
<Eric.Sampson@lrc02.usace.army.mil>; "Cunningham, Matthew W LRC" 
<Matthew.W.Cunningham@lrc02.usace.army.mil>
Sent: Wednesday, January 24, 2007 10:52 AM
Subject: Nipsco Pipeline Corridor

Don,

Currently, I am in the process of getting a contract together with a company to expose several other pipelines in this area to get location data for the army corps. I don't think we ever resolved the issue of the exact locations of the (2) 8" lines located approx. 10' East of the West NIPSCO R/W line. It appears that they are so close together that we had only indicated one horizontal and one vertical location each side of the river. Do you have anything in your records indicating more detail. If not, please let me know and I will arrange to do this and contact your local rep to be on site at the time of the pipe exposure. It seems that these pipelines were once owned by Trans Montaigne and our records do not provide enough detail for locations. If you have any questions, please let me know.

Thank you,

Jim Pokrajac
Marcie,

Sorry it's taken me so long to reply. The Chicago Army Corps have been working to update their design for upcoming review, and we anticipate to have something to forward you by mid-March. In the meantime, I would like to work with you to get a preliminary agreement together whereby we would assure BP Pipelines payment for their participation in this process. I appreciate you sending me a preliminary estimate in the amount of $62,127, but I'm not sure what this scope includes. On the "project costs" sheet it includes anticipated hours, hourly rates, and expense totals. Is this for the first phase, which will include engineering review and comments, along with field visits, or does this also include any of the second phase which would include BP reps in the field at the time of construction? It is the intent of design to not physically impact your pipelines in this area. We will propose to drive sheet piling adjacent to the lines, install a structural cross member to "bridge" across the top of the pipeline, and then continue up to the design elevation with additional sheet piling. We are aware that all of the pipelines will want representation on the site during construction, and we will have to work with you to do this.

I also have a question regarding your 8" pipeline East of the East Nipsco R/W line. Does BP have some type of agreement with the property owners in this area? I am in the process of getting prints together that I will forward to you showing this location. We have already exposed this pipeline along the lines of protection and got vertical and horizontal data, which will also be on this print. Could you please give me a call in order that we could discuss these issues.

Thanks for your help,

Jim Pokrajac
ph: (219) 763-0696
Patrick,

Currently, the army corps is preparing a review set of engineering drawings showing impacts to your pipeline, and I will forward this to you for your review and comments as soon as they are available. Once again, they are proposing to drive sheet piling adjacent to your line and install a horizontal structural member over your line to support the sheet piling that will be installed up to the level of protection required for our project. We anticipate that the drawings would be available in early February of this year, advertise this project in mid-July, 2007, award the contract in mid September, 2007, issue a Notice To Proceed in early October, 2007, with a tentative construction start in early February, 2008 (weather permitting). This would mean that we would need signed agreements no later than June 29 of this year.

Several items of cost will need to be addressed, and explorer will be re-imbursed for these expenses. However, I need to get the blessing from the army corps that these costs are fair and reasonable. When you submit a proposal for these costs I will then forward it to them for review and comment. The three most immediate costs that will be incurred are as follows:

1. The preliminary costs to review the drawings and to comment. We realize that you may need to do some field visits, and possibly meet with us to answer any questions you have. We will also have several conference calls (if needed) and at least one meeting with the corps (as we have done in the past) to answer any questions and provide clarification of design or coordination. This would be the review phase.

2. If your engineering review requires any modifications to your pipeline those costs would also be reimburseable. Once again, your proposal and associated costs would have to be reviewed by the corps to assure they are fair and reasonable. This could be done while the pipes are exposed during the construction phase (as long as the contractor is not held up from doing his work) or before construction starts so that when our work begins the contractors will not be in each others way.

3. The associated costs to have representatives from your company on the construction site during the time your line/lines are exposed or work is being done in their general vacinity. We will also need to coordinate this with you prior to soliciting bids to assure compliance with pipeline safety practices.

There may be other costs, and if so, I will coordinate this with you. It is critical we begin this coordination as soon as possible in order to meet the current schedule. As we mentioned in previous meetings with you, this project includes all of the construction between Kennedy Avenue and Northcote, both sides of the river. This segment of the project along the Nipsco R/W and the Norfolk Southern R/W are going to require the most coordination and pre-planning to assure that what is constructed will be done to meet corps design criteria, meet pipeline criteria for safety and protection, and be done most efficiently.

Initially, I was wondering if you had any subordinated agreement with the Norfolk Southern RR; we would need a copy of this to proceed with whatever real estate agreements need to be met, and to check for any special requirements or conditions. If so, could you please provide me a copy. If not, I would need to work with the railroad. I would like to start this process at your convenience, and would appreciate any suggestions to facilitate these activities. I know we have had previous coordination with other designs, but we feel this will have the least impact to the pipelines. In addition we have been working Westward with our lines of protection and are anticipating completion of three ongoing contracts to your East (Kennedy to Cline Ave.) to be completed by this summer. Our funding is also earmarked for this work so I know we are coming.

Looking forward to working with you on these items,

Jim Pokrajac
Agent, Engineering/Land Management
Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission
Ph. - (219) 763-0996
Fax - (219) 762-1653
AGENDA

1. 10:00 AM  Sign In

2. 10:00 AM  Introductions and Roles

3. 10:00 to 10:15 AM  Utility Conflicts
   a. AT&T Fiber Optic Buried Cable (East Side of U.S. 41)
   b. NIPSCO Electric Power Pole on Cabela Drive
   c. Private Waterline and Hydrant on (K-Mart) South Drive
   d. Town of Highland Standard Clearances, and Easement for 21" Force Main

4. 10:15 to 10:45 AM  Real Estate Issues
   a. City of Hammond to INDOT
   b. Cabela's to INDOT
   c. INDOT R/W Plan and Temporary R/W Parcels
   d. LCRBDC Easements (Temporary and Permanent)

5. 10:45 to 11:00 AM  Permits
   a. Wetland Mitigation by INDOT
   b. Wetland Mitigation by LCRBDC
   c. Section 401/404 INDOT Permit to IDEM and USACOE

6. 11:00 to 11:15 AM  Maintenance of Traffic
   a. U.S. 41 Milling and Re-profiling
   b. Construction Access on Cabela Drive
   c. Duration of Closure of Ramp 'JH'

7. 11:15 to 11:30 AM  Miscellaneous Items
   a. Traffic Signalization at Ramp 'PR-JH'
   b. Use of Contractor Incentives
8. 11:30 to 11:45 AM  Project Schedule
   a. Final Check Print Review
   b. Pavement Design
   c. Tracings (Electronic Submittal?)
   d. Ready For Letting  February 18, 2007
   e. Right-of-Way Clear
   f. Letting  April 18, 2007
   g. Contractor Notice to Proceed  April 30, 2007
   h. Utility Relocation
   i. Permit Approval
   j. Open to Traffic  October 1, 2007

9. Other items not covered

MFJ/bsa
   c. Via Email - Stan Dostatni
      Jim Kaur
      Mike McGuire
      Brian Poland
      Gary Pence
      Gary Fisk
      Tom Bock
      Don Novak
      Jim Pokrajac
      John Groboski
      Frank Janosi
      Angelo Lamantia
      Terry Hodnik
      Mark Pasyk
      Mark Nienhueser
      Ben Holden
      Christine Meador
      Paul Hummel
Lawson-Fisher Associates P.C.
525 W. Washington Avenue
South Bend, Indiana 46601
Voice: 574-234-3167
Fax: 574-236-1330

I-80/94 / U.S. 41 (Cabela’s) MEETING MINUTES

DATE: January 16, 2007
FROM: Michael F. Johnston, P.E.
RE: Project Development Meeting
    Intersection Improvement – I-80/94 and U.S. 41 (Cabela’s Drive)
    Lake County, Indiana
    Route No.: U.S. 41
    PE Project No.: 0600316
    Des. No.: 0600316

Meeting Date: January 10, 2007
Meeting Time: 10:00 a.m. local time
Meeting Location: Hammond City Hall, City Engineers Office, Calumet Ave.
Purpose of Meeting: Progress Meeting

Attendees:

Mr. Mark Gordish: Hammond Assistant City Engineer (219)-853-6336
Mr. Brian Poland: City of Hammond Planning (219)-853-6398
Mr. Don Novak: City of Hammond Planning (219)-853-6318
Mr. Frank Sarang: City of Hammond Street Lighting (219)-853-6336
Mr. Ed Krusa: KCS
Mr. Thomas Bock: National Survey & Engineering (262)-317-3216
Mr. Troy Kurz: Cabela’s (308)-255-2149
Mr. Kent Kelsey: Cabela’s
Mr. Kevin Rhodes: Cabela’s via telecom
Ms. Mindy Foster: INDOT – LaPorte District (219)-325-7327
Mr. Jim Kaur: INDOT – LaPorte District (219)-325-7444
Mr. Dan Gardner: Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission (LCRBDC) (219)-763-0696
Mr. Jim Pokrajac: LCRBDC (219)-763-0696
Captain Kelsey Lavicka: U. S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACOE) (312)-730-8349
Mr. Angelo Lamantia: AT&T (219)-662-4418
Mr. Frank Janosi: NIPSCO (219)-886-5560
Mr. Terry Hodnik: NIES Engineering/Town of Highland (219)-844-8680
Mr. Steve Jensen: Kraus Anderson (612)-366-3461
Mr. Eduardo Mendoza: Kraus Anderson (612)-366-3461
Ms. Christine Meador: American Consulting Engineers (ACE) (317)-547-5580
Mr. Ben Holden: Lawson-Fisher Associates P.C. (LFA) (574) 234-3167
Mr. Paul Hummel: LFA (574) 234-3167
Mr. Mike Johnston: LFA (574) 234-3167

Lawson-Fisher Associates P.C.
Project File No. 200626.40
AGENDA

1. 1:30 PM Sign In
2. 1:30 PM Introductions and Roles
3. 1:30 to 1:45 PM Utility and Location
   a. City of Hammond Sewer District abandoned 66" Sanitary Sewer (from lot 30 South and near vacated 20 foot alley)
   b. NIPSCO Electric Power Poles (near vacated 20 foot alley)
   c. City of Hammond Bikeway East (20 feet of Cabela’s East side)
   d. Town of Highland 20" Force Main (manhole to manhole)
4. 1:45 to 2:15 PM New Easements
   a. New Easements needed for Cabela’s transfer to INDOT. When Required? By Whom? Whose Plan?
   b. New Easements needed for INDOT’s transfer to Cabela’s. When Required? By Whom? Whose Plan?
   c. New Easements not needed for INDOT’s Current Project. Plans Complete
   d. New Easements (Temporary and Permanent) needed for LCRBDC Levee on INDOT. When Required? By Whom? Whose Plan?
   e. New Easements (Temporary and Permanent) needed for LCRBDC Levee on Cabela’s.
5. 2:15 to 2:45 PM LCRBDC Items
   a. Easements with Cabela’s Site When Required? By Whom? Whose Plan?
   b. Flowage Easements on the SW part of site When Required? By Whom? Whose Plan?
   c. Other Items
5. Other items not covered.

MFJ/cas
  c: Via Email - Stan Dostatni
     Jim Kaur
     Mike McGuire
     Brian Poland
     Gary Pence
     Gary Fisk
     Tom Bock
     Don Novak
     Jim Pokrajac
     John Groboski
     Troy Kruz
     Dan Gardner
     Mark Nienhueser
     Ben Holden
     Christine Meador
     Paul Hummel
MEETING MINUTES #042
LITTLE CALUMET RIVER SOUTH, HIGHLAND

Weekly Construction Progress: 1/11/07
Next Mtg. 1/23/06 @ 9:00am

Last, 12/19/06
Attended By:
Illinois Constructors Corporation – Brian Schallhorn
Illinois Constructors Corporation – Annette Fox
USACE – Curtis Lee
USACE – Dave Druzicki

Non-Attendees:
Illinois Constructors Corporation – Jeff Rausch
Illinois Constructors Corporation – Phil Ross
USACE – Doug Anderson
LCRBDC – Jim Pokrjac

1. OLD BUSINESS
6/27/06 1. Mowings to be done after all grass takes
6/13/06 2. Review prior and after installation of pedestrian path for elevation assurance along levee.

2. SCHEDULE
A. LAST TWO WEEKS
1. Sweeney Electric at North Drive pump station
2. Piping Technologies at North Drive pump station

B. THIS & NEXT WEEK
1. Piping Technologies at North Drive pump station

✓ CRITICAL ACTIVITY: Pump House, Pumps

✓ C. CONTRACT STATUS
Original contract completion date: 12/12/06 Current contract completion date: 3/04/07
Original contract amount: $6,503,093.70 Current contract amount: $7,463,682.30

✓ D. ESTIMATE JOB COMPLETION PERCENTAGE TO DATE: 82%

✓ E. CONTRACT FUNDS
1. MID-JUNE, 2007

3. WEATHER DAYS
1. Weather Days in April 2005 = 1
   - May 2005 = 0
   - June 2005 = 1
   - July 2005 = 2
   - August 2005 = 1
   - September 2005 = 1
   - October 2005 = 3
   - November 2005 = 2
   - December 2005 = 3
   - January 2006 = 2

2. Weather Day mod for May
   - February 2006 = 2
   - March 2006 = 4
   - April 2006 = 2
   - May 2006 = 7
   - June 2006 = 2
   - July 2006 = 1
   - August 2006 = ??

✓
August 17, 2006

Judy Vamos
Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission
6100 Southport Road
Portage, IN 46368

Re: Lots 1 & 2, 179th Street
Hammond, Indiana

Dear Ms. Vamos:

Let this letter serve as your notice that after inspection of the above referenced property, we find the following:

- There is various construction debris (mostly consisting of 1" - 2" gravel) scattered all over the parking lots which is causing a potential hazard for the tenants in our building.
- Asphalt damage along the south and east property lines.

Please be advised that we expect the parking lot to be cleaned as soon as possible. Further, I would like to meet with someone from your office on August 29 at the site to discuss repairs to the damaged asphalt.

If you should have any questions, I can be reached on my cellular at (219) 808-4030.

Thank you,

KROSAN ENTERPRISES, LLC
KROSAN DEVELOPMENT, LLC

Albert E. Kropp, Member

cc: Louis M. Casale (219) 736-9991
Casale, Woodward & Buls, LLP
9223 Broadway, Suite A
Merrillville, IN 46410
January 19, 2007

Judy Vamos
Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission
6100 Southport Road
Portage, IN 46368

Re: Lots 1 & 2, 179th Street
Hammond, Indiana

Dear Ms. Vamos:

Let this letter serve as your notice that after inspection of the above referenced property as of this date, we find that the asphalt repairs still have not been made. I have attached a copy of my correspondence dated August 17, 2006 for your review.

Please give me a call on my cellular at (219) 808-4030 to discuss the same.

Thank you,

KROSAN ENTERPRISES, LLC
KROSAN DEVELOPMENT, LLC

Albert E. Kropp, Member

cc: Louis M. Casale (219) 736-9991
Casale, Woodward & Buls, LLP
9223 Broadway, Suite A
Merrillville, IN 46410

FAX (219) 762-1653
January 24, 2007

Technical Services Division
Calumet Area Office

SUBJECT: Contract No. W912P6-05-C-0010
Local Flood Protection
Little Calumet River, Indiana
Stage VI-1 North
Response to 1/19/07 Letter from Krosan Development

Mr. Albert E. Kropp
Krosan Development, LLC
1020 Kennedy Ave.
Schererville, IN 46375

Dear Mr. Kropp:

In response to your letter, dated January 19, 2007, to the Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission regarding repairs to be made on Lots 1 & 2, 179th St in Hammond we have notified the contractor of your concerns and they will make the needed repairs to your property in the spring of 2007.

The contractor, Illinois Constructors, is aware of the damage and will work with you to make the repairs to your satisfaction once the asphalt plants start production in the spring. I have given Phil Ross, the Project Manager, of ICC a copy of your correspondence and he will coordinate the work with you.

If you have any questions regarding this matter please contact me at (219) 923-1763.

Sincerely,

David E. Druzbiick
Project Engineer
MEETING MINUTES #020
LITTLE CALUMET RIVER NORTH, HAMMOND

Weekly Construction Progress: 1/11/07
Next Mtg. 1/23/07 @ 9:00am

Last 12/19/06
Attended By:
Illinois Constructors Corporation – Brian Schallhorn
Illinois Constructors Corporation – Annette Fox
USACE – Curtis Lee
USACE – Dave Druzbicki

Non-Attendees:
Illinois Constructors Corporation – Jeff Rausch
Illinois Constructors Corporation – Phil Ross
USACE – Doug Anderson
LCRBDC – Jim Pokrajac

1. OLD BUSINESS
   1. Maintaining park access

2. SCHEDULE
   LAST WEEKS
   1. Drive sheets 8N 30+26 to 42+26
   2. FPS outlet 7-2C
   3. Hammond Interceptor, Grimmer

   THIS & NEXT WEEK
   1. Drive sheets 8N 30+26 to 42+26
   2. Place pipe at 7-2C
   3. Place pipe at 7-1C
   3. Earth Fill Nevada St. to the East, Grimmer

   CRITICAL ACTIVITY: Earth fill Nevada St. to East (+7 days)

C. CONTRACT STATUS
   Original contract completion date: 7/2/07
   Original contract amount: $5,566,871.00
   Current contract completion date: 7/12/07
   Current contract amount: $5,612,789.00

D. ESTIMATE JOB COMPLETION PERCENTAGE TO DATE: 60%

E. CONTRACT FUNDS
   1.

3. WEATHER DAYS
   1. Weather Days in March 2006 = 2
      April 2006 = 13
      May 2006 = 14
      June 2006 = 2
      July 2006 = 2
      August 2006 = 11

   2. Weather Days over specification schedule effecting Critical Path to date = 22.
Jim -

I'm currently juggling a multitude of projects, so I apologize for the lateness of this response. I have received plat of easement details from GLE, but we wouldn't have them do any design work until we have an agreement in place with NIPSCO. I need to make contact with NIPSCO to get that going. The Park Board is in favor of the project and is willing to dedicate some of our recent bond proceeds to cover any costs associated with the project. In conversations on the project, somebody threw out the figure of $10,000 for the project, so that is the figure the Board went on.

Alex M. Brown  
Superintendent  
Highland Parks & Recreation  
2450 Lincoln St.  
Highland, IN 46322  
219-838-0114

Original Message

Alex,

Could you please give me an update on the status of the recreational tie-in along Nipsco's R/W and Liable Road. I haven't got a recent update from the corps on the status of the raising of the ramp using Dyer Construction, or of the status of corps design. Do you think you would be doing this in the near future considering the weather and coordination requirements? Have you received design and layout details from GLE, have you started any coordination with NIPSCO for real estate necessary to do this, and what funding considerations have you discussed with the Parks Department to pay for this installation.

If you are waiting for further information from the corps, please let me know and I will try to help facilitate this portion of work.

Thanks,

JIM POKRAJAC
Jim Pokrajac

From: "Jim Pokrajac" <jpkokrajac@nirpc.org>
To: "Jim Mandon" <jmandon@munster.org>; "Ackerson, Rick D LRC"
     <Rick.D.Ackerson@lrc02.usace.army.mil>
Cc: "Lavicka, Kelsey W CPT LRC" <Kelsey.W.Lavicka@lrc02.usace.army.mil>
Sent: Friday, January 12, 2007 1:48 PM
Subject: Re: Munster Culverts - follow up to 05 January field trip (UNCLASSIFIED)

Jim,

We appreciate the help. Keep me apprised of the status and let me know if I may assist you in any way. When it gets to the point where you need some surveying assistance let me know.

Thanks, and keep me in the loop,

Jim Pokrajac

----- Original Message ----- 
From: "Jim Mandon" <jmandon@munster.org>
To: "Jim Pokrajac" <jpkokrajac@nirpc.org>; "Ackerson, Rick D LRC"
     <Rick.D.Ackerson@lrc02.usace.army.mil>
Cc: "Lavicka, Kelsey W CPT LRC" <Kelsey.W.Lavicka@lrc02.usace.army.mil>
Sent: Wednesday, January 10, 2007 9:23 AM 
Subject: RE: Munster Culverts - follow up to 05 January field trip
(UNCLASSIFIED)

Jim P
The Town Manager authorized me to get a price from Robinson Engineering to fill in the blanks. They are most familiar with the area. We will then have some idea of the expense. Munster will also arrange for the lines to be televised, once opened. Since the town has easements, we will agree to remove bulkheads. I will also get a price to do this. If the cost gets excessive, we may ask for some help.

Jim M

-----Original Message-----
From: Jim Pokrajac [mailto:jpkokrajac@nirpc.org]
Sent: Tuesday, January 09, 2007 4:05 PM
To: Ackerson, Rick D LRC; Jim Mandon
Cc: Lavicka, Kelsey W CPT LRC
Subject: Re: Munster Culverts - follow up to 05 January field trip
(UNCLASSIFIED)

Rick,

If you work with the town to determine a scope of work and which existing
culverts will be used, I could work with Munster to see who will do what work to gather necessary data to have you make engineering and cost feasibility studies to see if we will pursue this as part of our upcoming contract.

Jim

----- Original Message ----- 
From: "Ackerson, Rick D LRC" <Rick.D.Ackerson@lrc02.usace.army.mil>  
To: "Jim Mandon" <jmandon@munster.org>  
Cc: "Jim Pokrajac" <jpokrajac@nirpc.org>; "Lavicka, Kelsey W CPT LRC" <Kelsey.W.Lavicka@lrc02.usace.army.mil>  
Sent: Tuesday, January 09, 2007 12:40 PM  
Subject: Munster Culverts - follow up to 05 January field trip (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED  
Caveats: NONE

Jim,

Attached is a spreadsheet with available outlet elevation information from table A-25 in Feature Design Memorandum 6. Only three of the culverts we are interested in have outlet elevations.

For elevations near the street, I measured with a tape measure into the manhole south of the rectangular vault at each location (not sure if there was a vault at Tapper). I did not open the manhole cover (bad back) so may not have always measured to the lowest spot. I used a topo map to estimate the column "Approx. manhole invert elevation", so the elevations in the manholes are rough.

>From the available information, the culvert at the first location at Harrison, where we met, has approximately a two and a half foot drop towards the river. This location has the most open space, so would not be as much of a problem as other locations, if reconstruction of this storm sewer is desired.

The culvert slope at Madison appeared to be fairly flat. The adjacent
manholes at Monroe and Jefferson had similar elevations, so if their outlet elevations are similar to the Madison outlet, they would also have fairly flat slopes.

The Tapper invert elevation was deep enough that I would assume the culvert is either fairly flat or it is sloping toward River Drive.

The slope at Howard also appeared to be pretty flat. Not sure if there was an outlet at Kooy, different maps show it in or out. There was a vault in the cul de sac, if that is an indication. If this is an outlet, I would assume from the estimate of the manhole elevation compared to the one at Howard and assuming a similar outlet elevation as the Howard outlet, that it may be fairly flat also.

>From our field discussion, I believe a decision will be made by the town as to what survey data is needed to decide which existing culverts can be used as is and which culverts will be reconstructed. If needed, a survey contract would be worked out between the Little Cal River Basin Commission and the Town of Munster.

Also, as we discussed, just for the record, it is my understanding that if the culverts are used in place and if the Corps contractor would remove the existing plugs from the existing culverts, the Corps would need to acquire additional real estate to do this work. On the other hand, if the Town of Munster opens the plugs, no additional real estate would be required, as Munster already has easements for these culverts.

Let me know any questions or comments. Feel free to call and discuss.

Thanks,

Rick D. Ackerson
Hydraulic Engineer
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
Chicago District
MEMORANDUM FOR: See Distribution

SUBJECT: Contract No. DACW23-02-C-0011
Local Flood Protection And Recreation Mitigation Implementation
Little Calumet River, Indiana
Modification No. P00020 - Executed

1. Enclosed for your files is a copy of all pertinent information related to executed Modification No. P00020, under the subject contract.

2. Any questions concerning the enclosed items shall be directed to the undersigned at (219) 923-1763 or 1764.

Enclosures

David E. Druzbicki
Project Engineer
Calumet Area Office

Distribution:
CELRC-TS-C-S (Complete Mod. File)
CELRC-TS-C-C (Complete Mod. File)
CELRC-CT (Complete Mod. File)
CELRC-TS-C-S (Complete Mod. File) D. Druzbicki
CELRC-TS-C-S (Mod. Only) S. Babcock
CELRC-TS-C-S (Mod. Only) Project Binder
CELRC-PM-PM (Mod. Only) I. Samara
LCRBDC (Mod. Only) J. Pokrajac
AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT

2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO.
P00020

3. EFFECTIVE DATE
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RENEWABLE FORESTRY SERVICES INC
DAVID BLAIR
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9. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO.

9A. DATED (SEE ITEM 11)

9B. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.
DAOM23-02-C-0011

10. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)
29-Sep-2002

CODE 09070
FACILITY CODE

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

☐ The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of offer is extended, ☐ is not extended.

☐ Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods:
(a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted;
or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment number. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. By virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)

See Schedule

13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACT ORDERS
IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.

A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.

B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).

C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:

☐ D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)
EFARS 52.232-5004 Incremental Funding Cause

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor ☒ is not, ☐ is required to sign this document and return copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by CF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)

Modification Control Number: h6tcsrtn07511

Contract for Mitigation Implementation at Little Calumet River, Indiana.

Reference No. R00020

SS021 Incremental Funding $18,000.00

See Page 2.

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as hereofore changed, remain unchanged and in full force and effect.
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The following have been added by full text:

**MODIFICATION NO. P00020**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contract No:</th>
<th>DACW23-02-C-0011 NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Modification No:</td>
<td>P00020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractor:</td>
<td>Renewable Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Title:</td>
<td>Mitigation Implementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>Little Calumet River, Indiana</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APPROPRIATION</th>
<th>WORK ITEM</th>
<th>CONTRACT CHANGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>96-NA-X-3122-0060</td>
<td>IC94LF</td>
<td>INCREASE $18,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96-NA-X-8662-0000</td>
<td>RY94RF</td>
<td>NO CHANGE $0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>INCREASE $18,000.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**A. SCOPE OF WORK**

**S9221** Incremental Funding - $18,000

Pursuant to the Incremental Funding clause, this modification hereby increases the total Contract funded amount by $18,000.00.

**B. CHANGE IN CONTRACT PRICE**

Total contract price is unchanged.

**C. CHANGE IN CONTRACT TIME**

The contract completion date shall remain unchanged by this modification.

**D. CLOSING STATEMENT**

Pursuant to the "Continuing Contracts" clause, this modification hereby obligates an amount of $18,000.00 for this contract; thus, increasing the total Contract funded amount to $1,369,940.96.

**SECTION G - CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION DATA**

Accounting and Appropriation

Summary for the Payment Office

As a result of this modification, the total funded amount for this document was increased by $18,000.00 from $1,351,940.96 to $1,369,940.96.

Contract Level Funding:

AC: 96 NA X 3122.0000 H6 X 08 2426 075325 96112 3230 1C94LF NA 723183
was increased by $18,000.00 from $65,110.00 to $83,110.00

(End of Summary of Changes)
1/9/2007

Imad N. Samara  
Project Manager  
Department of the Army  
Chicago District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
111 N. Canal St.  
Chicago, IL 60606

Dear Mr. Samara,

The Hammond Sanitary District has received your letter dated 11/14/2006 regarding Pump Station Rehabilitation Contract 2. In light of poor performance during the previous contract, we offer the following response. Before proceeding with any further construction work, several unfinished items from the first contract need to be resolved.

1) Walnut Pump Station.

A 200 hp Pump was pulled and sent out for rebuild several years ago. Numerous inquiries by HSD have failed to establish a return date, or even the staging location of this rebuild. This project is nowhere near completion, as this was the first of 6 pumps to be reconditioned at this station.

2) Kennedy Ejector Pump Station.

The first of five pumps to be rebuilt has been returned to the station, but was never reconnected electrically. This project is also just begun, and has been sitting idle for years.

3) Southeast Hessville Pump Station.

Even more disturbing than the pump problems mentioned above, coordination with HSD operations has been inadequate, and left us unnecessarily vulnerable. During heavy rains this past August and September, the breach in the Little Calumet River levee, directly in

...
front of our pump station, resulted in basement flooding at the station. Electrical control hardware became partially submerged, a dangerous condition.

4) Baring Avenue Pump Station.

The portable Godwin pump used for bypass pumping at this station was stolen, and never replaced. No rental unit was brought in either, resulting in reduced bypass pumping capacity. Also, the variable speed drives installed were wired for cooling fan continuous operation, while the pumps run intermittently. HSD requested fan operation only during power delivery, but this was never implemented. There is no need for the fans to run if the unit is not delivering power, as fan repair on these units is very expensive.

5) Hohman Munster Pump Station.

Basement access via a spiral staircase was demolished and replaced with a wall mounted ladder. Our request to return the staircase to us did not happen, even after repeated requests. HSD operations consider this new arrangement far less safe. Access is more cumbersome, and increases inspection from a 1-man to a 2-man job. Safety concerns also exist where plastic gratings were used to cover floor openings. As operators walk on across the gratings daily, HSD would never substitute plastic (which has an alarming flex) for steel.

In summary, incomplete work on phase one of this project has left HSD with reduced pumping capacity at Walnut and Kennedy Ejector stations, reduced bypass pumping capacity, operational problems that did not exit prior to the project start, and station designs that are not practical. As a result, HSD has made operational and maintenance adjustments to overcome this incomplete work. All of these concerns have been communicated multiple times to the Corps, and years have passed with no actions taken towards resolution. Hence, we request phase one of this contract be completed, in full, before new construction work begins.

Sincerely,

Michael T. Unger, Ph.D.
District Manager

cc: Congressman Pete Visclosky
    Board of Commissioners, Hammond Sanitary District
    Joseph P. Allegretti
    Colonel John D. Drolet, District Commander, USACE, Chicago District
January 24, 2007

Michael T. Unger, PhD
District Manager
Hammond Sanitary District
5143 Columbus Avenue
Hammond, IN 46327-1794

Dear Dr. Unger

I am writing in response to your letter dated January 9th 2007. Let me assure you that we intend to complete the items indicated in your letter that were not completed under the Pump Station 1A Contract. We do apologize for your frustration in regards to the poor performance of the contractor for that contract. For your information, we terminated that contract as a result of the poor contractor performance and we are working with the bonding company (The Hartford) to complete this contract. In addition, I assure you that we will resolve all of your concerns before we start any work on the second contract.

Your specific concerns are addressed in the following paragraphs.

1. Walnut Pump Station

Prior to the start of the Contract work, three of the six storm water pumps at the Walnut Pump Station (Pumps #1, #2, and #3) did not operate. The Corps’ Contractor, Overstreet Electric Company, Inc. (Overstreet) started work at the pump station by rebuilding the pumps and replacing the motors on these three pumps. Only after these pumps were returned to service did the Contractor remove the pump referenced in your letter (Pump #6). The Johnston 200 hp pump (Pump #6) was removed for rebuilding on April 14, 2004. Thus, this was the fourth of six pumps to be reconditioned.

The Contractor, Overstreet, transported Pump #6 and its motor to off-site facilities to rebuild them. The Contractor disassembled the pump for refurbishment but encountered difficulties in rebuilding the pump due to its poor condition. The refurbishment and return of this pump has not yet been completed. The Overstreet Contract has subsequently been terminated for default and Overstreet has reportedly filed for bankruptcy. The locations of the Pump #6 components are unknown. The Government is currently working with Overstreet’s bonding company to have the contract work completed. The bonding company is currently researching whether the pump and motor can be located and rebuilt or if it must be replaced with a new one.
It should be noted that Overstreet rebuilt the formerly inoperable Pumps #1, #2, and #3, so that even with the absence of Pump #6, the pumping capacity of the Walnut Pump Station is now greater than when the Overstreet contract was initiated.

2. South Kennedy Pump Station

Overstreet began the work at the South Kennedy Pump Station by refurbishing the pumps and supplying new, larger motors for Pumps #4 and #5. These rebuilt pumps are now capable of an increased pumping capacity at a higher dynamic head. The pump referenced in your letter (Pump #3) is the third of five pumps to be rebuilt. Overstreet returned Pump #3 to the station and mounted the pump in place, but the pump was not connected electrically prior to Overstreet's default. The Government is working with Overstreet's bonding company to complete the Contract work at this station.

3. Southeast Hessville Pump Station

Your letter references a breech in the Little Calumet River during the August and September 2006 heavy rains. Although the Corps' contractor for the Little Calumet River Stage VI-1 North contract has been working in the vicinity of the Southeast Hessville Pump Station, the levee has not been breached. The Corps was not informed of flooding in the basement of the pump station.

4. Baring Avenue Pump Station

Your letter raises two concerns regarding the Baring Avenue Pump Station. The first concern is related to the theft of a portable Godwin pump that was being used as temporary bypass pump while the existing pumps were being removed and replaced. A replacement pump was rented to reinstate the bypass pumping capacity. Specifically, one of two portable 2,900 gpm Godwin pumps was stolen during the July 4, 2003 weekend. A replacement 2,900 gpm Godwin pump was rented and made operational on July 14, 2003. All of the new pumps have been installed and the Baring Pump Station was at full capacity by December 12, 2003, after which, the bypass pumps were removed.

Your letter also mentions the variable frequency drives (VFDs) at the Baring Avenue Pump Station. The Corps has included the repair and/or adjustment of the VFDs in the scope of work provided to Overstreet's bonding company. This issue will be resolved either by the bonding company or by the Corps in another contract.
5. Hohman Avenue Pump Station

Your letter references a spiral staircase. The final disposition of the metal staircase is described in Overstreet's contract. Per the contract, the staircase becomes the contractor's property and must be disposed of or salvaged. Overstreet removed the staircase in accordance with the contract documents.

Per conversations with the designer of record, the spiral staircase was removed because it did not comply with OSHA's uniform tread widths. The new fiberglass ladder, with climbing device, meets OSHA's requirements and only one person should be needed to access the ladder. However, if the drywell is considered a confined space, a minimum of two people are required when entry is made. There must be one attendant on top.

Regarding your comment on the gratings, Overstreet did not install plastic gratings at the station. New gratings are comprised of serrated aluminum.

Again, we apologize for the poor performance of the contractor (Overstreet) in conducting the work under the Pump Station 1A Contract. However, it is our opinion that the work done under the Phase 1A Contract, including the incomplete work, did not subject HSD to unacceptable risks during construction. In fact, the pumping capacity at the Walnut, Baring, and Hohman pump stations is higher now than when the work started. We are coordinating with the bonding company to get them to complete the work within the next 6 months. We are also requesting that the South Kennedy Pump Station be their top priority to complete.

I will work with your office to set up a meeting between you and the appropriate personnel on your staff and our Chief of Design and Chief of Construction to go over our responses to your letter. I also would like to explore ways to improve the communication between your office and ours for future design and construction work. If you have any questions regarding this letter please call me at 312-846-5560 or on my cell 312-860-0123. My email address is imad.samara@usace.army.mil.

Sincerely Yours,

Imad N Samara
Project Manager

CF: Congressman Pete Visclosky
Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission
Good Morning Everyone,
We're finally getting started on the Hobart Marsh area mitigation for the Little Cal. project. The product schedule for that work is attached. Please give me a call if you have questions.

Greg

Gregory F. Moore
Plant Ecologist
Environmental Analysis Section
United States Army Corps of Engineers
111 North Canal Street, Suite 600
Chicago, Illinois 60606-7206
Phone: 312/846-5586
FAX: 312/886-2891
gregory.moore@lrc02.usace.army.mil

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTIVITY DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>Products 1 &amp; 2 DATES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complete QC Plan</td>
<td>Done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design Analysis and P&amp;S Development</td>
<td>12 Feb 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% BCOE &amp; ITR Submittal</td>
<td>2 Apr 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% BCOE &amp; ITR Review</td>
<td>23 Apr 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100% BCOE &amp; ITR Submittal</td>
<td>14 May 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100% BCOE &amp; ITR Review</td>
<td>4 Jun 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respond and Incorporate ITR &amp; BCOE</td>
<td>5 Jul 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backcheck</td>
<td>25 Jul 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Plans and Specs</td>
<td>10 Aug 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBD Announcement</td>
<td>31 Aug 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertise and Award</td>
<td>16 Aug 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Contract Notice to Proceed</td>
<td>7 Dec 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Days</strong></td>
<td><strong>302</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
1/ Forested Wetland Enhancement Plans, Specs and Contract Award.
2/ Wet/wet-mesic Prairie Restoration Plans, Specs and Contract Award.
LAND ACQUISITION REPORT

For meeting on Wednesday, February 7, 2007
(Information in this report is based upon latest data provided at the
time the report is put together. Dates and costs may vary depending
upon ongoing design and/or coordination with the Army Corps.
Report period is from December 29 – January 30, 2007)

EAST REACH – REMAINING ACQUISITIONS
1. In compliance with the Congressman’s request to complete the project by December,
2009, we are reviewing remaining East Reach acquisitions for acquisition either on tax
sale or from landowner. (Ongoing)
2. The offer on DC813 (WLTH Radio) has been rejected and we are preparing
condemnation filings. (Ongoing)

STATUS (Stage IV – Phase 1 South) EJ&E RR to Burr St – South Levee:
1. Construction on the SALEM Corporation (formerly the WIND Radio station property) has
been completed using a right-to-construct. We need an easement on the WIND property for
maintenance purposes.
- The appraisal was submitted 1/15/07 and is now under review.

STATUS (Stage V-Phase 2) Kennedy Avenue to Northcote, both North and South levees
1. All 30 offers have been made to landowners since 1/4/06. We have 24 closed, 6 open (4 have
accepted, 1 in condemnation, 2 have engineering departments awaiting our plans until they
give real estate the approval to sign our offer: the NSRR and NIPSCO).
- Current schedule indicates LCRBDC needs to get all real estate by the end of June,
2007 in order to advertise the project no later than July 2007.
- Plans for the sheet piling in the utility corridor were received by LCRBDC on January
26, 2007 & forwarded to NIPSCO for review 7 comment on January 29, 2007.
Comments due to COE by March 1, 2007 (Refer to Engineering Report for details).
2. North Township is officially closed. They have donated $321,405 in easements.
3. We are in communication with Cabela’s and they have verbally agreed to donate the
easements and are more interested in the construction than the appraisal amount.
- The appraisal is completed and was sent to the Corps for review on 9/28/06. Since the
Just Compensation (easement values) are more than $1 million, Corps Headquarters
in Cincinnati must review and approve the appraisal.
- In a meeting with Cabela’s on January 22, 2007, the LCRBDC will modify our
drawings and legal descriptions of the easements on their property based upon their
design modifications for site layout.
- LCRBDC is awaiting approval from the COE to assure us that these changes will still
meet the real estate required to construct our project.
4. COE Real Estate is disagreeing with the land value (appraisal) set by the appraiser. This is
important for crediting. Appraisal sets value at $3.3 million. Detroit COE believe it should be
$1 million. Discussion continues.
5. Norfolk Southern Railroad has received the offer and has not yet received the engineering
drawings for review. They must have approval from their engineering department to sign the
agreements. The Corps contracted Bergman Associates in September, 2006 to work with the railroad engineers in the hope of eliminating the problems recently experienced on the Burr Street railroad acquisitions.

- **Current schedule for Bergman Associates (COE engineering consultant) is to complete the 50% review set by the end of March 2007, and have engineering 100% complete no later than mid-April 2007.**
- We have filed condemnation but the hold-up on engineering drawings is causing a standstill in court.
- For status of engineering coordination, refer to Engineering Status Report – Section Stage V-2.

6. The Lake County Visitors Bureau, Hammond, NIPSCO, NSRR, and two Interstate Plaza landowners are the final acquisitions.

- The city of Hammond has turned the Southeast Gardens property adjacent to Cabela’s (DC-1185) over to INDOT.
- A meeting was held with Hammond, INDOT, the COE, and Cabela’s on January 22, 2007 to review the coordination for ingress/egress to their facility.
- LCRBDC and the COE have received current layout drawings on U.S.41 R/W and the LCRBDC needs permanent access to Cabela’s on Block 90. LCRBDC waiting for COE to provide them coordinates to do legals in order to begin acquisition process with INDOT (as of January 31, 2007).
- Copies of the original easements were taken to the Visitors Center on 1/20/07. They have expressed that they will donate easements.

7. NIPSCO easements

- Corps indicated at October 26, 2006 Real Estate meeting that initial drawings for review for the pipeline corridor (and west of the NSRR) will be available for comments the end of November 2006.
- Pipeline corridor drawings received from the COE on January 26, 2007. LCRBDC sent to NIPSCO (and all other pipelines) on January 29, 2007, with comments due by March 1, 2007.
- Conference call held with NIPSCO on January 29, 2007 to discuss coordination. NIPSCO will not proceed until all information is received, including details of access. Drawings sent only showed relation of sheet piling to their pipes.

**STATUS (Stage VI-Phase 1 South) – Kennedy to Liable - South of the river:**

**Land Acquisition deadline July, 2004**

1. Construction is continuing on this segment.

**STATUS (Stage VI-Phase 1 North) – Cline to Kennedy – North of the river:**

**Land Acquisition deadline April 30, 2005**

1. Construction is continuing on this segment.

**STATUS (Stage VI-Phase 2) – Liable to Cline – South of the river:**

**Land Acquisition deadline April 15, 2005**

1. Construction is continuing on this segment.

**STATUS (Stage VII) – Northcote to Columbia: The designation for this Stage is Stage VII – Hammond (North of the river) and Stage VII-Munster (South of the river)**

1. Stage VII has 14 acquisitions on the Hammond (north) and the appraisals are completed and approved. **Offers to private landowners have been sent. Hammond easements will be taken to City for appropriate signing (City, Park Board, and Redevelopment Commission)**
2. Stage VII has 37 acquisitions on the Munster (south) side. The Corps has requested that we value street easements “de minimus” (a nominal amount). Seven street easements must now be done in-house instead of by our appraiser. He will still appraise the remaining properties.

3. The appraiser and reviewer are now working together to finish the Munster appraisals. They have developed a new format to make the appraisal process to be more efficient.

STATUS (Stage VIII – Columbia to State Line (Both sides of river))
1. It was agreed at the 5/25/06 Real Estate meeting to “keep going forward on Stage VIII”. We have preliminary real estate drawings and have ordered location surveys to identify landowners and improvements.
   • The Buffalo Army Corps will be coordinating this segment, and upon receipt of location surveys, we will forward it to them to approve. Upon their approval, the LCRBDC surveyors will proceed with Phase II, whereby they will modify the drawings and do the written legal descriptions. These will then be forwarded to the appraisers.
   • Modified real estate requirements were sent to the Chicago COE and the LCRBDC for review on January 24, 2007.
   • Anticipate comments to be sent to Buffalo no later than February 6, 2007.
   • Buffalo will then provide final (16) sheet modified real estate drawings to LCRBDC by February 20, will be the start of modifying each individual plat of survey.

2. Title work is complete for 88 new files. Three survey companies have surveyed this large stage: DLZ, Garcia, and Torrenaga. (All surveys completed and sent to Buffalo for their review).

3. A neighborhood meeting was held with Dan Gardner, Jim Pokrajac, and several residents west of Hohman Avenue on Forest Avenue.
   • Levee was constructed to Federal standards years ago, but it appears no easements exist for maintenance, flood fighting, or inspections.
   • Received an email from the COE on December 15, 2006 indicating this section will not be part of Stage VIII contract. The LCRBDC will be responsible for any work on that levee.
   • A meeting is to be scheduled with the COE to discuss coordination and responsibilities to this area.

STATUS (Betterment Levee – Phase 1 - Gary) Colfax to Burr Street:
Land Acquisition is completed.
1. Engineering, contract, or technical information may be referred to in the monthly Engineering Report.

STATUS (Betterment Levee – Phase 2 North of the NSRR east of Burr Street, and ½ mile east, back South over RR approximately 1400’):
Land Acquisition deadline is September, 2005
1. The ROE was signed by the LCRBDC on July 24th, 2006, and forwarded to the Army Corps.

27th and Chase – Pump Station Remediation
1. We received a request to obtain real estate from the Army Corps on July 24, 2006. There are five new acquisitions that need surveyed, appraised, etc. (Two private landowners; One INDOT; One city of Gary; one Gary Sanitary District).
   • Surveys for all parcels have been completed & sent to LCRBDC on September 21, 2006.
• Army Corps indicated on September 19 that we only need a right to construct in this area because they are non-project features. (Ongoing)

2. We received tabulation of estates from the Army Corps on August 10, 2006.
3. Refer to Engineering Report for status & scheduling.

EAST REACH REMEDIATION AREA – (NORTH OF I-80/94, MLK TO I-65):
1. We will be reviewing parcels, cost schedule with the Corps in light of Congressman Visclosky’s letter to complete the project by December 2009.
2. New regulations for 49 CFR Part 24 allow in-house appraisals (waiver valuations) to be increased from $5,000 to $10,000. We have been writing new waiver valuations for several remaining acquisitions instead of assigning appraisals (more costly) to contract appraisers. (Ongoing)

PUMP STATION REHABILITATION – CONTRACT 2
1. A letter was sent from the Corps to the Hammond Sanitary District requesting a field visit to gather information, and requesting real estate information to begin the easement process.
   • A letter of response was sent by the HSD to the COE on January 9, 2007; and the COE responded on January 24, 2007. Refer to Engineering Report – Pump Station Rehabilitation – Contract 2.

GRIFFITH GOLF CENTER (North of NIPSCO R/W, East of Cline Avenue)
1. LCRBDC was directed by the COE to obtain a flowage easement on the entire property in a letter dated October 7, 2005.
2. The offer to the landowner was certified mail (as all offers are) on August 15, 2006. The landowner has 30 days to accept or reject.
   • We received a letter of rejection on 8/7/06. We are considering a meeting with the landowner to negotiate a settlement before condemnation is filed.
3. LCRBDC attorney sent letter to Farag attorney on December 20, 2006 acknowledging rejection of Uniform Easement Offer and offering to have meeting and discuss one more time.
4. Letter also puts a ten-day limit on response from the landowner or condemnation will be filed.

CREDITING:
1. LCRBDC had a conference call with John Weaver of INDOT on March 16, 2005 requesting incremental cost data at Cline Avenue that would substantiate crediting. Best estimate still is in the range of $600,000 (Ongoing) A letter was sent to INDOT on August 29, 2006 requesting technical information and associated costs for the Broadway and Grant interchanges on I-80/94.
2. At the 4/19/06 Real Estate meeting, the Corps requested our crediting totals for Stages I, II, III, and IV to match against their figures. The cash contribution is now calculated at 7%.
3. We received approval for $323,143 in crediting on 1/30/07 from the Detroit Corps. Now we must also have an approval letter from the Chicago Corps office. There is still $181,332 outstanding from 2006 and January 2007.
HOUSE BILL No. 1001

DIGEST OF INTRODUCED BILL

Citations Affected: IC 4-12-1-2.

Synopsis: State budget. Makes technical changes to definitions related to the budget bill.

Effective: July 1, 2007.

Crawford, Cochran

January 23, 2007, read first time and referred to Committee on Ways and Means.

First Regular Session 115th General Assembly (2007)

PRINTING CODE. Amendments: Whenever an existing statute (or a section of the Indiana Constitution) is being amended, the text of the existing provision will appear in this style type, additions will appear in this style type, and deletions will appear in this style type.

Additions: Whenever a new statutory provision is being enacted (or a new constitutional provision adopted), the text of the new provision will appear in this style type. Also, the word NEW will appear ...

Will you call Brian Poland to see if Corps sent them something? Do you think Dan should go to the meeting tonight? Ask Brian if they are going ahead w/approval (if they haven't heard from Corps).
in that style type in the introductory clause of each SECTION that adds a new provision to the Indiana Code or the Indiana Constitution.
Conflict reconciliation: Text in a statute in this style type or this style type reconciles conflicts between statutes enacted by the 2006 Regular Session of the General Assembly.

HOUSE BILL No. 1001

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning state and local administration.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Indiana:

SOURCE: IC 4-12-1-2; (07)IN1001.1.1. --> SECTION 1. IC 4-12-1-2 IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2007]: Sec. 2. As used in this chapter unless a different meaning appears from the context:
(a) The word "committee" means the budget committee.
(b) The word "director" or the term "budget director" means the person who is director of the budget agency.
(c) The term "appointing authority" means the head of an agency of the state.
(d) The terms term "agency of the state" or "agencies of the state" or "state agency" or "state agencies" mean and include means:
(1) every office, officer, board, commission, department, division, bureau, committee, fund, agency, and, without limitation by reason of any enumeration herein, in this section, every other instrumentality of the state of Indiana; now existing or which may be created hereafter;
(2) every hospital;
(3) every penal institution; and
(4) every other institutional enterprise and activity of the state of Indiana, wherever located;
(5) the universities and colleges supported in whole or in part by state funds;
(6) the judicial department of the state of Indiana; and
(7) all nongovernmental organizations receiving financial support or assistance from the state of Indiana. but shall
The term does not mean nor include cities, towns, townships, school cities, school towns, school townships, school districts, nor or other municipal corporations or political subdivisions of the state.
(e) The terms term "budget bill" or "budget bills," shall mean means:
(1) a bill for an act; or
(2) two (2) or more such bills;
prepared as authorized in this chapter, by which substantially all of the appropriations are made that are necessary and required to carry on state government for the budget period, if and when such bill is, or such bills are, enacted into law.
(f) The term "budget report" shall mean means a written explanation of the a budget bill or bills; and a general statement of the reasons for the appropriations therein in the budget bill and of the sources and extent of state income to meet such appropriations, together with such further parts as are required by law.
(g) The term "budget period" means that period of time for which appropriations are made in the a budget bill. or budget bills.
TO: William Biller

FROM: Dan Gardner

SUBJECT: Stage VIII Utility Listings

DATE: February 5, 2007

Here are my thoughts on the utility relocations engineering contract for assistance in Stage VIII (as strongly suggested by the COE):

• Corps utility listings for Stage VIII as background
  ▶ List is old (1994)
  ▶ Looks like about half, at most, would need consulting engineering assistance

• Primary reason we need a consulting firm is the large number of utilities and the very short time frame for us to keep on schedule. The Corps has urged us “verbally” to procure consultant assistance and they indicate they will comment on our approach urging familiarity, technical competency, and timeliness as key criteria. We have done this on a smaller scale with Highland (NIES Engineering).

• Enclosed is the “proposal” SEH sent to Jim Pokrajac at his request. It contains their qualifications and rates.

   My comments on the process: I believe, driven by the schedule, we must have a very quick process to have a consultant available and under contract by mid-February. It seems to me we have only three (3) options (two of which aren’t very good options).
Option 1: Total open solicitation. The problem I see is the time is too short to do a full solicitation, review, and negotiations to keep on schedule. Plus, the criteria to describe the work needed is very general and it would be very difficult for any firm to put any kind of a competitive proposal together because the Corps doesn't even know an estimated cost. A clear scope of work cannot be determined until further field detail is provided.

Option 2: Authorize our current "general" engineering consultant, R. W. Armstrong, to staff up or use additional engineers for the work. The problem here, as I see it, is that their rates appear to be higher than comparable area consultants; they have limited staff capability in the area office with assistance probably coming from Indianapolis; and they have had limited knowledge of the utilities in Stage VIII (Jim Flora, who performed preliminary utility work in VIII, is retired).

Option 3: Qualify SEH for a sole source and most qualified award based on most familiarity, most timely to be available, and most qualified based on the type of work they are now doing for the Sanitary District. They have existing local capability and I believe their rates are comparable with other engineering firms.

My recommendation would be to have the engineering committee make the recommendation to approve SEH, concur we have adequately researched our ability to make this award, as well as pursue a contract that would be reviewable in smaller segments to assure cost control and allow for performance-based payments schedule.

I will run this "approach" by Lou Casale for legal concurrence before Wednesday's meeting and if you approve, will seek a committee review and a vote of the Board to enter into negotiations with SEH toward developing an acceptable agreement to control costs, identify staff assigned, monitor progress, and allow for Board/staff ongoing review.
December 28, 2006

Mr. James Pokrajac
Agent
Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission
6100 Southport Road
Portage, IN 46368

Dear Mr. Pokrajac:

Attached please find the rate table requested for the referenced project. Please contact me or Mike Hickey should you have any additional questions.

Sincerely,

Dean Button
Project Manager

Attachment
c: Michael J. Hickey
p:\Projects\0701.00\04\G:\P0382001.doc
RATE TABLE

Dated December 28, 2006

RATE TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>HOURLY RATE through 3-31-07</th>
<th>HOURLY RATE Beginning 4-1-07</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Michael Hickey</td>
<td>Principal</td>
<td>$180.00</td>
<td>$185.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dean Button</td>
<td>Project Manager</td>
<td>$145.00</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rick Trevino</td>
<td>Senior Technician</td>
<td>$90.00</td>
<td>$95.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jason Spain</td>
<td>Technician</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
<td>$80.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonnie Gray/Elizabeth McJames</td>
<td>Administrative</td>
<td>$45.00</td>
<td>$47.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
STANDARD TERMS AND CONDITIONS

1. INVOICE AND PAYMENT PROCEDURES: SEH shall submit invoices, once a month to the OWNER for services accomplished during each calendar month.

The OWNER, as OWNER or authorized agent for the OWNER hereby agrees that payment will be made for said services within forty-five (45) days from the date of the invoice. If an invoice remains unpaid sixty (60) days after the date of the invoice, SEH may, upon giving seven (7) days written notice of its intent to do so, suspend all services on the OWNER’s project. This suspension shall remain in effect until all unpaid invoices are paid in full. If an invoice remains unpaid ninety (90) days after the date of the invoice, SEH may, upon giving seven (7) days written notice of its intent to do so, declare OWNER to be in breach of this Agreement and pursue its remedies for collection.

2. CONSTRUCTION SERVICES: If, under this Agreement, professional services are provided during the construction phase of the project, SEH shall not be responsible for or have control over means, methods, techniques, sequences, or procedures; or for safety precautions and programs in connection with the Work. Nor shall SEH be responsible for the Contractor’s failure to carry out the Work in accordance with the Contract Documents or for Contractor’s failure to comply with applicable laws, ordinances, rules, or regulations. Under no circumstances will SEH have any direct contractual relationship with the Contractor, any subcontractors, material suppliers or other consultants.

3. AGENCY REVIEW: In the event that the plans, specifications, and/or field work covered by this contract are those required by various governmental agencies and in the event that due to change of policy of said agencies after the date of this Agreement, additional office or field work is required, the said additional work shall be paid for by OWNER as extra work.

4. CHANGE OF SCOPE: The Scope of Services set forth in this Agreement is based on facts known at the time of this Agreement including, if applicable, information supplied by SEH and OWNER. SEH will promptly notify OWNER of any perceived changes of scope in writing and the parties shall negotiate modifications to this Agreement before commencement of change in scope. No change in scope, contract modifications, or additional fees shall be valid unless agreed to in writing by OWNER and SEH.

5. SAFETY: SEH shall establish and maintain programs and procedures for the safety of its employees. SEH specifically disclaims any authority or responsibility for general job site safety and safety of persons other than SEH employees.

6. REUSE OF PROJECT DELIVERABLES: Reuse of any documents or other deliverables, including electronic media, pertaining to the Project by OWNER for any purpose other than that for which such documents or deliverables were originally prepared, or alteration of such documents or deliverables without written verification or adaptation by SEH for the specific purpose intended, shall be at OWNER’s sole risk.

7. INSURANCE: SEH will maintain insurance coverage for Professional, Comprehensive General, Automobile, Worker’s Compensation and Employer’s Liability in amounts in accordance with legal, and SEH business requirements. Certificates evidencing such coverage will be provided to OWNER upon request. For projects involving construction,

OWNER agrees to require its construction contractor, if any, to include SEH as an additional insured on its policies relating to the Project. SEH coverages referenced above shall, in such case, be excess over contractor’s primary coverage.

8. INDEMNITY: To the fullest extent permitted by law, SEH shall indemnify and save harmless from and against loss, liability and damages sustained by OWNER, its employees and representatives by reason of injury or death to persons or damage to tangible property to the extent caused directly by the negligence of SEH or its employees, sub-contractors and assigns.

9. PREVAILING PARTY LITIGATION COSTS: In the event any actions are brought to enforce this Agreement, the prevailing party shall be entitled to collect its litigation costs from the other party. Any litigation shall be governed by the laws of the State of Indiana.

10. AUTHORITY: The persons signing this Agreement warrant that they have the authority to sign as, or on behalf of, the party for whom they are signing.
November 21, 2006

Mr. James Pokrajac:
LCRBDC
6100 Southport Road
Portage, IN 46368

Dear Mr. Pokrajac:

Short Elliott Hendrickson Inc. (SEH®) is pleased to submit this Agreement for Phase I of the referenced project for your review and approval. It is anticipated that additional fees will be required for additional phases of this project and these fees will be negotiated at a future date.

Scope of Services
SEH understands that the LCRBDC is seeking engineering assistance to identify the location, cost and timing (task) of each utility that is owned and operated by a Hammond agency (i.e. Hammond Water Department, HSD, etc.) within the Hammond boundaries of the Stage VIII portion of the Little Calumet River Flood Control and Recreation Project (i.e., north of the river). Additionally, SEH will provide the same information for HSD utilities in Munster, Indiana, (i.e., south of the river) for Stage VIII. SEH will provide the following services during the period from December 7, 2006 through March 1, 2007, or until 90 percent of the estimated fee has been incurred, whichever occurs first as Phase I of this project.

1. Review existing information provided by USACE and LCRBDC.
2. Identify utilities that may need to be relocated in Hammond and HSD utilities in Munster, Indiana, as part of the Stage VIII Project based on USACE drawings.
3. Schedule and attend meetings with representative departments in Hammond.
4. Provide a status report in spreadsheet format of activities.

Agreement
The estimated fee for the services shall not exceed $7,500 without prior written approval. It is agreed that after 90 percent of the estimated fee has been incurred, SEH will notify the LCRBDC and confer to determine the basis for completing the work. Terms of the work will comply with the attached fee schedule and the attached Standard Terms and Conditions. The term "Owner" as used herein refers to the Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission.
If you approve and accept this Agreement and wish us to proceed with the work, please sign and return one original. We thank you for the opportunity to submit this Agreement and look forward to assisting you with the project.

Sincerely,

Michael J. Hickey
Principal

Approved and Accepted By
Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission

By: ___________________________ Date: ___________________________
    Dan Gardner, Executive Director
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Utility</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Type of Utility</th>
<th>Effect on Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Plate</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Side</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>009</td>
<td>HPSICO</td>
<td>EPL</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RELOCATE POLES</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>HPSICO</td>
<td>EPL</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2055</td>
<td>5091</td>
<td>SEE Utility Poles</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>HPSICO</td>
<td>EPL</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>1 - 3400 FT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RELOCATE POLES OUT OF ROW</td>
<td>725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>HPSICO</td>
<td>EPL</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>REMOVE</td>
<td>310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>HAMMOND</td>
<td>QL</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>1 - 16&quot; CONC.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>REMOVE</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>HAMMOND</td>
<td>QL</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td></td>
<td>REPLACE AND INSTALL DVG. &amp; RFD.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>HAMMOND</td>
<td>QL</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>1 - 10&quot; PIV.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>REMOVE</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>HAMMOND</td>
<td>QL</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td></td>
<td>REMOVE &amp; REPLACE W/P - RIVETED, CLG., &amp; RFD.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>HAMMOND</td>
<td>QL</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td></td>
<td>INSTALL WATER SHUT OFF BOX.</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>HAMMOND</td>
<td>QL</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td></td>
<td>REPLACE AND INSTALL DVG. &amp; RFD.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119</td>
<td>HAMMOND</td>
<td>QL</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td></td>
<td>REMOVE</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>HAMMOND</td>
<td>QL</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td></td>
<td>REMOVE</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td>HAMMOND</td>
<td>QL</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td></td>
<td>NO EFFECT</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td>HAMMOND</td>
<td>QL</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td></td>
<td>REMOVE &amp; REPLACE W/P - RIVETED, CLG., &amp; RFD.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>HAMMOND</td>
<td>QL</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td></td>
<td>INSTALL WATER SHUT OFF BOX.</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124</td>
<td>HAMMOND</td>
<td>QL</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td></td>
<td>REPLACE AND INSTALL DVG. &amp; RFD.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>HAMMOND</td>
<td>QL</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td></td>
<td>REMOVE</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td>HAMMOND</td>
<td>QL</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td></td>
<td>REMOVE</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127</td>
<td>HAMMOND</td>
<td>QL</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td></td>
<td>RELOCATE POLES</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>HAMMOND</td>
<td>QL</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td></td>
<td>RELOCATE THROUGH WALL</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129</td>
<td>HAMMOND</td>
<td>QL</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td></td>
<td>REMOVE</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>HAMMOND</td>
<td>QL</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td></td>
<td>REMOVE</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td>HAMMOND</td>
<td>QL</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td></td>
<td>REMOVE</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132</td>
<td>HAMMOND</td>
<td>QL</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td></td>
<td>REMOVE</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133</td>
<td>HAMMOND</td>
<td>QL</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td></td>
<td>REMOVE</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134</td>
<td>HAMMOND</td>
<td>QL</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td></td>
<td>REMOVE</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td>HAMMOND</td>
<td>QL</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td></td>
<td>REMOVE</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136</td>
<td>HAMMOND</td>
<td>QL</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td></td>
<td>REMOVE</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137</td>
<td>HAMMOND</td>
<td>QL</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td></td>
<td>REMOVE</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138</td>
<td>HAMMOND</td>
<td>QL</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td></td>
<td>REMOVE</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139</td>
<td>HAMMOND</td>
<td>QL</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td></td>
<td>REMOVE</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>HAMMOND</td>
<td>QL</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td></td>
<td>REMOVE</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141</td>
<td>HAMMOND</td>
<td>QL</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td></td>
<td>REMOVE</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142</td>
<td>HAMMOND</td>
<td>QL</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td></td>
<td>REMOVE</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143</td>
<td>HAMMOND</td>
<td>QL</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td></td>
<td>REMOVE</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144</td>
<td>HAMMOND</td>
<td>QL</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td></td>
<td>REMOVE</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145</td>
<td>HAMMOND</td>
<td>QL</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td></td>
<td>REMOVE</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

STAGE/VIII UTILITY RE-LOCATIONS

- Ninety-Three (93) re-locations
- Estimated cost - $912,985

* Based upon FEB 1994 cost figures and utilities at that point in time.
WORK STUDY SESSION
February 7, 2007
5:30 – 6:00 p.m.

ACTION ITEMS:

Finance
Approval of claims for February 2007
Approval of O&M claims for February 2007

Land Acquisition
Any Condemnations or increased offers?

ITEMS OF IMPORTANCE/POLICY:

- Project Funding Status
  - Status of requested $2,142,583 for Burr Street Little Cal contract/continued critical path non-Federal items
  - Biennial budget request of $8 million – Support
    - Request to “restore” $8 million in House budget

DC1203 + DC1206

increased offers

SEN $7,500
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME (PLEASE PRINT)</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION, ADDRESS, PHONE NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STAN PETRITES</td>
<td>8118 STONY ISLAND CNGO 60617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timad Samara</td>
<td>USA COE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRANK PETRITES</td>
<td>8200 INDIANAPOLIS BLVD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIGHLAND 46322</td>
<td>FRANK PETRITES @ HOTMAIL.COM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BILL PETRITES</td>
<td>HIGHLAND RESIDENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Enger</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>William Biller</strong> (Chairman)</th>
<th>845-3736 (Home)</th>
<th>6625 Kansas St</th>
<th>Hammond, IN 46323-1748</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>853-6413 x 503 (Business)</td>
<td>Hammond, IN 46342</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:arsers@HammondSD.com">arsers@HammondSD.com</a></td>
<td>765-3587 (Cell)</td>
<td>1005 East 8th Street</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>853-6321 (Fax)</td>
<td>Hobart, IN 46342</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Robert Marszalek</strong> (Vice Chairman)</th>
<th>942-7653 (Home)</th>
<th>24 E. 172nd Place</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:rmarsz@verizon.net">rmarsz@verizon.net</a></td>
<td>962-2909 (Business)</td>
<td>Hammond, IN 46324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>771-3560 (Cell)</td>
<td>1005 East 8th Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>962-4951 (Fax)</td>
<td>Hobart, IN 46342</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>R. Kent Gurley</strong> (Treasurer)</th>
<th>312-616-5074 (Business)</th>
<th>24 E. 172nd Place</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:GurleyRK@tenco.com">GurleyRK@tenco.com</a></td>
<td>932-8725 (Home)</td>
<td>Hammond, IN 46324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>312-616-6069 (Fax)</td>
<td>1005 East 8th Street</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Charlie Ray</strong> (Secretary)</th>
<th>926-1007 (Business)</th>
<th>Duneland Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:cray@dulandgroup.com">cray@dulandgroup.com</a></td>
<td>508-7074 (Cell)</td>
<td>1498 Pope Court</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>926-1544 (Fax)</td>
<td>Chesteron, IN 46304</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>George Carlson</strong></th>
<th>844-6492</th>
<th>7343 Arizona Ave.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hammond, IN 46323</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Arlene Colvin</strong></th>
<th>881-1312 (Business)</th>
<th>2420 Marshall Place</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:acolvin@cl.gary.in.us">acolvin@cl.gary.in.us</a></td>
<td>746-6780 (Cell)</td>
<td>Gary, IN 46402-1236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:lenecol@sbcglobal.net">lenecol@sbcglobal.net</a></td>
<td></td>
<td>City Hall, 401 Broadway</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Steve Davis</strong></th>
<th>219-874-8316 (Business)</th>
<th>IDNR, Division of Water</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:sdcady@dnr.in.gov">sdcady@dnr.in.gov</a></td>
<td>879-2499 (Fax)</td>
<td>100 West Water Street</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Robert Huffman</strong></th>
<th>924-4881 (Home)</th>
<th>9607 Dogwood</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:huffman@sbcglobal.net">huffman@sbcglobal.net</a></td>
<td>741-1480 (Cell)</td>
<td>Munster, IN 46321</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>John Mroczkowski</strong></th>
<th>755-3755 (Business)</th>
<th>2924 Franklin St.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:surveyor@cyberz.net">surveyor@cyberz.net</a></td>
<td>838-7938 (Home)</td>
<td>Highland, IN 46322</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Mark Reshkin</strong></th>
<th>462-4063 (Home)</th>
<th>2907 Windsor Trail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:mreshkin@comcast.net">mreshkin@comcast.net</a></td>
<td></td>
<td>Valparaiso, IN 46385-9320</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Vacancy**                        |                   |                   |
|------------------------------------|                   |                   |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>DAN Gardner</strong> (Executive Director)</th>
<th>219-763-0696 (Business)</th>
<th>6100 Southport Rd.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:littlecal@nirpc.org">littlecal@nirpc.org</a></td>
<td>836-4326 (Home)</td>
<td>Portage, IN 46368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>762-1653 (Fax)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Lou Casale</strong> (Attorney)</th>
<th>736-9990 (Business)</th>
<th>CASALE, WOODWARD &amp; BULS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:lcasale@cwblawfirm.com">lcasale@cwblawfirm.com</a></td>
<td>736-9991 (Fax)</td>
<td>9223 Broadway Suite A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Merrillville, IN 46410</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

## Standing Committees - 2007

### Land Acquisition/Management
- Bob Marszalek (Chair)
- Bill Biller
- Arlene Colvin

### Legislative
- George Carlson (Chair)
- Bill Biller
- Bob Marszalek

### Environmental
- Mark Reshkin (Chair)
- Kent Gurley (Chair)
- Bob Huffman
- Bill Biller
- George Carlson
- Arlene Colvin
- John Mroczkowski
- Charlie Ray

### Recreation
- Bob Huffman (Chair)
- Kent Gurley
- John Mroczkowski

### O&M
- Bob Huffman (Chair)
- Arlene Colvin
- Steve Davis
- Bob Marszalek
- Charlie Ray

### Policy
- Bob Marszalek (Chair)
- Bob Huffman (Chair)
- Steve Davis
- John Mroczkowski
- Mark Reshkin

### Project Engineering
- Bob Marszalek (Chair)
- Bob Huffman (Chair)
- Steve Davis
- John Mroczkowski
- Charlie Ray
Calumet Area Office
Construction Progress Report
Thru End of January 2007

CONTRACT NO.: W912P6-05-C-0010
CONTRACTOR: Illinois Constructors Corporation
DESCRIPTION: Local Flood Protection Little Calumet River, Indiana Stage VI - North Levee

ORIGINL CONTRACT AWARD DATE/AMOUNT:
NTP DATE/CURRENT CONTRACT AMOUNT: Mods A00001 & P00009:
19-Oct-05  5,566,871.00
21-Jul-07  5,612,789.00

ORIGINAL CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE/ORIGINAL DURATION:
REvised CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE/REVISED DURATION:
PENDING SCHEDULED COMPLETION DATE/PENDING TIME EXTENSIONS:

ESTIMATED PROGRESS
A. Present Earnings as of Pay Est. No.
   30-Sep-05  10  4,290,924.93
B. Estimated Earnings thru end of reporting period
   200,000.00
C. Value of work Performed on Directed Mods (Earnings not paid for)
   0.00
TOTAL ESTIMATED PROGRESS (A+B+C)
4,490,924.93

D. Work Paid for but not in Place (Materials in Storage)
221,850.90

TOTAL VALUE OF PHYSICAL PROGRESS (A+B+C-D)
4,269,074.03

E. Potential Termination Costs (% of Remaining Costs) [If Applicable]

FINANCIAL PROGRESS - (A+B+C-D-E)
4,490,924.93

TOTAL ESTIMATED FINAL CONTRACT AMOUNT
F. Current Contract Amount thru Mod.
   A00001 & P00009  5,612,789.00
G. Current Value of Overtuns/Underruns (+/-)
   0.00
H. Directed, Pending Modifications
   -35,610.41
TOTAL ESTIMATED FINAL CONTRACT AMOUNT (F+G+H)
5,577,178.59

FUNDS OBLIGATED FOR PAYMENT: thru Modification
A00001 & P00009
3,861,350.00

ACTUAL PERCENT COMPLETE (A+B+C-D)/ (F+G+H)
76.55%

SCHEDULED PERCENT COMPLETE (per NAS or Progress Chart)
76.00%

TOTAL EARNINGS AT THE END OF FY06
3,145,914.28

PROJECT STATUS/MAJOR ISSUES:
- Levee complete from Kennedy Ave to Osbey, Osbey to preloid. Working on SSP from Cline Ave west.
- Work continues on Gatewell structures and sewers behind hotels and businesses.
- Funding under CRA - will need funding mods to pay for each pay request.
Calumet Area Office  
Construction Progress Report  
Thru End of: January 2007

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONTRACT NO.:</th>
<th>W9126P-04-C-0007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CONTRACTOR:</td>
<td>Illinois Constructors Corporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DESCRIPTION:</td>
<td>Local Flood Protection Little Calumet River, Indiana Stage VI-1, South Levee</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ORIGINAL CONTRACT AWARD DATE/AMOUNT:**  
- 30-Sep-04  
- $6,503,093.70

**NTP DATE/CURRENT CONTRACT AMOUNT:**  
- Mods P00013 & A00002  
- 4-Nov-04  
- $7,463,681.54

**ORIGINAL CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE/ORIGINAL DURATION:**  
- 4-Dec-06  
- 760

**REVISED CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE/REVISED DURATION:**  
- 4-Mar-07  
- 850

**PENDING SCHEDULED COMPLETION DATE/PENDING TIME EXTENSIONS:**  
- 4-Mar-07  
- 0

**ESTIMATED PROGRESS:**

| A. Present Earnings as of Pay Est. No. | 18 | $6,509,357.98 |
| B. Estimated Earnings thru end of reporting period | | $166,000.00 |
| C. Value of work Performed on Directed Mods (Earnings not paid for) | | $59,000.00 |
| **TOTAL ESTIMATED PROGRESS (A+B+C)** | | $6,734,357.98 |

**TOTAL VALUE OF PHYSICAL PROGRESS (A+B+C+D):**  
| D. Work Paid for but not in Place (Materials in Storage) | | 0.00 |
| **TOTAL VALUE OF PHYSICAL PROGRESS (A+B+C+D)** | | $6,734,357.98 |

**FINANCIAL PROGRESS - (A+B+C+D+E):**  
| E. Potential Termination Costs (% of Remaining Costs) (If Applicable) | | 0.00 |
| **FINANCIAL PROGRESS - (A+B+C+D+E)** | | $6,734,357.98 |

**TOTAL ESTIMATED FINAL CONTRACT AMOUNT**  
| F. Current Contract Amount thru Mod. P00013 & A00002 | | $7,463,681.54 |
| G. Current Value of Overruns/Underruns (+/-) | | 0.00 |
| H. Directed, Pending Modifications | | 1,000.00 |
| **TOTAL ESTIMATED FINAL CONTRACT AMOUNT (F+G+H)** | | $7,464,681.54 |

**Funds Obligated for Payment:**  
- thru Modification P00013 & A00002  
- $6,553,647.24

**Actual Percent Complete (A+B+C+D+E)/(F+G+H):**  
- 90.22%

**Scheduled Percent Complete (per NAS or Progress Chart):**  
- 91.00%

**Total Earnings at the End of FY06:**  
- $5,937,394.80

**Project Status/Major Issues:**

- Under CRA, funding mods will need to be done on an ongoing basis.
- Work on pump station critical. Electrical installation ongoing approx. 80% complete. Piping installation started. Pumps on site. Emergency temporary pump brought onsite, will be operated by Town of Highland if necessary.
- Highland requested to keep existing pump station, redesign underway.
- Roe trail work started, will complete in spring.
Calumet Area Office
Construction Progress Report
Thru End of: January 2007

CONTRACT NO.: W9126P-05-C-0006
CONTRACTOR: Dyer Construction Company
DESCRIPTION: Little Calumet River, Stage VI-Phase II

ORIGINAL CONTRACT AWARD DATE/AMOUNT: 18-Oct-05 4,205,644.17
NTP DATE/CURRENT CONTRACT AMOUNT: 18-Oct-05 4,205,644.17
ORIGINAL CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE/ORIGINAL DURATION: 11-Apr-07 540
REVISED CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE/REVISED DURATION: 11-Apr-07 540
PENDING SCHEDULED COMPLETION DATE/PENDING TIME EXTENSIONS: 11-Apr-07 540

ESTIMATED PROGRESS
A. Present Earnings as of Pay Est. No. 3,773,724.23
B. Estimated Earnings thru end of reporting period 0.00
C. Value of work performed on Direct. Mods (Earnings not paid for) 347,121.15
TOTAL ESTIMATED PROGRESS (A+B+C) 3,880,436.38

D. Work Paid for but not in Place (Materials in Storage) 0.00

TOTAL VALUE OF PHYSICAL PROGRESS (A+B+C-D) 3,880,436.38

E. Potential Termination Costs (% of Remaining Costs) (If Applicable) 0.00

FINANCIAL PROGRESS - (A+B+C-D-E) 3,880,436.38

TOTAL ESTIMATED FINAL CONTRACT AMOUNT
F. Current Contract Amount thru Mod. P0006 4,205,644.17
G. Current Value of Overuns/Underruns (+/-) 0.00
H. Directed, Pending Modifications 347,121.15
TOTAL ESTIMATED FINAL CONTRACT AMOUNT (F+G+H) 4,240,356.32

Funds obligated for payment: thru Modification P0006 4,113,990.00

ACTUAL PERCENT COMPLETE (A+B+C-D-E)/(F+G+H) 89.81%

SCHEDULED PERCENT COMPLETE (per NAS or Progress Chart) 90.00%

TOTAL EARNINGS AT THE END OF FY06 3,275,734.32

PROJECT STATUS/MAJOR ISSUES:
- October: includes completion of earthwork. Will need survey and cross-sections to get final quantity. Survey scheduled for January 2007.
- Asphalts ramps in spring
- Need to finalize mod for SSP thru dump area on Liable. Weather mod will allow for completion of landscape items in spring if necessary. District preparing redesign of ramp near Liable/Laporte, will need mod. This will tie into Highland's bike path.
Calumet Area Office
Construction Progress Report
Thru End of January 2007

CONTRACT NO.: W912P6-06-XX-0099
CONTRACTOR: Superior Construction Company
DESCRIPTION: Local Flood Protection Little Calumet River Indiana Burr St Bettegrowth Gary

ORIGINAL CONTRACT AWARD DATE/AMOUNT: 18-Jan-06 2,301,518.50
NTP DATE/CURRENT CONTRACT AMOUNT: Mods 31-May-06 2,374,191.00
ORIGINAL CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE/ORIGINAL DURATION: 24-Aug-07
REVISED CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE/REVISED DURATION: 24-Aug-07
PENDING SCHEDULED COMPLETION DATE/PENDING TIME EXTENSIONS: 24-Aug-07

ESTIMATED PROGRESS
A. Present Earnings as of Pay Est. No. 2,145,590.37
B. Estimated Earnings thru end of reporting period 60,000.00
C. Value of work Performed on Directed Mods (Earnings not paid for) 60,000.00
TOTAL ESTIMATED PROGRESS (A+B+C) 2,265,590.37
D. Work Paid for but not in Place (Materials in Storage) 0.00
TOTAL VALUE OF PHYSICAL PROGRESS (A+B+C-D) 2,265,590.37
E. Potential Termination Costs (% of Remaining Costs) (If Applicable) 0.00

FINANCIAL PROGRESS - (A+B+C+D+E)
TOTAL ESTIMATED FINAL CONTRACT AMOUNT 2,265,590.37
F. Current Contract Amount thru Mod. 2,374,191.00
G. Current Value of Overruns/Underruns (+/-) 0.00
H. Directed, Pending Modifications 126,700.00
TOTAL ESTIMATED FINAL CONTRACT AMOUNT (F+G+H) 2,500,891.00

FUND'S OBLIGATED FOR PAYMENT: thru Modification 2,374,191.00

ACTUAL PERCENT COMPLETE (A+B+C+D+E)/(F+G+H) 90.59%
SCHEDULED PERCENT COMPLETE (per NAS or Progress Chart) 90.00%
TOTAL EARNINGS AT THE END OF FY06 1,156,999.30

PROJECT STATUS/MAJOR ISSUES:
- Earthwork complete, revised Kir earthwork qys are over bid qys ($91K) Corps is reviewing resubmittal. Working on reconciling differences.
- Work shutdown for winter. Will resume in Spring when weather permits on ditches. Change approved by City for Ditch 5.
Calumet Area Office  
Construction Progress Report  
Thru End of: January 2007  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONTRACT NO.</th>
<th>W9112P6-04-C-0003</th>
<th>CONTRACTOR</th>
<th>Tallgrass Restoration, LLC</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>Little Calumet River Landscaping, Phase 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NTP DATE/CURRENT CONTRACT AMOUNT</td>
<td>30-Jun-04</td>
<td>29-Jul-04</td>
<td>1-Oct-10</td>
<td>1-Oct-10</td>
<td>648,995.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORIGINAL CONTRACT AWARD DATE/AMOUNT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>648,995.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORIGINAL CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE/ORIGINAL DURATION</td>
<td>1-Oct-10</td>
<td>2255</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVISED CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE/REVISED DURATION</td>
<td>1-Oct-10</td>
<td>2255</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PENDING SCHEDULED COMPLETION DATE/PENDING TIME EXTENSIONS</td>
<td>1-Oct-10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ESTIMATED PROGRESS**

A. Present Earnings as of Pay Est. No. 72,572.88 236,762.62 355,000.00
B. Estimated Earnings thru end of reporting period 118,237.38 0.00
C. Value of work Performed on Directed Mods (Earnings not paid for) 118,237.38 0.00

**TOTAL ESTIMATED PROGRESS (A+B+C)** 355,000.00

D. Work Paid for but not in Place (Materials in Storage) 0.00

**TOTAL VALUE OF PHYSICAL PROGRESS (A+B+C-D)** 355,000.00

E. Potential Termination Costs (% of Remaining Costs)[If Applicable] 0.00

**FINANCIAL PROGRESS - (A+B+C-D-E)** 355,000.00

**TOTAL ESTIMATED FINAL CONTRACT AMOUNT**

F. Current Contract Amount thru Mod. P00005 648,995.23
G. Current Value of Ovruns/Underruns (+/-) 0.00
H. Directed, Pending Modifications 0.00

**TOTAL ESTIMATED FINAL CONTRACT AMOUNT (F+G+H)** 648,995.23

**Funds Obligated for Payment: thru Modification** P00005 355,000.00

**ACTUAL PERCENT COMPLETE (A+B+C-D-E)/(F+G+H)** 54.70%

**SCHEDULED PERCENT COMPLETE (per NAS or Progress Chart)** 55.00%

**TOTAL EARNINGS AT THE END OF FY06** 96,102.50

**PROJECT STATUS/MAJOR ISSUES:**

- Seeding completed except for a few small areas that are underwater. Accrual of $118,237.38 will remain until spring to make sure seeds grow prior to final payment.
### Calumet Area Office
**Construction Progress Report**
**Thru End of: January 2007**

**CONTRACT NO.:** DACW27-01-C-0001  
**CONTRACTOR:** Overstreet Electric Co., Inc.  
**DESCRIPTION:** Little Calumet River - Pump Station Rehabilitation Phase 1A

| ORIGINAL CONTRACT AWARD DATE/AMOUNT: | 5-Oct-00 | 4,638,400.00 |
| NTP DATE/CURRENT CONTRACT AMOUNT: Mods A00015 & P00020 | 7-Nov-00 | 4,262,835.48 |
| ORIGINAL CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE/ORIGINAL DURATION: | 8-Oct-02 | 700 |
| REVISED CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE/REVISED DURATION: | 21-Oct-04 | 1,444 |
| PENDING SCHEDULED COMPLETION DATE/PENDING TIME EXTENSIONS: | 21-Oct-04 | 0 |

**ESTIMATED PROGRESS**
A. Present Earnings as of Pay Est. No. 30 4,239,286.58
B. Estimated Earnings thru end of reporting period 0.00
C. Value of work performed on Directed Mods (Earnings not paid for) 0.00

**TOTAL ESTIMATED PROGRESS (A+B+C)** 4,239,286.58

**TOTAL VALUE OF PHYSICAL PROGRESS (A+B+C-D)** 4,239,286.58

**E. Potential Termination Costs (% of Remaining Costs) (If Applicable)** Not Available

**FINANCIAL PROGRESS - (A+B+C+D-E)** 4,239,286.58

**TOTAL ESTIMATED FINAL CONTRACT AMOUNT**
F. Current Contract Amount thru Mod. A00015 & P00020 4,262,835.48
G. Current Value of Overruns/Underruns (+/-) 0.00
H. Directed, Pending Modifications 0.00

**TOTAL ESTIMATED FINAL CONTRACT AMOUNT (F+G+H)** 4,262,835.48

**Funds Obligated for Payment:** thru Modification A00015 & P00020 4,262,835.48

**ACTUAL PERCENT COMPLETE (A+B+C+D+E)/(F+G+H)** 86.00%

**SCHEDULED PERCENT COMPLETE (per NAS or Progress Chart)** 100.00%

**TOTAL EARNINGS AT THE END OF FY06** 4,239,286.58

---

**PROJECT STATUS/MAJOR ISSUES:**

- The Termination for Default Modification P00020 was issued by the CO on 22 FEB 2006.
- The TD mod decreased the contract amount by $711,445.19 (estimated work not complete) from $4,294,280.67 to $4,262,835.48. $119,791.61 was debottled, decreasing total funded from $4,382,627.09 to $4,262,835.48.
- Overstreet has not provided an invoice for work performed in OCT 2004.
- The Bonding Company met with USACE at the Calumet Area Office on 29 NOV 2006 to discuss remaining work at the Pump Stations.
LAND MANAGEMENT REPORT

For meeting on Wednesday, February 7, 2007

(Information in this report is based upon latest data provided at the
time the report is put together. Dates and costs may vary depending
upon ongoing design and/or coordination with the Army Corps.
Report period is from December 29 – January 30, 2007)

A. LAMAR OUTDOOR ADVERTISING
   • Received a request from LAMAR Advertising on June 20, 2006 wanting
     consideration for an easement on the land were they currently have existing
     License Agreements on two (2) billboards.
     • We received information from LAMAR on July 13, 2006 with (2) letters of
       intent for existing billboards south of I-80/94 between Chase and Grant.
     • They are requesting a perpetual billboard easement for both locations for a total
       purchase price of $116,000. (It was their intent that this would be part of a
       larger agreement with considerations for additional signs.)
     • Memo to LCRBDC attorney requesting pursuit of options as discussed at the
       October 4, 2006 Board meeting. (Ongoing)

B. VIEW OUTDOOR BILLBOARDS
   • A letter was received from the COE on December 27, 2006 indicating the
     billboard locations will not impact our project or its features.
   • Received an email from View Outdoor on January 17, 2007 indicating
     some compliances from the DNR would be required by Gary, and they
     would work on this.
   • Received a memo from View Outdoor on January 29, 2007 with color,
     aerial copies of the sign locations. It appears only 2 of the 9 locations will
     be on the river side of the levee.

D. 32 acre parcel of Excess Land (East of Clay Street, north of Burns Ditch)
   • A letter was sent to LEL on December 27, 2006 requesting they sign a
     waiver to terminate their option on this land. If signed, the LCRBDC could
     then put together a bid package to qualified entities to develop this land as
     a wetland mitigation bank.
   • Staff is in the process of developing an RFP for the 32 acres east of Clay.
Memo

To: Dan Gardner  
From: Matt Felder  
CC: Pete Schroeder  
Date: January 29, 2007  
Re: Approximate Billboard Locations

Dan,

Attached are the copies of the locations for the billboard project we are working on. After some preliminary field work by Plumb Tuckett Surveying, we found that based on the conditions we discussed; two of the nine locations will probably need to be constructed within the Levy. The other seven, should have enough space between the foot of the levy and State ROW to keep that 15 foot distance Amad requested. The aerial photos will show you which ones are affected.

I also attached the original site plans I provided for Jim to give Amad. Please let me know if you need any further clarification.

Thank you,

Matt Felder  
View Outdoor Advertising, LLC
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE REPORT

For meeting on Wednesday, February 7, 2007
(Information in this report is based upon latest data provided at the time the report is put together. Dates and costs may vary depending upon ongoing design and/or coordination with the Army Corps. Report period is from December 29 – January 30, 2007)

GENERAL SUMMARIZATION (EAST REACH TURNOVER)

1. A meeting was held with the city of Gary, and their new representatives on July 20th, 2006 to familiarize the new administration with our project, explain O&M responsibility to be assumed by Gary, and to determine what they will require for the O&M turnover process. Some of these items include:
   1. Six (6) pump station turnover
   2. Levee, sluice gate, flap gate turnover
   3. Transfer of LCRBDC excess lands
   4. Coordination for emergency response

   Maps, pump station reports, O&M detail for maintenance breakdown and costs were distributed and discussed. (Copies available upon request.)
   • Mailed handouts of this meeting to Geraldine Tousant (Deputy Mayor), Gwen Malone (Public Works Director), and Luci Horton (GSD Director) on September 6.


3. A letter was sent to city of Gary on 12/5/06, along with O&M tasks, requesting Gary to provide their own cost estimates/task, to see what the annual cost will be to meet O&M responsibilities as per the Army Corps current O&M Manual. (Ongoing as of December 29, 2006)

4. It is critical to have a meeting with both attorneys and decision makers from Gary and LCRBDC to proceed with requirements for turnover, including pump stations, sluice/flap gates, levees, and all other flood control features.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE BREAKDOWN STATUS

A. PUMP STATION TURNOVER

1. Six (6) pump stations will be turned over to Gary. These include Burr Street, Grant, Broadway, and Ironwood. It also includes two (2) lift stations at 32nd & Cleveland and Marshalltown.

2. An inspection of the six (6) pump stations in Gary was held on August 22 and 23, 2006 with the COE, representatives from Gary, and the LCRBDC.
   • Each of the three (3) parties have submitted results of the inspection which included accepted items, items to be put on a punch list, and any questions/clarifications regarding safety or intent of design.
   • A letter was sent to GSD on September 18 indicating the LCRBDC will
provide the material for telemetry and a one year subscription in the amount of $10,490 based upon Commission approval at our September 6 Board meeting.

- A final punch list has been comprised by the LCRBDC, and is currently being reviewed by the Army COE and representatives from Gary. These items will be paid for and facilitated by the LCRBDC, to assure the stations are operating in “as-built” condition before turnover.

3. Survey work for the six (6) pump stations has been completed and was provided to the LCRBDC attorney at the August 2, 2006 Board meeting, and will require coordination with the city of Gary attorney to sign an agreement as part of the O&M turnover.
   - Awaiting meeting to be scheduled with both attorneys and decision makers for Gary. This will be part of the same meeting to discuss turnover of excess lands.

4. Received the Army Corps inspection results for pump stations on October 16, 2006 (copy available upon request).

5. A letter was sent to the Corps on 12/19/06 requesting comments on certain items. This will be the last step to complete the summary.
   - The COE forwarded a letter, dated June 12, 2006 that addresses many of the remaining concerns from Gary. This is currently being reviewed by the LCRBDC to assure all questions have been addressed.

B. SLUICE GATE/FLAP GATE TURNOVER

1. General Note: There are a total of 51 different closure areas in the East Reach.
   - Gary (41 sluice gates/41 flap gates)
   - Griffith (4 sluice gates/4 flap gates)
   - INDOT (6 sluice gates/6 flap gates)
   - INDOT gates were included as part of this inspection
   - Griffith gates will be inspected in the near future as part of the overall O&M turnover to Griffith

2. It is the intent to advertise sluice gates, and flap gates separately (As a separate contract) from the pump stations and will include lubrication, clean-up, and repair, as noted in inspection reports.
   - Minor items for lubrication or gear boxes are scheduled to be completed by United Water. (Ongoing)
   - One sluice gate and one flap gate will be cleaned, and assured to seal by Austgen Electric to get an approximate cost estimate/per gate. (Ongoing)

5. A final inspection was held on December 14 (Only sluice gate remaining is at Cline & I-80/94 (I.D. #5)
   - A final inspection sheet was comprised and is currently under review by the COE and representatives from Gary.

C. LEVEE, I-WALL TURNOVER

1. A levee inspection was held with the COE, LCRBDC, and Gary on April 25, 26, 27, 2006. (Additional levee inspection will be scheduled in spring, 2007)
   - Received comments from Greeley and Hansen (GSD consultant – Eric
Tonk) on May 1, 2006, including a general summarization. (Copies are available upon request).

2. LCRBDC received a letter from Col. Drolet (current Chicago District Commander) on August 3, 2006 indicating an additional I-wall inspection needs to be conducted in the near future due to a new Federal analysis following Hurricane Katrina.
   • COE tentatively wants to schedule this for next spring, 2007.

3. Received results of Army Corps I-Wall inspection on October 16, 2006. This inspection was held by the COE only on August 31, 2006 (Copies available upon request).

D. TRANSFER OF EXCESS LANDS TO CITY OF GARY
1. Transfer of excess lands (approximately 359 acres) were discussed as part of a meeting held with the city of Gary on June 28, 2004. LCRBDC passed a resolution at the July 7, 2004 Board meeting to begin process.

2. A letter was sent to City of Gary attorney requesting that we proceed with coordination of agreements and what is required for land transfers of LCRBDC properties on September 22, 2006.

3. Surveys were completed on April 25, 2006 for excess lands which include acreage west of Clay Street, south of the NIPSCO R/W, east of I-65, and north of and adjacent to Burns Ditch. (This is approximately 196 acres)
   • The other area is between Chase and Grant adjacent to both sides of 35th Avenue (This is approximately 189 acres).

4. Awaiting meeting to be scheduled with both attorneys and decision makers from Gary. This meeting will also discuss Gary requirements for land upon which project features sit (pump stations, levees, etc.) Dependent upon turnover of O&M responsibility to Gary.

E. TURNOVER OF SPARE PARTS, MANUALS, AND “AS-BUILT” DRAWINGS
1. Spare Part Turnover Process
   • A meeting was held with Debra Harris (United Water – Purchasing/Warehouse Manager) on June 13 to pick up inventory list of “spare parts for pump stations”, which were stored on GSD facilities in November 2005.
   • Received a letter from GSD on August 30, 2006 acknowledging receipt of spare parts lists and assigning a GSD representative to work with Debra Harris to confirm inventory.

2. A letter was sent to city of Gary on October 3, 2006 indicating that the LCRBDC has the (6) electric sluice gate operating drills for inspections.

F. GRIFFITH – O&M TURNOVER (GENERAL)
1. A meeting was held on June 30, 2005 at the Griffith Town Hall regarding the Process of Griffith being removed from the flood plain. (Refer to Engineering Report - Griffith levee)

2. In addition to the certification of the existing Cline to EJ&E RR levee, Griffith will also be required for O&M responsibility from EJ&E RR to Colfax (Burr St. Phase I & southern part of Stage IV-1 South).
• A meeting will be scheduled to discuss O&M responsibilities and turnover coordination. (Ongoing)

GENERAL SUMMARIZATION (WEST REACH TURNOVER)
A. North 5th Pump Station Turnover
   1. A final inspection was held with Highland on February 28, 2006
      (Contractor was Overstreet)
      • This letter also summarized their contractual obligations, and a sequence of events to complete the punch list. They demonstrated an unsatisfactory performance on this contract and have failed to complete these items in a timely manner.
      • Refer to Engineering Report for status of Overstreet coordination.
   2. Pump Station turnover coordination
      • Sent an email to Highland on December 19 requesting facilitation of turnover & that LCRBDC has all manuals, drawings, etc. Highland responded that their Board will accept this station & provide them an agreement.
      • An email was sent to the COE on December 22 requesting information on turnover; Overstreet legal problems, COE requirements, and inspection requirements. (Ongoing)

EMERGENCY RESPONSE COORDINATION
A. Acceptance of Emergency Response by each project municipality
   1. A meeting was held with the COE, LCRBDC, USGS, the National Weather Service, and representatives from all five (5) communities on April 24, 2006.
      • COE requires turnover, and sign-off, by each municipality to assume responsibility for their community to comply with COE plan during a flood, and to submit a plan as part of their overall community emergency response plan.
   2. It was mutually agreed that the closures throughout the project could be expedited by supplementing 2’x2’x7’ concrete blocks, with plastic and sandbags at each location.
      • Received information from the COE in mid-June to determine how many concrete barriers will be needed for each location throughout the project.
      • LCRBDC will coordinate with each municipality to locate these concrete barriers on each individual site. (Ongoing)
   3. A meeting will be scheduled with all the municipalities in the spring of 2007 to provide updated emergency response plans and to incorporate the Little Cal plan accordingly.

B. Replace river data loggers by the COE and USGS
   1. LCRDAN upgrades (river data loggers) in conjunction with the USGS has been agreed upon by the COE to be provided to replace existing outdated units in Crown Point, Hammond, and Gary.
      • A conference call was held on May 24, 2005 with the COE, LCRBDC, USGS, National Weather Service, and the communities to discuss
updating current river level monitoring equipment.

- A summary of this call was distributed by the COE on May 24 (afternoon).
- COE provided an update of the status on August 24, 2006. (COE anticipates completion by end of September) **(Ongoing)**
June 12, 2006

Planning, Programming and Project Management Division

Mr. Dan Gardner
Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission
6100 Southport Road
Portage, Indiana 46368

Dear Mr. Gardner:

I'm writing this letter to answer questions your raised in your letter dated March 27, 2006. I will answer each question in the same order presented in your letter. Here are the answers to the questions:

**Burr Street Pump Station**
This pump station was constructed as part of the Little Calumet River Stage IV-Phase 2A contract (DACW23-95-C-0073). Arthur Rundzaitis was Construction Representative for most of the work.

1. We are assuming that the intake structure referred to in the letter is the trash rack. An aluminum man-rake was provided as part of the project. The trash rack is to be cleared using the rake when necessary. In addition, when the pumps turn off, the back flow functions to clean the trash rack by flushing.

2. The contract closeout memorandum titled "As-Built Record Drawings" from Acting Area Engineer to the Chief of Construction, dated 16 MAR 2005, indicates that the As-Built drawings were reviewed and found to be accurate and correct. Final inspection was performed on 02 SEP 1999 as documented in Final Inspection close-out letter from the Area Engineer to the Contractor, dated 22 SEP 1999. O&M manuals were turned over to the LCRBDC on 18 DEC 2002 as documented in our close-out letter of that date. The contract work was certified to be complete and in conformance with the contract plans and specifications in a memorandum from the Administrative Contracting Officer dated 28 April 2005.

3. The tests required by contract were performed and these tests met the contract required results. Our area Engineers and his representatives attest to the following: pumps were tested in shop prior to delivery (Transmittal No. 11.03 dated 02 JAN 1997). These test results have been incorporated into the Station's O&M manual. Typically, the pumps were tested for: performance/capacity; head measurements; rotational speed; and power input. All test reports were reviewed by USACE and found to be acceptable. Performing/replicating the same Hydraulic Institute performance shop tests in the field would be very difficult or impossible to perform.
The on-site construction representative, Arthur Rundzaitis and the electrical engineer, Ernest Go report that they flooded the pond and turned on the pumps and verified that the pumps and sensors worked as required by the Contract specifications. Pump operation training was done on 22 APR 1999, with White River E.P. (a representative of Gary Sanitary) in attendance. A letter to LCRBDC dated 28 APR 1999 summarizes the training session and includes a sign-in sheet documenting those in attendance.

**Grant Street Pump Station**

This pump station was constructed as part of the Little Calumet River Stage II – Phase 3C2 contract (DACW23-97-C-0028). Curtis Lee was Construction Representative for most of the work.

1. It would facilitate the O & M work to schedule this work after the removal of the debris which is blocking the Little Calumet River at the Conrail Railroad culverts at the downstream end of the project and to schedule the work when stages are low. These two conditions will provide the lowest possible river conditions. Cofferdams could be constructed with sandbags, concrete blocks, steel plates, a combination of these or some other method to isolate the problem gates. There is riprap in these locations so it may be hard to seal off without a steel plate or some other form of cutoff. The isolated area could be pumped out to provide a dry condition. If the problem is a flap gate, the sluice gate could be closed and the dam constructed on the flap gate side. If it is the sluice gate that is the problem and the flap gate seals, the dam could be constructed on the sluice gate side. If neither seal then a dam would need to be constructed on both sides.

   Something to keep in mind when working on the east flap gate at the Grant Street Pump Station is that the west two gates at the pump station location are connected to the very large Johnson Street Pump station and are pressurized. The middle gate could be closed to allow room to build the coffer dam. Work on this gate should be performed with no rain in the forecast and should be coordinated with the operation of the Johnson Street pump station. The cofferdam area may need to be abandoned if the Johnson Street pumps need to be turned on, if the pumping raises water levels above any coffer dam.

2. Per the contract specifications, the contractor was required to provide a Condensation Heater with adjustable thermostat control, with a screw shell or strip type heating element rated at least 800 watts (Spec Sec. 16415-26 Para. J). On 11 NOV 1998, an inspection of the entire pump station was conducted, which included a field test of all pumps and controls. In addition, the Gary Sanitary District personnel received the training that was required by the contract specifications. In attendance were representatives from the LCRBDC, Gary Sanitary District and their consultant Greeley and Hansen Engineering, Webb Construction, Ramirez and Marsh Construction, Hyre Electric, and USACE personnel from the Chicago District and Calumet Area Offices. The pump station was turned over to the Gary Sanitary District on 11 NOV 1998. It was believed that all contract requirements were met at
this time and this facility was turned over to the owners. Based on the LCRBDC letter, it appears that the Condensation Heater was either not installed or was removed sometime after turnover of the work. Since the Corps cannot verify that the heater was installed under this contract, the Corps will arrange to have one installed under a different contract.

3. The Chief of Construction Division signed the Record Drawings, Work “As-Built”, on 25 July 2000. This signature is in essence a certification that the as-built drawings are correct. The “Check List for Turnover” form indicates that the As-Built drawings were turned over to the LCRBDC on 03 AUG 2000. The Final Inspection was performed on 18 MAY 2000 as documented in the letter from the Authorized Representative of the Contracting Officer to the Contractor, dated 06 JUN 2000. O&M manuals were turned over to the LCRBDC on 21 NOV 2000 as documented in our close-out letter of that date. A “Check List for Turnover”, included in the contract close-out package, indicates the dates contract items were turned over to the sponsor.

4. The tests that were required by the contract were performed and these tests met the contract required results. Our area Engineers and his representatives attest that pumps were tested in the shop prior to delivery. These test results would have been incorporated into the station’s O&M manual. Typically the pumps were tested for: performance/capacity; head measurements; rotational speed; and power input. All test reports were reviewed by USACE and found to be acceptable. Performing/replicating the same Hydraulic Institute performance tests in the field would be very difficult or impossible to perform. The Construction Representative reports that there was sufficient water to perform the field operational testing at startup, as required by the contract specifications. The pumps were observed to function as intended. Representatives from the LCRBDC, Gary Sanitary District and their consultant Greeley and Hansen Engineering, Webb Construction, Ramirez and Marsh Construction, Hyre Electric, and USACE personnel from the Chicago District Office also observed the successful testing.

Broadway Pump Station

This pump station was constructed as part of the Little Calumet River Stage II – Phase 3B contract (DACW23-95-C-0071). Ed Karwatka was Construction Representative for most of the work.

1. The contract close-out memorandum from the Area Engineer to the Chief of Construction, entitled “As-Built Record Drawings”, dated 09 FEB 2004 indicates that the As-Built drawings were reviewed and found to be accurate and complete. Final inspection was performed on 18 DEC 2003 as documented in the Contracting Officer’s Representative’s close-out letter to the Contractor, dated 06 JAN 2003. O&M manuals were turned over to the LCRBDC on 18 DEC 2002 as documented in our close-out letter of that date. A “Check List for Turnover”, included in the contract close-out package, indicates the dates contract items were turned over and accepted by the Local Sponsor.
2. The tests required by the contract were performed and these tests met the contract required results. Our area Engineers and his representatives attest that pumps were tested in the shop prior to delivery and the test results are incorporated into the station’s O&M manual. Typically the pumps were tested for: performance/capacity; head measurements; rotational speed; and power input. All test reports were reviewed by USACE and found to be acceptable. Performing/replicating the same Hydraulic Institute performance tests in the field would be very difficult or impossible to perform. The Construction Representative reports that the operational testing was performed using water from the river.

Ironwood Pump Station
This pump station was constructed as part of the Little Calumet River Stage II – Phase IV contract (DACW23-95-C-0076). It is believed that Ed Karwatka was Construction Representative for most of the work. Bob Craib has been involved in discussions and inspections over the past couple of years.

1. A jib crane and spare pump will be included in a COE contract called 27th and Chase. This contract will remediate the drainage concerns at the 27th and Chase area and add the spare pump and jib crane to this station. The plans are being developed at the present time and we expect a contract award end of the 4th quarter of FY 2006.

2. The contract close-out memorandum from the Area Engineer to the Chief of Construction entitled “As-Built Record Drawings”, dated 21 OCT 2002, indicates that the As-Built drawings were reviewed and found to be accurate and complete. As-Built drawings were turned over to LCRBDC on 06 JAN 2003. Final inspection was performed on 18 DEC 2003 as documented in our contract close-out letter dated 06 JAN 2003. O&M manuals were turned over to the LCRBDC on 18 DEC 2002 as documented in the close-out letter to the LCRBDC of that date.

3. The tests that were required by the contract were performed and these tests met the contract required results. Our area Engineers and his representatives attest that pumps were tested for: Performance/capacity; Head measurements; Rotational speed; and Power input. All test reports were reviewed by USACE and found to be acceptable. Performing/replicating the same Hydraulic Institute performance tests in the field would be very difficult or impossible to perform. It should be noted that field operational pump testing and inspection was performed after pump installation and witnessed by the LCRBDC.

General Comments:

1. Each station has different design requirements. The design requirements for each station were implemented. These questions should have been brought up in the design phase of the project.
2. It is a good idea to “bump” the pumps on a weekly basis. However, we suggest doing it manually to prevent damage to the motor/pump in case something went wrong.

3. Same as no. 2.

4. The broken impeller is a problem that the Commission needs to fix. We are interested to know how the manufacturer responded to your inquiry. If the ice is a problem, we suggest that you activate the sump/dewater pump periodically to prevent water reaching the big pump during the winters. O&M personnel should come up with a plan.

If you have any questions, please contact me at 312-353-6400 ext. 1809.

Sincerely Yours,

Imad N. Sanda
Project Manager