MEETING NOTICE

THERE WILL BE A MEETING OF THE
LITTLE CALUMET RIVER BASIN
DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

AT 6:00 P.M. WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 6, 2008
AT THE COMMISSION OFFICE
6100 SOUTHPORT ROAD
PORTAGE, IN

WORK STUDY SESSION BEGINS AT 5:30 P.M.

AGENDA

1. Call to order by Chairman Bill Biller
2. Pledge of Allegiance
3. Recognition of Visitors and Guests
4. Approval of Minutes of January 9, 2008
5. Chairman's Report
   • Resignation of Charlie Ray, Porter County Commissioners' Appointment
   • Resignation of Mark Reshkin, Governor's Appointment
   • Report on meeting with Mayor McDermott on 1/22
     > Letter to RDA regarding Mayor's concerns over contracting length for SV-2
6. Action Required:
   Finance: • Approval of claims for January 2008
   • Approval of O&M claims for January 2008
   Report of Finance Committee recommended action:
     • Consideration of NIRPC contract for administrative services
     • Consideration of independent Contractors' contracts
       - Engineering/Land Management Agent contract
       - Land Acquisition Agent contract
       - Crediting Technician Services contract
   Land Acq: • Action on increased offers
7. Executive Director’s Report
   • RDA meeting scheduled for February 12
   • Letter from Army Corps regarding property on 200 acre site between Chase and Grant Streets south of the river
   • Letter to INDOT requesting construction update of Indpls. Blvd. project from Ridge Road to river (including pump station) in Highland

8. Standing Committees
   A. Finance Committee – Report by Treasurer Kent Gurley
      • Financial status report for end of December 2007
      • Renewal of Public Officials and Employees liability policy
      • Army Corps letter requesting $500,000 escrow 5% cash contribution
      • Issues for discussion

   B. Land Acquisition/Land Management Committee – Committee Chair Bob Marszalek
      Land Acquisition
      • Appraisals, offers, acquisitions
      • Status of acquisition for Stages V-2, VII and VIII
      • SVIII updated real estate drawings received
      Land Management
      • Committee meeting held on 1/25
      • Status of 26 acres east of Clay Street
      • Issues for discussion

   C. Project Engineering Committee – Committee Chair Bob Huffman
      • Stage V-2 progress meeting held 1/23
      • Status of SVIII engineering design
      • Status of Stage V-2 pipeline corridor
      • Issues for discussion

   D. Operation & Maintenance – Committee Chair Bob Huffman
      • Status on sluice gale/flap gate repairs
      • “As-built” turnover to city of Gary
      • Issues for discussion

   E. Legislative Committee – Committee Chair
      • Issues for discussion

   F. Environmental Committee – Committee Chair Mark Reshkin
      • Little Calumet River Watershed Committee meeting scheduled January 30
      • Issues for discussion

   G. Recreational Development Committee – Committee Chair Bob Huffman
      • Issues for discussion

   H. Policy Committee – Committee Chair Bob Marszalek
      • Issues for discussion

9. Other Issues / New Business

10. Statements to the Board from the Floor

11. Set date for next meeting; adjournment
Chairman William Biller called the meeting to order at 6:10 p.m. Eight (8) Commissioners were present. Pledge of Allegiance was recited. Guests were recognized.

**Development Commissioners:**
William Biller  
Robert Huffman  
Steve Davis  
Bob Marszalek  
Charlie Ray  
Kent Gurley  
Arlene Colvin  
Mark Gordish

**Visitors:**
Bill Petrites – Highland resident  
Elizabeth Johnson – Congressman’s Office  
Steve Enger – Munster resident in V-2  
Erik Potter – Post Tribune  
Don Ewoldt - LEI

**Staff:**
Dan Gardner  
Sandy Mordus  
Lou Casale  
Jim Pokrajac  
Judy Vamos  
Lorraine Kray

The minutes of the meeting held on December 18, 2007 were approved by a motion from Bob Huffman; motion seconded by Steve Davis – after a correction was made by Kent Gurley to include his question to Imad Samara as to the status of the project schedule and then Mr. Samara’s answer to him that it was on schedule; motion passed unanimously, as modified.

**Chairman’s Report** – Chairman Biller asked the Nominating Committee for their report. Commissioner and Nominating Committee Chairperson Arlene Colvin reported that the committee met and is ready to make their recommendation to the Board members. Their recommendation is to retain the existing 2007 officers, except for the office of Secretary. Since the current officers are familiar with the existing project issues, they felt it was wise to have them retain their respective office. Ms. Colvin made a motion to nominate William Biller as Chairman; motion seconded by Steve Davis; motion passed unanimously. Ms. Colvin made a motion to nominate Robert Marszalek as Vice Chairman; motion seconded by Steve Davis; motion passed unanimously. Ms. Colvin made a motion to nominate Kent Gurley as Treasurer; motion seconded by Steve Davis; motion passed unanimously. Mr. Colvin made a motion to nominate Mark Gordish as Secretary; motion seconded by Steve Davis; motion passed unanimously.

* Discussion ensued on establishing a separate committee to consider future O&M funding options to meet the Federally requirements and the creation of a policy statement. It was decided to limit the committee to 3-4 members. Their mission would be to meet and come back before the Commission in several months to present research and make any
recommendation accordingly adopting a policy statement at that time. The committee would explore options; craft them in a recommendation; present to the Commission; vote upon how to proceed; and then move forward. After a discussion of what the committee should be called, it was decided that the committee would draft their own committee name. Also, after discussion, it was decided that the position, and not the person, would comprise the committee. Kent Gurley made a motion to select the committee to comprise of the Chairman (Bill Biller), the Mayor of Gary’s appointment (Arlene Colvin), the Mayor of Hammond’s appointment (Mark Gordish), the Treasurer (Kent Gurley), and the Chairman of the O&M Committee (Bob Huffman); motion seconded by Bob Huffman; motion passed unanimously.

**Action Required** – Treasurer Kent Gurley presented items for action. He proceeded to make a motion to approve the monthly claims of $66,984.39; the motion was seconded by Bob Huffman; motion passed unanimously.

- Mr. Gurley then made a motion to approve the O&M claims in the amount of $52.88; motion seconded by Bob Marszalek; motion passed unanimously.
- Mr. Gurley then made a motion to approve a transfer of funds of $120 from Budget Line 5812 (NIRPC Services) into Budget Line 5821 (Travel/Mileage) to cover year end mileage claims; motion seconded by Bob Huffman; motion passed unanimously.
- The approval of the contract for administrative services with NIRPC for the year 2008 was deferred until the Finance Committee meets with NIRPC officials for an explanation of increased costs.
- Mr. Gurley proceeded to make a motion to approve the contract for legal services with Casale, Woodward, & BULT for the year 2008 at the same rate as last year’s contract; motion seconded by Bob Huffman; motion passed unanimously.
- Mr. Gurley referred to the contracts for the independent contractors. The contracts for 2008 with James Pokrajac, Judith Vamos, and Lorraine Kray were deferred until they had the opportunity to meet with the Finance Committee. Mr. Gurley proceeded to make a motion approving the crediting services contract with Sandy Mordus for the year 2008 at a hourly rate of $26.50; motion seconded by Bob Huffman; motion passed unanimously.
- Mr. Gurley made a motion to approve the Commission’s 2008 Operating Budget as proposed; motion seconded by Arlene Colvin; motion passed unanimously. The Administrative Budget is a total of $180,000; the O&M Budget is$46,507; and the Land Acquisition/Project Development Budget is a total of $1,685,078 for professional/consultant services and land acquisition/easement costs; $1,250,000 for utility relocation/railroad crossing costs (remaining IEDC monies appropriated), and $1,226,025 for project cost share payments/ escrow account to be paid to the Army Corps in 2008. If successful in receiving $6 million from RDA funds, the budget will be revised to reflect that increased amount. We are hopeful in having the $6 million approved on February 12; it has already been recommended by committee to the Board.
- Land Acquisition Committee Chairman Bob Marszalek reported there is no action needed.
- Land Management Committee Chairman Bob Marszalek referred to Jim Pokrajac for an explanation of the advertising of the farm land. Mr. Pokrajac reported that the farm land was advertised. In Area #1 (between Chase & Grant, outside the levees only, approx. 140 acres), two bids were received: $86/tillable acre from Tim & Ed Bult and $140/tillable acre
from Plum Grove Farm. Mr. Marszalek made a motion to accept the high bid of $140/acre for an estimated total of $19,600; motion seconded by Arlene Colvin; motion passed unanimously. In Area #2 (north of I-80/94, west of I-65, south of the river, approx. 52 acres), one bid was received: $75/tillable acre from Jerry Ewen. Mr. Marszalek made a motion to accept the bid of $75/acre for an estimated total of $3,900; motion seconded by Arlene Colvin; motion passed unanimously. In Area #3 (adjacent to both sides of Clay Street, north of Burns Ditch, approx. 112 acres), one bid was received: $71.50/tillable acre from Gary Dunlap. Mr. Marszalek made a motion to accept the bid of $71.50/acre for an estimated total of $8,008; motion seconded by Kent Gurley; motion passed unanimously.

Executive Director’s Report – Mr. Gardner talked about the Commission’s request to the RDA for $6 million gap funding to be used for the non-Federal project costs to complete the flood control construction. He reported that the RDA will meet tomorrow at 1:00 in their Merrillville office (January 10). The RDA has asked that an economic analysis be done on the project for economic benefits/cost purposes. That is currently being done by Bill Sheldrake. Mr. Gardner referred to the letter in the agenda packet providing him with details and a draw-down schedule of the $6 million. Also included is information from Imad Samara listing the 7% cash escrow payments to the Corps. Mr. Gardner also reported that Leigh Morris has replaced John Clark on the RDA board. The RDA will have their board meeting on February 12 in Indianapolis.

• Mr. Gardner referred to a letter from Mayor McDermott expressing his dissatisfaction with the 750 day provision for completion V-2 contract segment. Discussion ensued on how the Army Corps estimated the contract length and how they contain the contract length. The contract for V-2 was let the end of September 2007. Options for early completion should be considered to shorten the contract duration, i.e. Cabela’s has asked Dyer Construction to identify any ways to build this segment faster. We are awaiting their response.
Chairman Biller asked if Stage VIII was still scheduled for advertisement for April 2008. Attorney Casale responded that it is extremely difficult to be able to procure ±90 properties by April. Chairman Biller referred to a Corps letter and said they have not met their dates. Unfortunately, we anticipate that some of these properties will be condemnations, which will take longer. Offers are starting to be sent out. There are currently 16 properties that have ongoing engineering modifications. Mr. Pokrajac indicated that the longer it takes to complete engineering that will affect real estate, the further back the acquisition completion date. It is anticipated that we should receive final engineering drawings by January 31; the Corps is asking for final review by February 21.

Stage VII is about 75% complete in land acquisition. We will be asking the city of Hammond to donate the remaining easements.
Commissioner Kent Gurley commented that the schedule appears to be out of balance.

Finance Committee – Committee Chairman Kent Gurley reported that finance action was already taken. The financial statement for end of December is in the agenda packet. Mr. Gurley expressed concern that our remaining O&M funds are not adequate to cover actual O&M costs.
Land Acquisition/Land Management Committee – Committee Chairman Bob Marszalek stated action has already been taken. He referred to Judy Vamos to give the report. Mrs. Vamos distributed a real estate report to all members. Ms. Vamos reported that in Stage V, there are two options remaining – NSRR and NIPSCO. Staff is working on those to resolve complete the signing of the real estate easements. In Stage VII, we are awaiting decisions on engineering design changes from the Corps to address some residents’ concerns regarding the easements. In Stage VIII, offers will begin to go out this week. Although we have not received the approval of the $6 million of RDA funds at this point, we need to start the acquisition process for Stage VIII to meet the schedule. We have limited funds remaining to pay out accepted offers.

• Committee Chairman Bob Marszalek referred to Jim Pokrajac for a report. He reported that a RFP for the 26 acres east of Clay Street was advertised; one letter of interest with proposal was received from LEL. Mr. Gardner suggested that the committee meet with LEL to discuss their proposal.

• Mr. Pokrajac referred to the list of billboard locations and corresponding reimbursement in the committee report. The majority of the locations were pre-paid to the Commission so it is not anticipated that we will receive any other income from them until after June 2008.

Project Engineering Committee – Committee Chairman Bob Huffman stated that V-2 construction (Kennedy to Northcote) has started. Residents in the Munster, Hart Ditch area have been notified by a hand-delivered letter from the town.

• Jim Pokrajac reported that we have received three more approvals from the Corps on pipeline costs being fair and reasonable. Staff will start to assemble these pipeline agreements for the attorney’s and Corps Real Estate Dept’s approval before mailing out. Actual pipeline costs have come in approximately $500,000 higher than what we anticipated.

• The inspection on Stage VI-1North was cancelled due to bad weather. It has not been rescheduled to date.

Operation & Maintenance Committee – Committee Chairman Bob Huffman referred to Jim Pokrajac for a report. Mr. Pokrajac gave an update regarding the sluice gate/flap gate repairs. Weather is playing a part in the delayed repair work. Austgen is working on those gates that they can access from the road. It is so wet in some areas, there is no access at this time.

• Mr. Pokrajac talked about the recent rains/flooding that recently occurred. He met with INDOT at the sluice gate at Kennedy Avenue where water backed up to I-80/94 the last time it rained. The sluice gate was closed right away. There was good coordination which will establish responsibility for action, which will make an earlier response time. Indianapolis Blvd. was closed for a while; water was going across the roadway. Highland did pump the area; the water came from the ditch behind Tri-State. Minimal damage was done in this last rainfall. Chase Street in Gary was closed for awhile. The city of Gary did a good job responding in that area. Mr. Pokrajac stated that the Army Corps needs to improve the information they have available to municipalities regarding river elevations during flooding.

• The meeting in Chicago for the emergency response will be re-scheduled the beginning of February.
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• Mr. Pokrajac reported that all the pump stations were working.

Legislative Committee – There was no report.

Environmental Committee – For informational purposes, a pamphlet on MS4 was distributed.
• There will be a watershed meeting next week. When R. W. Armstrong’s report is available, he will share it with the Commission.

Recreation Committee – There was no report.

Policy Committee – There was no report.

Other Business – Happy Birthday to George Carlson on his 95th!

Statements from the Floor – Bill Petrites asked the Commission to communicate to INDOT, in writing, the importance of expediting the scheduling of the INDOT project for the segment between Ridge Road and the river, which includes the pump station west of Indianapolis Blvd. in the Highland area. Some water was flowing to the Wicker Park Manor area in this last rain event that could have been much worse with a greater event. Mr. Gardner stated he would write a letter to INDOT about moving up the pump station construction. Chairman Biller asked Dan to inquire about the flooding report that Earth Tech is doing for INDOT when the Borman Expressway was closed back in August.
• Don Ewoldt stated that he finds it ironic that the same group of Corps employees that voted against the Chase to Grant mitigation area is now going to approve the Soil Conservancy enhancement plan. Now that we acquired land in Hobart Marsh area, the Corps seems more supportive; also that the lands between the levees was adequate and millions of State dollars were wasted. He asked the Commission to fight any wetland restoration on that property. Mr. Gardner replied that we would be farming it or restoring it.
• Stage V-2 Munster resident Steve Enger informed us that Cabela’s has a single point discharge pump that is pumping into the river and is causing erosion on the south side that could affect that line of protection. They are pumping 5,000 gallons of water a minute into the Little Calumet River. He will notify the Army Corps but asked the Commission to write a protest letter also. He also asked if there was a landscaping plan available from Munster yet. Mr. Gardner replied he did not think so, probably when some earthwork is started, Munster will get something together. We need to engage Munster when construction is completed, so that actual landscaping can begin. He then inquired about contractor work hours, fencing, signage, haul routes, traffic patterns, dust control, safety factors, etc. He has a concern for the residents and children in the area. Mr. Gardner will communicate his concern to the Army Corps. Mr. Pokrajac added that an Army Corps field representative from the Griffith office will be on site during construction to assure compliance with Corps specifications and local codes. Mr. Biller stated that Mr. Enger’s comments and concerns are valid and we will discuss them with the Corps. Mr. Gardner will also talk to the town. Mr. Gurley asked if the contractor has to permit his construction through the community. He does have to obtain permits and the contractor will work with the community also.
• Elizabeth Johnson from the Congressman’s office inquired about the discussion on forming a new O&M committee that will address O&M funding. She thought that the communities were going to take over O&M. Mr. Gardner answered by stating that, although we plan to obtain agreements with the community for O&M, the Development Commission is the underlying party through the LCA which will be responsible for O&M compliance with Corps guidelines. It is up to the Commission to ultimately assure O&M compliance. The new committee would just explore what is “out there” to be able to help communities with funding. Her concern is that the Congressman has obtained funds to build this project in order that residents would not have to pay flood insurance. If we were to initiate “user” fees to the residents, it would be unacceptable to the Congressman. Chairman Biler assured her that it is our intent that we will have individual agreements with each of the municipalities in order for them to assume O&M responsibilities; but their taking over actual O&M does not address how to fund O&M. This committee will look at viable funding options once the capital project is completed to see what options are available. It has been made clear to the Commission that future State funding is not available for O&M. We will keep the Congressman’s office informed.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned. The next scheduled Board meeting is set for 6:00 p.m. on Wednesday, February 6, 2008.
January 9, 2008

Little Calumet River Basin Commission
6100 Southport Road
Portage, IN 46368

RE: Little Calumet River Basin Commission

Dear Commission Members:

It is with regret that I must inform you that I am no longer able to serve on the Little Calumet River Basin Commission. Time constraints imposed by companies in Chesterton and Arizona make it impossible to devote the time and attention necessary to be an effective member of the commission. Please consider my resignation effective after the February meeting.

I have enjoyed the time I have been a member and thank you for having given me the opportunity to serve the community in that capacity.

Very truly yours,

Charles L. Ray

CLR/Lm
January 28, 2008

Dear Bill and Dan:

I have decided to resign from the Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission effective as of this date. I served three years filling out a previous appointment's term and four years as a Governor's appointment which ended December 31, 2007. I am stepping down for personal reasons.

It has been a privilege to serve and I continue to support the goal of effective flood control for the Little Calumet River Valley. Please notify the appropriate person in the Governor's office for me.

Most Sincerely,

Mark Reshkin
January 15, 2008

Mr. Tim Sanders  
Executive Director  
Northwest Indiana RDA  
9800 Connecticut Drive  
Crown Point, Indiana 46307

Dear Mr. Sanders:

I am writing in response to the request of the Northwest Indiana Regional Development Authority’s working group for clarification of the letter submitted by Mayor Tom McDermott to Col. John Drolet, District Commander of the Chicago District Corps of Engineers, regarding the Stage V Phase 2 flood protection levee construction schedule allowing 750 days for completion of this stage. The Development Commission, as the non-federal sponsor with the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, has maintained close communication and coordination with the local communities as part of our responsibilities. Members of the Development Commission met with Mayor McDermott regarding his deep concern. It is our belief that the Mayor’s frustration is not in letting of the contract but in the duration allowed for completing the work in two years. The Development Commission is attempting to work with all the local parties, including the city of Hammond, Cabela’s Inc., the Lake County Convention and Visitors Bureau, and other interested development entities along this stretch of the river to explore with the federally-selected contractor, Dyer Construction, any and all mechanisms to assist them in completing the contract as early as possible to avoid not only the threats of flooding but begin to realize the economic benefits by pursuing removal from the flood plain once construction is complete.

As of the writing of this letter, we are still awaiting formal communication from Dyer Construction as to any mechanisms that can assist them in reducing the completion time. Once that is obtained, the Development Commission commits to working with all the parties to complete the project construction at the earliest date possible. I must point out that the construction contract is the direct responsibility of the Army Corps. They have, however, communicated a willingness to explore methods to accelerate completion. Once physically complete, the Army Corps will certify the work and the Federal Emergency
Management Agency (FEMA) must concur and remove the area from the flood plain to realize the benefits we're all striving for.

The Development Commission and the Corps of Engineers are committed toward achieving the flood control project completion as outlined in the letter by Congressman Visclosky and Governor Daniels. This effort will include attempting to, as stated above, compress the schedule for the Stage V-2 contract as well as move ahead with the final two construction phases to the Illinois state line. The approval of the requested $6 million from the RDA is critical to achieving this goal. On behalf of the Development Commission and the communities and residents to be benefited, we thank the Regional Development Authority for its consideration and positive recommendations of the working group toward this end.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Dan Gardner
Executive Director

/sjm
cc: Congressman's Office
Mayor Tom McDermott
Col. John Drolet
Army Corps defends its Hammond levee project

January 12, 2008

By Erik Potter Post-Tribune staff writer

The Army Corps of Engineers is defending its timeline for levee construction in Hammond, saying that real estate and design delays prevented them from bidding the project in time for it to be completed by the end of 2008.

The Army Corps awarded a $13.3 million contract to Dyer Construction Co. in September to construct levees along the Little Calumet River from Kennedy Avenue to Northcote Avenue, a stretch that includes the new Cabela's store on Indianapolis Boulevard. The contract requires the project to be finished in October 2009.

Hammond Mayor Tom McDermott Jr. has protested that date to the Army Corps, citing a 2006 letter from the state's economic development agency to Cabela's that called for a Dec. 31, 2008, completion date.

McDermott is pointing to a host of development projects along Indianapolis Boulevard that would be delayed by an October 2009 completion date.

However, Army Corps project leader Imad Samara said they never entered into any promises that the project would be completed by a certain date.

"In all our discussion, the Corps never promised anything," Samara said, adding that the Army Corps can only bid a project after a host of factors have been resolved, including acquiring the needed rights-of-way, finishing the engineering designs and coordinating with affected entities, such as Northern Indiana Public Service Co.
"The biggest (delay) was real estate," Samara said. "The other thing that came into play was coordinating some of the future (retail) development with our work."

Samara said when Woodmar Country Club land changed from being a golf course to a future Cabela's site, and as plans on that site changed, Army Corps' engineering plans had to be adjusted accordingly.

Third parties, such as Cabela's, could offer incentives or other assistance to Dyer Construction to help speed up construction, though the company is under no obligation to make any such side deal.
### Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission
#### Monthly Budget Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Account Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Budget 2008</th>
<th>January</th>
<th>February</th>
<th>March</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>Allocated Total</th>
<th>Unallocated Budgeted Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5801</td>
<td>PER DIEM EXPENSES</td>
<td>7,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>7,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5811</td>
<td>LEGAL EXPENSES</td>
<td>3,500.00</td>
<td>283.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>283.33</td>
<td>3,216.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5812</td>
<td>NIRPC SERVICES</td>
<td>149,000.00</td>
<td>13,001.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13,001.37</td>
<td>135,998.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5821</td>
<td>TRAVEL/MILEAGE</td>
<td>2,000.00</td>
<td>36.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36.40</td>
<td>1,963.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5822</td>
<td>PRINTING/ADVERTISING</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
<td>985.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>985.04</td>
<td>14.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5823</td>
<td>BONDS/INSURANCE</td>
<td>8,000.00</td>
<td>257.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>257.00</td>
<td>7,743.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5824</td>
<td>TELEPHONE EXPENSES</td>
<td>6,500.00</td>
<td>793.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>793.07</td>
<td>5,706.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5825</td>
<td>MEETING EXPENSES</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5840</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES</td>
<td>842,539.00</td>
<td>84,750.52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>84,750.52</td>
<td>757,788.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5860</td>
<td>PROJECT LAND PURCHASE EXP.</td>
<td>842,539.00</td>
<td>15,545.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15,545.00</td>
<td>826,994.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5882</td>
<td>UTILITY RELOCATION EXP.</td>
<td>1,250,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,250,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5883</td>
<td>PROJECT LAND CAP. IMPROV.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5884</td>
<td>STRUCTURES CAP. IMPROV.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5892</td>
<td>PROJECT COSTSHARE/ESC ACCT</td>
<td>1,226,025.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1,226,025.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4,341,103.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>115,651.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Account Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Budget 2008</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>August</th>
<th>September</th>
<th>October</th>
<th>November</th>
<th>December</th>
<th>Allocated Total</th>
<th>Unallocated Budgeted Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5801</td>
<td>PER DIEM EXPENSES</td>
<td>7,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5811</td>
<td>LEGAL EXPENSES</td>
<td>3,500.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3,216.67</td>
<td>3,216.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5812</td>
<td>NIRPC SERVICES</td>
<td>149,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>135,998.63</td>
<td>135,998.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5821</td>
<td>TRAVEL/MILEAGE</td>
<td>2,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,963.60</td>
<td>1,963.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5822</td>
<td>PRINTING/ADVERTISING</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14.96</td>
<td>14.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5823</td>
<td>BONDS/INSURANCE</td>
<td>8,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7,743.00</td>
<td>7,743.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5824</td>
<td>TELEPHONE EXPENSES</td>
<td>6,500.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5,706.93</td>
<td>5,706.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5825</td>
<td>MEETING EXPENSES</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5840</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES</td>
<td>842,539.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>757,788.48</td>
<td>757,788.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5860</td>
<td>PROJECT LAND PURCHASE EXP.</td>
<td>842,539.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>826,994.00</td>
<td>826,994.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5882</td>
<td>UTILITY RELOCATION EXP.</td>
<td>1,250,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,250,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5883</td>
<td>PROJECT LAND CAP. IMPROV.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5884</td>
<td>STRUCTURES CAP. IMPROV.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5892</td>
<td>PROJECT COSTSHARE/ESC ACCT</td>
<td>1,226,025.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,226,025.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4,341,103.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>115,651.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCT</td>
<td>VENDOR NAME</td>
<td>AMOUNT</td>
<td>EXPLANATION OF CLAIM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5811</td>
<td>CASALE, WOODWARD &amp; BULS LLP</td>
<td>283.33</td>
<td>MONTHLY RETAINER THROUGH JANUARY 2008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5812</td>
<td>NIRPC</td>
<td>12,884.43</td>
<td>SERVICES PERFORMED DECEMBER 2007</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5812</td>
<td>BOYCE FORMS</td>
<td>119.94</td>
<td>LEDGER PAGES FOR 2008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5821</td>
<td>SANDY MORIL</td>
<td>39.40</td>
<td>MILEAGE 1/03-12/24/08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5822</td>
<td>HOLDEN GRAPHIC SERVICES</td>
<td>950.64</td>
<td>COST INCURRED RE: BOX OF CHECKS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5823</td>
<td>NOTARY PUBLIC UNDERWRITERS INC</td>
<td>257.00</td>
<td>NOTARY RENEWAL FOR SANDY MORILUS &amp; JUDITH VAMOS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5824</td>
<td>VERIZON NORTH</td>
<td>123.45</td>
<td>BILLING PERIOD 11/18/08-12/19/08 (TOTAL BILL 236.11 KRBC 112.66)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5824</td>
<td>AT &amp; T</td>
<td>365.18</td>
<td>BILLING PERIOD 11/14/07-12/19/07 KRBC 12.91 TOTAL BILL 287.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5824</td>
<td>AT &amp; T</td>
<td>305.46</td>
<td>BILLING PERIOD 12/14/07-1/12/08 KRBC 13.20 TOTAL BILL 310.66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5841</td>
<td>CLERK OF LAKE SUPERIOR COURT</td>
<td>2,250.00</td>
<td>COURT ORDERED APPRAISAL RE: DC#13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5841</td>
<td>BOCHNOWSKI APPRAISAL COMPANY</td>
<td>1,200.00</td>
<td>APPRAISALS RE: DC-1320</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5841</td>
<td>HERITAGE APPRAISAL SERVICE</td>
<td>7,200.00</td>
<td>APPRAISALS RE: DC-1369,1370,1375,1376,1377,1377,13773</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5841</td>
<td>HERITAGE APPRAISAL SERVICE</td>
<td>6,000.00</td>
<td>APPRAISALS RE: DC-1378,1380,1380,1381 &amp; 1382</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5841</td>
<td>HERITAGE APPRAISAL SERVICE</td>
<td>1,750.00</td>
<td>APPRAISAL RE: DC-1010C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5843</td>
<td>STEWART TITLE SERVICES</td>
<td>3,603.00</td>
<td>APPRAISAL RE: DC-1372,1374 &amp; 1371</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5843</td>
<td>STEWART TITLE SERVICES</td>
<td>490.00</td>
<td>TITLE WORK RE: DC-1171 INV#18372</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5843</td>
<td>STEWART TITLE SERVICES</td>
<td>450.00</td>
<td>TITLE WORK RE: DC-1171 INV#18956</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5843</td>
<td>STEWART TITLE SERVICES</td>
<td>490.00</td>
<td>TITLE WORK RE: DC-1176</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5843</td>
<td>STEWART TITLE SERVICES</td>
<td>490.00</td>
<td>TITLE WORK RE: DC-1231</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5843</td>
<td>STEWART TITLE SERVICES</td>
<td>490.00</td>
<td>TITLE WORK RE: DC-1234</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5843</td>
<td>STEWART TITLE SERVICES</td>
<td>490.00</td>
<td>TITLE WORK RE: DC-1235</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5843</td>
<td>STEWART TITLE SERVICES</td>
<td>490.00</td>
<td>TITLE WORK RE: DC-1238</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5843</td>
<td>STEWART TITLE SERVICES</td>
<td>490.00</td>
<td>TITLE WORK RE: DC-1240</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5843</td>
<td>STEWART TITLE SERVICES</td>
<td>596.00</td>
<td>TITLE WORK RE: DC-1241</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5843</td>
<td>STEWART TITLE SERVICES</td>
<td>490.00</td>
<td>TITLE WORK RE: DC-1242 INV#19650</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5843</td>
<td>STEWART TITLE SERVICES</td>
<td>490.00</td>
<td>TITLE WORK RE: DC-1242 INV#19650</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5843</td>
<td>STEWART TITLE SERVICES</td>
<td>595.00</td>
<td>TITLE WORK RE: DC-1243</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5843</td>
<td>STEWART TITLE SERVICES</td>
<td>490.00</td>
<td>TITLE WORK RE: DC-1244</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5843</td>
<td>STEWART TITLE SERVICES</td>
<td>490.00</td>
<td>TITLE WORK RE: DC-1245</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5843</td>
<td>STEWART TITLE SERVICES</td>
<td>595.00</td>
<td>TITLE WORK RE: DC-1246 INV#18370</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5843</td>
<td>STEWART TITLE SERVICES</td>
<td>595.00</td>
<td>TITLE WORK RE: DC-1246 INV#18371</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5843</td>
<td>STEWART TITLE SERVICES</td>
<td>450.00</td>
<td>TITLE WORK RE: DC-1247 INV#18957</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5843</td>
<td>STEWART TITLE SERVICES</td>
<td>450.00</td>
<td>TITLE WORK RE: DC-1247 INV#18958</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5843</td>
<td>STEWART TITLE SERVICES</td>
<td>450.00</td>
<td>TITLE WORK RE: DC-1247 INV#18959</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5844</td>
<td>JAMES E POKRAJAC</td>
<td>4,832.25</td>
<td>ENGINEERING/LAND AGENT 12/07-12/14/07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5844</td>
<td>JAMES E POKRAJAC</td>
<td>5,230.20</td>
<td>ENGINEERING/LAND AGENT 12/15/07-12/31/07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5844</td>
<td>JUDITH VAMOS</td>
<td>282.40</td>
<td>DECEMBER MILEAGE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5844</td>
<td>JUDITH VAMOS</td>
<td>3,370.03</td>
<td>LAND ACQUISITION AGENT SERVICES 12/07-12/14/07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5844</td>
<td>JUDITH VAMOS</td>
<td>2,294.93</td>
<td>LAND ACQUISITION AGENT SERVICES 12/15/07-12/31/07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5844</td>
<td>JUDITH VAMOS</td>
<td>16.80</td>
<td>DECEMBER MILEAGE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5844</td>
<td>G. LORRAINE KRAY</td>
<td>930.95</td>
<td>CREDITING TECH &amp; LAND ACQUISITION ASST 12/14/07-12/13/07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5844</td>
<td>G. LORRAINE KRAY</td>
<td>1,012.80</td>
<td>CREDITING TECH &amp; LAND ACQUISITION ASST 12/16/07-12/25/07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5844</td>
<td>SANDY MORIL</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>CREDITING TECHNICIAN SERVICES 12/14/07-12/10/07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5844</td>
<td>SANDY MORIL</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>CREDITING TECHNICIAN SERVICES 12/16/07-12/31/07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5847</td>
<td>DLZ</td>
<td>1,170.00</td>
<td>PROFessional SERVICES RE: INDIANAPOLIS BLVD INV#14331</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5847</td>
<td>DLZ</td>
<td>1,139.75</td>
<td>PROFessional SERVICES RE: JACKSON STATION INV#14332</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5847</td>
<td>DLZ</td>
<td>1,139.75</td>
<td>PROFessional SERVICES RE: SOUTHSIDE STATION INV#14333</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5847</td>
<td>DLZ</td>
<td>1,404.33</td>
<td>PROFessional SERVICES RE: FOREST AVE STATION INV#14330</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5847</td>
<td>DLZ</td>
<td>1,037.25</td>
<td>PROFessional SERVICES RE: TAPPERS AVE STATION INV#14334</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5847</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>770.00</td>
<td>PROFessional SERVICES RE: DC-1176</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5847</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>400.00</td>
<td>PROFessional SERVICES RE: DC-1169</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5847</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>PROFessional SERVICES RE: DC-LIT 19 VARIOUS PARCELS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5847</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>642.50</td>
<td>PROFessional SERVICES RE: DC-LIT 19 VARIOUS PARCELS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5847</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>77.50</td>
<td>PROFessional SERVICES RE: DC-0842</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5847</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>135.50</td>
<td>PROFessional SERVICES RE: DC-0844</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5847</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>130.50</td>
<td>PROFessional SERVICES RE: DC-0840</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5847</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>130.50</td>
<td>PROFessional SERVICES RE: DC-0839</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5847</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>135.50</td>
<td>PROFessional SERVICES RE: DC-0838</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5847</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>55.00</td>
<td>PROFessional SERVICES RE: DC-0837</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5847</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>PROFessional SERVICES RE: DC-1346</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5847</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>175.00</td>
<td>PROFessional SERVICES RE: DC-1346</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5847</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td>PROFessional SERVICES RE: DC-1344</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5847</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td>PROFessional SERVICES RE: DC-1343</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5847</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td>PROFessional SERVICES RE: DC-1342</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5847</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>145.00</td>
<td>PROFessional SERVICES RE: DC-1341</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5847</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>145.00</td>
<td>PROFessional SERVICES RE: DC-1341</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5847</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>2,470.00</td>
<td>PROFessional SERVICES RE: DC-1340</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5847</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>PROFessional SERVICES RE: DC-1339</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5847</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>172.50</td>
<td>PROFessional SERVICES RE: DC-1338</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5847</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>507.50</td>
<td>PROFessional SERVICES RE: DC-1337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5847</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>382.50</td>
<td>PROFessional SERVICES RE: DC-1336</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCT</td>
<td>VENDOR NAME</td>
<td>AMOUNT</td>
<td>EXPLANATION OF CLAIM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5647</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>522.50</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RE: DC-1335</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5647</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>117.50</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RE: DC-1334</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5647</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>87.50</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RE: DC-1333</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5647</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>32.50</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RE: DC-1332</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5647</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>55.00</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RE: DC-1331</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5647</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>92.50</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RE: DC-1329</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5647</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>92.50</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RE: DC-1327</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5647</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>787.50</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RE: DC-1326</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5647</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>120.00</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RE: DC-1325</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5647</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>152.50</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RE: DC-1324</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5647</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>120.00</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RE: DC-1323</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5647</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>142.50</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RE: DC-1322</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5647</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>205.00</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RE: DC-1321</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5647</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>130.00</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RE: DC-1320</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5647</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>182.50</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RE: DC-1319</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5647</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>180.00</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RE: DC-1318</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5647</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>142.50</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RE: DC-1317</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5647</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>92.50</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RE: DC-1316</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5647</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>1,297.50</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RE: DC-1315</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5647</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>297.50</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RE: DC-1314</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5647</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>192.50</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RE: DC-1313</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5647</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>252.50</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RE: DC-1312</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5647</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>222.50</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RE: DC-1311</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5647</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>1,350.00</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RE: DC-1310</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5647</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>122.50</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RE: DC-1309</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5647</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>95.25</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RE: DC-1308D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5647</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>95.25</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RE: DC-1308C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5647</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>41.25</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RE: DC-1308B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5647</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>103.75</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RE: DC-1308A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5647</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>32.00</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RE: DC-1307</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5647</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RE: DC-1306</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5647</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>122.50</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RE: DC-1305</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5647</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>670.00</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RE: DC-1304</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5647</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>577.50</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RE: DC-1303</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5647</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>122.50</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RE: DC-1302</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5647</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>277.50</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RE: DC-1301</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5647</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>192.50</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RE: CHASE STREET</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5647</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>400.00</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RE: DC-1185</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5647</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>300.00</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RE: DC-1112</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5647</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>775.00</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RE: Misc Engineering</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5647</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>527.50</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RE: DC-1016C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5647</td>
<td>GARCIA CONSULTING</td>
<td>2,645.00</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RE: DC-1015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5649</td>
<td>CASALE,WOODWARD &amp; BULLS LLP</td>
<td>7,829.58</td>
<td>LAND ACQUISITION/LEGAL SERVICES FOR PERIOD ENDED 1/28/08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5649</td>
<td>CASALE,WOODWARD &amp; BULLS LLP</td>
<td>187.00</td>
<td>UTILITY RELLOCATION/LEGAL SERVICES THROUGH 1/28/08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5661</td>
<td>LAKE COUNTY RECORDER</td>
<td>152.00</td>
<td>TO RECORD DEEDS RE: DC-1232,1236,1237 &amp; 1239</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5661</td>
<td>LAKE COUNTY RECORDER</td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>TO RECORD DEEDS RE: DC-1174</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5661</td>
<td>CLERK OF LAKE SUPERIOR COURT</td>
<td>8,200.00</td>
<td>PURCHASE PRICE OF DC-813</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5661</td>
<td>HAMMOND VACANT ASSOC PART</td>
<td>7,075.00</td>
<td>PURCHASE PRICE OF DC-1174</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL** 115,651.73
APPROVAL TO PAY THE FOLLOWING INVOICES
FROM O&M FUND
February 6, 2008

- $54.46 to T-Mobile for costs incurred for cell phone for engineer field work; monthly service 12/11/07 – 1/10/08
Northwest Indiana Regional Development Authority

MEETING NOTICE

RDA Board of Director's Meeting

Date: Tuesday, February 12, 2008
Time: 1:30 p.m. CST / 2:30 p.m. EST
Location: Indiana Department of Administration Conference Center
Address: 402 West Washington Street
           Indianapolis, IN 46204
Room: Conference Room 22
Contact: Sherri Shabaz
        sshabaz@rda.in.gov
        219.644.3500

ALL MEETINGS ARE OPEN TO THE PUBLIC
For information, contact Sherri Shabaz at the RDA, 219-644-3500.
Little Cal project closing in on needed $6 million

Jim Monon said to minimize the damage to streets and inconvenience residents, "Hawthorne from Ridge Road to River Drive and River Drive from Hawthorne to Farmore will be closed to traffic."

The project will be redesigned, as two-way traffic for residents can get to their driveways.

Residents have met with town leaders and officials of the project to discuss trees that are to be removed from the Haydome Woods area.

About 45 homes in the affected area received hand-delivered letters of notification, he said.

"When we complete our project, we will build on both sides of Harb Ditch to a safety margin above the 200-year elevation," said Jim Monon, town engineer. "The project will contain the water in the levee and not allow heavy rains to affect neighbors."

Munster Town Engineer
16 January 2008

Programs and
Project Management Branch

Mr. Dan Gardner
Executive Director
Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission
6100 Southport Road
Portage, IN 46368

RE: Request that you terminate the agricultural lease involving the 200-acre IDEM mitigation field located between Chase and Grant Streets south of the Little Calumet River.

Dear Dan,

We received word last November from IDEM informing us that the 200-acre field located between Chase and Grant Streets south of the river is currently under agriculture and planted in corn. According to interagency agreement involving the Chicago District, IDEM and LCRBDC, and addressed in correspondence from this agency dated 14 January 2002, 14 May 2002, 8 September 2003, 7 June 2004, 4 January 2004 and a memo dated 12 January 2004, and correspondence from IDEM dated 2 January 2002, this field constitutes a portion of the IDEM hydric soil rehydration requirement and so is an essential part of our Little Calumet River Project mitigation obligation. We and IDEM are concerned that, while under agriculture, this tract is not performing the intended wetland mitigation functions.

Although we contacted the LCRBDC back in November when we first became aware of the issue, we don’t know if the farm lease is still in effect with planting planned for the coming spring, or if the lease has elapsed. If the lease is still in effect, we request that you terminate it and not allow that field to continue to be used for agriculture. Would you please notify us if that lease has already elapsed or, if not, when you have terminated it?
Thank you for your cooperation, Dan. Please feel free to call Greg any time if you have questions.

Sincerely,

Imad Samara
Little Calumet River Project Manager

Copy Furnished:
Mr. Marty Maupin, IDEM
January 24, 2008

Mr. Allen R. Egilmez, P.E.
Transportation Dept. Manager
United Consulting Engineers, Inc.
1625 North Post road
Indianapolis, Indiana 46219-1995

Dear Allen:

At the Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission meeting on January 9th, the Board members and Bill Petriles of Highland, questioned the current INDOT schedule for the construction of the critically-needed pump station on the south side of the Little Calumet River along Route 41. In an email from you on November 1, 2007 regarding the “U.S. ROUTE 41 RECONSTRUCTION PROJECT – PHASE 1-A”, you indicated the project is scheduled for a February 2009 letting.

On September 29, 2007 the Army Corps of Engineers let the contract to Dyer Construction to construct the levee segment in this area and the Board asked me to request an update of the State’s commitment to the pump station construction. It is our understanding that it is on the INDOT letting list for February 2009. Could you please confirm that date and communicate the strong support to not let the project slip in time. If INDOT could expedite this project to move up the completion date of their project to coincide with mid-2009, a total effective line of protection and drainage system could be implemented in this area that would benefit North Township and the residents of Highland. If you would like to have a conference call or establish further communication regarding this request, please let me know.

Sincerely,

Dan Gardner
Executive Director

/sjm
encl.
cc: John Wright, Stephen Witsler, INDOT
    Mike Monahan, INDOT LaPorte District Director
    John Bach, Public Works, Highland
    Dennis Simala, North Township
    Imad Samara, Project Manager, Army Corps
    Bill Petrites, Highland resident
LITTLE CALUMET RIVER BASIN DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION
FINANCIAL STATEMENT
JANUARY 1, 2007 - DECEMBER 31, 2007

CASH POSITION - JANUARY 1, 2007

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Account Name</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHECKING ACCOUNT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAND ACQUISITION</td>
<td>180,024.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GENERAL FUND</td>
<td>52,129.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAX FUND</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INVESTMENTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAVINGS</td>
<td>760,503.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESCROW ACCOUNT INTEREST</td>
<td>4,411.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Receipts</strong></td>
<td>997,128.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RECEIPTS - JANUARY 1, 2007 - DECEMBER 31, 2007

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LEASE RENTS</td>
<td>164,227.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTEREST INCOME (FROM CHECKING)</td>
<td>2,230.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAND ACQUISITION</td>
<td>1,453,564.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESCROW ACCOUNT INTEREST</td>
<td>13,875.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISC. RECEIPTS</td>
<td>1,165.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRCI REI</td>
<td>1,416.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRANSFERRED FROM SAVINGS</td>
<td>596,580.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CITY OF MUNSTER FOR PROPERTIES</td>
<td>191,997.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Receipts</strong></td>
<td>2,424,757.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISBURSEMENTS - JANUARY 1, 2007 - DECEMBER 31, 2007

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADMINISTRATIVE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004 EXPENSES PAID IN 2005</td>
<td>150,159.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PER DIEM</td>
<td>5,100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEGAL SERVICES</td>
<td>3,099.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRPC</td>
<td>149,291.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRAVEL &amp; MILEAGE</td>
<td>1,293.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRINTING &amp; ADVERTISING</td>
<td>36.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BONDS &amp; INSURANCE</td>
<td>6,481.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TELEPHONE EXPENSE</td>
<td>7,293.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEETING EXPENSE</td>
<td>1,129.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAND ACQUISITION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEGAL SERVICES</td>
<td>118,932.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APPRAISAL SERVICES</td>
<td>258,450.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENGINEERING SERVICES</td>
<td>37,922.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAND PURCHASE CONTRACTUAL</td>
<td>64,205.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FACILITIES PROJECT MAINTENANCE SERVICES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPERATIONS SERVICES</td>
<td>44,603.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAND MANGEMENT SERVICES</td>
<td>227,372.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SURVEYING SERVICES</td>
<td>247,960.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISCELLANEOUS EXPENSES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECONOMIC MARKETING SOURCES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROPERTY &amp; STRUCTURE COSTS</td>
<td>316,202.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOVING ALLOCATION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAXES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROPERTY &amp; STRUCTURES INSURANCE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTILITY RELOCATION SERVICES</td>
<td>98,561.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAND CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STRUCTURAL CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BANK CHARGES MERCANTILE</td>
<td>60.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PASS THROUGH FOR SAVINGS</td>
<td>781,400.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAYBACK TO SAVINGS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Disbursements</strong></td>
<td>2,369,697.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CASH POSITION - DECEMBER 31, 2007

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Account Name</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHECKING ACCOUNT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAND ACQUISITION</td>
<td>192,304.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GENERAL FUND</td>
<td>81,335.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Funds in Checking Account</strong></td>
<td>273,639.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CHASE SAVINGS ACCOUNT BALANCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(LAND ACQUISITON PROJECT FUNDS)</td>
<td>89,504.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(O &amp; M MONEYS)</td>
<td>38,054.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Savings</strong></td>
<td>89,504.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Original $700,000 note

**Note:** O & M Fund comprised of remaining LEL Money, $185,000 Interest Money, and $133,721.49 Manisa Sand Money

**Savings Interest** 4,942.66

**Note:** Interest deposited from Savings 25,700, Escrow Interest 4,418, Burn St Interest 5,320

**Total Savings** 89,504.55

ESCROW ACCOUNT INTEREST AVAILABLE

**Total of All Accounts** 376,712.54
Planning, Programs and
Project Management Division

Bank One, Indiana, NA
1801 Kennedy Avenue
Schererville, IN 46375

SUBJECT: Little Calumet River Project Construction Fund Escrow Account Number 7500-0244-4747

To Whom It May Concern,

The Department of the Army hereby issues a written request for withdrawal of $500,000 from the referenced escrow account in accordance with the escrow agreement entered into on August 19, 1990.

Please provide the amount requested above in the form of electronic fund transfer. Please use the instructions in the attached sheet to perform this transaction. If you have any question please call Imad Samara at 312-846-5560.

Sincerely,

John D. Drolet
Colonel, U.S. Army
District Commander

Copies Furnished:

Mr. Dan Gardner
Executive Director
Little Calumet River Basin
Development Commission
WORK STUDY SESSION
6 February 2008

LAND ACQUISITION COMMITTEE
Robert Marszalek, Chairman

(ACTION)
There are two increased offers and we respectfully request the Commission to approve the following to prevent another $7,000 in condemnation costs:

DC 1208 for $5,490
DC 1333 for $5,000

(EXPLANATION)
DC 1208: owner is School City of Hammond
(Legal: E 730.14 ft. W 1019.72 ft. SE Sec. 18, T36N, R9W)
(Uniform Land Offer: $2,745 - New Offer: $5,490)
The Hammond School City is in the process of selling excess property. Their attorney has refused our offer of an easement on the property and requested to sell the property in fee. The easement was imposed for a 50% value. Fee total is therefore $5,490. Corps has already approved the total for crediting.

DC 1333 owner is private
(Legal: Southmoor Addition W 20 ft. Lot 5 BLK 7 and E 40 ft. Lot 2 BLK 7)
(Uniform Land Offer: $4,469 – New offer: $5,000)
The owner has asked for additional compensation for a dwarf red Japanese maple tree and a burning bush near the temporary easement that the appraiser overlooked. The tree replacement is $400 and the bush replacement is $113 totaling $4,982. The landowner will settle for a rounded $5,000. Corps has already approved the total for crediting.

STAGE V UPDATE – (Kennedy to Northcote)
The Corps Stage V construction contract was awarded with all acquisitions complete except for two Options (easements not acquired):

DC 1112/1113 owner NIPSCO. W 150' E SW N & S of River.
LCRBDC is researching insurance rates to negotiate a liability insurance increase NIPSCO has requested on its easement before they sign it.

DC 1169 Norfolk Southern Railroad West of Kennedy Avenue
Negotiations continue after the Corps and its railroad consultant (Bergman & Associates) provided their construction costs to the railroad.
STAGE VII UPDATE – (Northcote to Columbia)
Of the 34 offers sent to landowners:
- 27 landowners have accepted and are recorded.
- 3 condemnations have been filed for landowners we can’t locate.
- 2 utilities are reviewing engineering (BP Pipelines and NIPSCO).
- 2 private landowners still have engineering concerns. Corps working on new engineering/real estate mapping to be available in January 08.

STAGE VIII UPDATE:  (Columbia to Illinois state line)
We now have 90 acquisitions with 73 approved appraisals. We have sent out 16 offers. We are waiting on 30 properties which have required Corps changes to the engineering and/or real estate. Offers to private landowners are being sent first to accommodate any time delays due to possible condemnation. Municipal acquisitions (Hammond, Munster, INDOT, and NICDT) will be presented in person and we expect donations.
Committee looks at Little Cal improvement plans

Aim is to reduce level of pollutants, sediment

BY KASS STONE
Times Correspondent

GARY | Plans for the improved health of the Little Calumet River were pushed a little further ahead at Wednesday's meeting of the Little Calumet River Watershed Management Plan Steering Committee.

The group is working on ways to reduce the levels of E. coli bacteria, other pollutants and sediments in the river.

The committee had identified a number of locations along the river and its levees as being "critical areas."

At Wednesday's meeting, committee members decided to look into expanding the size of the locations determined to be "critical areas" for the purpose of creating detention ponds, constructed wetlands and other Best Management Practices, or BMPs, along the levees.

These BMPs would trap water carrying E. coli before it could enter the river.

The committee also agreed to set long-term goals for the reduction of total suspended solids, particulates found in water that cannot be filtered out, and the nutrients nitrogen and phosphorous in the river.

The committee agreed to a long-term goal of reducing the solids load in the water by 30 percent within the next 20 years. The phosphorous and nitrogen goal was also set at a reduction of 30 percent within the next 20 years.

Methods to accomplish these goals include: the creation of Best Management Practices that will trap the pollutants and allow them to settle to the bottom of the pond or basin before going into the river, the planting of vegetation along the river and educating the public on how to reduce the amount of pollution for which they are responsible that is going into the river.

According to committee member and director of Gary's Department of Environmental Affairs, a public meeting will be held soon on the committee's goals and implementation strategies.
Construction Progress Report Through End of January 2008

CONTRACT NO.: W5126P-07-C-0011
CONTRACTOR: Dee Construction Co., Inc.
DESCRIPTION: LCR, Local Flood Protection, Stage 5-2

ORIGINAL CONTRACT AWARD DATE/AMOUNT: 29-Sep-07 13,140,189.41
NTP DATE/CURRENT CONTRACT AMOUNT: Mods P00001 17-Oct-07 13,140,189.41

ORIGINAL CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE/ORIGINAL DURATION: 5-Nov-09 750
REVISED CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE/REVISED DURATION: 5-Nov-09 750
PENDING SCHEDULED COMPLETION DATE/PENDING TIME EXTENSIONS: 5-Nov-09 0

ESTIMATED PROGRESS
A. Present Earnings as of Pay Est. No. 1 1,418,762.75
B. Estimated Earnings thru end of reporting period 150,000.00
C. Value of work performed on directed mods (Earnings not paid for) 0.00
TOTAL ESTIMATED PROGRESS (A+B+C) 1,568,762.75

D. Work Paid for but not in Place (Materials in Storage) Sheet Piling for entire job 1,070,808.75
TOTAL VALUE OF PHYSICAL PROGRESS (A+B+C-D) 497,954.00

E. Potential Termination Costs (% of Remaining Costs) (If Applicable) 0.00

FINANCIAL PROGRESS - (A+B+C+D+E) 2,639,571.50

TOTAL ESTIMATED FINAL CONTRACT AMOUNT
F. Current Contract Amount thru Mod. P00001 13,140,189.41
G. Current Value of Overruns/Underruns (+/-) 0.00
H. Directed, Pending Modifications (Access Ladder Cage + Ped Br. Option + 6 So 5th Pile) 662,495.56
TOTAL ESTIMATED FINAL CONTRACT AMOUNT (F+G+H) 13,802,684.97

FUNDS OBLIGATED FOR PAYMENT: thru Modification P00001 5,000,000.00

ACTUAL PERCENT COMPLETE (A+B+C+D+E)/(F+G+H) 3.61%
SCHEDULED PERCENT COMPLETE (per NAS or Progress Chart) 3.00%
TOTAL EARNINGS AT THE END OF FY07 0.00

PROJECT STATUS/MAJOR ISSUES:
This Contract is incrementally funded.
- Clearing started 01/17/08. Contractor hopes to start driving sheet piling by mid-Feb. All sheet piling delivered to site.
- Pending mods - Re-issuance of corrected drawings and removal of arsenic from imported soil parameters
  - Issue option for East of Kennedy Ped Bridge, no upgrade options.
  - Add safety cage to Indianapolis Pump Station Gate well ladder.
  - Large DSC/Engineering change at Phase 6 South, North Drive I-Wall, engineering almost done, IGE needed.
- Next Progress meeting 02/05/08.
  - One distraught resident, no other confrontations known.
  - Numerous RFIs submitted to date for drawing and dimension clarifications.
**Construction Progress Report**

**Thru End of January 2008**

| CONTRACT NO.: | W912P6-07-C-0003 | TS-C-S |
| CONTRACTOR:   | Fenn Construction Co., Inc. | D. Anderson |
| DESCRIPTION:  | LCR, Local Flood Protection, Burr Street Phase 2 East | Edd/Nielson |

**ORIGINAL CONTRACT AWARD DATE/AMOUNT:**
- 28-Feb-07
- 3,342,583.22

**CURRENT CONTRACT AMOUNT:**
- 13-Mar-07
- 3,342,583.22

**REVISED CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE/ORIGINAL DURATION:**
- 5-Jul-08
- 480

**REVISION DATE:**
- 30-Jul-08
- 505

**TOTAL SCHEDULED COMPLETION DATE/PENDING TIME EXTENSIONS:**
- 30-Jul-08
- 0

**ESTIMATED PROGRESS**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Present Earnings as of Pay Est. No.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Estimated Earnings thru end of reporting period</td>
<td>2,276,535.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Value of work Performed on Directed Mods (Earnings not paid for)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL ESTIMATED PROGRESS (A+B+C)</strong></td>
<td>2,276,535.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Work Paid for but not in Place (Materials in Storage)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL VALUE OF PHYSICAL PROGRESS (A+B+C+D)</strong></td>
<td>2,276,535.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Potential Termination Costs (% of Remaining Costs) (If Applicable)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FINANCIAL PROGRESS: (A+B+C+D+E)**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F. Current Contract Amount thru Mod.</td>
<td>3,342,583.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Current Value of Overruns/Underruns (+/-) (unsuitable Levee Fldtm Matrl and levee fill) (Mult. Changes)</td>
<td>190,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. Directed, Pending Modifications</td>
<td>-173,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL ESTIMATED FINAL CONTRACT AMOUNT (F+G+H)</strong></td>
<td>3,359,583.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Funds Obligated for Payment: thru Modification**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A00002</td>
<td>3,342,583.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Actual Percent Complete (A+B+C+D+E)/(F+G+H)**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(A+B+C+D+E)</td>
<td>67.76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(F+G+H)</td>
<td>68.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Earnings at the End of FY07**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2,000,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Project Status/Major Issues:**

- This Contract is fully funded. Contract Awarded 02/28/2007. NTP Acknowledged 03/13/2007
- Adverse weather delay Mod A00002 for 10 calendar time extension completed.
- I-Wall sheet piling placed. I-Wall Concrete placed. Sheet Pile Concrete on both RR closures completed.
- RFP sent to Contractor for miscellaneous mod, received preliminary approval from LCRBDC. Proposal being reviewed.
- RFP for DSC in Levee Foundation approx Sta 65 to 72. Proposal being reviewed.
- Unsuitable material found So. of RR caused stripping and fill overrun.
- RFP for Deletion of Outlets at Stations 58+40 and 82+67 issued. Preliminary proposal received.
- RFP in process to extend Sheet Pile Wall at West Closure to back of Burr Street Curb.
- Wick drains at Station 75+52 completed. Pre-Load completed and removed. Structure started.
CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS REPORT
Thru End of January 2000

CONTRACT NO.: W912P6-04-C-0007
CONTRACTOR: Illinois Construction Corporation
DESCRIPTION: Local Flood Protection Little Calumet River, Indiana Stage VI-1 South Levee

ORIGINAL CONTRACT AWARD DATE/AMOUNT: 30-Sep-04 6,503,093.70
NTP DATE/CURRENT CONTRACT AMOUNT: Mods P00015 & A00009 4-Nov-04 7,563,971.19
ORIGINAL CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE/ORIGINAL DURATION: 4-Dec-06 760
REVISED CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE/REVISED DURATION: 5-Sep-07 1035
PENDING SCHEDULED COMPLETION DATE/PENDING TIME EXTENSIONS: 5-Sep-07 0

ESTIMATED PROGRESS
A. Present Earnings as of Pay Est. No. 24 7,081,497.33
B. Estimated Earnings thru end of reporting period 230,661.68
C. Value of work Performed on Directed Mods (Earnings not paid for) 0.00
TOTAL ESTIMATED PROGRESS (A+B+C) 7,312,159.01

D. Work Paid for but not in Place (Materials in Storage) 0.00

TOTAL VALUE OF PHYSICAL PROGRESS (A+B+C+D) 7,312,159.01

E. Potential Termination Costs (% of Remaining Costs) (If Applicable) 0.00

FINANCIAL PROGRESS - (A+B+C+D+E)
7,312,159.01

TOTAL ESTIMATED FINAL CONTRACT AMOUNT
F. Current Contract Amount thru Mod. P00015 & A00009 7,563,971.19
G. Current Value of Overruns/Underruns (+/-) (Variations in Final Quant) -150,383.09
H. Directed, Pending Modifications (two mod issues pending) 1,000.00
TOTAL ESTIMATED FINAL CONTRACT AMOUNT (F+G+H) 7,414,588.19

FUNDS OBLIGATED FOR PAYMENT: thru Modification P00015 & A00009 7,563,971.19

ACTUAL PERCENT COMPLETE (A+B+C+D+E)/(F+G+H) 98.62%

SCHEDULED PERCENT COMPLETE (per NAS or Progress Chart) 99.00%

TOTAL EARNINGS AT THE END OF FY07 7,299,394.80

PROJECT STATUS/MAJOR ISSUES:

Contract fully funded. - North Drive Pump Station being fully operated by Town of Highland, recent leak into the control room being investigated and repair pending. Existing Grace Street Pump Station taken out of service August 10, 2007. Final inspection was held on Oct 12, 2007. Punch lists gathered and being worked on, most work suspended for winter. Liquidated damages assessed Sep 6 thru Sep 19 due to Contractor not finished within contract duration, as adjusted.
**Construction Progress Report**
Thru End of January 2008

**CONTRACT NO.:** W912P6-05-C-0010
**CONTRACTOR:** Illinois Construction Corporation

**DESCRIPTION:** Local Flood Protection Little Calumet River, Indiana Stage VI-1 North Levee

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ORIGINAL CONTRACT AWARD DATE/AMOUNT:</th>
<th>30-Sep-05</th>
<th>5,566,871.00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NTP DATE/CURRENT CONTRACT AMOUNT: Mods A00005 &amp; P00012</td>
<td>19-Oct-05</td>
<td>5,734,158.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORIGINAl CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE/ORIGINAL DURATION:</td>
<td>21-Jul-07</td>
<td>640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVISED CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE/REVISED DURATION:</td>
<td>27-Nov-07</td>
<td>769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PENDING SCHEDULED COMPLETION DATE/PENDING TIME EXTENSIONS:</td>
<td>27-Nov-07</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ESTIMATED PROGRESS**

| A. Present Earnings as of Pay Est. No. | 15 | 5,238,793.88 |
| B. Estimated Earnings thru end of reporting period | | 188,922.66 |
| C. Value of work Performed on Directed Mods (Earnings not paid for) | | 0.00 |
| **TOTAL ESTIMATED PROGRESS (A+B+C)** | | 5,427,716.54 |
| D. Work Paid for but not in Place (Materials in Storage) | | 19,002.83 |

**TOTAL VALUE OF PHYSICAL PROGRESS (A+B+C+D)**

| | | 5,408,713.71 |

**FINANCIAL PROGRESS - (A+B+C+D-E)**

| | | 5,427,716.54 |

**TOTAL ESTIMATED FINAL CONTRACT AMOUNT**

| Current Contract Amount thru Mod. | A00005 & P00012 | 5,734,158.00 |
| Current Value of Overrums/Underruns (+/-) | | 38,500.00 |
| Direct, Pending Modifications (Misc Changes in Progress) | | 16,000.00 |
| **TOTAL ESTIMATED FINAL CONTRACT AMOUNT (F+G+H)** | | 5,788,658.00 |

**FUNDS OBLIGATED FOR PAYMENT: thru Modification A00005 & P00012**

| | | 5,734,158.00 |

**ACTUAL PERCENT COMPLETE (A+B+C-D)/(F+G+H)**

| | 93.44% |

**SCHEDULED PERCENT COMPLETE (per NAS or Progress Chart)**

| | 94.00% |

**TOTAL EARNINGS AT THE END OF FY07**

| | | 5,417,112.81 |

---

**FULLY FUNDED PROJECT** - Levee Crack investigation is being processed and expected to begin soon.
- All work essentially completed. Final Inspection postponed until snow cover is gone. Pre-Final Punchlist assembled.
- Change orders pending for Kennedy Pump Sta outlet change, debris in the drive line, CB 175 modification and August 2007 flood damage prevention emergency work.
- Wetland fill violation at Oxbow outside work limits an issue between LCRBDC, City of Hammond, Corps Regulatory and IDEM. Resolution unknown.
Construction Progress Report
Thru End of January 2008

CONTRACT NO.: W912P6-05-C-0006
CONTRACTOR: D. Anderson
                   Edel/Nielsen
DESCRIPTION: Babcock

                   Little Columnet River, Stage VI-Phase II

ORIGINAL CONTRACT AWARD DATE/AMOUNT: 18-Oct-05
NTP DATE/CURRENT CONTRACT AMOUNT: 18-Oct-05
MODS A00003/P00007
18-Oct-05
4,205,644.17

ORIGINAL CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE/ORIGINAL DURATION:
11-Apr-07
REvised CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE/REvised DURATION:
1-Jul-07
591
PENDING SCHEDULED COMPLETION DATE/PENDING TIME EXTENSIONS:
1-Jul-07
0

ESTIMATED PROGRESS
A. Present Earnings as of Pay Est. No. 13
B. Estimated Earnings thru end of reporting period
C. Value of work Performed on Directed Mods (Earnings not paid for)
TOTAL ESTIMATED PROGRESS (A+B+C)
4,198,558.44

D. Work Paid for but not in Place (Materials in Storage)
0.00

TOTAL VALUE OF PHYSICAL PROGRESS (A+B+C-D)
4,198,558.44

E. Potential Termination Costs (% of Remaining Costs)(If Applicable)
0.00

FINANCIAL PROGRESS - (A+B+C+D-E)
4,198,558.44

TOTAL ESTIMATED FINAL CONTRACT AMOUNT
F. Current Contract Amount thru Mod.
G. Current Value of Overruns/Underruns (+/-)
H. Directed, Pending Modifications
(Variations in Final Quantities)
TOTAL ESTIMATED FINAL CONTRACT AMOUNT (F+G+H)
4,297,720.38

Funds Obligated for Payment: thru Modification
4,228,422.42

ACTUAL PERCENT COMPLETE (A+B+C+D-E)/(F+G+H) 97.69%

SCHEDULED PERCENT COMPLETE (per NAS or Progress Chart) 99.00%

TOTAL EARNINGS AT THE END OF FY07 4,198,558.44

PROJECT STATUS/MAJOR ISSUES:
Contract is fully funded -
- Final Quantities being determined in preparation for Variation in Estimated Quantities Change Order.
- Preliminary As-Buils submitted for review
- Final inspection punch list items completed, tree will be replaced/moved in the spring.
**Construction Progress Report**  
**Thru End of January 2008**

**CONTRACT NO.:** W912P6-04-C-0003  
**CONTRACTOR:** Tallgrass Restoration, LLC  
**DESCRIPTION:** Little Calumet River Landscaping, Phase 2  
**TS-C-S:** D. Anderson Mills Randazzo  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NTP DATE/CURRENT CONTRACT AMOUNT:</th>
<th>Mods</th>
<th>P00007</th>
<th>30-Jan-04</th>
<th>648,955.23</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ORIGINAL CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE/ORIGINAL DURATION</td>
<td>1-Oct-10</td>
<td>2255</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVISED CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE/REVISED DURATION</td>
<td>1-Oct-10</td>
<td>2255</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PENDING SCHEDULED COMPLETION DATE/PENDING TIME EXTENSIONS</td>
<td>1-Oct-10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ESTIMATED PROGRESS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Present Earnings as of Pay Est. No.</td>
<td>490,962.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Earnings thru end of reporting period</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value of work performed on directed mods (Earnings not paid for)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL ESTIMATED PROGRESS (A+B+C)</strong></td>
<td>490,962.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work paid for but not in place (Materials in storage)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL VALUE OF PHYSICAL PROGRESS (A+B+C+D)</strong></td>
<td>490,962.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential termination costs (% of remaining costs) (If Applicable)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FINANCIAL PROGRESS - (A+B+C+D-E)</strong></td>
<td>490,962.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current Contract Amount thru Mod</td>
<td>P00007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Value of Overruns/Underruns (+/-)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Directed, Pending Modifications</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL ESTIMATED FINAL CONTRACT AMOUNT (F+G+H)</strong></td>
<td>648,955.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funds obligated for payment: thru Modification</td>
<td>P00007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ACTUAL PERCENT COMPLETE (A+B+C+D-E)(F+G+H)</strong></td>
<td>75.65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SCHEDULED PERCENT COMPLETE (per NAS or Progress Chart)</strong></td>
<td>77.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL EARNINGS AT THE END OF FY07</strong></td>
<td>490,962.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PROJECT STATUS/MAJOR ISSUES:**

- Waiting request from Mr. Pokarjac to remove a portion of the damaged area from the contract work. Mr. Pokarjac will also had the levee's surveyed to compare to as-built drawings. The sponsor's surveys show results with elevations as much as 8” below design elevations. The surveys should be reviewed by geotech to determine if the sponsors elevations are accurate. CAO sent an email on 27 November to follow up on this issue.

- CAO attended levee inspections along with Geotech and the local sponsor. The billboard contractor repaired areas that were damaged during the installation of the signs. A meeting was held between CAO, FM, DG, and PL to determine that the grass mix in the damaged areas will be the same as used in the Landscape II contract specifications. Seeding will be performed in Spring 2008.

- Some bare areas exist along the project stretch. The Contractor plans to reseed the areas, a detailed plan will be provided from the Contractor.

- Currently preparing a funding modification to fully fund the contract. Awaiting certification of the PR&C.
## Construction Progress Report
### Thru End of January 2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONTRACT NO.</th>
<th>DACW23-02-C-0011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CONTRACTOR</td>
<td>Renewable Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>Little Calumet River - Mitigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORIGINAL CONTRACT AWARD DATE/AMOUNT:</td>
<td>29-Sep-02 921,102.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTP DATE/CURRENT CONTRACT AMOUNT: Mods</td>
<td>7-Nov-02 1,405,845.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORIGINAL CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE/ORIGINAL DURATION:</td>
<td>11-Jan-04 430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVISED CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE/REVISED DURATION:</td>
<td>15-Jan-08 1895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PENDING SCHEDULED COMPLETION DATE/PENDING TIME EXTENSIONS:</td>
<td>15-Jan-08 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESTIMATED PROGRESS</td>
<td>1,390,911.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Present Earnings as of Pay Est. No.</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Estimated Earnings thru end of reporting period</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Value of work Performed or Directed Mods (Earnings not paid for)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL ESTIMATED PROGRESS (A+B+C)</td>
<td>1,390,911.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Work Paid for but not in Place (Materials in Storage)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL VALUE OF PHYSICAL PROGRESS (A+B+C-D)</td>
<td>1,390,911.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Potential Termination Costs (% of Remaining Costs) [If Applicable]</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FINANCIAL PROGRESS - (A+B+C-D-E)</td>
<td>1,390,911.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL ESTIMATED FINAL CONTRACT AMOUNT</td>
<td>1,405,845.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. Current Contract Amount thru Mod.</td>
<td>P00023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Current Value of Overruns/Underruns (+/-)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. Directed, Pending Modifications</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL ESTIMATED FINAL CONTRACT AMOUNT (F+G+H)</td>
<td>1,405,845.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUNDS OBLIGATED FOR PAYMENT: thru Modification</td>
<td>P00023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,405,940.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTUAL PERCENT COMPLETE (A+B+C+D-E)/(F+G+H)</td>
<td>98.94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCHEDULED PERCENT COMPLETE (per NAS or Progress Chart)</td>
<td>99.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL EARNINGS AT THE END OF FY07</td>
<td>1,390,911.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PROJECT STATUS/MAJOR ISSUES:
- Contractor continues maintenance of restored areas and destroying invasive plants.
- Contractor's maintenance and monitoring period to be completed in early November 2007
- Final Quantities Modification P00022 was completed 22 OCT 2007 (-$95.67)
- Modification P00023 completed 28 NOV 2007, to extend contract to 15 JAN 2008 to achieve final burn.
- Inclement weather has postponed final burn and Final Inspection indefinitely.
- Modification P00024 completed 15 JAN 2008 to extend contract to 31 MAY 2008 to achieve final burn.
Construction Progress Report
Thru End of January 2008

CONTRACT NO.: DACW27-01-C-0008
CONTRACTOR: Overstreet Engineering and Construction, Inc.
DESCRIPTION: Little Calamet River - North Fifth Ave. Pump Station Rehabilitation

ORIGINAL CONTRACT AWARD DATE/AMOUNT: 21-Feb-01 2,387,500.00
NTP DATE/CURRENT CONTRACT AMOUNT: Mods A00014 thru P00012 16-Apr-01 2,518,988.44
ORIGINAL CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE/ORIGINAL DURATION: 17-Mar-03 700
REVISED CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE/REVISED DURATION: 27-Jan-04 1,016
PENDING SCHEDULED COMPLETION DATE/PENDING TIME EXTENSIONS: 27-Jan-04 0

ESTIMATED PROGRESS
A. Present Earnings as of Pay Est. No. 23 2,494,289.22
B. Estimated Earnings thru end of reporting period 0.00
C. Value of work performed on Directed Mods (Earnings not paid for) 0.00
TOTAL ESTIMATED PROGRESS (A+B+C) 2,494,289.22

D. Work paid for but not in place (Materials in Storage) 0.00
TOTAL VALUE OF PHYSICAL PROGRESS (A+B+C+D) 2,494,289.22

E. Potential Termination Costs (% of Remaining Costs) (If Applicable) 0.00
FINANCIAL PROGRESS - (A+B+C+D-E) 2,494,289.22

TOTAL ESTIMATED FINAL CONTRACT AMOUNT
F. Current Contract Amount thru Mod. A00014 thru P00012 2,518,988.44
G. Current Value of Overruns/Underruns (+/-) 0.00
H. Directed, Pending Modifications (A00013 & A00014) -15,206.36
TOTAL ESTIMATED FINAL CONTRACT AMOUNT (F+G+H) 2,503,782.08

FUNDS OBLIGATED FOR PAYMENT: thru Modification A00014 thru P00012 2,518,988.44

ACTUAL PERCENT COMPLETE (A+B+C+D-E)/(F+G+H) 99.62%
SCHEDULED PERCENT COMPLETE (per NAS or Progress Chart) 100.00%
TOTAL EARNINGS AT THE END OF FY07 2,494,289.22

PROJECT STATUS/MAJOR ISSUES:
- Contract substantial completion date was 27 January 2004.
- The Corps sent Overstreet a "Show Cause" Notice by email on 16 AUG 2005.
- We have not been able to contact Overstreet - phone line is no longer in service. They have not provided an invoice for work performed since AUG 2004. The work is physically complete.
- District office has prepared the As-Builts and they have been signed and distributed.
- A modification is in progress ($15,206.36 Credit) to delete cost to complete As-Built drawings and costs to repair a latent defect.
- Surety Takeover Agreement on DACW27-01-C-0001 Pump Sta.1A to be signed 24 JAN 2008 - final disposition on funds can then take place.
**Construction Progress Report**  
**Thru End of January 2008**

**CONTRACT NO.:** DACW27-01-C-0001  
**CONTRACTOR:** Overstreet Electric Co., Inc.  
**DESCRIPTION:** Little Calumet River - Pump Station Rehabilitation Phase 1A

| ORIGINAL CONTRACT AWARD DATE/AMOUNT: | 5-Oct-00 | 4,638,400.00 |
| NTP DATE/CURRENT CONTRACT AMOUNT: Mods | 7-Nov-00 | 4,262,835.48 |
| ORIGINAL CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE/ORIGINAL DURATION: | 8-Oct-02 | 700 |
| REVISED CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE/REVISED DURATION: | 21-Oct-04 | 1,444 |
| PENDING SCHEDULED COMPLETION DATE/PENDING TIME EXTENSIONS: | 21-Oct-04 | 0 |

**ESTIMATED PROGRESS**

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Present Earnings as of Pay Est. No.</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4,239,286.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Estimated Earnings thru end of reporting period</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Value of work performed on Directed Mods (Earnings not paid for)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL ESTIMATED PROGRESS (A+B+C)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>4,239,286.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Work Paid for but not in place (Materials in storage)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL VALUE OF PHYSICAL PROGRESS (A+B+C+D)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>4,239,286.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Potential Termination Costs (% of Remaining Costs) [if applicable]</td>
<td></td>
<td>Not Available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FINANCIAL PROGRESS - (A+B+C+D-E)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>4,239,286.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL ESTIMATED FINAL CONTRACT AMOUNT**

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F. Current Contract Amount thru Mod.</td>
<td>A00015 &amp; P00020</td>
<td>4,262,835.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Current Value of Overage/Underruns (+/-)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. Directed, Pending Modifications</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL ESTIMATED FINAL CONTRACT AMOUNT (F+G+H)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>4,262,835.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FUNDS OBLIGATED FOR PAYMENT:** thru Modification A00015 & P00020  
**ACTUAL PERCENT COMPLETE (A+B+C+D-E)/(F+G+H)*** | 86.00% |

**SCHEDULED PERCENT COMPLETE (per NAS or Progress Chart)**  
**TOTAL EARNINGS AT THE END OF FY07**  
| | |
| | | 4,239,286.58 |

**PROJECT STATUS/MAJOR ISSUES:**
- The Termination for Default: Modification P00020 was issued by the CO on 22 FEB 2006.
- The T4D mod decreased the contract amount by $711,445.19 (estimated work not complete) from $4,974,280.67 to $4,262,835.48. $119,791.61 was deobligated, decreasing total funded from $4,382,627.09 to $4,262,835.48.
- Contract between the bonding company and Thieneman Construction signed 22 JAN 2008.
- Security Takeover Agreement between USACE and bonding company to be signed 24 JAN 2008.
- Preconstruction Meeting expected to be scheduled by mid-February.
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE REPORT

For meeting on Wednesday, February 6, 2008
(Information in this report is based upon latest data provided at the time the report is put together. Dates and costs may vary depending upon ongoing design and/or coordination with the Army Corps. Report period is from January 3 – February 5, 2008)

GENERAL SUMMARIZATION (EAST REACH TURNOVER)
1. An O&M Committee meeting was held on November 14, 2007 to recap O&M progress, turnover, and what steps are needed to complete turnover.
   A. Staff was directed to obtain quotes to complete the remaining sluice-flap gate repairs in Gary.
      • Request for quotes went out on November 19, 2007 with a bid due date of December 4, 2007
      • Bids were received and the low bidder was Austgen Electric, Inc. in the amount of $60,529.
      • Work is started, but is restricted due to weather conditions. They will complete these as they are able.
      • Received an update from Austgen on February 4, 2008. Both flap gates at Georgia St. have been cleaned & now seal (24A & 24B).
      • The flap gate at Marshalltown has now been cleaned & now seal (Gate #32).
      • Both flap gates east of Broadway have been cleaned & now seal (Gates 20A & 20B).

2. A meeting was held with the city of Gary, and their new representatives on July 20th, 2006 to familiarize the new administration with our project, explain O&M responsibility to be assumed by Gary, and to determine what they will require for the O&M turnover process. Some of these items include:
   1. Six (6) pump station turnover
   2. Levee, sluice gate, flap gate turnover
   3. Transfer of LCRBDC excess lands
   4. Coordination for emergency response
      Maps, pump station reports, O&M detail for maintenance breakdown and costs were distributed and discussed.
      • Mailed handouts of this meeting to Geraldine Tousant (Deputy Mayor), Gwen Malone (Public Works Director), and Luci Horton (GSD Director) on September 6.

5. A letter was sent to the GSD on September 20, 2007 requesting coordination for turnover of drawings, specs, manuals, and other miscellaneous items as part of the overall process.
   • No response back as of January 2, 2008. Will re-submit request and begin initial process of paper work turnover.
An email was sent to city of Gary on January 28, 2008 requesting initial meeting to turn over Burr Street-Gary “as-built” drawings, and to discuss remaining turnover.

3. Scheduling of inspections (and remediation prior to inspections)
   • Levees and floodwalls (August 13, 14, 15) – Completed (Received Corps report and LCRBDC is currently combining Gary, Corps, & LCRBDC reports into one)
   • Pump stations (**September 4 & 5**) – Completed (Received Corps report and LCRBDC is currently combining Gary, Corps, & LCRBDC reports into one)
   • Sluice gates and flap gates were held on October 10 and 11 in Gary; and on October 25, 2007 in Griffith.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE BREAKDOWN STATUS

A. PUMP STATION TURNOVER
   1. Six (6) pump stations will be turned over to Gary. These include Burr Street, Grant, Broadway, and Ironwood. It also includes two (2) lift stations at 32nd & Cleveland and Marshalltown.
   2. An inspection of the six (6) pump stations in Gary was held on September 4 & 5, 2007 with the COE, representatives from Gary, and the LCRBDC.
      • Each of the three (3) parties have submitted results of the inspection which included accepted items, items to be put on a punch list, and any questions/clarifications regarding safety or intent of design.
      • A letter was sent to GSD on September 18 indicating the LCRBDC will provide the material for telemetry and a one year subscription in the amount of $10,490 based upon Commission approval at our September 6, 2006 Board meeting. This money will be provided to Gary at the time of the pump station turnover.
   3. Survey work for the six (6) pump stations has been completed and was provided to the LCRBDC attorney on March 23, 2007, and will require coordination with the city of Gary attorney as part of the O&M turnover. (Ongoing)

B. SLUICE GATE/FLAP GATE TURNOVER
   1. General Note: There are a total of 51 different closure areas in the East Reach.
      • Gary (41 sluice gates/41 flap gates)
      • Griffith (4 sluice gates/4 flap gates)
      • INDOT (6 sluice gates/6 flap gates)
      • INDOT gates were included as part of this inspection
      • Griffith gates were inspected on October 25, 2007 as part of the overall O&M turnover to Griffith
      • Refer to General Summarization section in this report on page 1 for information on status of recently awarded contract to Austgen Electric to complete remainder of sluice/flap gate work.
   2. It is the intent to complete remediation of sluice gates, and flap gates separately from the pump stations and will include lubrication, clean-up, and repair, as noted in inspection reports.
- Received a letter from Austgen Electric (LCRBDC contractor to remediate Gary features to “as-built” condition) on September 8 indicating the problem with existing flap gates. Keeping them clean regularly and getting access is repetitive and expensive. It was suggested to replace flap gates with “duckbill” type.

3. Prior to the most recent storms of late August, 2007, the LCRBDC had completed cleaning and remediation of most of the features in Gary.
   - After the storm, many flap gates were stuck open again. This will all have to be done again at an additional cost.
   - The original sluice/flap gate work was completed on August 5, 2007 for (10) sluice gates and (11) flap gates for a total cost of $69,150. (Refer to General Summarization in this report on page 1 for status).
   - LCRBDC had Austgen install cables to the flap gates (fastened overhead to the handrails) to ease opening & cleaning in the future.

C. LEVEE, I-WALL TURNOVER
1. Levee inspections were held on August 13 (August 14 was a rain-out), August 15, and August 16, 2007.
   - Stage V-1 (Wicker Park Manor), located between Indianapolis Blvd and the NSRR, south of the river, was held with Highland on August 13.
   - Burr Street betterment levee (EJ&E to Colfax) south of the NIPSCO r/w, was held with Griffith on August 13.
   - The remainder of completed levee segments were in Gary and were completed in the afternoon of August 13, 15, and 16.

2. The general observation was that some levee tops were rutted and needed to be filled and graded, seeding was needed in many areas due to herbiciding for the landscaping Phase II project, and some trees needed to be removed from side slopes that could be impacting the structural portion of the levees.
   - LCRBDC received Corps inspection results and is currently reviewing. Will combine their report with Gary & LCRBDC reports and will use during next inspection in the spring of 2008.

D. TRANSFER OF EXCESS LANDS TO CITY OF GARY
1. Transfer of excess lands (approximately 359 acres) were discussed as part of a meeting held with the city of Gary on March 22, 2007. Gary is in concurrence with the format of the agreement. (Ongoing between attorneys)

2. A letter was sent to City of Gary attorney requesting that we proceed with coordination of agreements and what is required for land transfers of LCRBDC properties on September 22, 2006. (Ongoing between attorneys)

3. Surveys were completed on April 25, 2006 for excess lands which include acreage west of Clay Street, south of the NIPSCO R/W, east of I-65, and north of and adjacent to Burns Ditch. (This is approximately 196 acres)
   - The other area is between Chase and Grant adjacent to both sides of 35th Avenue (This is approximately 189 acres).
   - This information has been provided to LCRBDC and city of Gary attorneys
E. TURNOVER OF SPARE PARTS, MANUALS, AND “AS-BUILT” DRAWINGS (COMPLETED)

1. Spare Part Turnover Process
   - A meeting was held with Debra Harris (United Water – Purchasing/Warehouse Manager) on June 13, 2006 to pick up inventory list of “spare parts for pump stations”, which were stored on GSD facilities in November 2005.

2. A letter was sent to city of Gary on October 3, 2006 indicating that the LCRBDC has the (6) electric sluice gate operating drills for inspections.
   - Gary will keep (3) operators. Two (2) of the others have been repaired and the (3) will then be distributed to Highland, Hammond, and Griffith. Additional electric operators will be provided to the LCRBDC as part of the Stage V-2 project.
   - LCRBDC received one of the electric operators back from Gary on November 15, 2007 to turn over to INDOT for their use during flood events. Turned over to INDOT on November 20, 2007.
   - At the V-2 initial progress meeting on November 28, LCRBDC requested if we could get all of the V-2 operators early so we could distribute them to participating parties for use during flood events.
   - This item was brought up again as Item #3 in the December 28, 2007 email (Refer to General Section of this report)

   - Will re-submit request to all municipalities for comments. This will then be part of ongoing maintenance responsibilities.

F. GRIFFITH – O&M TURNOVER (GENERAL)

1. In addition to the certification of the existing Cline to EJ&E RR levee, Griffith will also be required for O&M responsibility from EJ&E RR to Colfax (Burr St. Phase I & southern part of Stage IV-1 South).
   - A meeting will be scheduled to discuss O&M responsibilities and turnover coordination. (Ongoing)
   - Sluice/flap gate inspections were held with the Corps and Griffith on October 25, 2007

GENERAL SUMMARIZATION (WEST REACH TURNOVER)

A. North 5th Pump Station Turnover

1. A final inspection was held with Highland on February 28, 2006 (Contractor was Overstreet)
   - This letter also summarized their contractual obligations, and a sequence of events to complete the punch list. They demonstrated an unsatisfactory performance on this contract and have failed to complete these items in a timely manner.
2. Pump Station turnover coordination
   • Turned over (2) sets of “as-built” drawings to the town of Highland along with a set of Volumes 1 & 2 of the Operation & Maintenance Manual on March 23, 2007
3. Training, spare parts, and final testing was completed in late July, 2007 and a coordination meeting was held with Highland to begin the O&M turnover process.

**EMERGENCY RESPONSE COORDINATION**

**General:**
An email was sent to the Corps on December 28, 2007 addressing ongoing, miscellaneous emergency response issues.

**A. Acceptance of Emergency Response by each project municipality**
1. A meeting will be scheduled in February 2008 with the COE, LCRBDC, USGS, the National Weather Service, and representatives from all five (5) Communities.
   • COE requires turnover, and sign-off, by each municipality to assume responsibility for their community to comply with COE plan during a flood, and to submit a plan as part of their overall community emergency response plan.
2. It was mutually agreed that the closures throughout the project could be expedited by supplementing 2’x2’x7’ concrete blocks, with plastic and sandbags at each location.
   • LCRBDC to facilitate (Ongoing)
   • Received information from the COE in mid-June 2006 to determine how many concrete barriers will be needed for each location throughout the project.
   • LCRBDC will coordinate with each municipality to locate these concrete barriers on each individual site. (Ongoing)

3. Post and panel closures at Chase Street along the north line of protection and on 35th Street along the south line of protection are impractical to install during a flood event.
   • The last trial installation at 35th St. was approximately 2 years ago, over 20 people participated in the installation during sunny weather and took approximately 3 hours to install.
   • During a flood event (2) closures need to be installed, probably during heavy rains, possible no electric available, and maybe at night.
   • Refer to Item #5 in the December 28, 2007 email in the General Section of this report for request to Corps.

**B. Replace river data loggers by the COE and USGS**
1. LCRDAN upgrades (river data loggers) in conjunction with the USGS has been agreed upon by the COE to be provided to replace existing outdated units in Crown Point, Hammond, and Gary.
• A conference call was held on May 24, 2005 with the COE, LCRBDC, USGS, National Weather Service, and the communities to discuss updating current river level monitoring equipment.
• A summary of this call was distributed by the COE on May 24, 2005 (afternoon).
• COE provided an update of the status on August 24, 2006. (COE anticipates completion by May 2008.

C. Coordination with INDOT after late August 2007 floods impacting Kennedy Avenue and Indianapolis Blvd.
1. An initial meeting was held with INDOT, Earth Tech (INDOT consultant), Corps, and the LCRBDC on September 17, 2007 to discuss future impacts, emergency response coordination, and action plans.
• Received minutes of meeting from Earth Tech on September 27, 2007 outlining rainfall information, impacts at Kennedy Avenue interchange, Little Calumet River impacts, Indianapolis Blvd flooding, O&M and emergency response, and future action items.
• INDOT submitted request to Hammond (copies the Corps) on October 22, to replace the existing flap gate with a “duckbill” gate.

2. A meeting was held with INDOT, Earth Tech, Garcia L E, and the LCRBDC on October 11 to discuss surveying and tying in Corps and INDOT surveys that show culvert, ditch, levee, highway, and roadways.
• LCRBDC will establish a mark in the vicinity of the flap gate as to when to close sluice gate. (Ongoing)

3. Refer to Item #1 and #4 in the General Section of this report regarding elevations and location and placement of staff gauges.

D. A meeting was scheduled to be held in Chicago on December 7, 2007 with the Corps, LCRBDC, and the Lake County Emergency Management to discuss flood response coordination.
1. Primary contacts need to be addressed and established as part of the Plan
2. A draft diagram has been established and coordination between local, State, county, and Federal responsibilities will be discussed.
3. This meeting was cancelled because Jeff Miller could not attend – Will be re-scheduled. Refer to Item #2 in the General Section of this report.

MISCELLANEOUS
A. Received a letter and prints from GRW Engineers, Inc. on February 19, 2007 requesting coordination, and easements on LCRBDC property (where flood protection has been completed west of Grant Street) to install a pump station and 30” water line to expand water service in Lake County.
1. This will be the responsibility of the LCRBDC in the future, after all construction is completed, to coordinate any construction, easements, agreements, as part of the O&M turnover.

2. Met with GRW on June 28, 2007 (Doug Corey) and reviewed their modified plans, real estate requirements, and did a site visit for field familiarization.
• LCRBDC submitted a summarization of the upcoming coordination required with them, the Corps, and the LCRBDC.

3. LCRBDC received a “denial notice for construction in a floodway” from the IDNR on September 28, 2007
   • Reasons for denial listed
   • Email questioning status sent to GRW on October 27, 2007

B. Received a letter from NIPSCO on October 11, 2007 regarding flooding to their gas line facilities west of Grant on their R/W and that they feel our project has created a problem in this area worse than it was before our construction.
   • This was forwarded to the Corps for response on November 5, 2007
   • Requested again on November 23. NIPSCO has concerns this could happen again if not remediated.
From: "Mike Mitsch" <mmitsch@austgen.com>
To: "Jim Pokrajac" <jpokrajac@nirpc.org>
Sent: Monday, February 04, 2008 1:13 PM
Subject: Flap & Sluice

24a, 24b, & 32 are done. 20a & 20b are parsley done. I checked the other sluice & flap gates about a week ago and the water was to high & some water was frozen
From: "Jim Pokrajac" <jpokrajac@nirpc.org>
To: "Geri Tousant" <gtousant@c1.gary.in.us>; <luci@garysan.com>
Cc: "Lee, Curtis A LRC" <Curtis.A.Lee@lrc02.usace.army.mil>; "Samara, Imad LRC" <Imad.Samara@lrc02.usace.army.mil>; <william.a.rochford@lrc02.usace.army.mil>; <dgardner@nirpc.org>; "Arlene Colvin" <acolvin@c1.gary.in.us>; "Bob Huffman" <huffmun@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2008 6:10 PM
Subject: "As-Built" Drawing Turnover

Deputy Mayor,

For some time now we have discussed the turnover of our flood control project to the City of Gary. We are in the final process of repairing items brought up during our inspections with the Army Corps and engineering reps for the City. As part of this process we also have to turn over as-built drawings, manuals, spare parts, etc. Recently I received an e-mail from the corps wanting to turn over the as-builts for the recently completed Burr Street-Phase II (Gary) portion from Colfax to Burr Street along both sides of the NIPSCO R/W. Ideally, the easiest way to do this is to have a meeting with the corps and the City of Gary, whereby the corps turns them over to me, and then I turn them over to Gary. Both of these actions require a sign off in the field. This is not any acknowledgement that Gary will accept O&M responsibility, it is only a portion of the process. By turning them over to Gary it will also be useful to the City for any future design projects that may allow development in these areas. Who shall I deal with and who would I meet in the field to discuss this portion of turnover?

Similarly, we also have a large number of drawings that I have in file that I would like to turn over to Gary: These are for all the completed levee segments dating back over 10 years. I also have discs with these drawings that you could have. Could you please also help me facilitate this process.

Thank you,

Jim Pokrajac
Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission
Agent, Engineering/Land Management
Ph. - (219) 763-0696
E-mail - jpokrajac@nirpc.org
Fax - (219) 762-1653

1/28/2008
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME (PLEASE PRINT)</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION, ADDRESS, PHONE NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ruth Mores</td>
<td>Southmoor Rd Group 932-8735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elizabeth Javins</td>
<td>Craig, Valparaiso</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jimmy Fajenzel</td>
<td>City Council Hammond 4th Dist.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill Petrides</td>
<td>Highland Resident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erik Potter</td>
<td>Post-Tribune, 648-3120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To:  
Attention:  
Fax: 219-762-1653  
Date: 2-6  
Pages: 4  

From: Ruth Moses

I will try to make the drive tonight.

If I don't make it, please submit the issues I have shown on Page 2 of Dan's letter dated 9-14 into the minutes.

Thank you,

Ruth

16750 South Vincennes *Suite B* South Holland, IL 60473  
(708) 331-0300 * (866) 431-7473 * Fax (708) 331-5200
September 14, 2007

Ruth Ann Mores
234 Southmoor Road
Hammond, Indiana 46324

Subject: Tentative timetable of acquisition procedure for the Little Calumet River Flood Control and Recreation Project with the Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission (LCRBDC) as local sponsor.

Dear Ruth Ann Mores:

I'm writing you as a recap of the meeting held on Monday, September 10, 2007 with representatives of the Little Calumet River Flood Control and Recreation Project and several residents along Southmoor Road in Hammond to discuss the flood control project's progress. We discussed the project, its impact and current design, and timetable of events for easement acquisitions and commitments by the Little Calumet River Development Commission coming in the next months. Following are our commitments and tentative schedule for the acquisition procedure:

1.) **Wednesday and Thursday, September 19 and 20, 2007**

Garcia Surveying (Greg Heinzman is the point of contact) will stake out the closest permanent flood protection levee easement in relation to the houses, center line of the flood protection, and elevation of the wall on Wednesday and Thursday, September 19 and 20. Mr. Heinzman will inform residents of the surveyor’s arrival. Surveyors will provide identification. The temporary work area easement will be explained in the neighborhood around October 1 at the walkabout with Army Corps and LCRBDC representatives later in the month.

2.) **Starting Monday, September 24, 2007:**

Appraiser Tom Bochnowski of Bochnowski Appraising will contact each property owner to schedule a time to meet over the next few weeks. Mr. Bochnowski, or his assistant Ken Granack, will call and make appointments to meet with each landowner to discuss property issues. (Landowners have the right to accompany the appraiser and give him any information about the property.)
September 14, 2007
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3.) **Starting October 1, 2007:**

For about three weeks the appraiser will write and establish easement values for the Area, then submit appraisals for review to be in compliance with CFR Chapter 24 (Federal real estate regulations).

4.) **Around the second week of October, 2007:**

- The Army Corps, LCRBDC representatives, and landowners will participate in a walk-about in the area to review and discuss the required easement and engineering plans. The current plan of the flood wall alignment is the proposed final drawings pending any unforeseen circumstances. The Army Corps will release the final review drawings for your review. LCRBDC will prepare more user-friendly drawings showing the final line of protection for your review.

5.) LCRBDC will have another meeting with residents before the offers are mailed. It is anticipated that Uniform Land Offers will be mailed to residents at the end of 2007 or early 2008. These easement offers will be the appraised easement value. A copy of the appraisal will be included with the offer.

I have communicated to the Army Corps of Engineers our extreme displeasure with the “field team” appearing in your backyard without any notice or granted permission. Any Army Corps of Engineers team, in the future, will 1.) notify us and 2.) notify those property owners they seek to have access to their property. Permission from the property owners will be obtained.

We apologize for the trespass onto your land without your permission. We will work to see it does not happen in the future. There are final decisions regarding trees to be saved or cut has yet to be made. These decisions will be held with you and your neighbors prior to any final decision. Please feel free to call me, real estate agent Judy Vamos, or engineer Jim Pokrajac at 219-763-0696 if you need any additional information for have any further questions.

**Issues**

- Rain Water Drainage
- Future Responsibility for liability

Sincerely,

Dan Gardner
Executive Director
September 14, 2007
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Cc: Elizabeth Johnson, Congressman's Office
Tom McDermott, Mayor of Hammond
Dan Repay, Hammond Councilman
Cynthia Berdine-Matasovsky, Hammond Councilwoman
Stan Dostatni, Hammond City Engineer
William Biller, LCRBDC Chairman
Mark Gordish, LCRBDC member
Imad Samara, U. S. Army Corps of Engineers
Greg Heinzman, Garcia Surveying
Tom Bochnowski, Bochnowski Appraising
Committee Structure from 2007

**Land Acquisition/Management**
Bob Marszalek  
Bill Biller  
Arlene Colvin  
Mark Gordish

**Project Engineering**
Bob Huffman  
Bill Biller  
Mark Gordish

**O&M**
Bob Huffman  
Arlene Colvin  
Steve Davis  
Bob Marszalek

**O&M Funding**
Bill Biller  
Bob Huffman  
Arlene Colvin  
Mark Gordish  
Kent Gurley

**Finance**
Kent Gurley  
Bill Biller  
Arlene Colvin  
John Mroczkowski

**Legislative**
Bill Biller  
Bob Marszalek

**Recreation**
Bob Huffman  
Kent Gurley  
John Mroczkowski

**Environmental**
Bob Huffman  
Bob Marszalek
LAND ACQUISITION REPORT

For meeting on Wednesday, February 6, 2008
(Information in this report is based upon latest data provided at the
time the report is put together. Dates and costs may vary depending
upon ongoing design and/or coordination with the Army Corps.
Report period is from January 4 – February 5, 2008)

EAST REACH – REMAINING ACQUISITIONS
1. This stage still has about 25 flowage easements that need to be acquired. They are not high-
priority and can be acquired as time permits.
   • Emphasis now is on finishing Stage VII and VIII. Any East Reach parcels left will be
     “cleaned-up” after construction of the project is completed.
2. A condemnation hearing was held on 10/19/07 for the WLTH Radio Station. Landowner
   failed to attend and the Court proceeded to appoint the three appraisers who will
   appraise the property and report their findings back to the Court.
   • LCRBDC met with court-appointed appraisers at WLTH tower site to explain project
     effect on the property.

STATUS (Stage V-Phase 2) Kennedy Avenue to Northcote, both North and South levees
1. Right-of-entry was signed 7/11/07. Eleven options were attached. Since July, we have
   acquired 9 of those acquisitions. Two remain:
   • NIPSCO – Conference call was held 10/29/07. Substantial progress was made to agree
     on insurance and liability issues. (Ongoing)
   • LCRBDC is looking into insurance liability costs that NIPSCO has requested.
     Negotiations continue (Ongoing)
   • NSRR – Conference call was held on 10/25/07. Outstanding issues were resolved and
     railroad seems ready to forward the easements to legal to sign. (Ongoing)
   • Railroad engineering is now negotiating the costs of construction. Agreement between
     NSRR, Corps, and LCRBDC should finalize the settlement. (Received a letter on
     December 7, 2007 from Bergmann Associates with cost breakdowns and comments).
     No final action as of December 31, 2007.

STATUS (Stage VI-Phase 1 North) – Cline to Kennedy – North of the river:
Land Acquisition deadline April 30, 2005
1. Final inspection scheduled in mid-January, 2008 pending weather – Has been rescheduled
   for mid-February, pending weather.

STATUS (Stage VII) – Northcote to Columbia; The designation for this Stage is Stage VII
– Hammond (North of the river) and Stage VII-Munster (South of the river)
1. All 14 offers for properties north of the river have been made. Three private landowners have
   accepted and the bulk of these offers (to Hammond) will be presented to the city, parks,
   redevelopment council and person. (Ongoing)
2. Stage VII - Alpha
   • Received an email from the Corps on November 21, 2007 that they will need real
     estate in this area to install a drainage structure before V-2 is completed.
• It has been confirmed that real estate required to install this structure is included in the original Stage VII Hammond acquisitions.
• The city of Hammond agreed to allow the closing of the Boy Scout trail in this area until after construction.

3. All 33 offers for Munster landowners were delivered in August 2007. Two private landowners are still in negotiations waiting for possible Corps modifications to the square footage needed for the project; three property owners cannot be located; B.P. Amoco & NIPSCO parcels are still being reviewed by their engineering departments.

4. The LCRBDC had concerns with a new engineering team being assigned to review and update this segment.
   • The current schedule, as outlined in an email from the Corps on October 26, 2007 indicates 75% of plans & specs will be ready for review on April 7, 2008, 100% June 20, 2008, design complete July 3, 2008, advertise August 12, 2008, and award on September 26, 2008.

STATUS (Stage VIII – Columbia to State Line (Both sides of river))

1. Our team of seven appraisers and one reviewer (Allstate Appraisal Company) have completed 73 appraisals and reviews to date. Several appraisals may have to be modified due to Corps changes in engineering that reflect real estate boundaries.

2. The appraisers have been hampered in their work due to 49 surveys having to be redone due to Army Corps engineering changes or varying site conditions. Contract deadlines were for 10/31/07; however, some surveys are outstanding because they are waiting for coordinates from the Buffalo Corps. We are extending contract completion dates.
   • 18 surveys have been completed by Torrenga which include change of ownership, engineering changes, or minor overlay errors.
   • On January 28 the Buffalo Corps submitted updated real estate drawings changing approximately 30 completed legals.
   • Received responses from the (3) LCRBDC surveyors with details of what parcels would be changed.

3. Several landowners have concerns with our plans and/or offers
   A. DC-1340 – Corps redoing project real estate to requirements to answer landowner concerns. Landowner has been shown possible modifications and seems agreeable to the new survey.
   B. DC-1302 – Landowner (Unity Church of Christ) will be impacted at septic system.
      • Met with plumbing contractor on November 9, 2007 to contract out to locate septic and provide proposal to allow church to maintain sanitary.
      • Received letter on November 27 indicating both the holding tank and filter bed are underneath the existing levee and a city hook-up will be needed to allow continued service (not enough real estate is available to install a new septic system).
      • A cost estimate for installation to tie into the nearest city manhole was completed on November 27, 2007.
   C. DC-1315 – A conference call was held with the LCRBDC, the Corps, and NICTD to discuss project and plans on November 29, 2007. (Refer to engineering Report – Stage VIII for details)
      • A field meeting was held with NICTD on December 11, 2007 to show existing East Reach installations. Chris Beck had no engineering concerns.
      • Real estate changes were received on January 28, 2008 and are currently being completed.
   D. DC-1303 & 1304 – Appraisals on two parcels total a land value of $695,000. Corps is reviewing the real estate limits to see if the take can be reduced. (Ongoing)
• DC-1303 – GLE eliminated the staging area and are currently modifying to get to appraiser.
• DC-1304 – GLE received from Corps on January 11, 2008 modified legals; under final review.

4. A meeting was held with the residents of Southmoor Road, the Corps, and the LCRBDC on October 20 to field review the stakeout of the permanent easement and line of protection (Refer to Engineering Report for Stage VIII)
   • A letter of summary was sent to the residents on November 20, 2007 providing a current update on the status of engineering design and real estate impacts.

STATUS (Betterment Levee – Phase 2 North of the NSRR east of Burr Street, and ½ mile east, back South over RR approximately 1400’):

Land Acquisition deadline is September, 2005
1. The ROE was signed by the LCRBDC on July 24th, 2006, and forwarded to the Army Corps.
3. Current construction completion date is July 20, 2008.

PUMP STATION REHABILITATION – CONTRACT 2
1. Received an email from the Corps on November 19, 2007 indicating that the contract was broken up and that 2A will include pump rebuilds of the (2) smaller stations – Forest Avenue/173rd St., and Tapper Avenue.
2. Received 100% engineering review set
   • LCRBDC contracted SEH on a cost/hour basis to submit comments.
   • LCRBDC contracted out DLZ on September 19, 2007 to do property ownership research for each station to determine what interest the HSD has at each location.
   • Received final PDF files for all (5) stations for real estate on February 1, 2008.
   • Forwarded to Huntington to confirm real estate easements on February 4, 2008.
   • A plan-in-hand meeting was held on November 27 to field review the (2) pump stations in Phase 2A (Forest Avenue and Tapper)
   • An email was sent to the Corps on November 27 requesting real estate requirements and types of easements (not just work limits). LCRBDC provided real estate information to the Corps on February 1, 2008.

GRIFFITH GOLF CENTER (North of NIPSCO R/W, East of Cline Avenue)
1. LCRBDC was directed by the COE to obtain a flowage easement on the entire property in a letter dated October 7, 2005. Appraisal was completed and reviewed. Offer was sent 8/16/06, landowner rejected offer and requested modifications to the design for future development.
2. Landowner met with Corps and LCRBDC on 1/10/07 to discuss modifications to the real estate requirements. Owner’s possible buyer submitted plans that address hydrology concerns and the CORPS has approved.
3. LCRBDC wrote a letter of support to the IDNR on June 22, 2007 indicating that the landowner’s plan is compatible with the Little Calumet River Flood Control Project and provides additional (compensatory) storage for flood waters. (Ongoing)
   • LCRBDC received a public notice for permit application from V3 (Realty agent) dated May 7, 2007 and received May 25, 2007. (Ongoing.)
CREDITING:

1. INDOT CREDITING
   A. LCRBDC has requested credit to the project for the bridges reconstructed as part of the project - Indianapolis Blvd., Cline Avenue, Grant and Georgia Streets.
   B. The Army Corps from Detroit agreed to help the LCRBDC with INDOT bridge crediting coordination.
      • A meeting, and field inspection, was held with their representative on July 31 and August 1, 2007, to familiarize them with the INDOT construction.
      • They have already obtained some data for Indianapolis Boulevard, Cline Avenue, Grant St., and Georgia. (Ongoing)
   C. A conference call with INDOT and Chicago/Detroit Corps, and the LCRBDC was held on November 29, 2007 to discuss points of contact for information and coordination. (Ongoing)

2. Cabela’s has donated the amount for their easements and we do receive credit. A previous appraisal valued the easements as Cabela’s on the property for $3.3 million. Corps has refused the appraisal and requested a second appraisal valuing the land as the Woodmar Golf Course. The easements are now valued as $1,875,000. We will continue to discuss this matter to a resolution. (Appraisals totaling a land value of $1,000,000 or more must be reviewed and approved by headquarters in Cincinnati.) (Ongoing)
Jim Pokrajac

From: "Cunningham, Matthew W LRC" <Matthew.W.Cunningham@usace.army.mil>
To: "Jim Pokrajac" <jpokrajac@nirpc.org>
Cc: <ggl@garcia-consulting.com>; "Samara, Imad LRC" <Imad.Samara@usace.army.mil>; "Kotwicki, Victor L LRE" <Victor.L.Kotwicki@usace.army.mil>; "Petrucci, Steven J LRE" <Steven.J.Petrucci@usace.army.mil>
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2008 4:32 PM
Attach: Revised_LCR_Gatewell 7-Alpha_RE-01.pdf
Subject: RE: Stage 7 Culvert West of Northcote Ave (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

Jim,

Here is the real estate that the Corps needs to complete the Gatewell 7-Alpha (Stage 7 Culvert West of Northcote Ave). You asked me yesterday to provide this to you and Greg so you could be sure that you have the necessary real estate needed. All the easements I show on this sheet are the same as in Stage 7 so we should be fine. If you have any questions just let me know.

Vic & Steve,

I just copied you so you know what is going on. You should have seen this before except I extended the easements a bit on the west side of the job. But everything I am asking for is what Jim P. should be acquiring for Stage 7.

Thanks

Matt

-----Original Message-----
From: Jim Pokrajac [mailto:jpokrajac@nirpc.org]
Sent: Wednesday, December 26, 2007 12:37 PM
To: Cunningham, Matthew W LRC
Cc: ggl@garcia-consulting.com
Subject: Re: Stage 7 Culvert West of Northcote Ave (UNCLASSIFIED)

Matt,

I may have received this before, but could you forward me and Gregg Heinzman the real estate we need for this work. I know it is in the Stage VII area North of the river, but I want to be sure that we have all that you need. Judy Vamos has already had appraisal work completed and we have agreements ready to be signed. I just need to confirm we have what we need. By the way, what is the status of the 100% review?

Thanks,

Jim

----- Original Message ----- 

From: Cunningham, Matthew W LRC <mailto:Matthew.W.Cunningham@usace.army.mil>
To: Jim Pokrajac <mailto:jpokrajac@nirpc.org>; ggl@garcia-consulting.com
Cc: Samara, Imad LRC <mailto:Imad.Samara@usace.army.mil>
Sent: Wednesday, November 21, 2007 4:10 PM
Subject: RE: Stage 7 Culvert West of Northcote Ave (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

We are going to do this structure as its own project and not with 5-2. I attached the schedule for it. You should expect to see the 100% plans and specs for review around Dec. 10th.

Thanks

Matt

-----Original Message-----
From: Jim Pokrajac [mailto:jpokrajac@nirpc.org]
Sent: Wednesday, November 21, 2007 3:59 PM
To: Jim Pokrajac; glh@garcia-consulting.com
Cc: Cunningham, Matthew W LRC; Samara, Imad LRC
Subject: Re: Stage 7 Culvert West of Northcote Ave (UNCLASSIFIED)

My apologies, but what is the status of this issue? Did you say we were going to do this as part of V-2, or will this remain in Stage VII.

Jim

----- Original Message ----- 
From: "Jim Pokrajac" <jpokrajac@nirpc.org>
To: <glh@garcia-consulting.com>
Cc: "Cunningham, Matthew W LRC" <Matthew.W.Cunningham@lrc02.usace.army.mil>;
"Samara, Imad LRC" <Imad.Samara@lrc02.usace.army.mil>
Sent: Wednesday, October 24, 2007 1:51 PM
Subject: Fw: Stage 7 Culvert West of Northcote Ave (UNCLASSIFIED)

> Gregg, 
> 
> I received this request from Matt Cunningham regarding the 3 properties 
> West 
> of Northcote and North of the Little Calumet River. I think DC-1201 is 
> Hammond Parks, DC-1210 is City of Hammond, and DC-1211 is Hammond Re-
> Development. The agreements are signed by their respective departments and 
> are currently in the process of being recorded. 
> 
> > > Thanks, 
> > 
> > Jim 
> > 
> > 
> > 
> > ---- Original Message ----
> > From: "Cunningham, Matthew W LRC" <Matthew.W.Cunningham@usace.army.mil>
> > To: "Jim Pokrajac" <jpokrajac@nirpc.org>
> > Cc: "Samara, Imad LRC" <Imad.Samara@usace.army.mil>
> > Sent: Wednesday, October 24, 2007 1:54 PM
> > Subject: Stage 7 Culvert West of Northcote Ave (UNCLASSIFIED)
> > 
> > 
> > Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
> > Caveats: NONE
I am forwarding this message from the army corps regarding the installation of a drainage structure into the existing levee West of Northcote Ave. and North of the Little Calumet River. The request is to close the Recreation Trail on the top of the levee during the construction of this structure. In the past, we were indirectly involved with a Boy scout Troop who adopted this trail as a community project. They put down down some wood chips, did some landscaping, and installed some timbers. This installation will interrupt the line of the trail. I'm not sure who needs to be contacted, but wanted to make Hammond aware of the upcoming construction. I was also informed that the corps will have a 100% review set coming out the last week in January and I will make sure that you get a set to review and comment upon. The intent of doing this before the actual levee contract in this area is to help with the interior drainage West of Northcote during a 20, or so, rain event. It appears that since the construction of the Cabela's levee that the gravity flow Eastward to Woodmar was interrupted and this will help the residents. I will be out of the office until Jan. 28th but wanted to make you all aware ahead of time. If you have specific questions, you may direct them to Matt Cunningham from the Chicago Corps at (312) 846-5416. Matt is the coordinating engineer.

Thank you,

Jim Pokrajac

----- Original Message ----- 
From: "Cunningham, Matthew W LRC" <Matthew.W.Cunningham@usace.army.mil>
To: "Jim Pokrajac" <jpkrajac@nirpc.org>
Cc: "Samara, Imad LRC" <Imad.Samara@usace.army.mil>
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2008 5:05 PM
Subject: Stage 7 Culvert West of Northcote Ave (Gatewell 7 Alpha) (UNCLASSIFIED) 

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE

Jim,  

I really don't have the time to write you a formal letter about this matter. Could you please talk to the City of Hammond about the Corps closing the rec
trail on the existing northern levee as we discussed during construction of our drainage structure. I am going to add it to the plans for the contractor to place a sign on the trail that says "Temporarily Closed During Construction". If you have questions just let me know.

Thanks

Matt
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE
Jim Pokrajac

From: "Jim Pokrajac" <jpkrajac@nirpc.org>
To: "Hamm, Adam W LRB" <Adam.W.Hamm@usace.army.mil>; <glh@garcia-consulting.com>; "Kotwicki, Victor L LRE" <Victor.L.Kotwicki@usace.army.mil>; "Samara, Imad LRC" <Imad.Samara@usace.army.mil>; "Cunningham, Matthew W LRC" <Matthew.W.Cunningham@usace.army.mil>; <jvamos@nirpc.org>; <dgardner@nirpc.org>
Cc: "Lane, Janet R NAE" <Janet.R.Lane@usace.army.mil>; "Lewandowski, Frank T LRB" <Frank.T.Lewandowski@usace.army.mil>; "Marranca, Carm LRB" <Carm.Marranca@usace.army.mil>; "Tellinghuisen, Dustin D LRB" <Dustin.D.Tellinghuisen@usace.army.mil>; "John Stuart Fabian" <stuart.allen@torrenga.com>; "Ray Keilman" <RKeilman@dlz.com>; "Lou Casale" <lcasale@cwblawfirm.com>
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2008 5:05 PM
Subject: Re: Updated RE Plans - 1 thru 6

Adam,

Thank you for sending the updated real estate drawings for Stage VIII. I need to ask several questions regarding the ongoing acquisition process. First, these are updated, but are they final. Secondly, I can not review for changes personally so I am having my surveyors review them to try to interpret the changes. Generally it would have been best to somehow indicate, on these drawings, where these changes are so we are assured to not miss any changes from the original set we have been working from. As you are all aware the LCRBDC has begun to send out offers for Stage VIII and are lined up to send more out. This was based upon our ongoing conference calls and general discussion regarding any changes. I had written an e-mail to all on December 19th questioning 16 items that were ongoing and this is primarily what we based our sending of offers upon. You have since provided us with some of this data and we completed our legals accordingly. I hope there are no other changes, especially on offers we are currently sending out. If this is not the case then we would require that you specifically let us know as soon as possible, what those changes are so we can assure we are not sending any incomplete offers out. By changing any other engineering that impacts real estate this will slow down the acquisition process by making us go back and re-do appraisals, after the legals are modified. In addition, I want to assure that even if the work limits have not changed, but that the permanent or temporary easements have changed within these work limits, this effects the appraisal and the value of our offer.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Hamm, Adam W LRB
To: glh@garcia-consulting.com ; Kotwicki, Victor L LRE ; Samara, Imad LRC ; Cunningham, Matthew W LRC ; jvamos@nirpc.org ; dgardner@nirpc.org ; jpkrajac@nirpc.org
Cc: Lane, Janet R NAE ; Lewandowski, Frank T LRB ; Marranca, Carm LRB ; Tellinghuisen, Dustin D LRB
Sent: Sunday, January 27, 2008 12:25 PM
Subject: Updated RE Plans - 1 thru 6

All,

Here are the updated real estate drawings (1 - 5) as of Sunday, 27 January 2008. I also attached a pdf file that show the current and superseded easements to help show what has been changed. Essentially, the easements shown in green are the current and the easements shown in red are superseded. There are some areas that are 'off' or 'darker' green and these areas are where there have been no changes and the green overlays the red. I have also attached the new and old Microstation files for Greg and anyone else to help identify what has been changed.

Thanks,
Adam

Adam W. Hamm
USACE Buffalo District  
1776 Niagara Street  
Buffalo, NY 14207  
(716) 879-4107  
adam.w.hamm@usace.army.mil  

Jim Pokrajac

From: "Joe Titian" <jtitian@garcia-consulting.com>
To: "Jim Pokrajac" <jppokrajac@nrpc.org>
Sent: Tuesday, January 29, 2008 10:28 AM
Subject: Stage 8 Comments

GLE REVISIONS
(Approx. 24)

Jim,

As per our meeting today January 29, 2008.

Garcia Consulting received an email from the Army Corps on Monday January 28 with real estate changes.

The following DC numbers require changes:
DC-1303 First National Bank of East Chicago
DC-1304 Centier Bank Trust No. 1533
DC-1311 City of Hammond Sanitary District (Issued 01/17/08, Garcia Consulting was not aware of any pending corps changes)
DC-1312 Brett Jones (Issued 01/11/08, Garcia Consulting was not aware of any pending corps changes)
DC-1313 Calumet National Bank Trust (Issued 01/11/08, Garcia Consulting was not aware of any pending corps changes)
DC-1314 Michael Rae (Issued 01/11/08, Garcia Consulting was not aware of any pending corps changes)
DC-1315 NICTD (Issued 01/11/08, Garcia Consulting was not aware of any pending corps changes)
DC-1317 Lyman Avenue (Issued 01/11/08, Garcia Consulting was not aware of any pending corps changes)
DC-1318 Manor Avenue (Issued 01/11/08, Garcia Consulting was not aware of any pending corps changes)
DC-1319 Kevin Thomas (Issued 01/11/08, Garcia Consulting was not aware of any pending corps changes)
DC-1320 Matthew Matovich (Issued 01/17/08, Garcia Consulting was not aware of any pending corps changes)
DC-1321 Ryan Mix
DC-1322 Clementine Dolnick
DC-1323 Lynn Mickey
DC-1324 Christine Kutkaske
DC-1335 Geraldine Wasik
DC-1336 Town of Munster
DC-1337 I-80/94
DC-1342 Jack Schwartz
DC-1343 State of Indiana
DC-1346 Hohman Avenue
DC-1349 Town of Munster
DC-1350 River Drive

Changes that Garcia Consulting provided the corps were also included with the updated real estate changes. The following changes were included.

Outlines of easements in corps coordinates for:
DC-1310 INDOT staging area
DC-1340 Spolnik
DC-1345 City of Hammond Alley (north of Spolnik)

A concern was also addressed by the corps for DC-1342 Schwartz as the previous real estate requirements
excluded the outfall the corps intended to use.

The real estate for Calumet Avenue has not changed. I assumed the real estate was going to change similar to Hohman Avenue, because less real estate was required.

There also appears to be a large amount of real estate required for River Drive, is this amount necessary?

Thank you,

Joe Trtan
Engineering Technician

Garcia Consulting
7501 Indianapolis Boulevard    Phone: 219.989.1954
Hammond, IN 46324           Fax: 219.989.3321
Jim Pokrajac

From: "Stuart Allen" <stuart.allen@torrenga.com>
To: "Jim Pokrajac" <jpokrajac@nirpc.org>
Sent: Wednesday, January 30, 2008 3:30 PM
Subject: RE: Updated RE Plans - 1 thru 6

Jim,

The revision moved the permanent easement +/- 3 feet South into all but two dc number between Calumet and Columbia. The temp. easement added a leg that goes south into the right of way of Euclid Avenue. This minor change will affect every legal and drawing I did. Do I need to change all of these and if so do I need to give you a proposal.

J. Stuart Allen
Partner
Torrenga Surveying, LLC
907 Ridge Road
Munster, IN 46321
voice: 219.836.8918
fax: 219.836.1138
mobile: 219.712.3485
e-mail: stuart.allen@torrenga.com

From: Jim Pokrajac [mailto:jpokrajac@nirpc.org]
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2008 5:06 PM
To: Hamm, Adam W LRB; ghi@garcia-consulting.com; Kotwicki, Victor L LRE; Samara, Imad LRC; Cunningham, Matthew W LRC; jvamos@nirpc.org; dgardner@nirpc.org
Cc: Lane, Janet R NAE; Lewandowski, Frank T LRB; Marranca, Carm LRB; Tellinghuisen, Dustin D LRB; John Stuart Fabian; Ray Kellman; Lou Casale
Subject: Re: Updated RE Plans - 1 thru 6

Adam,

Thank you for sending the updated real estate drawings for Stage VIII. I need to ask several questions regarding the ongoing acquisition process. First, these are updated, but are they final. Secondly, I can not review for changes personally so I am having my surveyors review them to try to interpret the changes. Generally it would have been best to somehow indicate, on these drawings, where these changes are so we are assured to not miss any changes from the original set we have been working from. As you are all aware the LCRBDC has begun to send out offers for Stage VII and are lined up to send more out. This was based upon our ongoing conference calls and general discussion regarding any changes. I had written an e-mail to all on December 19th questioning 16 items that were ongoing and this is primarily what we based our sending of offers upon. You have since provided us with some of this data and we completed our legal accordingly. I hope there are no other changes,
especially on offers we are currently sending out. If this is not the case then we would require that you specifically let us know as soon as possible, what these changes are so we can assure we are not sending any incomplete offers out. By changing any other engineering that impacts real estate this will slow down the acquisition process by making us go back and re-do appraisals, after the legals are modified. In addition, I want to assure that even if the work limits have not changed, but that the permanent or temporary easements have changed within these work limits, this effects the appraisal and the value of our offer.

--- Original Message ---

From: Hamm, Adam W LRB
To: glh@garcia-consulting.com ; Kotwicki, Victor L LRE ; Samara, Imad LRC ; Cunningham, Matthew W LRC ; jvamos@nirpc.org ; dgardner@nirpc.org ; jpokrajac@nirpc.org
Cc: Lane, Janet R NAE ; Lewandowski, Frank T LRB ; Marranca, Carm LRB ; Tellinghuisen, Dustin D LRB
Sent: Sunday, January 27, 2008 12:25 PM
Subject: Updated RE Plans - 1 thru 6

All,

Here are the updated real estate drawings (1 - 5) as of Sunday, 27 January 2008. I also attached a pdf file that show the current and superseded easements to help show what has been changed. Essentially, the easements shown in green are the current and the easements shown in red are superseded. There are some areas that are 'off' or 'darker' green and these areas are where there have been no changes and the green overlays the red. I have also attached the new and old Microstation files for Greg and anyone else to help identify what has been changed.

Thanks,
Adam

Adam W. Hamm
USACE Buffalo District
1776 Niagara Street
Buffalo, NY 14207
(716) 879-4107
adam.w.hamm@usace.army.mil

Jim Pokrajac

From: "Ray Keilman" <rkeilman@dlz.com>
To: "Jim Pokrajac" <jpokrajac@nirpc.org>
Sent: Wednesday, January 30, 2008 9:28 AM
Subject: [Fwd: Stage 8 revisions]

Jim

As a follow-up to yesterdays E-mail and to better explain my concern here; what I was attempting to relay to you was that when I overlay the "LRB RE Plan Lines Points" drawing over our current drawing, (and as shown on the pdf titled "RE revisions-27 Jan 08") I determined that 3 of the parcels we surveyed require changes to the permanent & temporary easements. But, the real estate drawings do not show these areas as "clouded". Yet, within our surveyed area (between the RR & Calumet Ave. - south of the river, and between Calumet Ave. & Columbia Ave. - north of the river) the real estate drawings show 2 separate locations that are "clouded", see drawing "RE-9". I cannot define if or where these changes are occurring in those 2 clouded areas. If indeed there are changes in those areas, I will need that information in order for us to complete our work. Those two areas affect as many as 11 parcels.
Ray

-------- Original Message --------

Subject: Stage 8 revisions
Date: Tue, 29 Jan 2008 15:01:15 -0600
From: Ray Keilman <rkeilman@dlz.com>
To: Jim Pokrajac <jpokrajac@nirpc.org>

Jim,

A review of the easement revisions from the Army Corp. shows 3 of our parcels affected; they are:
- DC 1300 City of Hammond permanent, temporary, & flowage easements affected.
- DC 1384 Town of Munster permanent easement affected.
- DC 1394 Town of Munster permanent & temporary easements affected.

The Real Estate drawings are a little confusing; there are "clouds" around some areas not affected by the changes, but yet the areas that are affected are not "clouded".
Let me know if you need us to get going on revising our plats.

--
Raymond Keilman, L.S.
Senior Project Manager
DLZ INDUSTRIAL, LLC
316 Tech Dr.
Burns Harbor, IN
Phone: (219) 764-4700
Fax: (219) 764-4156
Cell: (219) 405-3442

--

2/3/2008
From: "Ray Keilman" <rkeilman@dlz.com>
To: "Jim Pokrajac" <jpokrajac@nirpc.org>
Cc: "Kathy Kolosci" <kkolosci@dlz.com>
Sent: Friday, February 01, 2008 11:47 AM
Attach: 7005PL (Tapper Ave).pdf; 7001PL (Forest Ave).pdf; 7002PL (Indianapolis Blvd).pdf; 7003PL (Jackson Ave).pdf; 7004PL (Southside).pdf
Subject: Pumping Stations

Jim,

The attached pdf's are of all 5 Hammond pumping stations.
I will mail you 3 hard copies of each.

--

Raymond Keilman, L.S.
Senior Project Manager
DLZ INDUSTRIAL, LLC
316 Tech Dr.
Burns Harbor, IN
Phone: (219) 764-4700
Fax: (219) 764-4156
Cell: (219) 405-3442
DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY  
CHICAGO DISTRICT, U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS  
111 NORTH CANAL STREET  
CHICAGO, IL 60606-7208  

February 6, 2008  

Programs & Project Management  
Branch  

Mr. Timothy J Sanders  
Executive Director, Northwest Indiana  
Regional Development Authority  
9800 Connecticut  
Crown Point, Indiana 46307  

Dear Mr. Sanders:  

In response to your letter of January 9, 2008, I am enclosing a copy of my January 18, 2008 letter to Mayor Thomas McDermott, responding to his concern regarding the Stage V-2 construction contract duration of 750 days. As stated in that letter, due to Federal Law for open bid contracts we cannot change the performance period of the contract or offer incentives to the contractor for earlier completion of the project without re-bidding the contract and going through the Federal contracting process again, which would result in significant delays. Although not binding in any way, Dyer Construction, in previous projects for the Corps of Engineers, has earned a reputation of completing projects ahead of schedule and on budget which may help reduce the 750-day timeline.  

As discussed during our meeting at the Mayor’s office on January 22, 2008, we will hold regular meetings so that the construction durations can be monitored by everyone involved. The next meeting is on February 19, 2008.  

If you have any additional questions, please feel free to contact me at 312-846-5300, or Mr. Imad Samara, Project Manager, at 312-846-5560.  

Sincerely,  

[Signature]  

FREDRIC E. KAehler, CEC  
Colonel, U.S. Army  
District Commander  

Copies Furnished:  
Mayor Thomas M. McDermott, Jr.  
Senator Richard G. Lugar  

Printed on Recycled Paper
Copies Furnished (continued):
Dan Gardner, Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission
John Swanson, NIRPC
Representative Peter J. Visclosky
Senator Evan Bayh
Michael Griffin, Town of Highland
Vince Galbiatti, Forum
Jim Staton, IBDC
Governor Mitchell E. Daniels
Spero Batistatos, LCCVB
January 18, 2008

Thomas M. McDermott, Jr
Mayor
City of Hammond
5925 Calumet Avenue
Hammond, IN 46320

Honorable Mayor McDermott,

In response to your letter of December 27, 2007, I am providing the following information regarding the project timeline for the Little Calumet River Project, Stage V-2.

The Corps of Engineers, Chicago District (USACE) did let the contract for the completion of Stage V-2 to Dyer Construction on September 29, 2007. The current completion date, based on the contract duration of 750 calendar days, is November 5, 2009.

Prior to award of this USACE contract, USACE did attend meetings with representatives of the City of Hammond, Cabela's, and the Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission (LCRBDC) in early 2006 to discuss the construction schedule for Stage V-2 and how Cabela's development of the golf course would impact USACE plans. At these meetings USACE did state that a completion of construction of Stage V-2 by October 2008 was achievable if all the required items for USACE to advertise and award a contract were obtained by late 2006. However, at these meetings we also informed everyone that Stage V-2 construction would require two construction seasons to complete. For USACE to award a contract all required real estate had to be obtained by the LCRBDC. The construction contract was advertised in July 2007 once real estate was available. Even during the advertisement period, design changes were still being made as a result of coordination in regard to design aspects of the project in Cabela's and NIPSCO areas.

Utility coordination was not completed by the advertisement date so options were added to the contract that USACE will have to exercise within 365 days of contract start. These options involve a small geographic area located between Kennedy Ave and Indianapolis Blvd south of I-80/94, referred to hereafter as the Northern Utility Corridor. In this area there are sixteen major petroleum pipelines belonging to seven separate companies that include Conoco-Phillips, Marathon, Explorer, BP-Amoco, Buckeye Partners, Wolverine and NIPSCO. Also included in the Utility Corridor is a major rail line for the Norfolk Southern Railroad with double tracks. Currently, for both the pipeline companies and Norfolk Southern there is an approved design for construction; however the
local sponsor is still negotiating the real estate easements necessary for construction. In order to meet the project completion date of December 2009 the decision was made to let the contract with these options to allow construction in Stage V-2 to commence while the negotiations in the Northern Utility Corridor are completed. The contract specifies that if the options are awarded within 365 days from notice to proceed that there is no additional time added for completion of these items to the main contract. While the contractor is allowed to complete various sections based on a schedule he prepares, the 750 days is intended to allow time for the construction in the Northern Utility Corridor and the total completion of Stage V-2.

Unfortunately, due to Federal Law for open bid contracts we cannot change the performance period of the contract or offer incentives to the contractor for earlier completion of the project without re-bidding the contract and going through the Federal contracting process again, which would result in significant delays. Although not binding in any way, Dyer Construction, in previous projects for the Corps of Engineers, has earned a reputation of completing projects ahead of schedule and on budget which may help reduce the 750 day timeline.

The schedule for completion of the entire Little Calumet River Project is December 2009, provided all necessary funding is available. The Corps is bound by funding and the authority provided by the Federal Government, so the 2008 good faith statement by Indiana Economic Develop Corp to Cabela’s was without consultation and agreement with USACE. In addition, the Little Calumet River Development Basin Commission, the City of Hammond, and Cabela’s have been involved and informed about the timeline and schedule through the design process and contract issuance. Cabela’s was informed that it would require as much as two years to complete this section of the levee. They are completing a private levee to the west of their site in order to proceed forward with their development without awaiting completion of Stages VII and VIII of the Little Calumet River Project. Our timeline was discussed in the meetings held with them and the local sponsor throughout the design period for Stage V-2.

USACE has been in communication with the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) over the last several months and is developing an internal process to expedite the floodplain mapping in areas protected by fully completed levee segments. Within the next five months, the Corps will be requesting a Conditional Letter of Map Revision (CLOMR) for part of the project including Stage V-2. The CLOMR request will provide FEMA with the plans and supporting technical data to concur with a map revision contingent upon the completion of the described work. After the review period, which is typically 3 months, FEMA will either request additional information needed for evaluation or will issue a CLOMR. Concurrently, Stage V-2 will be in construction and the Corps will be working towards the certification of the already constructed portions of the levee. Upon completion of Stage V-2 and certification of the
Levees providing protection east of Stage V-2, the Corps will be requesting a Letter of Map Revision (LOMR). This request will include the as-built drawings and other information necessary to demonstrate the completion of a levee system meeting FEMA's requirements for removal of protected areas from the floodplain.

I look forward to meeting with you on January 22, 2008. If you would like additional information, please feel free to contact me at 312-846-5300, or Mr. Imad Samara, Project Manager, at 312-846-5560.

SIGNED

John D. Drolet
COL, EN
Commanding
January 9, 2008

Colonel John Drolet
District Commander
U.S. Army Corp. of Engineers
1117N. Canal Street
Chicago, IL 60606-7206

Dear Colonel Drolet:

The Northwest Indiana Regional Development Authority (RDA) has been approached by the Governor of the State of Indiana, Mitch Daniels and First District Representative Peter Visclosky, to provide the local match for funding of the Stage V Phase 2 of the levee project on the Little Calumet River.

One of the chief purposes of the RDA is to provide economic development opportunities for Lake and Porter Counties and the completion of this levee and flood protection project is important in that effort.

We are concerned that the contract calls for 750 days for the completion of this construction project which will adversely impact job growth and economic development in the region. Flood insurance and other costs associated with this time schedule impact several thousand households and residents of Hammond, Highland and Munster.

We are asking that the US Army Corp of Engineers consider a more aggressive time schedule for the completion of this important work and we would like to join with Hammond Mayor Tom McDermott in offering whatever assistance we might to move this project along.

Sincerely,

Timothy J. Sanders
Executive Director

Co: Mayor Thomas M. McDermott, Jr.
Senator Richard G. Lugar
Dan Gardner, Little Calumet Task Force
John Swanson, NIRPC
Congressman Peter J. Visclosky
Senator Evan Bayh
Michael Griffin, Town of Highland
Dave Schafer, Town of Munster
Vince Galbiatti, Forum
Jim Staton, IEDC
Governor Mitchell E. Daniels
Spero Batistatos, LCCVB
Sandy Mordus

From: "Samara, Imad LRC" <Imad.Samara@usace.army.mil>
To: "Samara, Imad LRC" <Imad.Samara@usace.army.mil>; "Sandy Mordus" <smordus@nirpc.org>
Cc: <dgardner@nirpc.org>
Sent: Tuesday, February 05, 2008 11:15 AM
Subject: RE: Letter from LCRBDC

Dan in response to the attached letter to this email, I would like to recommend that this not be done at the commissioners meeting on Feb 6. I would like to suggest that we have this meeting at the Griffith Area office so that we can have more people from USACE participate at this meeting. Can you please at the Feb 6 meeting ask the commissioners to pick a date that is convenient for them so that I can make sure USACE representatives are available. Please let me know what do you think.

I would suggest that we have a conference call to discuss what to present at this meeting. The letter is not too specific.

Imad N Samara
Project Manager
U S Army, Corps of Engineers
111 N Canal Street
Chicago IL, 60606
(W) 312.846.5560
(Cell) 312.860.0123

-----Original Message-----
From: Sandy Mordus [mailto:smordus@nirpc.org]
Sent: Thursday, January 31, 2008 1:43 PM
To: Samara, Imad LRC
Subject: Letter from LCRBDC

Imad:

Attached is a letter that the Board has asked Dan to write to you.
January 31, 2008

Mr. Imad Samara
Project Manager
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
111 N. Canal Street
Chicago, Illinois  60606-7206

Dear Imad:

I write to you at the request of the Development Commission's Board to ask that you give a brief presentation and allow Commission discussion as to the timetable to keep both the State and Federal entities on schedule in meeting the project construction completion. It is my feeling that the activities chart with reference to the map showing properties in Stages VII and VIII be coordinated between our two entities. I think the most meaningful will be an open discussion with the commissioners as to the Corps' and Commission's methods to keep to the schedule.

I would be happy to discuss this in more detail with you, and perhaps an initial discussion can be held as early as the Commission's February 6 meeting. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Dan Gardner
Executive Director

/sjm
Cc: William Biller, Chairman
Kent Gurley, Treasurer
WORK STUDY SESSION
February 6, 2008
5:30 – 6:00 p.m.

ACTION ITEMS:

Finance: • Approval of claims for January 2008
         • Approval of O&M claims for January 2008

Report of Finance Committee recommended action:
  • Approval of NIRPC contract for administrative services
  • Approval of independent Contractors’ contracts
    - Engineering/Land Management Agent contract
    - Land Acquisition Agent contract
    - Crediting Technician Services contract

Land Acq: • Action on increased offers
LAND MANAGEMENT REPORT

For meeting on Wednesday, February 6, 2008
(Information in this report is based upon latest data provided at the
time the report is put together. Dates and costs may vary depending
upon ongoing design and/or coordination with the Army Corps.
Report period is from January 4 – February 5, 2008)

A. LAMAR OUTDOOR ADVERTISING
   • We currently have 3 leases with LAMAR for billboards

B. VIEW OUTDOOR BILLBOARDS
   • As of June 15, (8) billboards have been installed and revenues have
     begun.
   • A letter was sent to the Corps on November 26, 2007 requesting their
     approval for an amended location for the ninth sign.
     > The location was changed because it would impact the toe of an existing
     levee east of Harrison Street.
     > The new location is approximately 1200’ east of Chase Street, south of
     I-80/94
   • Received a current location map of billboards, annual rental information
     and general comments as of December 20, 2007.

C. 26 acre parcel of Excess Land (East of Clay Street, north of Burns Ditch)
   • A letter was sent to LEL on December 27, 2006 requesting they sign a
     waiver to terminate their option on this land. If signed, the LCRBDC could
     then put together a bid package to qualified entities to develop this land as
     a wetland mitigation bank.
   • Staff has developed an RFP for the 26 acres east of Clay.
   • Attorney has drafted a proposed RFP; in process of finalizing

D. Wetland Reserve Program (Chase to Grant – between levees)
   • Dan Gardner & Jim Pokrajac met with the “Conservation Implementation
     Team” on the site on May 18, 2007.
   • Points of contact were established and they will begin their site analysis
     and procedure as part of their evaluation.
   • The LCRBDC was provided the Wetland Reserve Program for Indiana
     “preliminary plan and ranking form guidance”
   • Army Corps wrote a letter on January 16, 2008 requesting consideration
     for hydric soils as part of the LCRBDC mitigation obligation.

E. LCRBDC – Farm Land Leases
   (Opened bids on January 8, 2008. The following farmers were approved
through a motion at the January 9, 2008 meeting).  
1. Chase to Grant – Plum Grove Farm ($140/tillable acre)
2. I-80/94 & I-65 – Jerry Ewen ($75/tillable acre)
3. 179 acres adjacent to Clay Street – Gary Dunlap ($71.50/tillable acre)
16 January 2008

Programs and
Project Management Branch

Mr. Dan Gardner
Executive Director
Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission
6100 Southport Road
Portage, IN  46368

RE: Request that you terminate the agricultural lease involving the 200-acre IDEM mitigation field located between Chase and Grant Streets south of the Little Calumet River.

Dear Dan,

We received word last November from IDEM informing us that the 200-acre field located between Chase and Grant Streets south of the river is currently under agriculture and planted in corn. According to interagency agreement involving the Chicago District, IDEM and LCRBDC, and addressed in correspondence from this agency dated 14 January 2002, 14 May 2002, 8 September 2003, 7 June 2004, 4 January 2004 and a memo dated 12 January 2004, and correspondence from IDEM dated 2 January 2002, this field constitutes a portion of the IDEM hydric soil rehydration requirement and so is an essential part of our Little Calumet River Project mitigation obligation. We and IDEM are concerned that, while under agriculture, this tract is not performing the intended wetland mitigation functions.

Although we contacted the LCRBDC back in November when we first became aware of the issue, we don’t know if the farm lease is still in effect with planting planned for the coming spring, or if the lease has elapsed. If the lease is still in effect, we request that you terminate it and not allow that field to continue to be used for agriculture. Would you please notify us if that lease has already elapsed or, if not, when you have terminated it?

Thank you for your cooperation, Dan. Please feel free to call Greg any time if you have questions.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Imad Samara
Little Calumet River Project Manager

Copy Furnished:
Mr. Marty Maupin, IDEM
## 2008 Farm License Bid Breakdown

### Area #1

(Between Chase & Grant) N. of 35th (95 Acr.), S. of 35th (45 Acr.)

**Total Acres - 140 Approx.**

**Bids:**
- Tim & Ed Butz - $84/Tillable Acre
- Plum Grove Farm - $140/Tillable Acre (Approx. $19,600)

**Recommendation:** We recommend using Plum Grove Farm to farm the 140 acres of land between Chase and Grant based upon their submitting the highest bid/tillable acre.

### Area #2

(North of I-80/94, West of I-65, South of the Little Calumet River)

**Total Acres - 52 Approx.**

**Bids:**
- Jerry Ewen - $75/Tillable Acre (Approx. $3,900)

**Recommendation:** We recommend using Jerry Ewen to farm the 52 acres of land west of I-65 and north of I-80/94 based upon their submitting the highest (and only) bid/tillable acre, also upon a $5/acre increase above last year's bid.

### Area #3

(Adjacent to both sides of Clay Street, directly north of Burns Ditch, in both Gary and Lake Station, Indiana) Approx. 85 Acres West of Clay St., and Approx. 27 Acres East of Clay Street.

**Total Acres - 112 Approx.**

**Bids:**
- Gary Dunlap - $71.50/Tillable Acre (Approx. $8,008)

**Recommendation:** We recommend using Gary Dunlap to farm the 112 acres of land adjacent to both sides of Clay St. based upon their submitting the highest (and only) bid/tillable acre, also upon a $6.50/acre increase above last year's bid.
January 14, 2008

Mr. Mike Mitsch
PLUM GROVE FARM
16855 Mississippi Street
Lowell, Indiana 46356

Dear Mike:

Enclosed please find two (2) copies of the farm license agreement for the upcoming farming season of 2008 between the Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission and yourself.

As per your bid, a payment of $140.00 per tillable acre will be paid for farming on the North farming area (between Chase and Grant north of 35th Avenue and the South farming area (between Chase and Grant south of 35th Avenue) and is based upon approximately 140 acres, making the total yearly payment to be $19,600 if all 140 acres would be farmed.

We would appreciate receiving proof of insurance on or before April 15. If you are agreeable to the terms contained in this license agreement, please sign both copies, retaining one copy for your files and return one copy back to our office in the enclosed envelope.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. We are happy to do business with you. I will be your contact for the Commission if you have any questions at any time.

Sincerely,

James E. Pokrajac, Agent
Land Management/Engineering

 сво

enclosure
cc: Lou Casale, LCRBDC attorney
December 11, 2007

Mr. Jerry Ewen
2600 Colorado Street
Lake Station, Indiana 46405

Dear Jerry:

Enclosed please find two (2) copies of the farm license agreement for the upcoming farming season of 2007 between the Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission and yourself.

As we spoke earlier, and you agreed to, per-tillable acre on the property has increased to $75 (from $70), thus making the total yearly payment to be $3,900.00 (from $3,640.00). The remaining terms and conditions remain the same as last year's agreement. There have been no changes.

We would appreciate receiving proof of insurance on or before April 15, 2008. If you are agreeable to the terms contained in this license agreement, please sign both copies, retaining one copy for your files and return one copy back to our office in the enclosed envelope.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. We are happy to do business with you. If you have any questions, please call.

Sincerely,

James E. Pokrajac, Agent
Land Management/Engineering

_/sjm
enclosure
cc: Lou Casale, LCRBDC attorney
January 15, 2008

Mr. Gary Dunlap
467 N. 475 W.
Valparaiso, Indiana 46383

Dear Gary:

Enclosed please find two (2) copies of the farm license agreement for the upcoming farming season of 2008 between the Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission and yourself.

As per your bid, a payment of $71.50 per tillable acre will be paid for farming the property west of clay Street, based upon approximately 85 acres, making the total payment to be $6,149. In addition, as “Option 1”, east of Chase Street, an additional amount of $1,859 will be added, making a total of $8,008. The remaining terms and conditions remain the same as last year's agreement. There have been no changes.

We would appreciate receiving proof of insurance on or before April 15. If you are agreeable to the terms contained in this license agreement, please sign both copies, retaining one copy for your files and return one copy back to our office in the enclosed envelope.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. We are happy to do business with you. If you have any questions, please call.

Sincerely,

James E. Pokrajac, Agent
Land Management/Engineering

/jm
enclosure
cc: Lou Casale, LCRBDC attorney
PROJECT ENGINEERING
MONTHLY STATUS REPORT

For meeting on Wednesday, February 6, 2008
(Information in this report is based upon latest data provided at the time the report is put together. Dates and costs may vary depending upon ongoing design and/or coordination with the Army Corps) Report period is from January 4 – February 5, 2008)

COMPLETED CONSTRUCTION

STATUS (Stage II Phase 1) Harrison to Broadway – North Levee:
   Dyer Construction – Contract price: $365,524

STATUS (Stage II Phase II) Grant to Harrison – North Levee:
1. Project completed on December 1st, 1993
   Dyer/Ellas Construction – Contract price: $1,220,386

STATUS (Stage II Phase 3A) Georgia to Martin Luther King – South Levee:
1. Project completed on January 13th, 1995
   Ramirez & Marsch Construction – Contract price: $2,275,023

STATUS (Stage II Phase 3B) Harrison to Georgia – South Levee:
   Rausch Construction – Contract price: $3,288,102
2. Received “as-built” drawings from the Corps on 11/6/06.

STATUS (Stage II Phase 3C2) Grant to Harrison: (8A contract)
   WEBB Construction – Contract price: $3,915,178

STATUS (Stage II Phase 4) Broadway to MLK Drive – North Levee:
   • Rausch Construction Company – Contract price: $4,186,070.75

STATUS (Stage III) Chase to Grant Street:
1. Project completed on May 6th, 1994
   Kiewit Construction – Contract price: $6,564,520

Landscaping Contract – Phase I (This contract includes all completed levee segments) installing, planting zones, seeding, and landscaping:
1. Project completed June 11, 1999
   Dyer Construction – Final contract cost: $1,292,066

STATUS (Stage IV Phase 2B) Clark to Chase:
1. Project completed on October 2, 2002.
   • Dyer Construction Company, Inc. - Contract price: $1,948,053
STATUS (Stage IV Phase 1 – South) EJ&E Railroad to Burr St., South of the Norfolk Southern RR:
   Dyer Construction – Contract price: $4,285,345

STATUS (Stage IV Phase 1 – North) Cline to Burr (North of the Norfolk Southern RR):
1. IV-1 (North) The drainage system from Colfax to Burr St. North of the Norfolk Southern RR.
   • Current contract amount - $2,956,964.61
   • Original contract amount - $2,708,720.00
   • Amount overrun - $248,244.60 (9%)

2. The only item needed to be completed is to assure turf growth in all areas.
   • Current plantings are for erosion control that will give way to native grasses. Native grasses weren’t planned on this contract, but will be needed to be included in an upcoming contract.
   • LCRBDC has a concern with sloughing in the concrete ditch bottom between Colfax and Calhoun.
   • We received a response from the Corps on January 7, 2003, addressing vegetation.
   • Currently, the entire concrete ditch bottom is filled with silt and dirt and has cattails growing. LCRBDC got a cost to clean the concrete bottom of the drainage ditch on August 18 during dry conditions in the amount of $8,200; and wet conditions in the amount of $11,640.

A letter will be sent to the COE requesting their participation for a design modification to prevent this sloughing from re-occurring. (The Corps suggested that this issue be addressed as part of the recently completed levee Inspection Reports in August, 2007). Awaiting Corps inspection results.

STATUS (Stage IV Phase 2A) Burr to Clark – Lake Etta:
   Dyer Construction – Contract price: $3,329,464
2. Received “as-built” drawings from the Corps on 11/6/06.

STATUS (Betterment Levee – Phase 1) EJ & E RR to, and including Colfax – North of the NIPSCO R/W (Drainage from Arbogast to Colfax, South of NIPSCO R/W):
   Dyer Construction. – Contract price: $2,228,652

STATUS (Stage V Phase 1) Wicker Park Manor:
1. Project completed on September 14, 1995.
   Dyer construction – Contract price: $998,630
2. Levee certification
   • A meeting was held with FEMA, LCRBDC, and the Corps on July 17, 2007 to review FEMA mapping
   • Minutes were distributed on July 18 (Refer to Item #6 regarding letter of certification need for record).
   • Letter of response from Highland on January 30, 2008
East Reach Remediation Area – North of I-80/94, MLK to I-65

1. Project cost information
   • Current contract amount - $1,873,784.68
   • Original contract amount - $1,657,913.00
   • Amount overrun - $215,971 (13%)

The lift station at the Southwest corner of the existing levee that will handle interior drainage has been completed as part of the Stage III remediation project. Pump station final inspection with the contractor was held on June 23, 2005, and was found to be satisfactory.

2. This pump station is in the process of being turned over to the city of Gary for O&M responsibility.
   • A follow-up inspection was held with the COE and Greeley & Hansen on September 4 and 5, 2007.
   • Awaiting Army Corps report to proceed with remediation

West Reach Pump Stations – Phase 1B:

1. The two (2) pump stations included in this contract are S.E. Hessville (Hammond), and 81st St. (Highland). Overall contract work is completed.

   Thieneman Construction – Contract price: $2,120,730

North Fifth Avenue Pump Station:

1. The low bidder was Overstreet Construction
   • Current contract amount - $2,518,988.44
   • Original contract amount- $2,387,500
   • Amount overrun - $114,276 (4.9%)
   • Project is currently 99% completed

2. Minor items have been completed.

3. A final inspection was held with the COE, town of Highland, and the LCRBDC on September 7, 2007 as part of the O&M turnover.

4. Received “as-built” drawings from the Corps on 11/6/06.
   • LCRBDC will now turn this station over to the town of Highland (Refer to O&M Report).

5. Received monthly construction status report from the COE. (Refer to handout)

STAGE III Drainage Remediation:

   A. Dyer Construction – Contractor
   B. Final Inspection – June 23, 2005
      • Agreement for O&M turnover to Gary is being finalized (Ongoing) (Refer to O&M Report for details) These (2) stations have been included with the other (4) Gary stations in one process.

C. Project money status:
   • Original contract estimate - $1,695,822
   • Original contract amount - $1,231,845
   • Current contract amount - $1,625,057
   • Amount overrun - $70,765 (4%)
ON GOING CONSTRUCTION

Landscaping Contract – Phase II (This contract includes all completed levee segments in the East Reach not landscaped):
1. Contract award date – June 30, 2004
2. Notice to proceed – July 29, 2004 (430 days to complete)
3. Original contract completion date – October 1, 2010
4. Bids were opened on June 30 and the low bidder was ECO SYSTEMS, INC.
   • Current Contract Amount - $648,995.23
   • Original Contract Amount - $648,995.23
   • Percent completed – 54.7%
   • 104 acres included in bid – 100 to be herbicided, remaining 4 acres are ditches.
5. A walk-thru inspection was held with the COE and the contractor on October 25, 2005.
   • Scope of work – Approximately ½ of East Reach to plant trees, herbiciding has been completed, clean up growth in collector ditches, plant new native grasses on levees.
6. Received monthly construction status report from COE

STATUS (Betterment Levee – Phase 2 – Gary) Colfax to Burr St.
1. The low bidder was Superior Construction Company
   • Original Bid Amount - $2,301,518
   • Current Contract Amount - $2,549,885.15
   • Amount overrun - $248,367 (10.8%)
   • Percent completed – 99%
   • Current contract completion date – August 24, 2007
2. Received monthly construction status report from COE. (Refer to Handout)
3. Final inspection was held on May 1, 2007
   • All punch list items were addressed and project is now completed.
4. LCRBDC received a copy of the certificate of final completion by Gary on July 25, 2007

STATUS (Betterment Levee – Phase 2 - East) North of the NSRR, East of Burr St., and ½ mile East, back South over RR approx. 1400
1. This portion of construction was advertised, coordinated, and facilitated by the Corps and LCRBDC as a betterment levee.
2. The Army Corps awarded the contract to Dyer Construction Company on February 28, 2007 in the amount of $3,342,583.22.
3. A pre-construction meeting was held on April 4, 2007
4. Sheet piling across the NSRR R/W has been completed.

STATUS (Stage V Phase 2) Kennedy Avenue to Northcote
A. The low bidder was Dyer Construction Company, Inc.
   • Original contract amount - $15,930,348.46 (includes options)
   • Total awarded amount - $13,140,189.41
   • Notice to Proceed issued October 17, 2007
   • Current Contract Completion Date – November 20, 2009
   • Total Government Estimate w/o profit - $17,411,799.89
   • $1,481,452 under estimate (8.5%)
1. A progress meeting was held with the Corps, Dyer Construction, and municipalities on January 23, 2008.
B. Received the file from the Corps of the solicitation documents on September 14 indicating a 750 day duration after the contractor receives their Notice to Proceed. (This
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projects to a completion date, without weather delays, to approximately November 9, 2009.

- A letter was written to the Hammond mayor by the Corps (Col. Drolet) on January 18, 2008 discussing scheduling and real estate.

1. **UTILITY CORRIDOR COORDINATION (NIPSCO R/W)**

   A. **LCRBDC Participation**
   - LCRBDC completed a cost and status summary sheet (to date) as of December 20, 2007 with all the pipelines.
     - Costs approved by Corps: $1,508,534
     - Costs being reviewed by Corps: $150,277
     - Estimated costs by NSRR: $190,000
     - For a total preliminary cost of: $1,848,811

   B. **Huntington District Assistance**
      1. **General Coordination**
         - LCRBDC completed a status summary of current costs and actions on January 18, 2008.
      2. **Huntington Actions**
         - Huntington & the LCRBDC put together a one page summary that was forwarded to each pipeline to clarify what their cost estimate should include on October 23, 2007.

   C. **NIPSCO**
      - Received memo from the Corps dated January 3 from the Huntington District indicating estimate of $204,551 to be fair and reasonable.

   D. **Conoco Phillips Pipe Line**
      1. Received memo from the Corps dated January 14, 2008 from the Huntington District indicating estimate of $333,000 to be fair and reasonable.

   E. **Level 3 (formerly Witel)**
      1. Had a conference call with the NSRR, Army Corps, and LCRBDC on September 24 to discuss concerns of the RR for their communications and fiber optic lines.
         - Locations to be verified and engineering drawings to be forwarded to the NSRR by the Corps for their review.
         - Received "as built" information from NSRR on October 30 (Danny Young) and forwarded to Corps on November 2, 2007
      2. This coordination and costs should be in the scope of work for Bergmann (Army Corps consultant for NSRR)
         - At February 1, 2008 Real Estate meeting, the Corps indicated LCRBDC/Huntington to get costs and forward to Bergmann for review.

   F. **Explorer Pipe Line**
      - Received memo from the Corps dated January 16, 2008 from the Huntington district indicating estimate of $187,779 to be fair and reasonable.
G. Wolverine Pipe Line Company
• Received memo from the Corps dated January 18, 2008 from the Huntington District indicating estimate of $102,200 to be fair and reasonable.

H. Buckeye Partners
• Received a memo from Corps on December 6, 2007 and an email from Imad concurring that the Buckeye cost estimate for the two (2) 8” pipe lines is fair and reasonable in the total amount of $441,669.

I. BP Amoco Pipelines
• Received a memo from the Corps on December 6, 2007 and an email from Imad concurring that the BP Amoco pipelines cost estimate for their 8” and 22” pipelines is fair and reasonable in the total amount of $239,335.

2. Norfolk Southern Railroad coordination
• The Army Corps contracted Bergman Associates to do the design for the railroad closures north and south of the river, west of Kennedy Avenue, on the NSRR, as part of the V-2 construction.
• Most engineering design issues resolved and agreed upon. One remaining issue is the electronic key lock system to allow closure of the slide gate. Corps is currently coordinating. (Ongoing)
• Received a letter from Bergmann Associates dated November 9, 2007 with a cost estimate from the NSRR & comments. NSRR track work estimate was $258,000 – over $200,000 not necessary due to elevation considerations. Also received cost of $300,000 from NSRR for electronic lock system (with no breakdown). May be able to use existing features along line to eliminate majority of cost. Total estimate $629,600.
• Received a follow-up letter from Bergmann dated December 7, 2007 with cost information and general comments.
  > Track work – NSRR estimate $258,000; Bergmann says $62,000
  > Communication and Signal – NSRR estimate $300,000; Bergmann agrees based upon similar Missouri installation in 1994 and with no detailed cost summary to review.
  > If we follow current Bergmann comments, the cost for re-location would be $362,000

3. INDOT Coordination
A. A meeting was held with INDOT, Army Corps, and LCRBDC on August 31, 2006 to discuss COE design and project scheduling and funding in the area west of Indianapolis Blvd. and how it will impact their pump station design near the Tri-State bus terminal.
• Received an email from INDOT on July 25 indicating their project is currently “suspended” and there is no current letting date.
• An email was sent to INDOT and their design group on November 1, 2007 requesting schedule status of their project in this area. They responded back to us on the same day
• A letter was sent to INDOT and their consultant on January 24, 2008 requesting an update on their current schedule.
January 30, 2008

Mike Hanke
Civil Engineer
FEMA - Region V
536 S. Clark Street, 6th Floor
Chicago, IL 60605

Re: 1999 LOMR 99-05-325P and Continued Accreditation
Wicker Park Manor Levee, Highland, Indiana

Dear Mr. Hanke:

On behalf of the Town of Highland, I am requesting continued accreditation of the Wicker Park Manor Levee, approved 1999 LOMR 99-05-325P.

The Town of Highland, for the past ten years, has provided routine operation and maintenance (O&M) of the Wicker Park Manor levee, which includes regular mowing and inspections. In addition, there is one (1) gate well structure, located at the southeast quadrant Indianapolis Boulevard (U.S. Route 41) and the Little Calumet River, which has been exercised on an annual basis.

The Wicker Park Manor Levee was constructed in 1997 following a flood event that had occurred in 1990. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers had agreed to construct the levee, in advance of the progressing schedule of the Little Calumet River Levee Project, in order to allow for those residents of Wicker Park Manor to reconstruct their damaged homes. Construction of the Little Calumet River Levee Project is in Stage V, Phase 2, scheduled to be completed in 2009. At that time, steps will be taken by the Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission to remove those areas, east and west of Wicker Park Manor that would be protected by the Little Calumet River Levee Project, from the existing flood zone.

Attached hereto, please find a copy of our Operation and Maintenance Guide for the Wicker Park Manor Levee. We have implemented this guide, on an interim basis, until the guidance document for Operation and Maintenance of the entire Little Calumet River Levee, to be prepared by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, is placed into effect.
If you have any questions regarding this request, please contact me at (219) 972-5069 or via email at jbach@highland.in.gov.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

John M. Bach
Director of Public Works

C: Siavash, CBBEL
   Sanitary Board of Commissioners
MINUTES
PROGRESS MEETING NO. 3
January 23, 2008, 9:00 - 11:00 AM
LITTLE CALUMET RIVER LOCAL FLOOD PROTECTION
STAGE 5 PHASE 2
W912P6-07-C-0011
USACE Field Office, Highland, IN

1. Participants:

USACE: Sheldon Edd
Scott Babcock

Dyer: Jon Shaner

Other Agencies:

James Mandon (Munster)
John Bach (Highland)
Dennis Simala (North Township)
Dan Gardner (Little Cal River Com.)

Frank Janosi (NIPSCO)

2. Progress: Actual thru January 23: 12% $1.6M

Scheduled thru Feb 6 14% $1.8M

Original Contract Completion Date: November 5, 2009
Current Required Completion Date: November 5, 2009
Original Options Amount: $15,930,348.46
Current Contract Amount: $13,140,189.41
Obligated Amount: $5,000,000.00
Payments To Date: $1,418,762.75

3. Work Since Last Meeting

a. Work has physically begun on site as of Thursday, January 17, 2008.
b. Ongoing submission of preconstruction submittals.
c. Initial meetings held for clearing and grubbing, Temp construction facilities, Fence

4. Work Expected or Scheduled Within Next Two Weeks:

a. Continue temporary fence wind screen erection.
b. Erection of project and safety signs.
c. Clearing and Grubbing to continue working South on Hawthorne (pending utility relocations), then into Wicker Park (began here today.)
d. Driving of Metal Sheet Piling (to begin ~3 weeks)
e. Dennis Simala to have site visit @ Wicker park to look at Parkway Drive tree removal progress (occurred Wednesday after this meeting)

5. Critical Work Activities in Project Schedule:

Dyer will be given the opportunity to identify one or two of the work activities that are most likely to be “critical activities,” from a project-scheduling standpoint. Dyer will be given the opportunity to identify critical work activities:

a. Clearing and Grubbing - Tree Removal process, progress and recap was discussed.

6. Old Business:

a. Frank Janosi (NIPSCO): 1 house needs service off the existing line, which is why the new pole was installed at Fairway. Transformers were installed in Wicker Park. NIPSCO plans to get their power lines off of ATT poles @ Hawthorne by Whitaker’s house. Per Frank, NIPSCO is not responsible for the removal of any utility poles owned by other utilities. Barring weather and other delays, the work at Fairway will be done by the first part of next week, and the relocations along Hawthorne may be completed within the next 2 weeks.
b. Cabela’s property: We intend to cross their property to access portions of the job. We will need to acquire written permission to do as such. No problems anticipated as Cabela’s desires the project to be completed.
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c. Option work in the Utility Corridor: Dan Gardner said that the Railroad signature process has begun. 2-3 pipelines still have not given their approval for work. NIPSCO R/W access agreements are being worked on. The project team will be updated at the next progress meeting.

7. New Business:

➤ Levee fill material: The sources of clay likely to be wicker park, Krooswyck. Will need to run the various mechanical and environmental tests and send to USACE for approval prior to use of a contractor selected borrow pit. Sheldon estimates there to be ~ 1 test per ¼ acre. Sampling plan will need to be submitted and approved prior to sampling at Wicker or other non-commercial site.
➤ Relocation of Fire Hydrant in Munster by USGS Gauging Station – Munster will remove the hydrant, cap the location, then reinstall it after the wall is complete.

7.1 Potential Conflicts Requiring Resolution:

➤ None not otherwise mentioned

7.2 Potential Items of Public Interest (Opportunities for the USACE Public Affairs Officer to communicate proactively with the media and the public):

➤ Status of public information distribution
  o Munster
    ▪ Traffic control, 2-way on Hawthorne – Residents informed by door-to-door efforts by Munster and water bill memos.
  o Highland – Highland may want to have a meeting with residents concerning the North Drive I-Wall
  o Hammond – Councilman wants to have an informational meeting with the USACE/LCRBDC

7.3 Local inquiries made by the public concerning the job, press releases, and articles in the press:

➤ Completion date Articles introduced -- public may be interested in having the contract finished ahead of schedule.
➤ Dan Gardner mentioned that Dyer can let the LCRBDC know if the contractor wants to have a meeting with the community.

7.4 Potential Differing Site Conditions / Plan of Action to Verify and Resolve Potential Problems:

➤ RFI # 12 was submitted concerning the 6S sheetpile lengths and their adequacy in design. Jenny is working on the area per the government response.

7.5 Other Concerns/Actions/Discussions:

➤ Local sponsor and community issues – Jim Mandon (Munster) stated that he did not want to have any contractor personnel involved in discussions with distraught residents on the project. He requested that contractor personnel leave the person in question, then contact him to deal with the resident.

➤ Safety of present operations
  o Clearing and grubbing
    ▪ Machinery -- be clear of moving equipment and parts, stay clear of thrown debris
    ▪ Chainsaw – Wear PPE including Chaps, Hearing, and Eye protection. Avoid falling limbs and trees.
MINUTES
PROGRESS MEETING NO. 3
January 23, 2008, 9:00 – 11:00 AM

8. Submittal Status:

Outstanding Submittals:

➤ Schedule issues per USACE comments
➤ Sheet piling submittal to be revised by contractor per USACE comments.

Note: All requests for clarification and correction should be handled within the RMS/QCS’s RFI system so information may be catalogued and located in one area.

Key Submittals in Review:

The Calumet Area Office is currently reviewing the following submittals:

➤ Concrete related submittals
➤ Traffic related submittals
➤ Dyer RFI #11 – Gauging station ladder

Upcoming Submittals:

➤ Highland permit to use roads
➤ Project Schedule

9. Field Changes/Modifications:

➤ USACE: Add option for East of Kennedy Ped. Bridge - Basic Only. (general contract status)
➤ USACE: Issuing corrected layout drawings (Dyer, Jenny inquiry)
➤ USACE: Deleting arsenic from the imported soil testing parameters.
➤ USACE: Add safety cage to Indianapolis Boulevard Pump Station Outlet gate well. (Dyer inquiry)
➤ USACE: Obligation Modification to provide additional funding to contract. – additional $3,000,000 has been issued as of 1-22-08, letter to follow shortly to contractor. (Brings total to $5,000,000)

The next progress meeting is scheduled for February 6, 2008, at 9:00 a.m. Central Time at the temporary field office on Kennedy in Highland. Dyer Construction will email participants of the meeting.

NOTE: Any comments or questions concerning this document should be directed to the USACE representative Sheldon Ed at 219-923-1763/4 or sheldon.d.edd@usace.army.mil or the Dyer Construction representative Jon Shaner at 219-865-2961 or jshaner@dyerconstruction.com.

SAFETY NOTE: All prime and sub contractors, representatives of agencies public and private including city employees, utility companies' employees, and other guests to the site are reminded that they must wear a helmet, steel toed footwear, and hi-vis vests while within the work limits regardless of work performed or visit duration.
January 18, 2008

Thomas M. McDermott, Jr
Mayor
City of Hammond
5925 Calumet Avenue
Hammond, IN 46320

Honorable Mayor McDermott,

In response to your letter of December 27, 2007, I am providing the following information regarding the project timeline for the Little Calumet River Project, Stage V-2.

The Corps of Engineers, Chicago District (USACE) did let the contract for the completion of Stage V-2 to Dyer Construction on September 29, 2007. The current completion date, based on the contract duration of 750 calendar days, is November 5, 2009.

Prior to award of this USACE contract, USACE did attend meetings with representatives of the City of Hammond, Cabela’s, and the Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission (LCRBDC) in early 2006 to discuss the construction schedule for Stage V-2 and how Cabela’s development of the golf course would impact USACE plans. At these meetings USACE did state that a completion of construction of Stage V-2 by October 2008 was achievable if all the required items for USACE to advertise and award a contract were obtained by late 2006. However, at these meetings we also informed everyone that Stage V-2 construction would require two construction seasons to complete. For USACE to award a contract all required real estate had to be obtained by the LCRBDC. The construction contract was advertised in July 2007 once real estate was available. Even during the advertisement period, design changes were still being made as a result of coordination in regard to design aspects of the project in Cabela’s and NIPSCO areas.

Utility coordination was not completed by the advertisement date so options were added to the contract that USACE will have to exercise within 365 days of contract start. These options involve a small geographic area located between Kennedy Ave and Indianapolis Blvd south of I-80/94, referred to hereafter as the Northern Utility Corridor. In this area there are sixteen major petroleum pipelines belonging to seven separate companies that include Conoco-Phillips, Marathon, Explorer, BP-Amoco, Buckeye Partners, Wolverine and NIPSCO. Also included in the Utility Corridor is a major rail line for the Norfolk Southern Railroad with double tracks. Currently, for both the pipeline companies and Norfolk Southern there is an approved design for construction; however the
local sponsor is still negotiating the real estate easements necessary for construction. In order to meet the project completion date of December 2009 the decision was made to let the contract with these options to allow construction in Stage V-2 to commence while the negotiations in the Northern Utility Corridor are completed. The contract specifies that if the options are awarded within 365 days from notice to proceed that there is no additional time added for completion of these items to the main contract. While the contractor is allowed to complete various sections based on a schedule he prepares, the 750 days is intended to allow time for the construction in the Northern Utility Corridor and the total completion of Stage V-2.

Unfortunately, due to Federal Law for open bid contracts we cannot change the performance period of the contract or offer incentives to the contractor for earlier completion of the project without re-bidding the contract and going through the Federal contracting process again, which would result in significant delays. Although not binding in any way, Dyer Construction, in previous projects for the Corps of Engineers, has earned a reputation of completing projects ahead of schedule and on budget which may help reduce the 750 day timeline.

The schedule for completion of the entire Little Calumet River Project is December 2009, provided all necessary funding is available. The Corps is bound by funding and the authority provided by the Federal Government, so the 2008 good faith statement by Indiana Economic Develop Corp to Cabela’s was without consultation and agreement with USACE. In addition, the Little Calumet River Development Basin Commission, the City of Hammond, and Cabela’s have been involved and informed about the timeline and schedule through the design process and contract issuance. Cabela’s was informed that it would require as much as two years to complete this section of the levee. They are completing a private levee to the west of their site in order to proceed forward with their development without awaiting completion of Stages VII and VIII of the Little Calumet River Project. Our timeline was discussed in the meetings held with them and the local sponsor throughout the design period for Stage V-2.

USACE has been in communication with the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) over the last several months and is developing an internal process to expedite the floodplain mapping in areas protected by fully completed levee segments. Within the next five months, the Corps will be requesting a Conditional Letter of Map Revision (CLOMR) for part of the project including Stage V-2. The CLOMR request will provide FEMA with the plans and supporting technical data to concur with a map revision contingent upon the completion of the described work. After the review period, which is typically 3 months, FEMA will either request additional information needed for evaluation or will issue a CLOMR. Concurrently, Stage V-2 will be in construction and the Corps will be working towards the certification of the already constructed portions of the levee. Upon completion of Stage V-2 and certification of the
levees providing protection east of Stage V-2, the Corps will be requesting a Letter of Map Revision (LOMR). This request will include the as-built drawings and other information necessary to demonstrate the completion of a levee system meeting FEMA’s requirements for removal of protected areas from the floodplain.

I look forward to meeting with you on January 22, 2008. If you would like additional information, please feel free to contact me at 312-846-5300, or Mr. Imad Samara, Project Manager, at 312-846-5560.

Signed Jan 22, 2007

John D. Drolet
COL, EN
Commanding
**PIPELINE RE-LOCATION COSTS SUMMARY**  
**STAGE V PHASE 2**

Following are costs, and estimates, from the different pipelines on the NIPSCO R/W that will be impacted with our sheet pile installation both north and south of the Little Calumet River as part of the recently-awarded contract by the Army Corps of Engineers for work on both sides of the river between Kennedy Avenue and Northcote Avenue in Hammond, Highland, and Munster.

A. Costs submitted by the pipeline company that have been reviewed and approved by the Army Corps of Engineers and have been determined to be fair and reasonable:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pipeline Company</th>
<th>Diameter</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buckeye Partners</td>
<td>10&quot;</td>
<td>$117,456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buckeye Partners</td>
<td>14&quot;</td>
<td>$117,456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buckeye Partners</td>
<td>8&quot;</td>
<td>$60,878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buckeye Partners</td>
<td>8&quot;</td>
<td>$145,878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP Amoco</td>
<td>8&quot;</td>
<td>$119,668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP Amoco</td>
<td>22&quot;</td>
<td>$119,668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conoco Phillips Pipeline</td>
<td>8&quot;(8&quot;)</td>
<td>$333,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIPSCO</td>
<td>8&quot;(12&quot;)(36&quot;)</td>
<td>$204,551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explorer Pipeline</td>
<td>24&quot;</td>
<td>$187,779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolverine Pipeline</td>
<td>16&quot;(18&quot;)</td>
<td><strong>$102,200</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Cost</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$1,508,534</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Costs submitted by the pipeline companies that are currently being reviewed by the Army Corps of Engineers to assure that they are fair and reasonable:

1. Marathon Pipeline, LLC (12") (16")  
   **$150,277**
   
   **Total Cost**  
   **$150,277**

C. Costs not submitted by the Norfolk Southern Railroad and Level 3 Communications, but estimated by LCRBDC based upon similar scopes of work from other pipelines:

1. NSRR. (6" steel conduit)  
   **$75,000**

2. Level 3 Communications (10" PVC)  
   **$115,000**

   **Total Cost**  
   **$190,000**

Overall projected cost summary for pipeline companies to oversee construction adjacent to their lines, pipeline reinforcement, earthwork, and quality control:

A. **$1,508,534**  
B. **$150,277**  
C. **$190,000**  
   **$1,848,811**
### STAGE V - PHASE 2
### NIPSCO R/W - PIPELINE CORRIDOR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMPANY</th>
<th>PRIME CONTACT</th>
<th>PIPE SIZE</th>
<th>EST. COST</th>
<th>HUNTINGTON APPROVED AND SENT TO CHICAGO</th>
<th>LOCAL CONTACT</th>
<th>STATUS/COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buckeye Partners</td>
<td>Don Samala (Re-location Engineer)</td>
<td>10&quot;</td>
<td>$117,456.00</td>
<td>12/6/2007</td>
<td>Gene Pugh (Field Rep) (219) 781-6357 (cell) (219) 989-8603</td>
<td>APPROVED BY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9999 Hamilton Blvd</td>
<td><a href="mailto:dsamala@buckeye.com">dsamala@buckeye.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Al Kosior (Engineer) <a href="mailto:akosior@buckeye.com">akosior@buckeye.com</a> (219) 397-3656 Ext:25</td>
<td>CORPS ON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tek #5</td>
<td>(484) 232-4303</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12/6/2007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breinigsville PA 18031</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buckeye Partners</td>
<td>Don Samala (Formerly Equilon line)</td>
<td>14&quot;</td>
<td>$117,456.00</td>
<td>12/6/2007</td>
<td>Gene Pugh (Field Rep) (219) 781-6357 (cell) (219) 989-8603</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9999 Hamilton Blvd</td>
<td><a href="mailto:dsamala@buckeye.com">dsamala@buckeye.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Office (219) 989-8603</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tek #5</td>
<td>(484) 232-4303</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breinigsville PA 18031</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buckeye Partners</td>
<td>Don Samala</td>
<td>8&quot;</td>
<td>$60,878.00</td>
<td>12/6/2007</td>
<td>Gene Pugh (Field Rep) (219) 781-6357 (cell) (219) 989-8603</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9999 Hamilton Blvd</td>
<td><a href="mailto:dsamala@buckeye.com">dsamala@buckeye.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Al Kosior (Engineer) <a href="mailto:akosior@buckeye.com">akosior@buckeye.com</a> (219) 397-3656 Ext:25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tek #5</td>
<td>(484) 232-4303</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12/6/2007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breinigsville PA 18031</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP Amoco</td>
<td>Dane Graham (E. of East NIPSCO R/W Line)</td>
<td>8&quot;</td>
<td>$119,667.50</td>
<td>12/6/07 (From BP Amoco on 12/6/07)</td>
<td>Ms. Marcie Foster R/W Specialist <a href="mailto:marciefoster@bp.com">marciefoster@bp.com</a></td>
<td>Approved by</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8230 Whitcomb</td>
<td><a href="mailto:dane.ampham@bp.com">dane.ampham@bp.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Main Office: 28100 Torch Pkwy, Ste.600 Warrenville IL 60555</td>
<td>Army Corps on</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merrillville IN 46410</td>
<td>(630) 414-0011 (cell)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(630) 836-3461 (630) 836-3585 (fax)</td>
<td>12/6/07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolverine</td>
<td>Fred Hipshear (Ext. #24)</td>
<td>22&quot;</td>
<td>$119,667.50</td>
<td></td>
<td>Scott Smith Damage Prevention Specialist <a href="mailto:scott_smith@wplico.com">scott_smith@wplico.com</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(formerly EXXON/ Mobil)</td>
<td>(269) 323-2491 (cell)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(815) 838-8160 (815) 325-5357 (cell)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8105 Valleywood</td>
<td>(269) 217-5155 (cell)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portage MI 49024</td>
<td><a href="mailto:fred.hipshear@wplico.com">fred.hipshear@wplico.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIPSCO</td>
<td>Mark Paszk (NIPSCO R/W Line)</td>
<td>8&quot;</td>
<td>$204,551.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>Approved by Army Corps on 3-Jan-08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>801 E. 86th Avenue</td>
<td><a href="mailto:mmpaszk@nrisource.com">mmpaszk@nrisource.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merrillville IN 46410</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

August 7, 2007

August 26, 2007

August 18-Jan-08

Approved by Army Corps on 3-Jan-08
### STAGE V - PHASE 2
#### NORFOLK-SOUTHERN R/W - RAILROAD AND PIPELINE CORRIDOR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMPANY</th>
<th>PRIME CONTACT</th>
<th>PIPE SIZE</th>
<th>EST. COST</th>
<th>HUNTINGTON APPROVED AND SENT TO CHICAGO</th>
<th>LOCAL CONTACT</th>
<th>STATUS/COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Norfolk Southern Railway Company</td>
<td>Danny Young 404-915-1380 (Coordinates with Mark Sawyer)</td>
<td>6&quot; Steel Conduit w/Comm. Lines (located approx. 10' E. of East set of rails)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conoco Phillips Pipe Line Company</td>
<td>Bob Hardt  <a href="mailto:bob.l.hardt@conocophillips.com">bob.l.hardt@conocophillips.com</a> (636) 391-1660</td>
<td>8&quot; (Located West of NSRR R/W easement line) 8&quot; on NSRR R/W</td>
<td>$333,000.00</td>
<td>August 7, 2007</td>
<td>Gary Hanten  <a href="mailto:gary.l.hanten@conocophillips.com">gary.l.hanten@conocophillips.com</a> 400 E. Columbus Drive East Chicago IL 60612 (219) 397-6666 Ext.304</td>
<td>Approved by Army Corps on 14-Jan-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explorer Pipeline P. O. Box 2650 Tulsa OK 74101-2650</td>
<td>Patrick Nwakoby  (918) 493-5172</td>
<td>24&quot; (Located East of NSRR Embankment)</td>
<td>$187,779.22</td>
<td>August 7, 2007</td>
<td>Alton Ryals  Hammond Area Supervisor 3737 Michigan Street Hammond IN 46323-1202 (219) 989-8262 (219) 712-4573 (cell)</td>
<td>Approved by Army Corps on 16-Jan-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marathon Ashland Pipelines 3106 Kickbusch Dr. Valparaiso IN 46385</td>
<td>Dave Woodsmall  (219) 477-4001 (219) 508-3928 (cell)</td>
<td>12&quot; 16&quot; (Located East of toe of Embankment)</td>
<td>$150,277.00</td>
<td>October 10, 2007</td>
<td>Steve Woods (Land Agent)  (217) 382-2248 (812) 249-0445 (cell)  Ryan Bandy (Engineering Coord.) Fransworth Group (314) 962-7901 Ext. 225</td>
<td>Huntington re-submitted new, simplified specs on 10/23/07. Awaiting breakdown of cost for each line.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 3 Communications, LLC &quot;T-Cubed&quot; (formerly WilTel) 1025 Eldorado Blvd. Broomfield CO 80021</td>
<td>Keith Osborn  <a href="mailto:keith.osborn@level3.com">keith.osborn@level3.com</a> (720) 888-2774</td>
<td>Communications Line in 10&quot; PVC (Located East of NSRR Embankment)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oscar Rios  Lake County Rep  (219) 712-0731  Danny Young  (404) 915-1380 (Coordinates with Mark Sawyer)</td>
<td>To be coordinated by Bergmann Associates (Chicago Corps railroad consultant)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: This line needs to be identified for use.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Contact</th>
<th>Phone</th>
<th>Contract</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>POC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buckeye Partners</td>
<td>Buckeye Partners 14&quot; dia. Pipeline between Buckeye Partners and Wolverine's 16&quot; dia. Pipeline</td>
<td>591.60</td>
<td>589.23</td>
<td>591.97</td>
<td>Steve Schory</td>
<td>610-385-8407</td>
<td>WO160EH</td>
<td>$177,458</td>
<td>11/20/07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Buckeye Partners 14&quot; dia. Pipeline between Buckeye Partners and Wolverine's 16&quot; dia. Pipeline</td>
<td>591.97</td>
<td>587.55</td>
<td>592.1</td>
<td>Fred Hipshen</td>
<td>484-232-4303</td>
<td>POC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolverine</td>
<td>Wolverine 16&quot; dia pipeline between Buckeye Partners 14&quot; dia. pipeline and Wolverine's 18&quot; dia. Pipeline</td>
<td>591.44</td>
<td>586.09</td>
<td>592.1</td>
<td>Fred Hipshen</td>
<td>269-323-2491</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>$51,100.00</td>
<td>1/17/08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buckeye Partners</td>
<td>Buckeye Partners' 10&quot; dia. Pipeline between Wolverine's 16&quot; dia. Pipeline and NIPSCO 8&quot; dia. Pipeline</td>
<td>591</td>
<td>589.29</td>
<td>592.03</td>
<td>Neal Amdt</td>
<td>219-647-4779</td>
<td>$204,551</td>
<td>$204,551 covering all three adjacent lines</td>
<td>1/2/2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIPSCO</td>
<td>NIPSCO's 8&quot;, 12&quot;, and 30&quot; dia. Pipelines between Buckeye Partners 10&quot; dia. Pipeline and AMOCO's 8&quot; dia. Pipeline</td>
<td>592.03</td>
<td>589.43</td>
<td>598.23</td>
<td>Dane Graham</td>
<td>630-414-0011</td>
<td>coll</td>
<td>$119,887.50</td>
<td>11/21/07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP AMOCO</td>
<td>AMOCO's 8&quot; dia. Pipeline on east side of NIPSCO's 30&quot; dia. Pipeline</td>
<td>592.03</td>
<td>589.43</td>
<td>598.23</td>
<td>Dane Graham</td>
<td>630-414-0011</td>
<td>(coll)</td>
<td>$119,887.50</td>
<td>12/6/2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conoco-Phillips</td>
<td>Two 8&quot; pipeline west of NSRR RW and an 8&quot; pipeline on NSRR RW</td>
<td>592.03</td>
<td>589.43</td>
<td>598.23</td>
<td>Dane Graham</td>
<td>630-414-0011</td>
<td>(coll)</td>
<td>$119,887.50</td>
<td>12/6/2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Conoco-Phillips 8&quot; dia. Pipeline on east side of NIPSCO's 30&quot; dia. Pipeline</td>
<td>592.03</td>
<td>589.43</td>
<td>598.23</td>
<td>Dane Graham</td>
<td>630-414-0011</td>
<td>(coll)</td>
<td>$119,887.50</td>
<td>12/6/2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Two 8&quot; pipeline west of NSRR RW and an 8&quot; pipeline on NSRR RW</td>
<td>592.03</td>
<td>589.43</td>
<td>598.23</td>
<td>Dane Graham</td>
<td>630-414-0011</td>
<td>(coll)</td>
<td>$119,887.50</td>
<td>12/6/2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utility</td>
<td>Pipe Size &amp; Location</td>
<td>South Ground Elev.</td>
<td>South T/P Elev.</td>
<td>North Ground Elev.</td>
<td>North T/P Elev.</td>
<td>Estimator</td>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td>Estimate Amount</td>
<td>Date Estimate Received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiber Optic (T3-NSRR)</td>
<td>10&quot; steel pipeline between railroad and WILTEL Communications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>To be coordinated by Bergmann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marathon Ashland</td>
<td>Marathon Ashland's 12&quot; dia pipeline between WILTEL Communications and Marathon Ashland's 16&quot; dia pipeline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ryan Bandy</td>
<td>314-962-7901 Ext. 225 <a href="mailto:rbandy@F.W.com">rbandy@F.W.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td>1-14-08 Called Ryan Bandy and left answering maching a message requesting estimate submittal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dave Woodsmall</td>
<td>219-477-4001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marathon Ashland</td>
<td>Marathon Ashland's 16&quot; dia. Pipeline between Marathon Ashland's 12&quot; pipeline and Explorer's 24&quot; pipeline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ryan Bandy</td>
<td>314-962-7901 Ext. 225 <a href="mailto:rbandy@F.W.com">rbandy@F.W.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td>1-14-08 Called Ryan Bandy and left answering maching a message requesting estimate submittal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dave Woodsmall</td>
<td>219-477-4001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explorer</td>
<td>24&quot; dia. pipeline between Marathon Ashland's 16&quot; dia. pipeline and AMOCO's 22&quot; dia. Pipeline</td>
<td>592.27</td>
<td>586.47</td>
<td>586.45</td>
<td></td>
<td>Patrick Nwakoby</td>
<td>918-493-5172</td>
<td>$187,779.22</td>
<td>1/15/08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP AMOCO</td>
<td>22&quot; dia pipeline between Explorer's 24&quot; dia. pipeline and Buckeye Partner's 8&quot; dia. pipeline</td>
<td>592.48</td>
<td>589.61</td>
<td>585.94</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dane Graham</td>
<td>630-414-0011(cell)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$119,867.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MEMORANDUM FOR: CELRC-PM-PM, Attn: Imad Samara

SUBJECT: Technical Analysis of a Budgetary Planning Estimate for the NIPSCO 8", 12" and 30" pipelines

1. Cost Engineering Section has reviewed the Preliminary Budgetary Planning Estimate proposal and performed the required technical analysis. Our analysis is explained in the following paragraphs.

2. A Preliminary Budgetary Planning Estimate proposal was submitted via E-mail dated 1-2-08 for $204,551.00. No breakdown for individual lines was given. It is understood that the work covered by this estimate is reimbursable based on supportive documentation after work is complete.

3. Following are comments noted as the estimate was reviewed.
   a. This estimate is an update of a previous estimate resulting from additional information about the work to be provided and conversations by telephone.
   b. The cost is for all three lines (8", 10", and 30") together and this seems logical as all three lines are adjacent to one another.
   c. There is no backup - estimate based on historical data of previously completed jobs that were similar.
   d. All the major jobs (items) appear to be included.
   e. No plans or specifications were provided.
   f. The Math appears to be correct based on spot checking.

4. The estimate appears to be fair and reasonable for an order of magnitude estimate used as a budgetary planning estimate. It is recommended that the US Army Corps of Engineers (COE) and Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission (LCRBDC) accept this estimate contingent upon receiving vouchers for material and labor with supporting data prior to actual payment of work involved. Also, should unforeseen conditions arise that would affect the cost materially, NIPSCO should notify the COE and the LCRBDC of conditions encountered.

5. If you have any questions or concerns, please contact Russell Craddock at 304-399-5205.

Michael G. Ferguson, P.E.
Chief, Cost & Technical Support Branch
## MATERIAL AND LABOR ESTIMATE

**Northern Indiana Public Service Company**

**Date Prepared:** Thursday, Dec 27, 2007

**Type:** Construction

**W.O. No.:** 69888-59

**District:** Hammond

**Location:** West of Kennedy Ave, Highland

**Brief Title:** Levee Project 38", 12", 8", Install Sleeves/Split Casings

**Brief Description:** Install weld reinforcing sleeves on the 30", 12", and 8" gas lines west of Kennedy Ave at Little Cal River on the 30" R/W in Highland, IN. This estimate is for gas facilities involved in Kennedy to Northside Ave levees. Stage V, Ph 2: Sleeves are to protect welds during pile driving for 1-Wall Split CAS Under Ramps...

### Page 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Estimated Quantity</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Labor</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Labor</th>
<th>Others</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gas Transmission System</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TAX UNIT M24</strong></td>
<td>Highland-North Twp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GAS TRANSMISSION LINE NUMBER (500) 90</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HIGHLAND JUIN TO 20-38 ST 38</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Account # 347</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mains</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleeve, Weld Reinforcing</td>
<td>30&quot;</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stone, Sand Backfill, Pad</td>
<td>LOT 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compaction Test</td>
<td>LOT 0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>9000</td>
<td>9000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TAX UNIT M24 T O T A L TRANSMISSION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TAX UNIT M24 # 1 T O T A L</strong></td>
<td>Highland-North Twp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gas Distribution System</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TAX UNIT M24</strong></td>
<td>Highland-North Twp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Account # 376.1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Steel Main</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipe, Steel, 36&quot;, Casing, Bare</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>166.10</td>
<td>6644</td>
<td>6644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misc Material</td>
<td>LOT 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleeve, Weld Reinforcing</td>
<td>8&quot;</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>671</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>348</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleeve, Weld Reinforcing</td>
<td>12&quot;</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>760</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casing Filler</td>
<td>CELL 526</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor Material &amp; Labor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TAX UNIT M24 T O T A L DISTRIBUTION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Estimated:** E. Arndt

**Originator:** 590 - Gas

**Date:** 12-27-2007
MATERIAL AND LABOR ESTIMATE
NORTHERN INDIANA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY

DATE PREPARED: THURSDAY, DEC. 27, 2007
TYPE: CONSTRUCTION
LOCATION: WEST OF KENNEDY AVE, HIGHLAND
DIRECTION: LEVEE PROJECT (59"), 12", 8" INSTALL SLEEVES/SPLIT CASING
W.O. NO.: 69888-59

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PAGE</th>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>SIZE</th>
<th>ESTIMATED QUANTITY</th>
<th>MATERIAL</th>
<th>LABOR</th>
<th>OTHERS</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TAX UNIT # M2G # T O T A L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8898</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9893</td>
<td>18791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LABOR INDEX 0.80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TOTAL DIRECT MATERIAL AND LABOR COSTS (*)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10118</td>
<td>30928</td>
<td>41086</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CONTRACT LABOR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>130000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PAYROLL LOADER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STORES, FREIGHT AND HANDLING EXPENSE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2026</td>
<td>5600</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>2026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ENGINEERING LABOR AND EXPENSES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>4200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SUPPORT PERSONAL &amp; EXPENSES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
<td>6692</td>
<td></td>
<td>6692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PAYROLL TAX 8.00%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OTHER COST SUB-TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2026</td>
<td>7592</td>
<td>130852</td>
<td>155978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SUB - T OTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12142</td>
<td>7592</td>
<td>161278</td>
<td>181019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PARTIAL OVERHEAD 13.00%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>46900</td>
<td>16000</td>
<td></td>
<td>62900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OVERHEAD 13.00%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6492</td>
<td>6692</td>
<td></td>
<td>13184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TOTAL AUTHORIZATION REQUESTED</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12142</td>
<td>7592</td>
<td>184610</td>
<td>205551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(*) 6.80% SALES TAX IS INCLUDED IN THE INDIVIDUAL MATERIAL PRICES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TOTAL ESTIMATED COST TO SERVE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>205551</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION MAN HRS: 22

** PERC ACCOUNT % BREAK DOWN OF DIRECT CHARGES.
** PERC ACCOUNT G 367 IN TAXING UNIT H26 IS 44.62% OF COST
** PERC ACCOUNT G 376.1 IN TAXING UNIT H26 IS 55.38% OF COST
** THE STATION OR LINE NO. COULD BE DIFFERENT

ESTIMATED BY: N. E. ARNOY
ORIGINATOR: 598 - GAS
DATE: 12-27-2007
MEMORANDUM FOR: CELRC-PM-PM, Attn: Imad Samara

SUBJECT: Technical Analysis of a Budgetary Planning Estimate for the Conoco Phillips Two 8 inch lines

1. Cost Engineering Section has reviewed the Preliminary Budgetary Planning Estimate proposal and performed the required technical analysis. Our analysis is explained in the following paragraphs.

2. A Preliminary Budgetary Planning Estimate proposal was received by email January 14, 2008 for $333,000.00 for both Conoco Phillips lines. The breakdown for each pipeline is $166,500 for each of the two 8” lines. It is understood that the work covered by this estimate is reimbursable based on supportive documentation after work is complete.

3. Following are comments noted as the estimate was reviewed.
   a. Partial quantities along with lump sum items were provided.
   b. Estimate is the 3rd revised submittal.
   c. All the major jobs (items) appear to be included.
   d. No plans or specifications were provided.
   e. The math appears to be correct and rounded.
   f. The estimate presented is a combination of both 8” lines.

4. The estimate appears to be fair and reasonable for an order of magnitude or “good faith” estimate used as a Preliminary Budgetary Planning estimate. It is recommended that the US Army Corps of Engineers (COE) and Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission (LCRBDC) accept this estimate contingent upon receiving vouchers for material and labor with supporting data prior to actual payment of work involved. Also, should unforeseen conditions arise that would affect the cost materially, Buckeye Partners should notify the COE and the LCRBDC of conditions encountered.

5. If you have any questions or concerns, please contact Russell Craddock at 304-399-5205.

Encl

Michael G. Ferguson, P.E.
Chief, Cost & Technical Support Branch
CONOCOPHILLIPS PIPE LINE Company
COST ESTIMATE

Location: Hammond, Indiana - Little Calumet River Flood Control Project
Date: 1/14/2008
Scope of Work:

The LORBDC has been coordinating activities for USACE Flood Control Project on the Little Calumet River in Indiana. USACE has proposed utilizing a sheet pile and bridging technique for installation of the flood control wall to cross over pipelines in the affected area.

Costs for the following topics/issues/concerns/monitoring are to be covered by CPPL in the USACE proposed scope of work:

- Safety oversight, area security for exposed pipelines, emergency & environmental protection planning, construction & equipment loading during and after construction, USACE contractor(s) interaction to protect pipeline operations, design & pipeline integrity reviews for proposed construction activities, maintain cathodic protection on pipelines considering potential interference caused by sheet piling, interact with USACE on design and clarification of contractor planned activities around pipeline Right-of-Way encroachment restrictions, documentation of pipeline maintenance and construction in relation to pipeline operations to cover Company and DOT requirements. This work will occur over CPPL Blue & Gold Line pipelines systems operating in Indiana.

This is a good faith estimate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UNIT</th>
<th>CLASS</th>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>QTY</th>
<th>Price</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ENGINEERING/PROJ MGMT.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CPPL Engineering (PR Group) (hrs)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reviews and coordination (Address unplanned/unresolved design &amp; contractor activities, Operations/Maintenance procedure requirements for encroachment, Pipeline Integrity reviews, Cathodic personnel &amp; misc design review/oversight, Transportation/fuel, Field travel time)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>$120.00</td>
<td>$24,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PTREC review (Bob Hart)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,500.00</td>
<td>$1,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Legal review</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Survey review</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Third party on site Construction Field Representative (CFR) oversight - days assigned. Two (2) CFR's required for simultaneous monitoring on North &amp; South side river construction activities (12 wins or 60 days)</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>$850.00</td>
<td>$102,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CFR Travel expenses</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CPPL coating repair/inspection (Including mob &amp; demob, contract excavation, shielding &amp; shoring, lodging/per diem, transportation/fuel, if required local union representation, maintenance personnel on site during contractor backfill). This assumes access road has been installed, CPPL will provide required backfill material (est. 75yds @ $75yd) and testing oversight. Est. 2 wins.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$35,625.00</td>
<td>$35,625.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Misc. Field CPPL labor cost for District &amp; Local personnel to review emergency planning, project support/planning, drawing updates, maintenance reports, travel time, transportation/fuel, lodging/per diem.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dewatering on North &amp; South side for CPPL required excavations. (USACE contractor to provide service. Supplemental costs to CPPL will be reimbursable)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No costs included for pipeline shut-downs during construction activities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>During Contractor Inspection:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Verify locations and depth of lines Actual</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$9,750.00</td>
<td>$9,750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Deepwell anode bed installation, Cathodic Protection personnel oversight &amp; misc field expense (Proposed 300 ft deep bed ext, $40K)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$50,000.00</td>
<td>$50,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cathodic protection test station installation (2) &amp; associated excavations in addition to anode bed installation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Contingency: 20%</td>
<td></td>
<td>$48,235.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Indirect/Overhead: 18%</td>
<td></td>
<td>$43,411.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GRAND TOTAL:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$333,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MEMORANDUM FOR: CELRC-PM-PM, Attn: Imad Samara

SUBJECT: Technical Analysis of a Budgetary Planning Estimate for Explorer Pipeline Company’s 24 inch Pipeline

1. Cost Engineering Section has reviewed the Preliminary Budgetary Planning Estimate proposal and performed the required technical analysis. Our analysis is explained in the following paragraphs.

2. A Preliminary Budgetary Planning Estimate proposal was received in the mail 1-15-08 for $187,779.22 for the 24 inch Explorer pipeline. It is understood that the work covered by this estimate is reimbursable based on supportive documentation after work is complete.

3. Following are comments noted as the estimate was reviewed.
   a. Quantities and unit prices along with lump sum items were provided.
   b. No Estimate methodology is presented in narrative form but the estimate can easily be interpreted. Spread sheet format was used.
   c. All the major jobs (items) appear to be included.
   d. No plans or specifications were provided.
   e. The math appears to be correct.

4. The estimate appears to be fair and reasonable for an order of magnitude estimate used as a budgetary planning estimate. It is recommended that the US Army Corps of Engineers (COE) and Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission (LCRBDC) accept this estimate contingent upon receiving vouchers for material and labor with supporting data prior to actual payment of work involved. Also, should unforeseen conditions arise that would affect the cost materially, Buckeye Partners should notify the COE and the LCRBDC of conditions encountered.

5. If you have any questions or concerns, please contact Russell Craddock at 304-399-5205.

Encl

Michael G. Ferguson, P.E.
Chief, Cost & Technical Support Branch
**COST ESTIMATE**
Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission
Flood Control Project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Description</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A. Right-of-Way and Permits</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Permits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Right-of-Way (damages)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Damages &amp; Temporary Work Space</td>
<td>$20/rod</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>280 rods</td>
<td>3,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>$3,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B. Materials</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Line Pipe (28&quot;OD, .500&quot;WT, API-5L Grade X-42, ERW, Bare line pipe for bends)</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 ft</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Impressed Current Cathodic Protection System</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Sacrificial Anodes - Cathodic Protection System</td>
<td>$14,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. TDW Thread-o-Ring Nipples (2000#)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Nipples at $119/Nipple</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. TDW Bidirectional Pig Sigs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Pig Sigs at $1300/Pig Sig</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Misc. Cathodic Protection Material</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Misc. Hydrostatic Test Material</td>
<td>500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Dewatering Poly Pigs (Girard)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Freight and Taxes (10%)</td>
<td>2,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>$27,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C. Company Labor and Equipment</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Item</strong></td>
<td><strong>Qty</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Area Supervisor</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Oper. Technician I</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Oper. Technician II</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. EM. Technician I</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. EM. Technician III</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Employee Benefits (43.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. 1/2 Ton Pickup</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. 3/4 Ton Pickup</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Gang Truck</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Air Compressor &amp; Port-a-Lathe</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Pipeline Locator</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. 28&quot; Spheres</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. 28&quot; Line up Clamps</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. L.E.L. Meter</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Sphere Inserter</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Tapping Machine</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Travel and Expenses</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### COST ESTIMATE
Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission
Flood Control Project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Unit Cost</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D. Contract Labor and Equipment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Excavation/Transportation of pipe</td>
<td>200 ft</td>
<td>$28.00/ft</td>
<td>5,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Fabrication</td>
<td>200 ft</td>
<td>$140.00/ft</td>
<td>28,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Installation</td>
<td>200 ft</td>
<td>$28.00/ft</td>
<td>5,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Backfill, Compaction, and Testing</td>
<td>200 ft</td>
<td>$28.00/ft</td>
<td>5,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Hydrostatic Test</td>
<td>200 ft</td>
<td>$22.40/ft</td>
<td>4,480.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. X-Ray Services</td>
<td>4 days</td>
<td>$3,000.00/day</td>
<td>12,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Insulator &amp; Casing Seal Installation</td>
<td>2 lot</td>
<td>$9,000.00/lot</td>
<td>18,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Draindown and Nitrogen Services</td>
<td>0 scf</td>
<td>$12.50/100scf</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Geometry Pig Survey</td>
<td>0-24&quot; Pig Run</td>
<td>$12,500.00/28-inch Run</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Coating Weld Joints</td>
<td>0 Joints</td>
<td>$1,500.00/Joint</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Salvage of Existing Pipe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Clean Up</td>
<td>1 Job Site</td>
<td>$4,500.00/per site</td>
<td>4,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Port a Lathe</td>
<td>0 trip</td>
<td>$7,500.00/trip</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Block Valve &amp; Fence Installations (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Rock Free Pad Dirt for Pipe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Abandon Pipe with flowable fill</td>
<td>0 cu yds</td>
<td>$14.90/cu yd</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Surveying &amp; Engineering</td>
<td>1 lot</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$114,280.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### E. Miscellaneous Costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Miscellaneous Contingency (10%)</td>
<td>$7,693.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### F. Indirect Costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Accounting, Legal, Engineering, Drafting and Other Overhead (16.23%)</td>
<td>$26,220.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### G. Credits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Sale of Existing Pipe</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL COST**

$187,779.22

**TOTAL ESTIMATED REIMBURSABLE AMOUNT**

$187,779.22
MEMORANDUM FOR: CELRC-PM-PM, Attn: Imad Samara

SUBJECT: Stage V – Phase 2 - Technical Analysis of a Budgetary Planning Estimate for the Wolverine Pipeline Company’s 16 inch and 18 inch lines

1. Cost Engineering Section has reviewed the Preliminary Budgetary Planning Estimate proposal and performed the required technical analysis. Our analysis is explained in the following paragraphs.

2. A Preliminary Budgetary Planning Estimate proposal was received by email 1-17-08 for $102,200.00 for both Wolverine pipelines. The breakdown is $51,100.00 for each of the two lines. It is understood that the work covered by this estimate is reimbursable based on supportive documentation after work is complete.

3. Following are comments noted as the estimate was reviewed.
   a. Lump sum items were provided.
   b. The estimate presented is a combination of costs for both lines.
   c. All the major jobs (items) appear to be included.
   d. No plans or specifications were provided.
   e. The math appears to be correct and rounded.
   f. This is a revision of an earlier estimate.

4. The estimate appears to be fair and reasonable for an order of magnitude estimate used as a budgetary planning estimate. It is recommended that the US Army Corps of Engineers (COE) and Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission (LCRBDC) accept this estimate contingent upon receiving vouchers for material and labor with supporting data prior to actual payment of work involved. Also, should unforeseen conditions arise that would affect the cost materially, Wolverine Pipeline Co. should notify the COE and the LCRBDC of conditions encountered.

5. If you have any questions or concerns, please contact Russell Craddock at 304-399-5205.

Encl

Michael G. Ferguson, P.E.
Chief, Cost & Technical Support Branch
EXHIBIT "B"

WOLVERINE PIPE LINE COMPANY
ESTIMATE OF EXPENDITURES CHARGEABLE TO OTHERS
FOR NORMAL REIMBURSABLE PROJECT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE:</th>
<th>1/17/2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LOCATION OF WORK:</td>
<td>Little Calumet River Flood Protection, Stage 5, Phase 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAE NO:</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COMPLETE DESCRIPTION OF WORK TO BE PERFORMED & REASON:
Excavate and inspect 16" and 18" pipelines to be under levee. Install compacted fill to Corps of Engineers specifications.

EXPENSES REIMBURSED BY: US Army Corps of Engineers

THIS ESTIMATE GOOD FOR 90 DAYS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMPANY EXPENSES</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COMPANY LABOR:</td>
<td>20,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OUT OF TOWN EXPENSES:</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMPANY VEHICLE AND EQUIPMENT EXPENSE:</td>
<td>3,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIGHT OF WAY &amp; DAMAGES:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIPE &amp; MATERIALS:</td>
<td>4,300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONTRACT EXPENSES</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CONTRACT LABOR AND EQUIPMENT:</td>
<td>39,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONTRACT EQUIPMENT &amp; PIPE HAULING:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OVERHEAD

| PAYROLL BURDEN & WELFARE EXPENSE (45% OF COMPANY LABOR): | 9,500 |
| ADMINISTRATIVE OVERHEAD (20% OF SUB-TOTAL):               | 15,500 |

| SUB-TOTAL:                     | 92,900 |
| 10% CONTINGENCY:              | 9,300  |

| TOTAL ESTIMATED EXPENDITURES: | 102,200 |

PREPARED BY: BK

100 % REIMBURSABLE = 102,200
January 24, 2008

Mr. Allen R. Egilmez, P.E.
Transportation Dept. Manager
United Consulting Engineers, Inc.
1625 North Post road
Indianapolis, Indiana 46219-1995

Dear Allen:

At the Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission meeting on January 9th, the Board members and Bill Petries of Highland, questioned the current INDOT schedule for the construction of the critically-needed pump station on the south side of the Little Calumet River along Route 41. In an email from you on November 1, 2007 regarding the “U.S. ROUTE 41 RECONSTRUCTION PROJECT – PHASE 1-A”, you indicated the project is scheduled for a February 2009 letting.

On September 29, 2007 the Army Corps of Engineers let the contract to Dyer Construction to construct the levee segment in this area and the Board asked me to request an update of the State’s commitment to the pump station construction. It is our understanding that it is on the INDOT letting list for February 2009. Could you please confirm that date and communicate the strong support to let the project slip in time. If INDOT could expedite this project to move up the completion date of their project to coincide with mid-2009, a total effective line of protection and drainage system could be implemented in this area that would benefit North Township and the residents of Highland. If you would like to have a conference call or establish further communication regarding this request, please let me know.

Sincerely,

cc: John Wright, Stephen Witsler, INDOT
    Mike Monahan, INDOT LaPorte District Director
    John Bach, Public Works, Highland
    Dennis Simala, North Township
    Imad Samara, Project Manager, Army Corps
    Bill Petrites, Highland resident

Dan Gardner
Executive Director
January 16, 2008

Mr. Frank Mrvan Jr.
North Township Trustee
5947 Hohman Avenue
Hammond, Indiana 46320

Dear Mr. Mrvan:

Recently, the Development Commission has been coordinating with NIPSCO and Dennis Simala, representing your office, to discuss the electric utility relocation adjacent to Hart Ditch. The existing overhead electric lines on the west side of Hart Ditch needed to be relocated to allow Dyer Construction to install the sheet piling in that area. Three options were considered to relocate these lines to the east side of Hart Ditch. Mr. Simala had requested that we would run underground electric from the new pole on the east side of Hart Ditch to serve your pump station. We agreed, at our cost, to change the service voltage to 120/208V, 3 Phase, 4 wire service. In addition, we will be installing a 4 wire, 500 MCM Aluminum service cable, and a 3” poly-flex conduit to your pump station at an additional cost above the other proposals. It seemed this option would best benefit the current and future needs at Wicker Park. We opted to do this option based upon your excellent cooperation with the Development Commission in procuring the necessary lands to allow us to do our construction and for working with us to help coordinate the design of this segment of construction.

We look forward to working with you through the construction and completion of this important project for northwest Indiana and again, thank you for your cooperation and support of the project.

Sincerely,

Dan Gardner
Executive Director

/cc: Dennis Simala
January 10, 2008

Mr. James E. Pokrajac  
Agent, Land Management/Engineering  
Little Calumet River  
Basin Development Commission  
8100 Southport Road  
Portage, IN 46368

RE: Little Calumet River Levee – Stage 5 – Phase 2  
Hawthorne Avenue & Alta Vista, Munster, IN  
Lake County, Indiana  

Dear Mr. Pokrajac:

I have enclosed three (3) fully executed copies of the Agreement between NIPSCO and the Development Commission to install 2 poles, 1 span of OH 3 phase primary, 360' of UG 1 concentric primary cable in conduit, and 515' of UG 3 phase service cable in conduit. This work is located on Hawthorne Avenue and Alta Vista, in Munster, IN. This agreement will be at an estimated cost of $44,896.02, including retirement.

Per our previous discussions, and to expedite completion, the Work Order was released for construction on Monday, January 7, 2008.

If you have any questions feel free to contact me at 219-647-4299; or Frank Janosi, Field Engineer, Gary at 219-886-5560.

Sincerely,

Mark L Pasyk  
Utility Highway Affairs

MLP:ch  
Enclosures  

Franko – Field Engineer – NIPSCO
Jim,  

I have sent the Little Cal Gatewell 7-Alph 100% BCOE Review Plans & Specs down to the Calumet Field Office for you to pick up. I included 6 copies as you requested. Please distribute these to the local agencies for their review and comment. The official 100% BCOE Review Period is January 28 through February 8. Please make sure any comments that the local agencies have get back to me in a timely fashion so that the Design Team can review and answer them.

Thanks

Matt
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE
All,

We got updates from LRB on the 100% P&S for Little Cal Stage 8. We will be receiving the Design Analysis and specifications on either the 4th or 5th of February. We will be receiving the half size plans on the 8th or 11th of February. LRB plans to submit the 100% current working estimate on 25 February. So the 100% BCOE/QA/ITR reviews will start as soon as you get the items and you will have until the 29th February to put your review comments into Dr.Checks.

Ed Karwatka will be receiving 11x17 plans and specs on the 5th February so he can review before his vacation.

Important Dates and Schedule for Stage 8:

1. 100% BCOE/QA/ITR review period is 11 Feb till 29 Feb
2. 100% BCOE Review Meeting is to be held at the Munster Town Hall on 28 Feb
3. Plan in Hand Walk Through of the Project Site on 29 Feb

I just wanted to give everyone a heads up that this work and the meetings are coming if you would like to plan for attending them.

Thanks

Matt
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE
January 8, 2008

Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission
6100 Southport Road
Portage, IN 46368
FAX: (219)762-1653

Attn: James Pokrajac

Re: Septic Tank & Filter Bed at
First United Church
River Dr.
Hammond, IN

Dear Jim:

The above mentioned area is completely under the Little Calumet Dike so it needs to be eliminated before you can actually start any work on the dike. In this area lies the churches whole sanitary sewer system.

The septic tank has to be eliminated first, by sucking it out and then filling it in with limestone. Which means you must have the new pumping system in operation. This would stop the threat of any contamination of the raw sewage getting into the river. If you were to start driving the sheeting in without eliminating the septic system, it would pierce the septic tank and the sewer pipe making everything inoperable and would more than likely pollute the entire area.

To install the new pumping station would require at least six to seven working days to complete.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,
Gatlin Plumbing & Heating, Inc.

Roger Gatlin
President

RG/pc
Jim Pokrajac

From: "Ott, Monica A LRC" <Monica.A.Ott@usace.army.mil>
To: <j pokrajac@ nirpc.org>; <jdevine@netnitco.net>; <rsutton@netnitco.net>; "Schmidt, Joseph J LRC" <Joseph.J.Schmidt@usace.army.mil>; "Treharne, Stephen R LRC" <Stephen.R.Treharne@usace.army.mil>; "Rochford, William A LRC" <William.A.Rochford@usace.army.mil>; "Ackerson, Rick D LRC" <Rick.D.Ackerson@usace.army.mil>; "Go, Ernesto T LRC" <Ernesto.T.Go@usace.army.mil>; "Mrozek, Kallan K LRC" <Kallan.K.Mrozek@usace.army.mil>; "Samar, Imaad LRC" <Imad.Samar@usace.army.mil>; "Shinbori, Yukii J LRC" <Yuki.J.Shinbori@usace.army.mil>; "Smith, Kent A LRC" <Kent.A.Smith@usace.army.mil>; "Simpkins, Anita R LRC" <Anita.R.Simpkins@usace.army.mil>; "Ibrahim, Mohammed F LRC" <mohammed.f.ibrahim@usace.army.mil>; "Craib, Robert A LRC" <Robert.A.Craib@usace.army.mil>; "Anderson, Douglas M LRC" <Douglas.M.Anderson@usace.army.mil>; "Druzbiicki, David E LRC" <David.E.Druzbiicki@usace.army.mil>; "Bumsed, Mary J LRC" <Mary.J.Bumsed@usace.army.mil>; "Wietechn, Ronald O LRC" <Ronald.O.Wietechn@lrc02.usace.army.mil>; "Hughes, Steven J LRC" <Steven.J.Hughes@usace.army.mil>; "Moore, Gregory LRC" <Gregory.Moore@usace.army.mil>; "Flanagan, Pete C LRC" <Pete.C.Flanagan@usace.army.mil>
Cc: "White, Darin H LRH" <Darin.H.White@usace.army.mil>; "Witten, Russell P JR LRH" <Russell.P.Witten.JR@usace.army.mil>; "Scruggs, Alicia L LRH" <Alicia.L.Scruggs@usace.army.mil>; "Blair, Regina G LRC" <Regina.G.Blair@usace.army.mil>; "Samar, Imaad LRC" <Imad.Samar@usace.army.mil>; "Sezonov, Robert C LRC" <Robert.C.Sezonov@usace.army.mil>

Sent: Wednesday, January 23, 2008 2:28 PM
Attach: LCRV1 100% Final.pdf
Subject: Backcheck Review - Little Cal, West Reach Pump Rehab, Phase 2A P&S (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE

All,

Last week from the designers in our Huntington office or from Robert Sezonov, you should have received paper copies of the 100% Final Set of plans for Little Calumet, West Reach Pump Rehab, Phase 2A. Attached to this email message are the specifications that Huntington also finalized after completion of the 100% review. Please note that the duration should be 340 (rather than 400) calendar days.

Please backcheck your comments on Dr. Checks no later than 30 Jan 08.

Reminder that following the 50% review, Phase 2 was split into 2A and 2B. Phase 2A consists of pump rebuilds at the two smaller pump stations—Forest Ave./173rd St. and Tapper Ave. Phase 2B consists of pump replacements at the Indianapolis Blvd., Jackson Ave. and Southside pump stations. The Phase 2B 100% P&S are currently being finalized and will be available following the 2A backcheck, exact date to be determined. If you made comments on the 50% P&S review that apply to the Phase 2A work, please also backcheck those comments.
Please contact Ms. Alicia Scruggs if you have trouble accessing Dr. Checks. Please contact Robert Sezonov or me if you have any other questions.

Thank you!
Monica Ott
312-846-5591 (office)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE